1950 CENSUS OF HOUSING

SPECIAL TABULATIONS FOR LOCAL HOUSING AUTHORITIES

November 13, 1950

Washington 25, D. C.

Series HC-6, No. 38

MARIETTA, GEORGIA: APRIL 1950

This report is based on a transcription and tabulation of data from the 1950 Census of Population and Housing. The statistics relate to dwelling units considered substandard by the Public Housing Administration, in accordance with the contract for these statistics between the Bureau of the Census and the Marietta Housing Authority.

A dwelling unit is considered substandard by the Public Housing Administration if it is either dilapidated or does not have the following plumbing facilities: flush toilet and bath inside the structure for the unit's exclusive The Public Housing use, and hot running water. Administration considers the absence of hot running water to be evidence generally of poor quality of the dwelling unit, but there may be some localities in which the absence of hot running water is not deemed to be a sufficient indication by itself of poor quality. In such localities, a revised count of substandard units may be obtained from table 1 by subtracting from the total the units which lack hot water only. If it is desired to eliminate the units lacking hot water only from the total count of substandard units, the distributions shown in the tables will not be materially affected.

The criteria used to define substandard units in these tabulations are not identical with the housing characteristics included in the published reports from the 1940 Census. Therefore, any comparison of data in this report with the 1940 results must be made with extreme care. A direct comparison cannot be made with the 1940 results because information on hot running water was not collected at that time. Further, the 1940 data on condition were collected showing dwelling units "needing major repairs," whereas

in 1950 data on condition were collected showing units as "dilapidated." Because the definitions of these two terms differ significantly, the 1940 count of dwelling units needing major repairs and the 1950 count of dilapidated dwelling units are comparable only in a general way.

The data are presented in the series of attached tables. In table 1 the structural and occupancy characteristics are shown for all substandard dwelling units. Table 2 shows the rent paid for renter-occupied substandard units; table 3 shows the condition and plumbing facilities of these same units. Table 4 shows the characteristics of all families (both primary and secondary) living in the substandard units. Table 4a classifies the primary families by family income. Table 5 classifies primary families with no subfamily or secondary family present, by rent as a percent of family income.

In the contract rent tabulation, dwelling units occupied rent-free are tabulated in the "\$9 or less" category. In the gross rent tabulations, however, such units are tabulated as "not reporting," except when utilities were reported.

DEFINITIONS

The definitions used in this special tabulation are generally the same as those used in the 1950 Census of Population and Housing. Some of the more important definitions are given below.

Dwelling unit. -- In general, a dwelling unit is a group of rooms or a single room, occupied, or intended for occupancy, as separate living quarters by a family or other group of persons living together or by a person living alone.

Primary family. —A primary family consists of two or more persons including the head of the household and all (one or more) persons in the household who are related to the head by blood, marriage, or adoption. It may include a subfamily consisting of a married couple with or without children, or one parent with one or more children under 18 years of age, living in the household and related to but not including the head of the household or his wife. The subfamily is considered a part of the primary family for all tabulation purposes.

Secondary family. -- A secondary family consists of two or more persons, such as a lodger or servant and his relatives, living in a household and related to each other but not related to the household head. The secondary family is considered a separate family for tabulation purposes.

Minor. -- A minor is an unmarried person under 21 years of age other than the head of a family or his wife.

Dilapidation. -- A dwelling unit is considered to be dilapidated when it has serious deficiencies, is rundown or neglected, or is of inadequate original construction, so that it does not provide adequate shelter or protection against the elements or it endangers the safety of the occupants.

Plumbing facilities. -- The following are the three plumbing facilities tabulated:

- 1. Flush toilet inside the structure for the unit's exclusive use;
- 2. Installed bathtub or shower inside the structure for the unit's exclusive use: and
- 3. Hot and cold running water inside the structure.

Exclusive use. -- Equipment is for "exclusive use" if it is used only by the occupants of one dwelling unit.

Shared.--Equipment is "shared" when occupants of two or more units use the same equipment.

Family income.--Family income is the sum of the income received during 1949, by all members of the family. The following items were asked separately for the head of the family and for all other members of the family combined:

- The amount of money wages or salary received in 1949;
- 2. The amount of net money income received from self-employment in 1949; and

3. The amount of other money income received in 1949, such as interest, dividends, veterans' allowances, pensions, or rents.

Gross rent. -- Monthly gross rent is contract rent plus the reported average monthly cost of water, electricity, gas, and other fuel paid for by the renter. If furniture is included in the contract rent, the reported estimated rent of the dwelling unit without furniture is used for the computation instead of the contract rent.

SOURCE AND RELIABILITY OF THE DATA

The data in tables 1 through 4 are based on complete counts, including all dwelling units and families with the specified characteristics. For nonwhite families tables 4a and 5 also represent complete counts, but for white families the distributions involving income in these tables were prepared from data collected on a sample basis. As part of the 1950 Census, family income data were obtained from about onefifth of all families. For these tabulations, however, a subsequent field enumeration was made of families who were not in the original sample but were living in substandard dwelling units. These additional interviews resulted in income data for all nonwhite families and an increase in the income sample for white families above the 20 percent level.

Although the figures shown in tables 1 through 4 are based on the same data as the forthcoming 1950 Census tabulations of these items, they may differ from those to be published as part of the census. The present tables were obtained by tabulating directly the data as transcribed in the field offices of the Census Bureau and the figures, therefore, do not include all of the refinements that result from a careful examination of the schedules.

In addition, the data are subject to other biases due to errors of response or nonreporting which cannot be corrected in editing. Factors affecting the accuracy of enumeration include the respondent's knowledge of the facts and the ability of the enumerator to obtain accurate information on such items as income, rent, dilapidation and plumbing facilities. The regular 1950 tabulations are also subject to these biases.

Reliability of Estimates in Tables 4a and 5

Because of sampling variability, percentage distributions shown in table 4a for total families and for white families, and all figures in table 5 for total families and for white families, may

differ from those that would have been obtained from a complete count. (The absolute figures in table 4a and all data for nonwhite families in tables 4a and 5 represent complete counts and are not subject to sampling variations.) The reliability estimates which follow give approximate measures of the sampling errors to be expected in these sample data.

Reliability of the percentages in tables 4a and 5.--The allowance to be made for sampling variation in a percentage depends, in general, both on the value of the percentage and the size of the base on which it is determined. If two

percentages are of the same magnitude, the one based on a large number of cases in the sample is, in general, less variable than the one based on a small number of sample cases.

Estimates of reliability are shown in the following table for percentages with bases of white primary families of the specified types. The chances are about 19 out of 20 that the differences due to sampling variability between the percentages obtained from the sample and the percentages which would have been obtained from a complete census would be less than the sampling errors shown below.

		Sampling variability if the base is											
Percentage , shown in table		te primary fami ndard dwelling		All white primary families with no subfamily or secondary family pre- sent, in substandard renter units									
	Total Owner Renter		Total	No minors	With minors								
0.5 1.0 2.0 3.0 4.0 5.0 10.0 15.0 20.0 25.0 30.0 40.0	0.5 0.8 1.1 1.3 1.5 1.7 2.3 2.7 3.1 3.3 3.5 3.7	0.8 1.1 1.6 2.0 2.3 2.5 3.5 4.1 4.6 5.0 5.3 5.7 5.8	0.7 1.0 1.4 1.7 2.0 2.2 3.0 8.6 4.1 4.4 4.7 5.0	0.7 1.0 1.4 1.8 2.0 2.3 3.1 3.7 4.1 4.5 4.7 5.1	(1)	(1)							

Omitted because percentage distribution is not shown.

To illustrate, for a figure of 10 percent based on white primary families living in substandard renter dwelling units, the sampling variability is 3.0 percent; the chances are about 19 out of 20 that the percentage obtained by a complete census would have been between 7.0 percent and 13.0 percent.

The sampling variability of a specified percentage of total primary families with designated characteristics will vary according to the proportion of white families and nonwhite families making up this percentage. For example, consider the sampling variability of a figure of 10 percent based on total primary families. The maximum sampling error to be expected of such a figure would occur when the entire 10 percent includes only white primary families and the chances are about 19 out of 20 that this sampling error would not exceed 1.5 percent. entire 10 percent includes only nonwhite primary families no sampling error would be present. For other specific characteristics composed of 10 percent of total primary families the sampling variability may assume any value up to the maximum.

Reliability of absolute figures in table 5.-The approximate sampling variability of the absolute figures in table 5 is shown below. The
chances are 19 out of 20 that differences between
the numbers shown in table 5 and the numbers
that would have been obtained from a complete
census would be less than the sampling errors
which follow.

Classification	Sampling variability of absolute figures in table 5 for total families and white families
Total No minors With minors	5 14 14

Reliability of differences. The estimates of sampling variability shown in the above tables are not directly applicable to differences obtained by subtracting one figure from another. The sampling variability in an observed difference between two figures depends on the sampling variability of each of the figures and the correlation between them.

Table 1.--STRUCTURAL AND OCCUPANCY CHARACTERISTICS, CONDITION, AND PLUMBING FACILITIES OF OCCUPIED SUBSTANDARD DWELLING UNITS, BY TENURE AND COLOR OF OCCUPANTS, FOR MARIETTA, GEORGIA: 1950

(A substandard dwelling unit is defined by the Public Housing Administration as a unit which is either dilapidated or does not have all of the following plumbing facilities: flush toilet and bath inside the structure for the unit's exclusive use, and hot running water)

Manual 1119 - 119 -		Total			White		. 1	Nonwhite	
Characteristic	Total	Owner	Renter	Total	Owner	Renter	Total	Owner	Renter
Total number occupied substandard									
dwelling units	1,063	46l	\$08	529	207	322	534	254	280
Percent of total	100.0	48,4	56.6	49,8	19,5	30.3	50.2	23.9	26.8
NUMBER OF ROOMS									
Total	100.0	100.0	. 100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0
1 room	3.4	1.5	4.8	3.2	1.4	4.3	3.6	1.6	5.4
2 rooms	15.0 36.2	4.3 26.7	23.1 43.5	30.6	4.8 19.8	32.0 37.6	8.6 41.8	32.3	12.9
3 rooms	24.6	31.2	19.4	25.7	36.2	18.9	23.4	27.2	20.0
5 rooms	11.9	20.0	5.8	11.9	23.2	4.7	12.0	17.3	7.
5 rooms	5.7	11.1	1.7	8.8	8.2	0.9	7.7	13.4	2.0
7 rooms	1.9	4.1	0.2	1.9	4.8	-	1.9	3.5	0.
8 rooms or more	0.2	0.2	0.2 1.3	0.4	1.0	0.3 1.2	1.1	0.8	1.4
CONDITION									
Total	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0
Not dilapidated	63.9	67.2	61.3	79.2	81.2	78.0	48.7	55.9	42.]
Dilapidated	85.5	32.5	37.7	20.2	18.8	21.1	50.6	48.7	56.8
Not reported	0.7	0.2	1.0	0.6	-	0.9	0.7	0.4	1.1
WATER SUPPLY									
Total	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.
Hot and cold piped running water inside structure	23.7	20.8	25.9	41.0	35.3	44.7	6.6	9.1	4.
Only cold piped running water inside structure	55.7	58.6	53.5	52,9	58.9	49.1	58.4	58.3	58.
No piped running water inside structure	20.6	20.6	20.6	6.0	5.8	6.2	85.0	82.7	37.
Not reported	_	-	-	_	-		-		
TOILET FACILITIES			· _						
Total	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0
Flush toilet inside structure, exclusive use Flush toilet inside structure, shared	41.3 26.7	50.3 16.5	34.4 34.6	40.5 44.2	53.1 30.4	32.3 5 3. 1	42.1 9.4	48.0 5.1	36.8 18.2
Other toilet facilities (including privy)	31.8	33.2	30.7	15.1	16.4	14.3	48.3	46.9	49.6
Not reported. ?	0.2	-	0.3		-	0.8	0.2	_	0.4
BATHING FACILITIES									
Total	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0
Installed bathtub or shower inside structure,									•
exclusive use	19.7	. 23.4	16.8	23.3	30.4	18.6	16.1	17.7	14.6
shared	21.0	13.9	26.4	40.1	29.5	46.9	2.1	1.2	2.9
Other or none	58,9	62.5	56.1	36.3	40.1	33.9	81.3	80.7	81.8
Not reported	0.5	0.2	0.7	0.4	-	0.6	0.6	0.4	.0.7
NUMBER OF PERSONS									
Total	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0
1 person	9.7	8.9	10.3	11.3	10.6	11.8 34.5	8.1 24.0	7.5 23.2	8.6 24.6
3 persons	27.7	24.7	29.9 23.9	31.4 23.1	22.2	23.6	22.1	19.7	24.8
4 persons	15.7	15.8	15.6	15.1	17.4	13.7	16.3	14.6	17.9
5 persons	8.7	9.3	8.3	8.3	8.2	8.4	9.2	10.2	8,2
6 persons	6.4	8.5	4.8	4.3	6.8	2.8	8.4	9.8	7.]
7 persons 8 persons	3.8 2.6	4.1 3.7	3.5 1.8	3.2	3.4 2.4	3.1 1.6	4.3 3.4	4.7 4.7	3.9 2.1
9 persons or more	2.8	4.1	1.8	1.3	2.4	0.6	4.3	5.5	3,
NUMBER OF LODGERS									
Total	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0
None	88.5		90.0		94.2	96.6	81.5	80.3	82.5
1 or more lodgers	11.5	13.4	10.0	4.3	5.8	8.4	18.5	19.7	17.

Table 1.--STRUCTURAL AND OCCUPANCY CHARACTERISTICS, CONDITION, AND PLUMBING FACILITIES OF OCCUPIED SUBSTANDARD DWELLING UNITS, BY TENURE AND COLOR OF OCCUPANTS, FOR MARIETTA, GEORGIA: 1950---Con.

(A substandard dwelling unit is defined by the Public Housing Administration as a unit which is either dilapidated or does not have all of the following plumbing facilities: flush toilet and bath inside the structure for the unit's exclusive use, and hot running water)

		Total			White		. N	lonwhite	
Characteristic	Total	Owner	Renter	Total	Owner	Renter	Total	Owner	Renter
CONDITION AND PLUMBING FACILITIES	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0
Not dilapidated: With private bath and private flush toilet, no hot running water	12.9 16.1 29.4 5.4	17.1 21.0 23.0 6.1	9.6 12.3 34.2 4.8	14.4 15.1 46.7 2.8	21.7 19.3 37.2 2.9	9,6 12,4 52,8 2,8	11.4 17.0 12.2 7.9	13.4 22.4 11.4 8.7	9.6 12.1 12.9 7.1
Dilapidated; With private bath and private flush toilet, hot and cold running water	2.7	3.0	2.5	4.7	5.8	4.0	0.7	0.8	0.7
no hot running water	2.4 6.9 8.0 15.1	1.7 6.9 6.1 14.5	3.0 6.8 9.5 15.4	2.3 3.8 5.9 3.2	1.9 4.3 3.9 2.9	2.5 3.4 7.1 3.4	2.6 9.9 10.1 26.8	1.6 9.1 7.9 24.0	3.6 10.7 12.1 29.3
Not reporting condition or plumbing facilities	1.2	0.4	1.8	1.1	-	1.9	1.3	0.8	1.8
CONDITION BY NUMBER OF PLUMBING FACILITIES			·						
Total	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0
Not dilapidated: Lacking 1 facility Lacking 2 facilities Lacking 3 facilities	16.8 28.9 18.0	22.3 28.2 16.7	12.6 29.4 18.9	19.7 41.2 18.1		14.9 42.9 19.9	14.0 16.7 17.8	18.5 19.7 17.7	10.0 13.9 17.9
Dilapidated: With all facilities. Lacking 1 facility. Lacking 2 facilities. Lacking 3 facilities.	2.7 3.0 7.1 22.2	3.0 2.6 6.9 19.7	2.5 3.3 7.3 24.1	4.7 2.3 4.5 8.3	5.8 1.9 4.8 6.3	4.0 2.5 4.3 9.6	0.7 3.7 9.7 86.0	0.8 3 8.7 30.7	0.7 4.3 10.7 40.7
Not reporting condition or plumbing facilities	1.2	0.4	1.8	1.1	-	1.9	1.3	0.8	1.8
NUMBER OF DWELLING UNITS IN STRUCTURE									
Total	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0
1 dwelling unit	60.2 34.1 5.6	77.2 22.3 0.4	47.2 43.2 9.6	44.0 45.9 10.0	62.3 36.7 1.0	32.3 51.9 15.8	76.2 22.5 1.3	89.4 10.6 -	64.3 33.2 2.5

Table 2.--CONTRACT AND GROSS RENT OF RENTER-OGCUPIED SUBSTANDARD DWELLING UNITS, BY COLOR OF OCCUPANTS, FOR MARIETTA, GEORGIA: 1950

Monthly rent	Total	White	Nonwhite	Monthly rent	Total	White	Nonwhite
Total number renter-occupied substandard dwelling units Percent of total	602	322 53.5	280 46.5	FURNITURE IN RENT Total Furniture included in contract rent Furniture not included in contract rent Not reported	100.0 11.6 78.7 9.6	100.0 20.8 71.7 7.5	100.0 1.1 86.8 12.1
Total	100.0	100.0	100,0	MONTHLY GROSS RENT		-	
\$9 or less. \$10 to \$14. \$15 to \$19. \$20 to \$24. \$25 to \$29. \$30 to \$34. \$35 to \$39. \$40 to \$49. \$50 or more. Not reported.	25.9 16.3 11.6 15.6 7.8 8.6 4.3 5.1 3.3	17.4 9.3 11.5 15.5 10.2 11.5 6.8 9.3 6.2 2.2	35.7 24.3 11.8 15.7 5.0 5.4 1.4 0.4	Total. \$9 or less. \$10 to \$14. \$15 to \$19. \$20 to \$24. \$25 to \$29. \$30 to \$34. \$35 to \$39. \$40 to \$49. \$50 or more. Not reported.	100.0 3.5 6.3 14.0 19.8 12.8 14.5 10.0 10.5 3.8 5.0	100.0 4.0 4.3 11.5 16.8 11.5 14.9 13.4 12.1 6.2 5.3	100.0 2.9 8.6 16.8 23.2 14.3 13.9 6.1 8.6 1.1

Table 3.--CONDITION AND PLUMBING FACILITIES OF RENTER-OCCUPIED SUBSTANDARD DWELLING UNITS, BY GROSS RENT, FOR MARIETTA, GEORGIA: 1950

					Monthly g	ross rent			
Condition and plumbing facilities	Total	\$19 or less	\$20 to \$24	\$25 to \$29	\$30 to \$34	\$35 to \$39	\$40 to \$49	\$50 or more	Not reported
Total number renter-occupied substandard dwelling units	602	143	119	77	87	60	63	23	30
Percent of total	100.0	23.8	19.8	12.8	14.5	10.0	10.5	3.8	5.0
Not dilapidated: With private bath and private flush toilet, no hot running water	9.6 12.3 34.2 4.8	0.3 1.8 7.3 1.3	1.5 2.8 4.8 1.0	1.8 2.2 3.3 0.7	1.8 2.0 5.3 0.7	1.0 0.7 4.8 0.3	2.0 1.2 4.8 0.5	0.5 0.2 2.7	0.7 1.5 1.2 0.3
Dilapidated: With private bath and private flush toilet, hot and cold running water With private bath and private flush toilet, no hot running water With private flush toilet, no private bath With running water, no private flush toilet No running water inside structure		0.7 0.5 1.0 3.0 7.1	0.7 1.7 2.8 4.5	0.2 0.7 1.2 0.7 1.7	1.0 0.3 0.8 1.2 1.3	0.2 0.7 1.0 1.0	0.2 0.2 0.8 0.7 0.2	0.2	0.2 0.3 0.3 0.3
Not reporting condition or plumbing facilities	1.8	0.7	0.5	0.5	-	-	_	-	0.2

Table 3a.--CONDITION AND PLUMBING FACILITIES OF RENTER-OCCUPIED SUBSTANDARD DWELLING UNITS, BY GROSS RENT, FOR WHITE HOUSEHOLDS, FOR MARIETTA, GEORGIA: 1950

		,			Monthly a	gross ren	t		
Condition and plumbing facilities	Total	\$19 or less	\$20 to \$24	\$25 to `\$29	\$30 to \$34	\$35 to \$39	\$40 to \$49	\$50 or more	Not reported
Total number renter-occupied substandard dwelling units	322	64	54	37	48	43	39	20	17
Percent of total	100.0	19.9	16.8	115	14.9	13.4	12.1	6.2	5.3
Not dilapidated: With private bath and private flush toilet, no hot running water With private flush toilet, no private bath With running water, no private flush toilet No running water inside structure	9.6 12.4 52.8 2.8	0.3 2.2 11.2 0.3	0.3 3.4 8.1 0.6	2.2 2.2 4.3 0.6	1.9 1.9 7.5 0.3	1.9 0.9 7.5	1.2 1.2 7.8 0.3	0.9 - 4.7	0.9 0.6 1.9 0.6
Dilapidated: With private bath and private flush toilet, hot and cold running water With private bath and private flush toilet, no hot running water With private flush toilet, no private bath With running water, no private flush toilet No running water inside structure	4.0 2.5 3.4 7.1 3.4	0.9 - 0.3 2.5 1.9	0.6 0.9 1.6 0.3	0.3	1.9 0.3 0.3 0.6 0.3	0.3 1.2 0.6 0.9	0.3	0.3	0.1 0.1 0.1
Not reporting condition or plumbing facilities	1.9	0.8	0.9	0.3	_		-	_	0.

Table 3b.--CONDITION AND PLUMBING FACILITIES OF RENTER-OCCUPIED SUBSTANDARD DWELLING UNITS, BY GROSS RENT, FOR NONWHITE HOUSEHOLDS, FOR MARIETTA, GEORGIA: 1950

					Monthly g	ross ren	t		
Condition and plumbing facilities	Total	\$19 or less	\$20 to \$24	\$25 to \$29	\$30 to \$34	\$35 to \$39	\$40 to \$ 49	\$50 or more	Not reported
Total number renter-occupied substandard dwelling units	280	79	65	40	89	17	24	3	18
Percent of total	100.0	28.2	23,2	14.3	13.9	6,1	8.6	1.1	4.6
Not dilapidated: With private bath and private flush toilet, no hot running water	9.6 12.1 12.9 7.1	0.4 1.4 2.9 2.5	2.9 2.1 1.1 1.4	1.4 2.1 2.1 0.7	1.8 2.1 2.9 1.1	0.4 1.8 0.7	2.9 1.1 1.4 0.7	0.4	0.4 2.5 0.4
With private bath and private flush toilet, hot and cold running water With private bath and private flush toilet, no hot running water With private flush toilet, no private bath With running water, no private flush toilet No running water inside structure	0.7 3.6 10.7 12.1 29.3	0.4 1.1 1.8 3.6 13.2	0.7 2.5 3.2 9.3	1.1 1.8 1.4 2.9	0.4 1.4 1.8 2.5	- 1.4 1.1 0.7	0.4 1.4 0.4 0.4	0.4	0.4 0.4 0.4
ot reporting condition or plumbing facilities	1.8	1.1	-	0.7		-			<u> </u>

Table 4.--CHARACTERISTICS OF FAMILIES IN SUBSTANDARD DWELLING UNITS, BY TENURE AND COLOR, FOR MARIETTA, GEORGIA: 1950

		Total			White			Nonwhite	
Characteristic	Total	Owner	Renter	Total	Owner	Renter	Total	Owner	Renter
Total number of families	940	416	524	462	183	279	• 478	233	245
Percent of total	100.0	44.3	55.7	49.1	19.5	29.7	50.9	24.8	26.1
TYPE OF FAMILY									
Total	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0
Primary family	97.1 2.9	95.9 4.1	98.1 1.9	99.4 0.6	98.9 1.1	99.6 0.4	95.0 5.0	93.6	96.3 3.7
NUMBER OF PERSONS IN FAMILY		+ 1							_
Total	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0
2 persons. 3 persons. 4 persons. 5 persons. 7 persons. 8 persons or more.	33.7 24.1 16.4 9.7 7.0 3.6 5.4	32.0 22.8 15.4 9.6 8.7 3.8 7.7	35.1 25.2 17.2 9.7 5.7 3.4 3.6	36.6 24.7 18.1 8.4 5.2 3.5 3.7	31.1 24.0 20.2 7.7 7.7 3.8 5.5	40.1 25.1 16.5 9.1 3.6 3.2 2.5	31.0 23.6 14.9 10.9 8.8 3.8 7.1	32.6 21.9 11.6 11.2 9.4 3.9 9.4	29.4 25.3 18.0 10.6 8.2 3.7 4.9
NUMBER OF PERSONS PER ROOM. IN DWELLING UNIT						-			-
Total	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0
0.50 or less 0.51 to 0.75 0.76 to 1.00 1.01 to 1.50 1.51 to 2.00 2.01 or more Not reported	11.1 22.7 28.7 17.3 14.1 4.9 1.2	18.5 23.8 25.0 14.9 14.2 2.6	5.2 21.8 31.7 19.8 14.1 6.7 1.3	13.2 24.0 31.0 16.7 10.6 3.2 1.3	25.1 25.7 24.6 12.0 9.3 2.2 1.1	5.4 22.9 35.1 19.7 11.5 3.9 1.4	9.0 21.3 26.6 18.0 17.6 6.5	13.3 22.3 25.3 17.2 18.0 3.0 0.9	4.9 20.4 27.8 18.8 17.1 9.8
NUMBER OF MINORS IN FAMILY									
Total	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0
No minors. 1 minor. 2 minors. 3 minors. 4 minors. 5 minors. 6 minors or more.	41.2 21.7 15.7 9.0 6.0 3.4 3.0	42.8 18.5 15.1 9.1 7.2 3.4 3.8	39.9 24.2 16.2 9.1 5.1 8.4 2.3	42.2 23.4 16.2 8.9 3.9 3.5	41.5 20.2 17.5 9.8 4.9 3.3 2.7	42.7 25.4 15.4 8.2 3.2 3.6 1.4	40.2 20.1 15.3 9.2 7.9 . 3.3 4.0	43.8 17.2 13.3 8.6 9.0 3.4 4.7	36.7 22.9 17. 9.6 6. 3.

Family income by		Total			White		Nonwhite			
number of minors	Total	Owner	Renter	Total.	Owner	Renter	Total	Owner	Renter	
Total number of primary families	913	399	514	459	181	278	454	218	236	
Percent of total	100.0	43.7	56,3	50.8	19.8	30.4	49.7	23.9	25.8	
Total	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	
999 or less	22.0	22.7	21.4	15.6	16.8	14.8	28.4	27.5	29.	
1,000 to \$1,249 1,250 to \$1,499	9.0	8.9 6.3	9.1 8.0	5.1 4.8	5.3 1.8	4.9 6.8	13.0 9.7	11.9	14.0	
1,500 to \$1,749	8.4	9.0	7.9	4.7	7.1	3.1	12.1	10.6	13,	
,750 to \$1,999	9.4	11.3	7.9	8.6	13.3	5.6	10.1	9.6	10.	
2,000 to \$2,249	8.1	7.8	8.2	7.3	6.2	8.0	8.8	9.2	8.	
2,250 to \$2,499	5.9	5.7	6.0	6.2	5.3	6.8	5.5	6.0	5,	
2,500 to \$2,749 2,750 to \$2,999	4.7	6.3	3.4	6.5	8.8	4.9	2.9	4.1	1.	
	8.3	3.2	3.4	4.0	2.7	4.9	2.6	3.7	1.	
3,000 to \$3,999	8.7 4.9	7.9	9.8	14.2	12.4	15.4	3.1	4.1	2.	
5,000 or more	4.9	3.7 3.2	5.9 4.7	9.1 7.3	7.1 5.3	10.5	0.7	0.9	0	
ot reported	4.5	4.1	4.7	6.5	8.0	8.6 5.6	2.4	0.9	3	
No minors	38.7	40.2	37.5	38.6	37.2	39.5	38.8	42.7	35	
999 or less	11.0	12.1	10.2	9.0	10.6	8.0	13.0	13.3	12	
1,000 to \$1,249	4.1	5.0	3.5	2.5	2.7	2.5	5.7	6.9	4	
1,500 to \$1,749	2.4	3,4	1.6	1.1	0.9	1.2	3.7	5.5	2	
1,750 to \$1,999	4.1	3.0 3.8	2.2 4.3	1.8	2.7 4.4	1.2 3.7	3.3 4.2	3.2 3.2	3 5	
2,000 to \$2,249	1.8	2.7	1.1	1.4	2,7	0.6	2.2	2.8	1	
2,250 to \$2,499	1.9	1.7	2.1	1.8	0.9	2.4	2.0	2.3	i	
2,500 to \$2,749	1.2	1.5	1.0	2.2	2.7	1.9	0.2	0.5		
2,750 to \$2,999	0.8	0.5	1.1	0.7	-	1.2	0.9	0.9		
3,000 to \$3,999	3.6	3.3	3.9	5.9	4.4	6.8	1.8	2.3	(
4,000 to \$4,999	2.2	1.7	2.5	1.5	2.7	4.3	0.7	0.9	C	
ot reported	1.2	0.7	1.7	2.2	0.9	3.1 2.5	1.3	0.5 0.5	1 8	
One minor	23.2	19.7	25.9	26.3	23.0	28.4	20.0	17.0	28	
999 or less	3.8	4.1	3.6	2.6	1.8	3.1	5.1	5.0	4	
1,000 to \$1,249	2.5	2.7	2.4	1.8	2.7	1.2	3.3	2.8		
1,250 to \$1,499	2.6	1.0	8.8	2.2	_	3.7	2.9	1.8	1 8	
1,500 to \$1,749	1.6	2.0	1.4	1.0	2.7	-	2.2	1.4		
1,750 to \$1,999	1.8	1.2	2.2	1.1	0.9	1.2	2.4	1.4	1	
2,000 to \$2,249 2,250 to \$2,499		0.8	1.4	1.8	1.8	1.9	0.4	1 -		
2,500 to \$2,749	1.8	1.7	1.9	2.5 1.5	2.7	2,5	1.1	0.9	} ;	
2,750 to \$2,999		2.0	1.2	2.2	2.7	2.5	0.9	1.4		
3,000 to \$3,999	1	1.9	3.4	4.8	3.5	5.6	0.7	0.5		
4,000 to \$4,999	0.7	8.0	0.7	1.4	1.8	1.2	. 0.7	1		
5,000 or more ot reported		0.4 0.8	1.0	1.5 1.8	0.9	1.9	1 2] =		
Two minors		15.4	15.5	15.6	1.8	1.9	0.2		1	
999 or less	 	2.8	T	1	18.6	13.6	15.4	12.8		
1,000 to \$1,249		0.5	2.0	1.1	1.8	0.6	5.1	3.7 0.9		
1,250 to \$1,499	1.0	0.7	1.3	1.1	0.9	1.2	0.9	0.5		
1,500 to \$1,749		1.4	1.5	0.7	0.9	0.6	2.2	1.8		
1,750 to \$1,999	1	2.3	0.4	0.7	1.8	-	1.8	8.8		
2,000 to \$2,249	1	0.7	1.6	1.5	0.9	1.9	0.9	0.5		
2,250 to \$2,499		0.9	1.1	0.7		0.6	1.3	0.9		
2,750 to \$2,749		1.9	0.3	1.8		0.6	0.2	0.5		
(3,000 to \$3,999	1	11 .	1	1	1	1.8	0.4	0.9		
4,000 to \$4,999		1.1	1.1	1.4		1.2	0.6	0.5		
5,000 or more	0.7	0.8	0.7	1.4	11] _	1 -		
ot reported	0.9	1.2					_	1 -	1	

8

Table 4a.--INCOME IN 1949 OF PHIMARY FAMILIES IN SUBSTANDARD DWELLING UNITS, BY NUMBER OF MINORS, TENURE, AND COLOR, FOR MARIETTA, GEORGIA: 1950--Con.

Family income by		Total.			White			Nonwhite	
number of minors	Total	Owner	Renter	Total	Owner	Renter	Total .	Owner	Renter
		-		70.4	15.9	11.7	18.1	18.8	17.4
Three or four minors	15.7	17.5	14.3	13.4	10.9				
\$999 or less	3.3	3.0	3.5	2.2	2.7	1.9	4.4	3.2	5.5
\$1,000 to \$1,249	0.5	0.5	0.6	-	-	_	1.1	0.9	1.3
\$1,250 to \$1,499	0.9	1.3	0.6	_	-	. -	1.8	2.3	1.3
\$1,500 to \$1,749	1.6	1.0	2.0	0.7		1.2	2.4	1.8	3.0
\$1,750 to \$1,999	1.4	2.6	0.4	1.4	3.5	-	1.3	1.8	0.8
	•	Í							
\$2,000 to \$2,249	2.9	2.7	2.9	1.8	0.9	2.5	3.7	4.1	3.4
\$2,250 to \$2,499	1.0	1.2	0.9	1.1	0.9	1.2	0.9	1.4	0.4
\$2,500 to \$2,749	1.1	2.2	0.2	1.0	2.7	-	1.1	1.8	0.4
\$2,750 to \$2,999	0.1	_	0.2	-	-	-	0.2	-	.0.4
An oon . An					2.7	1.9	0.4	0.9	-
\$3,000 to \$3,999	1.3	1.7	1.0	2.2	0.9	1.2	0.4	0.5	
\$4,000 to \$4,999	0.6	0.4	0.7	1.1	0.9	1.9	.0.2	0.5	_
\$5,000 or more	0.8	0.7	1.0	1.5 0.3	0.9		0.4		0.8
Not reported	0.3	. 0.4	0.4	Ų.S	0.9	_	0.1		
5 minors or more	7.0	7.2	6.8	6.2	5.3	6.8	7.7	8.7	6.8
- danier or mordininini	7.0								
\$999 or less	0.8	0.8	0.9	0.7		1.2	0.9	1.4	0.4
\$1,000 to \$1,249	0.4	0.3	0.6	-	- 1	-	0.9	0.5	1.3
\$1,250 to \$1,499	0.4	_	0.7	0.4	-	0.6	0.4	-	0.8
\$1,500 to \$1,749	1.2	1.7	0.8	0.3	0.9	-	. 2.0.	2.3	1.7
\$1,750 to \$1,999	0.9	1.5	0.5	1.4	2.7	0.6	0.4	0.5	0.4
H =	1	l					1.5	1.8	1.3
\$2,000 to \$2,249	1.1	1.0	1.3	0.7	-	1.2	0.2	0.5	T-00
\$2,250 to \$2,499	0.1	0.3		-	-	-	0.2	0.5	0.4
\$2,500 to \$2,749		0.3	3.0		· -	0.6	0.2	0.5	J. ±
\$2,750 to \$2,999	0.3	0.3	0.3	0.4		0.6	0.2	0.0	
\$3 000 to \$3 000	1				_	_	_	_	-
\$3,000 to \$3,999	1	-	1.0	1.1	I	1.9	_	-	_
\$4,000 to \$4,999 \$5,000 or more		0.7	0.3	0.7	0.9	0.6	0.2	0.5	_
Not reported	0.5 0.4	0.7	3.0	0.3	0.9	-	0.4	0.5	0.4
TOO TOPOT OCCUSIONS SERVICES	0.4	0.7	J		1	<u> </u>		II.	L

Table 5.--RENT AS PERCENT OF INCOME BY FAMILY INCOME IN 1949 OF PRIMARY FAMILIES WITH NO SUBFAMILY OR SECONDARY FAMILY IN RENTER-OCCUPIED SUBSTANDARD DWELLING UNITS, BY PRESENCE OF MINORS AND COLOR, FOR MARIETTA, GEORGIA: 1950

		Total			White			Nonwhite	
Gross rent as percent of income by family income	Total	No minors	With minors	Total	No minors	With minors	Total	No minors	With minors
						150	212	79	133
Number of families	480	189	291	268	110	158	44.2	16.5	27.7
Percent of total	100.0	39.4	60.6	55.8	22.9	32.9	44.0		
Total	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	(¹)	(1)	100.0	(¹)	100.0
9 percent or less	17.7 19.1 16.2 8.1 9.2 3.7 16.1 9.8	23.9 17.3 9.1 8.0 9.7 4.6 19.3 8.1	13.6 20.3 20.8 8.1 8.9 3.1 14.1 11.0	27.6 23.1 14.1 5.1 8.3 0.6 10.3 10.9			5.2 14.1 18.9 11.8 10.4 7.5 23.6 8.5		5.3 13.5 21.0 11.3 10.5 6.8 21.8 9.8
\$1,499 or less	85.5	38.8	33.3	22.4			51.9		49.6
9 percent or less	8.3	4.7 1.1 1.5 5.5 3.9 4.6 17.3	1.8 1.9 5.0 2.1 6.6 2.4 14.1	3.2 1.3 1.3 5.1 0.6			1.9 1.9 6.6 6.1 6.1 6.6 22.6		1.5 1.5 8.3 4.5 6.8 5.3 21.8
\$1,500 to \$1,999	15,5	16.8	14.6	8,3		<u> </u>	24.5		25.6
9 percent or less	4.9 4.5 2.2 2.2 2.2 0.4 0.8	0.9 4.8 4.5 1.1 3.4 - 2.0	0.3 4.9 4.4 8.0 1.4 0.7	0.6 3.8 1.3 0.6 1.3			0.5 6.1 8.5 4.2 3.3 0.9 0.9		0.8 6.8 8.3 5.3 3.0 1.5
\$2,000 to \$2,499	12.2	8.8	14.5	14.1	·	 	9.9	-	9.8
9 percent or less	2.9 3.8 2.1 1.1	2.3 1.6 2.0 1.4 1.4	2.5	3.2 2.6 4.5 2.6	5 5 5 3	•	1.4 3.3 2.8 1.4 0.9		1.5 8.0 8.0 1.5 0.8
\$2,500 to \$2,999	6.4	5.6	6.9	9.6	s		2.4		2.3
9 percent or less	2.4 2.7 0.4 0.4	0.9 2.9 0.9 0.9	2.1	3.; 4.; 0.;	2 5 5		0.5 1.4 0.5 -		0.8 0.8 0.8 -
\$3,000 or over	20.6	22.0	19.7	34.	6		2.8		3.0
9 percent or less	7.4	15.1 6.9	7.8	12.	2		0.9		0.8 1.5 0.8
Not reporting income or ren	t 9.8	8.	1 11.0	10.	.9		8.5	5	9.8

¹ Percentage distribution is not shown where the number of cases in the sample is less than 100.

1950 CENSUS OF HOUSING

SPECIAL TABULATIONS FOR LOCAL HOUSING AUTHORITIES.

November 14, 1950

Washington 25, D. C.

Series HC-6. No. 39

MIAMI BEACH, FLORIDA: APRIL 1950

This report is based on a transcription and tabulation of data from the 1950 Census of Population and Housing. The statistics relate to dwelling units considered substandard by the Public Housing Administration, in accordance with the contract for these statistics between the Eureau of the Census and the Housing Authority of the City of Miami Beach.

A dwelling unit is considered substandard by the Public Housing Administration if it is either dilapidated or does not have the following plumbing facilities: flush toilet and bath inside the structure for the unit's exclusive use, and hot running water. The Public Housing Administration considers the absence of hot running water to be evidence generally of poor quality of the dwelling unit, but there may be some localities in which the absence of hot running water is not deemed to be a sufficient indication by itself of poor quality. In such localities, a revised count of substandard units may be obtained from table 1 by subtracting from the total the units which lack hot water only. If it is desired to eliminate the units lacking hot water only from the total count of substandard units, the distributions shown in the tables will not be materially affected.

The criteria used to define substandard units in these tabulations are not identical with the housing characteristics included in the published reports from the 1940 Census. Therefore, any comparison of data in this report with the 1940 results must be made with extreme care. A direct comparison cannot be made with the 1940 results because information on hot running water was not collected at that time. Further, the 1940 data on condition were collected showing dwelling units "needing major repairs," whereas

in 1950 data on condition were collected showing units as "dilapidated." Because the definitions of these two terms differ significantly, the 1940 count of dwelling units needing major repairs and the 1950 count of dilapidated dwelling units are comparable only in a general way.

The data are presented in the series of attached tables. In table 1 the structural and occupancy characteristics are shown for all substandard dwelling units. Table 2 shows the rent paid for renter-occupied substandard units; table 3 shows the condition and plumbing facilities of these same units. Table 4 shows the characteristics of all families (both primary and secondary) living in the substandard units. Table 4a classifies the primary families by family income. Table 5 classifies primary families with no subfamily or secondary family present, by rent as a percent of family income.

In the contract rent tabulation, dwelling units occupied rent-free are tabulated in the "\$9 or less" category. In the gross rent tabulations, however, such units are tabulated as "not reporting," except when utilities were reported.

DEFINITIONS

The definitions used in this special tabulation are generally the same as those used in the 1950 Census of Population and Housing. Some of the more important definitions are given below.

Dwelling unit. -- In general, a dwelling unit is a group of rooms or a single room, occupied, or intended for occupancy, as separate living quarters by a family or other group of persons living together or by a person living alone.

Primary family. -- A primary family consists of two or more persons including the head of the household and all (one or more) persons in the household who are related to the head by blood, marriage, or adoption. It may include a subfamily consisting of a married couple with or without children, or one parent with one or more children under 18 years of age, living in the household and related to but not including the head of the household or his wife. The subfamily is considered a part of the primary family for all tabulation purposes.

Secondary family. -- A secondary family consists of two or more persons, such as a lodger or servant and his relatives, living in a household and related to each other but not related to the household head. The secondary family is considered a separate family for tabulation purposes.

Minor. -- A minor is an unmarried person under 21 years of age other than the head of a family or his wife.

<u>Dilapidation.--</u>A dwelling unit is considered to be dilapidated when it has serious deficiencies, is rundown or neglected, or is of inadequate original construction, so that it does not provide adequate shelter or protection against the elements or it endangers the safety of the occupants.

<u>Plumbing facilities.--The following are the three plumbing facilities tabulated:</u>

- 1. Flush toilet inside the structure for the unit's exclusive use;
- 2. Installed bathtub or shower inside the structure for the unit's exclusive use; and
- 3. Hot and cold running water inside the structure.

Exclusive use. -- Equipment is for "exclusive use" if it is used only by the occupants of one dwelling unit.

Shared. -- Equipment is "shared" when occupants of two or more units use the same equipment.

Family income. -- Family income is the sum of the income received during 1949, by all members of the family. The following items were asked separately for the head of the family and for all other members of the family combined:

1. The amount of money wages or salary received in 1949;

- 2. The amount of net money income received from self-employment in 1949; and
- 3. The amount of other money income received in 1949, such as interest, dividends, veterans' allowances, pensions, or rents.

Gross rent. -- Monthly gross rent is contract rent plus the reported average monthly cost of water, electricity, gas, and other fuel paid for by the renter. If furniture is included in the contract rent, the reported estimated rent of the dwelling unit without furniture is used for the computation instead of the contract rent.

SOURCE AND RELIABILITY OF THE DATA

All of the data shown in this report are based on complete counts, including all dwelling units and families with the specified characteristics. The housing and family data in tables 1 through 4 were obtained by tabulating the information as reported in the census. The distributions involving income in tables 4a and 5 were obtained by a special supplementation of the census income data, since in the census, family income was asked of only one-fifth of the families. The supplementation was accomplished by a subsequent field enumeration of the families who were not in the original 20-percent sample used in the census but who were living in substandard dwelling units.

Although the figures shown in tables 1 through 4 are based on the same data as the forthcoming 1950 Census tabulations of these items, they may differ from those to be published as part of the census. The present tables were obtained by tabulating directly the data as transcribed in the field offices of the Census Bureau and the figures, therefore, do not include all of the refinements that result from a careful examination of the schedules.

In addition, the data may be subject to other biases due to errors of response or nonreporting which cannot be corrected in editing. Factors affecting the accuracy of enumeration include the respondent's knowledge of the facts and the ability of the enumerator to obtain accurate information on such items as income, rent, dilapidation and plumbing facilities. The regular 1950 tabulations may also be subject to these biases.

Table 1.--STRUCTURAL AND OCCUPANCY CHARACTERISTICS, CONDITION, AND PLUMBING FACILITIES OF OCCUPIED SUBSTANDARD DWELLING UNITS, BY TENURE, FOR MIAMI BEACH, FLORIDA: 1950

(A substandard dwelling unit is defined by the Public Housing Administration as a unit which is either dilapidated or does not have all of the following plumbing facilities: flush toilet and bath inside the structure for the unit's exclusive use, and hot running water)

Characteristic	Total	Owner	Renter	Characteristic	Total	Owner	Renter
Total number occupied substandard dwelling units	215	31	184	NUMBER OF LODGERS			
Percent of total	100.0	14,4	85.6	Total	100.0	(1)	100.0
NUMBER OF ROOMS	100.0	(¹)	100.0	None 1 or more lodgers	88.8		92.4 7.6
1 room	45.6		50.5				
2 rooms	22.8 9.8		24.5 8.7	CONDITION AND PLUMBING FACILITIES			
4 rooms	1.4		1.1	Total	100.0	(1)	100.0
6 rooms	14.0		13.0	•	100.0		100.0
7 rooms 8 rooms or more	0.9 4.7		0.5	Not dilapidated: With private bath and private flush			
Not reported	-		7.2	toilet, no hot running water	7.4		7.6
CONDITION		-		With private flush toilet, no private bath	6.0		6.5
Total	100.0	(1)	100.0	With running water, no private flush toilet	54.4		60.3
Not dilapidatedDilapidated	68.4 31.6		75.0 25.0	No running water inside the structure	0.5		0.5
Not reported	- 51.0		20.0	Dilapidated:	-		
WATER SUPPLY				With private bath and private flush toilet, hot and cold running water.	26.5	-	22.3
Total	100.0	(1)	100.0	With private bath and private flush toilet, no hot running water	_	-	_
Hot and cold piped running water inside			20.0	With private flush toilet, no			
structure Only cold piped running water inside	85.6		88.0	private bath	_		
structure No piped running water inside structure	10.7		10.9	flush toilet No running water inside the structure	1.9		2.2
Not reported		· •	_	Not reporting condition or plumbing			
TOILET FACILITIES				facilities	-	·	-
Total	100.0	(1)	100.0				
Flush toilet inside structure, exclusive use	40.0		36.4	CONDITION BY NUMBER OF PLUMBING FACILITIES			
Flush toilet inside structure, shared	48.8		54.3				
Other toilet facilities (including privy)	11.2		9.2	Total	100.0	(1)	100.0
Not reported	-		-	Not dilapidated:	ì		
BATHING FACILITIES		,1,		Lacking 1 facility Lacking 2 facilities	14.9 50.7		15.8 56.0
Total	100.0	(1)	100.0	Lacking 3 facilities	2.8		3.3
Installed bathtub or shower inside structure, exclusive use Installed bathtub or shower inside	36.3		32.1	Dilapidated: With all facilities	26.5		22.3
structure, shared Other or none	51.2 12.6		57.6 10.3	Lacking 1 facility Lacking 2 facilities	1.9		2.2
Not reported	-	s *.	-	Lacking 3 facilities	3.3	i	0.5
NUMBER OF PERSONS	100.0	(¹)	100.0	Not reporting condition or plumbing facilities	-		
. 1 person	32.6		33.7	NUMBER OF PARTITION INTEG IN SERVICE OF			
2 persons	35.3 13.0	* 4.	37.5 13.0	NUMBER OF DWELLING UNITS IN STRUCTURE			
4 persons	10.2		9.8 3.8	Total	100.0	(1)	100.0
6 persons	1.4		1.6	l dwelling unit	29.8		23.9
7 persons	0.5 0.5		0.5	2 to 4 dwelling units	11.2		9,8
9 persons or more	0.5			5 or more dwelling units	59.1		66.3

 $^{^{1}}$ Percentage distribution is not shown where the number of cases is less than 100.

Table 2.--CONTRACT AND GROSS RENT OF RENTER-OCCUPIED SUBSTANDARD DWELLING UNITS, FOR MIAMI BLACH, FLORIDA: 1950

(See table 1 for the Public Housing Administration definition of substandard dwelling unit)

Monthly contract rent	Total	Furniture in rent	Total	Monthly gross rent	Total
Total number renter-occupied substandard dwelling units. Total, percent. \$9 or less. \$10 to \$14. \$15 to \$19. \$20 to \$24. \$25 to \$29. \$30 to \$34. \$35 to \$39. \$40 to \$49. \$50 or more. Not reported.	184 100.0 8.2 - 1.6 16.3 1.1 4.9 10.3 56.5 1.1	Total, percent Furniture included in contract rent Furniture not included in contract rent Not reported	100.0 86.4 8.2 5.4	Total, percent. \$9 or less. \$10 to \$14 \$15 to \$19 \$20 to \$24 \$25 to \$29 \$30 to \$34 \$35 to \$39 \$40 to \$49 \$50 or more. Not reported.	2.7 1.1 1.1 15.2 3.3 4.9 9.2 9.8 46.7

Table 3.--CONDITION AND PLUMBING FACILITIES OF RENTER-OCCUPIED SUBSTANDARD DWELLING UNITS, BY GROSS RENT, FOR MIAMI BEACH, FLORIDA: 1950

(See table 1 for the Public Housing Administration definition of substandard dwelling unit)

					Monthly	gross ren	t		
Condition and plumbing facilities	Total	\$19 or less	\$20 to \$24	\$25 to \$29	\$30 to \$34	\$35 to \$39	\$40 to \$49	\$50 or more	Not reported
Total number renter-occupied substandard dwelling units	184	9	28	6	9	17	18	86	<u> </u>
Percent of total	1.00.0	4.9	15.2	3.3	4.9	9.2	9,8	46.7	6.0
Not dilapidated: With private bath and private flush toilet, no hot running water With private flush toilet, no private bath With running water, no private flush toilet No running water inside structure	7.6. 6.5 60.3 0.5	0.5 3.8	15.2	0.5	3.2	0.5 1.1 7.6	0.5 6.0	7.1 3.8 17.9	3.8 0.5
Dilapidated: With private bath and private flush toilet, hot and cold running water With private bath and private flush toilet, no hot running water With private flush toilet, no private bath With running water, no private flush toilet No running water inside structure	2.2	- 0.5			1.6		2.7 - 0.5	16.9 - 1.1	1.1
Not reporting condition or plumbing facilities.:		-	-	_	-	-	_		

Table 4.--CHARACTERISTICS OF FAMILIES IN SUBSTANDARD DWELLING UNITS BY TENURE, FOR MIAMI BEACH, FLORIDA: 1950

Characteristic	Total	0wn∉r	Renter	Characteristic	Total	Owner	Renter
Total number of families	138	21	117	NUMBER OF PERSONS PER ROOM			
Percent of total	100.0	15,2	84.8	IN DWELLING UNIT	100.0	(1)	100.0
TYPE OF FAMILY				0.50 or less	8.0 8.7		5.1 5.1
Total	100.0	100.0	100.0	0.76 to 1.00.	34.1		34.2 10.3
Primary family	98.6 1.4	100.0	98.3 1.7	1.01 to 1.50	9.4 34.8 5.1		39.3 6.0
NUMBER OF PERSONS IN FAMILY				NUMBER OF MINORS IN FAMILY			
Total	100.0	(¹)	100.0	Total	100.0	(1)	100.0
2 persons	55.1 21.0 12.3 7.2 2.9 -		59.0 21.4 10.3 6.0 2.6	No minors. 1 minor. 2 minors. 3 minors. 4 minors. 5 minors. 6 minors or more.	62.3 15.2 13.0 5.8 2.2 0.7		63.2 17.1 11.1 5.1 2.6

¹ Percentage distribution is not shown where the number of cases is less than 100.

Table 4a.--INCOME IN 1949 OF PRIMARY FAMILIES IN SUBSTANDARD DWELLING UNITS, BY NUMBER OF MINORS AND TENURE, FOR MIAMI BEACH, FLORIDA: 1950

Family income by number of minors	Total	Owner	Renter	Family income by number of minors	Total	Owner	Renter
							77.0
Total number of primary families	136	21	115	Two minors	13.2		11.3
		75.4		\$999 or less \$1,000 to \$1,249	0.7		0.9
Percent of total	100.0	15.4	84.6	\$1,250 to \$1,499	1.5		1.7
Total	100.0	(1)	100.0	\$1,500 to \$1,749 \$1,750 to \$1,999	-		_
\$999 or less	11.8	. •	13.9				-
\$1,000 to \$1,249 \$1,250 to \$1,499	2.9		3.5 3.5	\$2,000 to \$2,249 \$2,250 to \$2,499	0.7		0.9
\$1,500 to \$1,749	4.4		5.2	\$2.500 to \$2.749	1.5	-	1.7
\$1,750 to \$1,999	4.4	÷	4.3	\$2,750 to \$2,999	-		-
\$2,000 to \$2,249 \$2,250 to \$2,499	4.4		2.6 2.6	\$3,000 to \$3,999	1.5		1.7
\$2,500 to \$2,749	2.2 5.9		7.0	\$4,000 to \$4,999	3.7		
\$2,750 to \$2,999	2.2		2.6	\$5,000 or more	3.7	<i>'</i>	4.3
\$3,000 to \$3,999	14.7		16.5	Not reported	1	-	
\$4,000 to \$4,999	1.5		1.7	Three or four minors	8.1		7.8
\$5,000 or more	14.0	, ,	3.5	inree or rour minors			
Not reported	28.7		33.0	\$999 or less	-		-
No minors	61.8	·	62.6	\$1,000 to \$1,249	-		-
\$999 or less			11.3	\$1,250 to \$1,499 \$1,500 to \$1,749	_	-	
\$1,000 to \$1,249	9.6		2.6	\$1,750 to \$1,999	1.5		1.7
\$1,250 to \$1,499	1.5		1.7	Ψ2,720.00 Ψ2,322.00		-	
\$1,500 to \$1,749	2.9	-	. 3.5		0.7		0.9
\$1,750 to \$1,999	2.2		1.7	\$2,250 to \$2,499	-		
\$2,000 to \$2,249	2.9		1.7	\$2,500 to \$2,749 \$2,750 to \$2,999	0.7		0.9
\$2,250 to \$2,499	1.5		1.7	Ψ2,750,00 φ2,755			
\$2,500 to \$2,749	3.7	·	4.3	\$3,000 to \$3,999	2.2		2.6
\$2,750 to \$2,999	0.7		1	\$4,000 to \$4,999	0.7		0.9
\$3,000 to \$3,999	5.9 0.7		6.1 0.9	\$5,000 or more	1.5		0.9
\$4,000 to \$4,999 \$5,000 or more	6.6		1.7	Not reported			
Not reported	21.3		24.3	5 minors or more	1.5		0.9
One minor	15.4		17.4		_		
\$999 or less	2.2		2.6	\$999 or less \$1,000 to \$1,249	_		
\$1,000 to \$1,249	-			\$1.250 to \$1.499			-
\$1,250 to \$1,499	-	.	_	\$1,500 to \$1,749	-		-
\$1,500 to \$1,749	1.5	1	1.7	\$1,750 to \$1,999	-		
\$1,750 to \$1,999	0.7		0.9	\$2,000 to \$2,249	0.7		-
\$2,000 to \$2,249	-		_	\$2,250 to \$2,499	-		
\$2,250 to \$2,499 \$2,500 to \$2,749			_	\$2.500 to \$2.749	0.7		0.9
\$2,750 to \$2,999	0.7	-	0.9	II #2 750 +4 #2 000	-		
\$3,000 to \$3,999	5.1		6.1		-		
\$4,000 to \$4,999	_	1	7 7	\$4,000 to \$4,999	_	1	1
\$5,000 or more	2.2		1.7	\$5,000 or more	1 -	11	1
non rehor nearesteers.	6.9		.	Not reported the second	1	<u> </u>	<u> </u>

 $^{^{\}scriptsize 1}$ Percentage distribution is not shown where the number of cases is less than 100.

46934

Table 5.--RENT AS PERCENT OF INCOME BY FAMILY INCOME IN 1949 OF PRIMARY FAMILIES WITH NO SUBFAMILY OR SECONDARY FAMILY IN RENTER-OCCUPIED SUBSTANDARD DWELLING UNITS, BY PRESENCE OF MINORS, FOR MIAMI BEACH, FLORIDA: 1950

Gross rent as percent of income by family income	Total	No minors	With minors	Gross rent as percent of income by family income	Total	No minors	With minors
Number of families	112	70	42	\$2,000 to \$2,499	5.4		
Percent of total	100;0	62.5	37.5	9 percent or less			
Total	100.0	(1)	(1)	10 percent to 14 percent 15 percent to 19 percent	0.9		
9 percent or less	4.5			20 percent to 24 percent 25 percent to 29 percent	1.0		
15 percent to 19 percent	4.5 4.5			30 percent to 34 percent	1.8		
20 percent to 24 percent 25 percent to 29 percent	6.3 10.8			35 percent or more	2.7		
30 percent to 34 percent 35 percent or more	3.6 22.4			\$2,500 to \$2,999	9.8		<u> </u>
Not reported	² 43.7			9 percent or less	2.7		
\$1,499 or less	14.3			15 percent to 19 percent	0.9		
9 percent or less	0.9			20 percent to 24 percent 25 percent to 29 percent	1.8		
15 percent to 19 percent 20 percent to 24 percent	1.8		1	30 percent to 34 percent 35 percent or more	0.9 2.7		
25 percent to 29 percent	1.8		•	·			
35 percent or more	9.8			\$3,000 or over	18.7		
\$1,500 to \$1,999	8.0			9 percent or less	0.9 1.8		
9 percent or less	0.9			20 percent to 19 percent	1.8		
20 percent to 19 percent	=	1		30 percent to 34 percent	5.3 1.8		
20 percent to 29 percent	0.9			35 percent or more	0.9		
35 percent or more	6.3			Not reporting income or rent	243.7		

¹ Percentage distribution is not shown where the number of cases is less than 100. 2 Of the 43.7 percent, 5.4 represents families reporting zero income in 1949.

1950 CENSUS OF HOUSING

SPECIAL TABULATIONS FOR LOCAL HOUSING AUTHORITIES

November 14, 1950

Washington 25, D. C.

Series HC-6, No. 40

FRESNO AND TOWNSHIP 3, CALIFORNIA: APRIL 1950

This report is based on a transcription and tabulation of data from the 1950 Census of Population and Housing. The statistics relate to dwelling units considered substandard by the Public Housing Administration, in accordance with the contract for these statistics between the Bureau of the Census and the Housing Authority of the City of Fresno.

A dwelling unit is considered substandard by the Public Housing Administration if it is either dilapidated or does not have the following plumbing facilities: flush toilet and bath inside the structure for the unit's exclusive use, and hot running water. The Public Housing Administration considers the absence of hot running water to be evidence generally of poor quality of the dwelling unit, but there may be some localities in which the absence of hot running water is not deemed to be a sufficient indication by itself of poor quality. localities, a revised count of substandard units may be obtained from table 1 by subtracting from the total the units which lack hot water only. If it is desired to eliminate the units lacking hot water only from the total count of substandard units, the distributions shown in the tables will not be materially affected.

The criteria used to define substandard units in these tabulations are not identical with the housing characteristics included in the published reports from the 1940 Census. Therefore, any comparison of data in this report with the 1940 results must be made with extreme care. A direct comparison cannot be made with the 1940 results because information on hot running water was not collected at that time. Further, the 1940 data on condition were collected showing dwelling units "needing major repairs," whereas in 1950 data on condition were collected showing units as "dilapidated." Because the definitions of these two terms differ significantly, the 1940 count of dwelling units needing major re-

pairs and the 1950 count of dilapidated dwelling units are comparable only in a general way.

In addition to the number of substandard units shown in the tables, there were 82 other units for which there was no report on either condition or the presence of one of the plumbing facilities. Had there been complete reporting on these items, some additional units might have been found to be substandard.

The data are presented in the series of attached tables. In table 1 the structural and occupancy characteristics are shown for all substandard dwelling units. Table 2 shows the rent paid for renter-occupied substandard units; table 3 shows the condition and plumbing facilities of these same units. Table 4 shows the characteristics of all families (both primary and secondary) living in the substandard units. Table 4a classifies the primary families by family income. Table 5 classifies primary families with no subfamily or secondary family present, by rent as a percent of family income.

In the contract rent tabulation, dwelling units occupied rent free are tabulated in the "\$9 or less" category. In the gross rent tabulations, however, such units are tabulated as "not reporting," except when utilities were reported.

DEFINITIONS

The definitions used in this special tabulation are generally the same as those used in the 1950 Census of Population and Housing. Some of the more important definitions are given below.

Dwelling unit. --In general, a dwelling unit is a group of rooms or a single room, occupied, or intended for occupancy, as separate living quarters by a family or other group of persons living together or by a person living alone.

Primary family. -- A primary family consists of two or more persons including the head of the household and all (one or more) persons in the household who are related to the head by blood, marriage, or adoption. It may include a subfamily consisting of a married couple with or without children, or one parent with one or more children under 18 years of age, living in the household and related to but not including the head of the household or his wife. The subfamily is considered a part of the primary family for all tabulation purposes.

Secondary family.--A secondary family consists of two or more persons, such as a lodger or servant and his relatives, living in a household and related to each other but not related to the household head. The secondary family is considered a separate family for tabulation purposes.

Minor. -- A minor is an unmarried person under 21 years of age other than the head of a family or his wife.

<u>Dilapidation.--A</u> dwelling unit is considered to be dilapidated when it has serious deficiencies, is rundown or neglected, or is of inadequate original construction, so that it does not provide adequate shelter or protection against the elements or it endangers the safety of the occupants.

Plumbing facilities. -- The following are the three plumbing facilities tabulated:

- 1. Flush toilet inside the structure for the unit's exclusive use;
- 2. Installed bathtub or shower inside the structure for the unit's exclusive use; and
- 3. Hot and cold running water inside the structure.

Exclusive use. -- Equipment is for "exclusive use" if it is used only by the occupants of one dwelling unit.

<u>Shared</u>.---Equipment is "shared" when occupants of two or more units use the same equipment.

Family income. -- Family income is the sum of the income received during 1949, by all members of the family. The following items were asked separately for the head of the family and for all other members of the family combined:

- 1. The amount of money wages or salary received in 1949;
- 2. The amount of net money income received from self-employment in 1949; and

3. The amount of other money income received in 1949, such as interest, dividends, veterans' allowances, pensions, or rents.

Gross rent. -- Monthly gross rent is contract rent plus the reported average monthly cost of water, electricity, gas, and other fuel paid for by the renter. If furniture is included in the contract rent, the reported estimated rent of the dwelling unit without furniture is used for the computation instead of the contract rent.

SOURCE AND RELIABILITY OF THE DATA

The data in tables 1 through 4 are based on complete counts, including all dwelling units and families with the specified characteristics. The distributions involving income in tables 4a and 5, however, were prepared from data collected on a sample basis. As part of the 1950 Census, family income data were obtained from about one-fifth of all families. For these tabulations, additional interviews were made to increase the income sample above the 20 percent level. This was accomplished by a subsequent field enumeration of a sample of families who were not in the original sample but were living in substandard dwelling units.

Although the figures shown in tables 1 through 4 are based on the same data as the forthcoming 1950 Census tabulations of these items, they may differ from those to be published as part of the Census. The present tables were obtained by tabulating directly the data as transcribed in the field offices of the Census Bureau and the figures therefore do not include all of the refinements that result from a careful examination of the schedules.

In addition, the data are subject to other biases due to errors of response or nonreporting which cannot be corrected in editing. Factors affecting the accuracy of enumeration include the respondent's knowledge of the facts and the ability of the enumerator to obtain accurate information on such items as income, rent, dilapidation and plumbing facilities. The regular 1950 tabulations are also subject to these biases.

Reliability of Estimates in Tables 4a and 5

Because of sampling variability, percentage distributions shown in table 4a and all figures in table 5 may differ from those that would have been obtained from a complete count. (The absolute figures in table 4a represent complete

counts and are not subject to sampling variations.) The reliability estimates which follow give approximate measures of the sampling errors to be expected in these sample data.

Reliability of the percentages in tables 4a and 5.--The allowance to be made for sampling variation in a percentage depends, in general, both on the value of the percentage and the size of the base on which it is determined. If two percentages are of the same magnitude, the one based on a large number of cases in the sample

is, in general, less variable than the one based on a small number of sample cases.

Estimates of reliability are shown in the following table for percentages with bases of primary families of the specified types. The chances are about 19 out of 20 that the differences due to sampling variability between the percentages obtained from the sample and the percentages which would have been obtained from a complete census would be less than the sampling errors shown below.

			Sampl	ing variabil	ity if the base	e is						
Percentage shown	All p	rimary famili- dwelling		dard	All primary families with no subfamily or secondar family present, in substandard renter units							
in table	Wh.	White Nonwhite					Nonw	hite				
	Owner	, Renter	Owner	Renter	No minors	With minors	No minors	With minors				
0.5	0.6	0.6	1.2	0.8	1.0	0.7	1.1	0.9				
1.0	0.8	0.8	1.7	1.1	1.4	1.0	1.5	1.3				
2.0	1.1	1.2	2.4	1.5	2.0	1.4	2.1	1.8				
3.0	1.4	1.4	2.9	1.9	2.4	1.8	2.5	2.2				
4.0	1.6	1.6	3.4	2.1	2.8	2.0	2.9	2.5				
5.0	1.8	1.8	3.7	2.4	3.1	2.2	3.2	2.8				
10.0	2.4	2.5	5.1	3.3	4.3	3.1	4.5	3.8				
15.0	2.9	3.0	6.1	3.9	5.1	3.7	5.3	4.5				
20.0	3.2	3.3	6.9	4.4	5.7	4.1	6.0	5.1				
25.0	8.5	3.6	7.4	4.7	6.1	4.5	6.4	5.5				
30.0	3.7	3,8	7.9	5.0	6.5	4.7	6.8	5.8				
40.0	3.9	4.1	8.4	5.4	7.0	5.0	7.3	6.2				
50.0	4.0	4.2	8.6	5.5	7.1	5.2	7.4	6.4				

To illustrate, for a figure of 10 percent based on white primary families living in substandard renter dwelling units, the sampling variability is 2.5 percent; the chances are about 19 out of 20 that the percentage obtained by a complete census would have been between 7.5 percent and 12.5 percent.

The sampling variability of a specified percentage based on total primary families with designated characteristics will vary according to the proportion of white families and nonwhite families making up this percentage. The sampling error to be expected of such a figure would have a maximum value when only white data are included and a minimum value if the percentage includes only nonwhite data.

Reliability of absolute figures in table 5.—
The approximate sampling variability of the absolute figures in table 5 is shown below. The chances are 19 out of 20 that differences between the numbers shown in table 5 and the numbers

that would have been obtained from a complete census would be less than the sampling errors which follow.

Classification		Sampling variability of absolute figures in table 5								
,1	Total	White	Nonwhite							
Total	88	32	21							
No minors	97	87	43							
With minors	100	89	45							

Reliability of differences. -- The estimates of sampling variability shown in the above tables are not directly applicable to differences obtained by subtracting one figure from another. The sampling variability in an observed difference between two figures depends on the sampling variability of each of the figures and the correlation between them.

Table 1.--STRUCTURAL AND OCCUPANCY CHARACTERISTICS, CONDITION, AND PLUMBING FACILITIES OF OCCUPIED SUBSTANDARD DWELLING UNITS, EY TENURE AND COLOR OF OCCUPANTS, FOR FRESNO AND TOWNSHIP 8, CALIFORNIA: 1950

(A substandard dwelling unit is defined by the Public Housing Administration as a unit which is either dilapidated or does not have all of the following plumbing facilities: flush toilet and bath inside the structure for the unit's exclusive use, and hot running water)

Observation of the Control of the Co		Total			White			Nonwhite ,		
Characteristic	Total	Owner	Renter	Total	Owner	Renter	Total	Owner	Renter	
Total number occupied substandard										
dwelling units	7,866	3,386	4,480	6,128	2,852	3,276	1,738	534	1,204	
Percent of total	100.0	43.0	57.0	77.9	36.3	41.6	22.1	6.8	15.8	
NUMBER OF ROOMS							. 4			
Total	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	
1 room	15.9	10.2	20.1	14.6	11.0	17.8	20.3	6.0	26.6	
2 rooms	28.0	15.7	37.2	27.1	16.0	36.7	31.1	14.0	38.6	
3 rooms	20.7	19.7 25.4	21.5 12.7	21.3 18.9	19.8 25.1	22.7 13.5	18.5 15.5	19.1	18.3 10.4	
5 rooms	9.4	15.7	4.6	10.1	15.6	5.3	6.9	16.1	2.8	
6 rooms	4.7	8.4	1.8	4.7	7.9	1.9	4.7	11.2	1.7	
8 rooms or more	1.2	2.2 1.2	0.5	1.3	2.1	0.6	1.0	2.6	0.8	
Not reported	1.3	1.5	0.4 1.1	0.7 1.3	1.2	0.3 1.3	0.9	1.5 2.2	0.6	
CONDITION						2.0		~45		
Total	700.0	300.0	300.0	700.0	100.0	300.0	700.0	300.0	300.0	
Not dilapidated	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	
Dilapidated	51.2 46.7	54.0 43.9	49.2 48.8	53.2 44.7	53.8 43.9	52.6 45.3	44.4 54.0	54.7 44.2	39.9	
Not reported	2,0	2.1	2.0	2.2	2.3	2.1	1.6	1.1	58.4 1.7	
WATER SUPPLY										
Total	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	
Hot and cold piped running water inside structure	36.1	35.9	36.2	41.0	38.5	43.1	18.9	22.1	17.5	
Only cold piped running water inside structure	40.5	44.7	37.4	42.4	46.0	39.8	33.9	37.8	32.]	
No piped running water inside structure Not reported	23.1	19.3	26.0	16.4	15.4	17.4	46.6	40.1	49.5	
Topot bodissississississississississississississ	0.3	0.1	0.4	0.2	0.1	0.2	0.6	-	0.8	
TOILET FACILITIES						P .				
Total	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	
Flush toilet inside structure, exclusive use	28.9	37.1	22.8	32.7	39.0			26.6	10.7	
Flush toilet inside structure, shared	11.0	3.1	16.9	12.1	3.3	27.2 19.8	15.6 7.0	20.0	9.1	
Other toilet facilities (including privy)	59.9	59.8	60.0	55.0	57.7	52.6	77.3	71.2	80.0	
	0.8	-	0.3	0.2	-	0.3	0.1	-	0.2	
BATHING FACILITIES										
Total	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	
Installed bathtub or shower inside structure,	į		· .							
exclusive use	30.5	39.8	23.4	34.6	42,6	27.7	15.9	25.3	11.7	
Shared Other or none	13.0	3.2	20.4	13.9	3.1	23.3	10.0	3.9	12.7	
Not reported	55.9	56.4	55.5	50.9	53.8	48.4	73.3	70.4	74.6	
	0.6	0.5	0.7	0.6	0.6	0.6	0.8	0.4	1.0	
NUMBER OF PERSONS										
Total	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	
1 person	19.8	13.9	24.2	20.0	15.0	24.4	19.0	8.1	23,8	
o persons	25.2 16.7	23.7	26.4	24.7	28.9	25.3	27.0	22.3	29.2	
+ persons	13.3	16.7	16.6	16.8	16.8	16.8	16.1	16.3	16.0	
5 persons	9.1	10.6	8.0	8.9	10.2	18.3 7.8	9.6	13.1	10.8 8.8	
/ persons	6.3	7.5	5.3	6.0	6.9	5.2	7.2	11.0	5.6	
o persula	3.6 2.4	4.5	2.9	3.5	4.2	2.8	8.9	6.0	2.5	
9 persons or more	3.7	3.4 5.3	2.5	2.4 3.7	3.2 5.0	1.7	2.4	4.1	1.7	
NUMBER OF LODGERS			~•3		3.0	2.6	3.6	6.6	2,2	
Total	100.0	100.0	100.0	100 0	300		_ 1			
None	98.9	94.3	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	
l or more lodgers	6.1	5.7	93.6 6.4	95.2 4.8	95.6 4.4	94.9 5.1	89.4	87.6	90.1	
		177				. 0. ⊥	10.6	12.4	, her	

Table 1.--STRUCTURAL AND OCCUPANCY CHARACTERISTICS, CONDITION, AND PLUMBING FACILITIES OF OCCUPIED SUBSTANDARD DWELLING UNITS, BY TENURE AND COLOR OF OCCUPANTS, FOR FRESHO AND TOWNSHIP 3, CALIFORNIA: 1950---Con.

(A substandard dwelling unit is defined by the Public Housing Administration as a unit which is either dilapidated or does not have all of the following plumbing facilities: flush toilet and bath inside the structure for the unit's exclusive use, and hot running water)

Characteristic		Total			White		1	Nonwhite	
Characteristic	Total	Owner	Renter	Total	Owner	Renter	Total	Owner	Renter
CONDITION AND PLUMBING FACILITIES									
Total	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0
Not dilapidated: With private bath and private flush toilet, no hot running water	5.0 3.7 34.1 8.2	7.4 4.5 32.7 9.0	3.2 3.0 35.1 7.6	5.5 3.8 37.1 6.5	7.7 4.1	3.6 3.5 39.4 5.9	3.3 3.2 23.5 14.2	5.8 6.7 23.8 18.4	2.2 1.6 23.3 12.4
Dilapidated: With private bath and private flush toilet, hot and cold running water	16.2	20.7	12.9	19.3	22.8	16.2	5.6	9.6	3.9
no hot running water. With private flush toilet, no private bath With running water, no private flush toilet No running water inside the structure	1.7 1.6 12.5 14.5	1.9 1.6 9.9 9.8	1.5 1.6 14.4 18.0	1.8 1.6 12.1 9.6	2.0 1.4 9.9 7.7	1.6 1.7 14.3 11.2	1.3 1.6 13.2 31.6	1.5 2.4 9.7 21.0	1.2 1.2 14.7 36.4
Not reporting condition or plumbing facilities	. 2.6	2,5	2.7	2.6	2.7	2.5	. 2.5	1.1	3.1
CONDITION BY NUMBER OF PLUMBING FACILITIES									
Total	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0
Not dilapidated: Lacking 1 facility. Lacking 2 facilities. Lacking 3 facilities	9.1 16.3 25.6	12.9 11.7 29.1	6.3 19.8 22.9	9.8 18.0 25.2	13.0 12.0 28.5	6.9. 23.2 22.3	6.9 10.3 27.0	12.2 9.9 32.6	4.6 10.5 24.5
Dilapidated: With all facilities. Lacking 1 facility. Lacking 2 facilities. Lacking 3 facilities.	16.2 2.9 4.2 23.0	20.7 3.6 3.5 16.0	12.9 2.4 4.7 28.4	19.3 3.1 4.5 17.7	22.8 3.8 3.5 13.8	16.2 2.5 5.3 21.0	5.6 2.3 3.8 42.0	9.6 2.8 3.9 27.9	3,9 2,1 3,1 48,3
Not reporting condition or plumbing facilities	2.6	2.5	2.7	2.6	2.7	2.5	2.5	1.1	3.1
NUMBER OF DWELLING UNITS IN STRUCTURE							1		
Total	100.0	100,0	100,0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100,0
1 dwelling unit 2 to 4 dwelling units 5 or more dwelling units	80.5 10.8 8.7	96.2 3.3 0.5	68.6 16.5 14.9	81.1 10.0 8.8	96.4 3.2 0.5	67.9 16.0 16.1	78.2 13.6 8.2	3.9	70.4 17.9 11.7

Table 2.--CONTRACT AND GROSS RENT OF RENTER-OCCUPIED SUBSTANDARD DWELLING UNITS, BY COLOR OF OCCUPANTS, FOR FRESNO AND TOWNSHIP 3, CALIFORNIA: 1950

Monthly rent	Total	White	Nonwhite	Monthly rent	Total	White	Nonwhit
Total number renter-occupied				FURNITURE IN RENT			
substandard dwelling units	4,480	3,276	1,204	Total	100.0	100.0	100
Percent of total	100.0	73.1	26.9	Furniture included in contract rent	30.3	88.9	2
				Furniture not included in contract		9	1
MONTHLY CONTRACT RENT				rent	58.4	48.9	6
MONITHI CONTRACT TENT	1		} **	Not reported	16.3	17.2]
Total	100.0	100.0	100.0	MONTHLY GROSS RENT			ļ
or less	20.0	20.2	19.5	Total	100.0	100.0	10
0 to \$14	12.2	10.2	17.5	\$9 or less	9.8	9.2	l
5 to \$19	11.9	11.7	12.3	\$10 to \$14	8.8		']
0 to \$24	13.7	12.1	18.1	\$15 to \$19	13.1	11.2	:
5 to \$29	11.8	11.7	12.0	\$20 to \$24	15.2	14.7]. :
	3			\$25 to \$29	12.7	12.6	
0 to \$34	11.0	11.2	10.3	\$30 to \$34	12.4	13.1	
5 to \$39	6.1	7.1	3.4	\$35 to \$39	6.0	6.4	ļ
0 to \$49	6.2	7.4	3.1	\$40 to \$49	6.2	7.2	
0 or more	8.4	4.3	1.0	\$50 or more	3.4	4.1	
t reported	3.7	4.1	2,7	Not reported	12.9	13.7	

Table 3.--CONDITION AND PLUMBING FACILITIES OF RENTER-OCCUPIED SUBSTANDARD DWELLING UNITS, BY GROSS RENT, FOR FRESNO AND TOWNSHIP 3, CALIFORNIA: 1950

					Monthly g	ross ren	t		
Condition and plumbing facilities	Total	\$19 or less	\$20 to \$24	\$25 to \$29	\$30 to \$34	\$35 to \$39	\$40 to \$49	\$50 or more	Not reported
Total number renter-occupied substandard dwelling units	4,480	1,397	680	571	555	270	279	152	576
Percent of total	100.0	31.2	15.2	12.7	12.4	6.0	6.2	3.4	12.9
Not dilapidated: With private bath and private flush toilet, no hot running water With private flush toilet, no private bath With running water, no private flush toilet No running water inside structure	3.2 3.0 35.1 7.6	8.1	0.3 0.3 6.3 0.8	0.4 0.3 5.6 0.9	0.6 0.4 5.5 0.8	0.4 0.2 2.5 0.4	0.3 0.4 2.3 0.2	(1) 0.2 1.1 (1)	0.4 0.3 3.5 1.4
Dilapidated: With private bath and private flush toilet, hot and cold running water	1.6	0.5 0.4 5.5	1.2 0.2 0.3 2.6 2.8	1.8 0.4 0.2 1.5 1.4	2.0 0.2 0.2 1.4 1.1	1.4 0.1 0.1 0.6 0.2	2.0 (1) 0.1 0.4 0.2	1.5 (1) (1) 0.3 0.1	1.7 0.2 0.2 2.0 2,3
Not reporting condition or plumbing facilities	2.7	0.9	0.8	0.2	0.2	0.1	0.1	0.1	0.8

¹ Less than 0.05 percent.

Table 3a.--CONDITION AND PLUMBING FACILITIES OF RENTER-OCCUPIED SUBSTANDARD DWELLING UNITS, BY GROSS RENT, FOR WHITE HOUSEHOLDS, FOR FRESNO AND TOWNSHIP 3, CALIFORNIA: 1950

					Monthly	gross ren	t		
Condition and plumbing facilities	Total	\$19 or less	\$20 to \$24	\$25 to \$29	\$30 to \$34	\$35 to \$39	\$40 to \$49	\$50 or more	Not reported
Total number renter-occupied									
substandard dwelling units	3,276	923	480	414	429	211	287	134	448
Percent of total	100.0	28.2	14.7	12,6	13.1	6.4	7.2	4.1	13.7
Not dilapidated: With private bath and private flush toilet, no hot running water With private flush toilet, no private bath With running water, no private flush toilet No running water inside structure	3.6 3.5 39.4 5.9	0.7 1.1 8.3 2.8	0.3 0.4 7.4 0.5	0.5 0.3 6.4 0.4	0.7 0.5 6.5 0.4	0.5 0.2 3.0 0.2	0.5 0.5 2.7 0.1	(1) 0,2 1,3 0,1	0.5 0.4 3.8 1.4
Dilapidated: With private bath and private flush toilet, hot and cold running water With private bath and private flush toilet, no hot running water With private flush toilet, no private bath	16.2 1.6 1.7	1.3 0.5 0.5	1.6 0.2 0.3	2.3 0.4 0.2	2.5	1.7 0.1 0.2	2.7 0.1 (1)	1.9 (1) (1)	2.1 0.1 0.1
With running water, no private flush toilet No running water inside structure		5.3 6.6	2.6 1.4	1.5 0.4	1.3	0.5	0.4	0.4	2.0
Not reporting condition or plumbing facilities.	2.5	1.0	0.1	0.2	0.2	0.1	0.1	0.1	0.

¹ Less than 0.05 percent.

Table 3b.--CONDITION AND PLUMBING FACILITIES OF RENTER-OCCUPIED SUBSTANDARD DWELLING UNITS, BY GROSS RENT, FOR NONWHITE HOUSEHOLDS, FOR FRESNO AND TOWNSHIP 3, CALIFORNIA: 1950

					Monthly g	ross ren	t		
Condition and plumbing facilities	Total	\$19 or less	\$20 to \$24	\$25 to \$29	\$30 to \$34	\$35 to \$39	\$40 to \$49	\$50 or more	Not reported
Total number renter-occupied substandard dwelling units	1,204	474	200	157	126	59	4.2	18	128
Percent of total	100.0	39.4	16.6	13.0	10.5	4.9	3,5	1.5	10.6
Not dilapidated: With private bath and private flush toilet, no hot running water	2.2 1.6 23.3 12.4	0.7 0.2 7.6 3.7	0.2 0.2 8.4 1.7	0.2 0.3 3.4 2.2	0.4 0.2 2.9 1.8	0.1 0.1 1.4 0.8	0.2 1.4 0.6	0.1 0.2 0.6	0.4 2.6 1.5
Dilapidated: With private bath and private flush toilet, hot and cold running water With private bath and private flush toilet, no hot running water With private flush toilet, no private bath With running water, no private flush toilet No running water inside structure	3.9 1.2 1.2 14.7 36.4	0.9 0.4 0.2 6.0 18.9	0.4 0.2 0.3 2.7 6.6	0.6 0.2 0.2 1.7 4.1	0.4 0.1 0.2 1.6 2.7	0.5 0.3 0.1 0.7 0.6	0.3 - 0.2 0.5 0.3	0.3	0.4
Not reporting condition or plumbing facilities	3.1	0.7	0.8	0.1	0.2	0.2	-	0.2	0.9

Table 4.--CHARACTERISTICS OF FAMILIES IN SUBSTANDARD DWELLING UNITS, BY TENURE AND COLOR, FOR FRESNO AND TOWNSHIP 8, CALIFORNIA: 1950

		Total			White	,		Nonwhite	
Characteristic	Total	Owner	Renter	Total	Owner	Renter	Total	Owner	Renter
Total number of families	6,194	2,871	3,263	4,791	2,887	2,404	1,843	484	859
Percent of total	100.0	46.8	53.2	78 . 1	38.9	39.2	21.9	7.9	14.0
TYPE OF FAMILY									
Total	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0
Primary family	99 .1 :0.9	99.1 0.9	99.0 1.0	99 .4 0.6	99 ₄ 5 0 _• 5	99.3	98.0 2.0	97.1 2.9	98,
NUMBER OF PERSONS IN FAMILY	,				_			300.0	100
Total	100.0	100.0	100,0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0
2 persons	31.9 20.7 16.6	28.3 19.5 16.6	35.0 21.8 16.6	30,9 21,3 17.4	28.4 19.9 17.3	33.4 22.6 17.6	85.5 18.8 13.5	28.1 17.4 13.0	39.° 19.° 13.°
5 persons	11.3 7.7	12.2 8.5	10.5	11.3 7.4	12.1 8.0 4.9	10.5 6.9 3.6	11.2 8.9 4.6	12.8 11.0 6.6	10. 7. 3.
7 persons 8 persons or more	4.3 7.5	5.2 9.8	8.6 5.5	4.2 7.5	9.5	5,5	7.4	11.2	5.
NUMBER OF PERSONS PER ROOM IN DWELLING UNIT									
Total	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.
0.50 or less	8 .4 16.7	13.2 18.5	4.1 15.2	8.9 17.1	13.5 18.3	4.8 15.9	6.6 15.5	11.6 19.6	3. 13.
0.76 to 1.00	23.3	21.4	24.9	23.5	21.7 17.2	25.3 19.6	22.5	20,2	23.
1.01 to 1.50	18.9	18.3 15.2	19.4	18.4 16.5	15.8	17.8	19.6	14.5	22.
1.51 to 2.00	17.2 14.2	11.9	16.1	14.2	12.7	15.6	14.1	8.3	17.
Not reported	1.4	1.5	1.3	1.4	1.3	1.5	1.3	2.5	0.
NUMBER OF MINORS IN FAMILY						700.0	100.0	100.0	100.
Total	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	 	37,
No minors	32.9	33.1	32.7	32,2	33.2	31.2 24.6	35.4 20.8	32.4 18.2	1
l minor	22.0	19.7	24.0	22.3 17.2	20.0 16.2	18.1	14.7	13.6	
2 minors	16.6 10.9	15.7 11.0	17.4	17.2	11.3	10.9	10.2	9.9	10
minors	7.5	7.9	7.1	7.1	7.1	7.2	8.6	11.8	6
5 minors	4.1	4.9	8.5	4.1 6.0	4.8 7.5	3.4 4.6	4.4 5.8	5.6 8.5	3,
6 minors or more	6.0	7.6	4.5	6.0	7.5	7.0	1	1 0.0	

Table 4a.--INCOME IN 1949 OF PRIMARY FAMILIES IN SUBSTANDARD DWELLING UNITS, BY NUMBER OF MINORS, TENURE, AND COLOR, FOR FRESNO AND TOWNSHIP 3, CALIFORNIA: 1950

Family income by		Total			White			Nonwhite	
number of minors	Total	Owner	Renter	Total	Owner	Renter	Total	Owner	Renter
Total number of primary									
families	6,076	2,844	3,232	4,760	2,374	2,386	1,316	470	846
Percent of total	100.0	46.8	53,2	78.3	39.1	39.3	21.7	7.7	18.9
Total	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0
\$999 or less	20.7	18.8	22.5	18.1	18.0	18.1	30.4	22.5	34.7
\$1,000 to \$1,249	6.3	6.3	6.3	5.2	5.5	4.8	10.4	10.0	10.6
\$1,250 to \$1,499 \$1,500 to \$1,749	5.1 5.8	4.5	5.6 7.2	4.7 6.1	4.1	5.8 7.8	6.5 5.0	6.5 4.6	6.5 5.2
\$1,750 to \$1,999	6.5	7.4	5.7	6.6	7.1	6.1	6.1		4.6
\$2,000 to \$2,249	9.3	9.4	9.2	9.4	8.5	10.2	9.1	14.0	6.3
\$2,250 to \$2,499 \$2,500 to \$2,749	4.8 5.3	4.9 5.8	4.8	4.7	4.8	4.6	5.2	5.1	5.4
\$2,750 to \$2,999	3.4	3.7	4.9 3.2	5.6 3.5	6.4 3.2	4.9 3.8	4.2 3.1	2.7 5.9	5.1 1.5
\$3,000 to \$3,999	15.6	15.8	15.5	17.3	16.8	18.3	9.5	13.0	7.6
\$4,000 to \$4,999	6.6	7.7	5.7	8.1	9.1	7.0	1.5	0.5	2,1
\$5,000 or more	6.1 4.3	9.4	3.2 6.2	7.6 3.2	10.9	4.3 4.7	0.6		10.5
No minors	33.6	34.6	32.7	32.2	33.1	ĺ	8.4	ì	
\$999 or less						31.3	38,6	42.3	36.5
\$1,000 to \$1,249	8.4	9.1	7.8 1.6	7.7 1.6	8.5 2.3	6.9 1.0	11.0 4.0	12.3	10.3
\$1,250 to \$1,499	1.8	1.8	1.8	1.5	1.8	1.3	2.6	1.8	3,1
\$1,750 to \$1,749 \$1,750 to \$1,999	1.7 2.5	3.2	2.1	1.7	1.4	2.0	1.8	1.8	2.1
\$2,000 to \$2,249	3.0	3.2	1.8 2.9	2.7	3.5 2.3	1.9	1.8	1 1	1.6 3.7
\$2,250 to \$2,499	1.4	1.2	1.7	1.0	0.8	2.6	5.0 3.2	7.5 3.2	3.2
\$2,500 to \$2,749	1.6	1.7	1.4	1.5	1.8	1.1	2.0		2,4
\$2,750 to \$2,999\$3,000 to \$3,999	0.6	0.6	0.5	0.5	0.6	0.4	0.8	į į	1.0
\$4,000 to \$4,999	3.8 2.8	3.2 2.2	4.8 3.8	4.2 3.4	3.3 2.7	5.2 4.1	2.3 0.7	3,2	1.8 1.1
\$5,000 or more	2.2	8.0	1.6	2.7	3.3	2.2	0.5	1.3	
Not reported	1.6	1.1	2.0	1.2	0.8	1.6	2.9	2.6	3,1
One minor	21.8	19.1	24.2	21.5	19.5	23.5	22.8	16.9	26.1
\$999 or less \$1,000 to \$1,249	5.2 1.1	4.0 1.2	6.3	4.1	3.8	4.3	9.4	4.8	12.0
\$1,250 to \$1,499	1.3	1.5	1.1	1.1	1.2	0.9 0.9	1.3 2.2		1.6 1.8
\$1,500 to \$1,749 \$1,750 to \$1,999	1.2	0.9	1.5	1.4	0.8	2.0	0.7		0.8
	1.4	0.9	1.8	1.4	1.0	1.8	1.5	0.5	2.0
\$2,000 to \$2,249 \$2,250 to \$2,499	1.9	1.5 0.6	2.2 1.3	2.0	1.4 0.6	2.5	1.5		1.8
\$2,500 to \$2,749	1.4	1.3	1.6	1.5	1.4	1.7 1.6	0.3	0.5	1.6
\$2,750 to \$2,999	0.9	0.9	1.0	1.1	0.8	1.3	0.5		
\$3,000 to \$3,999 \$4,000 to \$4,999	3.2 1.2	2.7 1.8	8.7	3.6	2.9	4.3	2.0	1.8	2.1
\$5,000 or more	1.1	1.5	0.7 0.7	1.4 1.4	2.0 1.8	0.7 1.0	0.5	0.5	0.5
Not reported	0.8	0.8	1.2	0.5	0.4	0.6	1.8		2.7
Two minors	15.7	13.6	17.6	17.0	15.1	19.0	10.9	6.8	18.7
\$999 or less	2.5	1.3	3.6	2.1	1.2	3.0	4.1	1.9	5.8
\$1,000 to \$1,249 \$1,250 to \$1,499	1.1	0.7	1.4 1.4	1.1	0.8	1.3	1.0	-	1.6
\$1,500 to \$1,749	0.8	2.0	1.4	1.3	0.6	1.9 1.4	0.8	_	1.
\$1,750 to \$1,999	1.0	1.2	1.0		1.0	1.1	0.9		0.8
\$2,000 to \$2,249 \$2,250 to \$2,499	1.7	1.4	1.9	1	1.7	2.5	0.2		0.
\$2,500 to \$2,749	0.7	0.9	0.5 0.8		1.0 0.8	0.4 1.0	0.7	0.5	0.
\$2,750 to \$2,999	0.7	0.8	0.6	1 - 1	0.8	0.8	0.8	0.5	0.
\$3,000 to \$3,999	3,1	3.2	8.0		3.7	3.4	1.5		1.
\$4,000 to \$4,999 \$5,000 or more	0.8	0.8	0.8	1.0	1.0	1.0		_	
Not reported	0.8		0.1		1.8 0.4	0.2	 7 =	7 0	1.0
	, ,,,,	, ,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,		η · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	0.4	1.0	1.5	1.3	

4573

Table 4a.--INCOME IN 1949 OF PHIMARY FAMILIES IN SUBSTANDARD DWELLING UNITS, BY NUMBER OF MINORS, TENURE, AND COLOR, FOR FRESNO AND TOWNSHIP 3, CALIFORNIA: 1950---Con.

Family income by	:	Total.			White			Nonwhite	
number of minors	Total	Owner	Renter	Total	Owner	Renter	Total	Owner	Renter
Three or four minors	18.7	19.6	17.9	18.6	19.1	18.1	19.0	21.9	17.8
\$999 or less \$1,000 to \$1,249 \$1,250 to \$1,499 \$1,500 to \$1,749 \$1,750 to \$1,999	2.7 1.2 0.8 1.2 1.1	2.3 0.9 0.5 0.9 1.5	3.2 1.5 1.1 1.4 0.7	2.5 1.0 0.7 1.1 0.8	2.3 1.0 0.2 0.8 0.8	2.7 1.0 1.2 1.3 0.8	3.8 2.1 1.1 1.5 1.9	2.2 0.5 1.8 1.4 4.8	4.6 3.0 0.8 1.6 0.3
\$2,000 to \$2,249 \$2,250 to \$2,499 \$2,500 to \$2,749 \$2,750 to \$2,999	1.9 1.2 1.1 0.6	2.4 1.3 1.3 1.0	1.5 1.1 0.9 0.4	2.0 1.3 1.1 0.5	2.3 1.4 1.4 0.6	1.8 1.1 0.8 0.4	1.6 0.9 0.9 1.1	3.0 0.5 0.5 2.7	0.8 1.1 1.1 0.3
\$3,000 to \$3,999 \$4,000 to \$4,999 \$5,000 or more Not reported	3.5 1.1 1.4 0.8	3.5 1.7 2.4	3.5 0.6 0.5 1.5	3.8 1.4 1.8 0.7	3.4 2.0 2.8 -	4.2 0.7 0.7 1.4	2.5 0.2 0.2 1.2	4.1 - 0.5	1.6 0.3 - 1.9
5 minors or more	10.2	13,1	7.6	10.7	13.2	8.1	8,5	12.5	6.8
\$999 or less \$1,000 to \$1,249 \$1,250 to \$1,499 \$1,500 to \$1,749 \$1,750 to \$1,999	1.8 0.7 0.2 0.9 0.5	2.1 0.7 0.2 1.0 0.7	1.6 0.8 0.2 0.8 0.4	1.7 0.4 0.1 1.1 0.7	2.2 0.2 0.2 1.1 0.8	1.3 0.7 - 1.2 0.5	2.1 1.8 0.5 0.2	1.3 3.2 0.5	2.5 1.1 0.8
\$2,000 to \$2,249. \$2,250 to \$2,499. \$2,500 to \$2,749. \$2,750 to \$2,999.	0.8 0.5 0.5 0.6	1.0 0.9 0.8 0.5	0.7 0.2 0.3 0.7	0.8 0.6 0.6 0.7	0.8 1.0 0.8 0.4	0.8 0.2 0.4 0.9	0.8 0.2 0.2 0.3	1.8 0.5 0.5 0.9	0.3
\$3,000 to \$3,999. \$4,000 to \$4,999. \$5,000 or more. Not reported.	1.9 0.8 0.6 0.3	3.1 1.2 1.0 0.2	1.0 0.4 0.2 0.4	2.1 1.0 0.7 0.1	8.1 1.4 1.2	1.2 0.5 0.2 0.2	1.2 0.2 1.1	3.0 - 0.9	0.3

45032

Table 5.—RENT AS PERCENT OF INCOME BY FAMILY INCOME IN 1949 OF PRIMARY FAMILIES WITH NO SUBFAMILY OR SECONDARY FAMILY IN RENTER-OCCUPIED SUBSTANDARD DWEILING UNITS, BY PRESENCE OF MINORS AND COLOR, FOR FRESNO AND TOWNSHIP 8, CALIFORNIA: 1950

bross rent as percent of income -		Total			White		4.0	Nonwhite	<u> </u>
by family income	Total	No minors	With minors	Total	No minors	With minors	Total	No minors	With minors
Number of families	3,118	1,047	2,071	2,319	748	1,576	799	304	49
Percent of total	100.0	33.6	66.4	74.4	23.8	50.5	25.6	9.7	15,
Total	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.
9 percent or less	24.0	28.9	21.5	25.6	30.3	23.3	19.4	25.3	15,
10 percent to 14 percent	19.9	18.4	20.7	22.1	20.9	22.6	18.7		14.
15 percent to 19 percent	11.9	9.7	13.0	13.3	8.9	15.4	7.9		5
20 percent to 24 percent	7.7	6.8	8.2	7.2	5.0	8.2	9.3	11.2	. 8
25 percent to 29 percent	4.4	5.1	4.1	4.6	6.0	8.9	4.0	2.9	4
30 percent to 34 percent	8.4	3.4	3.4	2.6	2.7	2.5	5.9	5.2	6
35 percent or more	13.5	15.1	12.6	10.0	15.8	7.5	23.5	14.6	28
Not reported	15.2	12.5	16.5	14.8	10.9	16.6	16.4		16
\$1,499 or less	81.7	81.5	31.9	25.6	27.9	24.4	49.7	40.4	55
9 percent or less	4.7	3.4	5.3	4.7	3.1	5.4	4.7	4.2	5
10 percent to 14 percent	2.0	1.0	2.5			2.7	1.7		1
15 percent to 19 percent	2.8	2.8	2.8	2.2	1.7	2.4.	4.6		4
20 percent to 24 percent	3.3	3.9	3.0	2.4		2.2	5.9	6.7	5
25 percent to 29 percent	3.2	4.3	2.6	2.9	4.9	2.0	4.0		4
30 percent to 34 percent	3.1	2.9	3.2	2.3	1.9	2.5	5.3		5
35 percent or more	12.7	18.3	12.4	9.0	12.8	7.2	23.5		28
\$1,500 to \$1,999	11.5	10.4	12.1	12.3	10.8	13.0	9.8	9.4	9
9 percent or less	1.8	1.3	2.1	2.0	1.6	2.2	1.3	0.7	1
10 percent to 14 percent	2.8	2.8	2.8	2.9		2.7	2.7		8
15 percent to 19 percent	2.3	2.8	2.0	2.6	3.1	2.4	1.3		0
20 percent to 24 percent	2.9	1.3	3.8	2.8		4.1	3.4	11	2
25 percent to 29 percent	0.9	0.8	1.0	l î.z	1.2	1.3		1	, ~
30 percent to 34 percent	0.3	0.6	0.2	0.2	0.8		0.5	1	0
35 percent or more	0.4	0.8	0.2			0.3	-	 	
\$2,000 to \$2,499	11.8	13.2	11.1	12.2	11.4	12.6	10.7	17.7	6
			1	l .	11			1	
9 percent or less	3.6	4.7	3.0	11		3.7	4.2		0
10 percent to 14 percent	4.3	4.8	4.1			3.7	5.4		5
15 percent to 19 percent	2.4	2.1	2.5	11		3,3	1.1	2.9	
20 percent to 24 percent	1.2	1.2	1.3		1.7	1.6	-	∥ · −	-
25 percent to 29 percent	0.2	_	0.3	0.2		. 0.4	_	-	1
30 percent to 34 percent	-		-	ļ -	-	-	_	-	
35 percent or more	0.1	0.4	-	2.0	0.6	-	-	-	
\$2,500 to \$2,999	7.1	5.6	7.8	7.7	4.6	9.1	5.8	8.0	
9 percent or less	2.3	2.5	2.3	2.3	1.7	2.5	2.5	4.8	נ
10 percent to 14 percent	2.5	2.1	2.7	2.6	1.7	3.0	2.2]
15 percent to 19 percent	1.9	1.0	2.4	2.4		8.0	0.5	0) (
20 percent to 24 percent	0.1	-	0.2			0.8			
25 percent to 29 percent	0.1	-	0.2			0.8			
30 percent to 34 percent	- 1		-	_	-	_	-	. -	
35 percent or more	-	-	-	-	-	-		-	
\$3,000 or over	22.7	26.7	20.6	27.5	34.4	24.2	8.6	8.0	,
9 percent or less	11.6	17.0	8.9	13.3	21.2	9.5	6.7	6.6	
10 percent to 14 percent	8.2		8.5						}
15 percent to 19 percent	2.5		3.3						'
20 percent to 24 percent	0.1	0.4		0.2			1	1	1
25 percent to 29 percent	_] _	1	. 1	1	1]
30 percent to 34 percent	_	11 =	1 -	1		1 -			1
35 percent or more	0.2	0.6	_	0.2	0.8	-	-		
					12				

1950 CENSUS OF HOUSING

SPECIAL TABULATIONS FOR LOCAL HOUSING AUTHORITIES

November 14, 1950

Washington 25, D. C.

Series HC-6, No.41

REDLANDS, CALIFORNIA: APRIL 1950

This report is based on a transcription and tabulation of data from the 1950 Census of Population and Housing. The statistics relate to dwelling units considered substandard by the Public Housing Administration, in accordance with the contract for these statistics between the Bureau of the Census and the Housing Authority of the County of San Bernardino.

A dwelling unit is considered substandard by the Public Housing Administration if it is either dilapidated or does not have the following plumbing facilities: flush toilet and bath inside the structure for the unit's exclusive use, and hot running water. The Public Housing Administration considers the absence of hot running water to be evidence generally of poor quality of the dwelling unit. but there may be some localities in which the absence of hot running water is not deemed to be a sufficient indication by itself of poor quality. localities, a revised count of substandard units may be obtained from table 1 by subtracting from the total the units which lack hot water only. If it is desired to eliminate the units lacking hot water only from the total count of substandard units, the distributions shown in the tables will not be materially affected.

The criteria used to define substandard units in these tabulations are not identical with the housing characteristics included in the published reports from the 1940 Census. Therefore, any comparison of data in this report with the 1940 results must be made with extreme care. A direct comparison cannot be made with the 1940 results because information on hot running water was not collected at that time. Further, the 1940 data on condition were collected showing dwelling units "needing major repairs," whereas

in 1950 data on condition were collected showing units as "dilapidated." Because the definitions of these two terms differ significantly, the 1940 count of dwelling units needing major repairs and the 1950 count of dilapidated dwelling units are comparable only in a general way.

The data are presented in the series of attached tables. In table 1 the structural and occupancy characteristics are shown for all substandard dwelling units. Table 2 shows the rent paid for renter-occupied substandard units; table 3 shows the condition and plumbing facilities of these same units. Table 4 shows the characteristics of all families (both primary and secondary) living in the substandard units. Table 4a classifies the primary families by family income. Table 5 classifies primary families with no subfamily or secondary family present, by rent as a percent of family income.

In the contract rent tabulation, dwelling units occupied rent-free are tabulated in the "\$9 or less" category. In the gross rent tabulations, however, such units are tabulated as "not reporting," except when utilities were reported.

DEFINITIONS

The definitions used in this special tabulation are generally the same as those used in the 1950 Census of Population and Housing. Some of the more important definitions are given below.

Dwelling unit. -- In general, a dwelling unit is a group of rooms or a single room, occupied, or intended for occupancy, as separate living quarters by a family or other group of persons living together or by a person living alone.

Primary family. -- A primary family consists of two or more persons including the head of the household and all (one or more) persons in the household who are related to the head by blood, marriage, or adoption. It may include a subfamily consisting of a married couple with or without children, or one parent with one or more children under 18 years of age, living in the household and related to but not including the head of the household or his wife. The subfamily is considered a part of the primary family for all tabulation purposes.

Secondary family.--A secondary family consists of two or more persons, such as a lodger or servant and his relatives, living in a household and related to each other but not related to the household head. The secondary family is considered a separate family for tabulation purposes.

 $\underline{\text{Minor.}}$ --A minor is an unmarried person under 21 years of age other than the head of a family or his wife.

Dilapidation. -- A dwelling unit is considered to be dilapidated when it has serious deficiencies, is rundown or neglected, or is of inadequate original construction, so that it does not provide adequate shelter or protection against the elements or it endangers the safety of the occupants.

Plumbing facilities. -- The following are the three plumbing facilities tabulated:

- 1. Flush toilet inside the structure for the unit's exclusive use:
- 2. Installed bathtub or shower inside the structure for the unit's exclusive use; and
- 3. Hot and cold running water inside the structure.

Exclusive use. -- Equipment is for "exclusive use" if it is used only by the occupants of one dwelling unit.

<u>Shared.--Equipment</u> is "shared" when occupants of two or more units use the same equipment.

Family income. -- Family income is the sum of the income received during 1949, by all members of the family. The following items were asked separately for the head of the family and for all other members of the family combined:

- 1. The amount of money wages or salary received in 1949;
- 2. The amount of net money income received from self-employment in 1949; and

3. The amount of other money income received in 1949, such as interest, dividends, veterans' allowances, pensions, or rents.

Gross rent. ---Monthly gross rent is contract rent plus the reported average monthly cost of water, electricity, gas, and other fuel paid for by the renter. If furniture is included in the contract rent, the reported estimated rent of the dwelling unit without furniture is used for the computation instead of the contract rent.

SOURCE AND RELIABILITY OF THE DATA

The data in tables 1 through 4 are based on complete counts, including all dwelling units and families with the specified characteristics. The distributions involving income in tables 4a and 5, however, were prepared from data collected on a sample basis. As part of the 1950 Census, family income data were obtained from about one-fifth of all families. For these tabulations, additional interviews were made to increase the income sample above the 20 percent level. This was accomplished by a subsequent field enumeration of a sample of families who were not in the original sample but were living in substandard dwelling units.

Although the figures shown in tables 1 through 4 are based on the same data as the forthcoming 1950 Census tabulations of these items, they may differ from those to be published as part of the Census. The present tables were obtained by tabulating directly the data as transcribed in the field offices of the Census Bureau and the figures, therefore, do not include all of the refinements that result from a careful examination of the schedules.

In addition, the data are subject to other biases due to errors of response or nonreporting which cannot be corrected in editing. Factors affecting the accuracy of enumeration include the respondent's knowledge of the facts and the ability of the enumerator to obtain accurate information on such items as income, rent, dilapidation and plumbing facilities. The regular 1950 tabulations are also subject to these biases.

Reliability of Estimates in Tables 4a and 5

Because of sampling variability, percentage distributions shown in table 4a and all figures in table 5 may differ from those that would have been obtained from a complete count. (The absolute figures in table 4a represent complete

counts and are not subject to sampling variations.) The reliability estimates which follow give approximate measures of the sampling errors to be expected in these sample data.

Reliability of the percentages in tables 4a and 5.--The allowance to be made for sampling variation in a percentage depends, in general, both on the value of the percentage and the size of the base on which it is determined. If two percentages are of the same magnitude, the one based on a large number of cases in the sample

is, in general, less variable than the one based on a small number of sample cases.

Estimates of reliability are shown in the following table for percentages with bases of primary families of the specified types. The chances are about 19 out of 20 that the differences due to sampling variability between the percentages obtained from the sample and the percentages which would have been obtained from a complete census would be less than the sampling errors shown below.

		Samp	ling variabili	ty if the base	is—	
Percentage shown in table		y families in dwelling units		or seconda	families with ry family prese enter units	
	Total	Owner	Renter	Total	No minors	With minors
0.5	0.7	1.0	0.9	1.0	(1)	(¹)
1.0	1.0	1.4	1.3	1.3		
2.0	1.4	2.0	1.9	1.9		•
3.0	1.7	2.4	2.3	2.3		1
4.0	1.9	2.8	2.6	2.6	1	1
5.0	2.1	3.1	2.9	2.9		l
10.0 15.0	2.9	4.3	4.0	4.1		
20.0	3.5	5.1	4.8	4.8	1	<u>'</u>
25.0	3.9	5.7	- 5•3	5.4		
30.0	4.2	6.2	5.8	5.9		
40.0	4.5 4.8	6.5 7.0	6.1 6.5	6.2 6.6	1	
50.0	4.9	7.1	6.7	6.8	1	

¹ Omitted because percentage distribution is not shown.

To illustrate, for a figure of 10 percent ased on all primary families living in subtandard renter dwelling units, the sampling ariability is 4.0 percent; the chances are pout 19 out of 20 that the percentage obtained / a complete census would have been between 6.0 ercent and 14.0 percent.

Reliability of absolute figures in table 5.-le approximate sampling variability of the ablute figures in table 5 is shown below. The
lances are 19 out of 20 that the differences
litween the numbers shown in table 5 and the
limbers that would have been obtained from a
implete census would be less than the sampling
livers which follow.

Classification	Absolute figure in table 5	Sampling variability
Total	260	6
No minors		17 17

Reliability of differences. -- The estimates of sampling variability shown in the above tables are not directly applicable to differences obtained by subtracting one figure from another. The sampling variability in an observed difference between two figures depends on the sampling variability of each of the figures and the correlation between them.

(A substandard dwelling unit is defined by the Public Housing Administration as a unit which is either dilapidated or does have all of the following plumbing facilities: flush toilet and bath inside the structure for the unit's exclusive u and hot running water)

Characteristic	Total	Owner	Renter	Characteristic	Total	Owner	Re
							<u> </u>
Total number occupied substandard dwelling units	820	290	580	NUMBER OF LODGERS			
Percent of total	100.0	35.4	64.6	Total	100.0	100.0	
NUMBER OF ROOMS				Nonel or more lodgers	97.6 2.4	97.9 2.1	
Total	100.0	100.0	100.0	1 or more loagers	~		
1 room 2 rooms	10.6 33.9	9.0 24.1	11.5 39.2	CONDITION AND PLUMBING FACILITIES			
3 rooms	26.1	19.7	29.6	•			
5 rooms	15.6 8.3	20.0	13.2 3.4	Total	100.0	100.0	
6 rooms	2.6	4.8	1.3	14042			
7 rooms	0.9	1.4	0.6	Not dilapidated:			l
8 rooms or more	1.1	2.4	0.4	With private bath and private flush			
Not reported	1.0	1.4	0.8	toilet, no hot running water With private flush toilet, no	6,5	11.7	
CONDITION Total	100.0	100.0	100.0	private bath	3.9	4.1	
	58.4			flush toilet	44.0	43.8	İ
Not dilapidatedDilapidated	39.5	61.0 37.2	57.0 40.8	No running water inside the structure	4.0	1.4	
Not reported	2.1	1.7	2.3	Dilapidated:			
WATER SUPPLY				With private bath and private flush toilet, hot and cold running water	10.0	13.4	
Total	100.0	100.0	100.0	With private bath and private flush			
Hot and cold piped running water inside				toilet, no hot running water	3.8	5.5	
structure	42.8	33.8	47.7	With private flush toilet, no private bath	3.3	3.1	
Only cold piped running water inside structure	45.7	60.3	37.7	With running water, no private flush toilet	15.1	10.7	1
No piped running water inside structure Not reported	11.5	5.9	14.5	No running water inside the structure	7.3	4.5	
TOILET FACILITIES	_		_	Not reporting condition or plumbing		, ,	
Total	100.0	100.0	100.0	facilities	2,1	1.7	
Flush toilet inside structure,				CONDITION BY NUMBER OF PLUMBING	1		ì
exclusive use	28.0	37.9	22.6	FACILITIES	1		1
Flush toilet inside structure, shared Other toilet facilities (including	29.9	13,8	38.7				
privy)	42.1	48.3	38.7	Total	100.0	100.0	-
	_	_	1 -	Not dilapidated:		}	
BATHING FACILITIES				Lacking 1 facility	9.1	15.9	
Total	100.0	100.0	100.0	Lacking 2 facilities	30.5	18.3	
Installed bathtub or shower inside				Lacking 3 facilities	18.8	26.9	1
structure, exclusive use	24.9	36.6	18.5	Dilapidated:			
Installed bathtub or shower inside	00			With all facilities	10.0	13.4	1
structure, shared	30.4	13.4	39.6	Lacking 1 facility	4.8	6.6	
Other or none,	44.6 0.1	49.7	41.9	Lacking 2 facilities	6.0	4.5 12.8	
NUMBER OF PERSONS				Lacking 3 facilities	18.8	10	
Total	100.0	100.0	100.0	Not reporting condition or plumbing facilities	2.1	1.7	
1 person	40.0	26.9	47.2				
2 persons	22.1	25.9	20.0	NUMBER OF DWELLING UNITS IN STRUCTURE			1
3 persons	13.8	15.2	13.0	NOTIFIED OF PRESENTING UNITS IN STRUCTURE			
4 persons	9.0	10.0	8.5		1		1
5 persons	4.6	6.6	3.6	Total	100.0	100.0	4
6 persons	4.6	7.9	2.8	 			1.
8 persons	2.2	3.4	1.5	1 dwelling unit	59.5	84.1	
9 persons or more	2.3	2.4	2.3	2 to 4 dwelling units	24.9		
	. ~	ii ~•*	1 ~	II - or more gaggerite diffigures es es es es es es es	15.6	11 J.Y	1

Table 2.--CONTRACT AND GROSS RENT OF RENTER-OCCUPIED SUBSTANDARD DWELLING UNITS, FOR REDLANDS, CALIFORNIA: 1950

(See table 1 for the Public Housing Administration definition of substandard dwelling unit)

Monthly contract rent	Total	Furniture in rent	Total	Monthly gross rent	Total
Total number renter-occupied substandard dwelling units. Total, percent. \$9 or less. \$10 to \$14. \$15 to \$19. \$20 to \$24. \$25 to \$29. \$30 to \$34. \$35 to \$39. \$40 to \$49. \$50 or more. Not reported.	530 100.0 24.1 16.0 14.0 18.5 9.2 8.9	Total, percent Furniture included in contract rent Furniture not included in contract rent Not reported	46.6	Total, percent. \$9 or less. \$10 to \$14 \$15 to \$19 \$20 to \$24 \$25 to \$29 \$30 to \$34 \$35 to \$39 \$40 to \$49 \$50 or more Not reported.	12.1 12.6 18.9 21.1 10.0 8.1 2.5 2.3 0.6

Table 3.--CONDITION AND PLUMBING FACILITIES OF RENTER-OCCUPIED SUBSTANDARD DWELLING UNITS, BY GROSS RENT, FOR REDLANDS, CALIFORNIA: 1950

(See table 1 for the Public Housing Administration definition of substandard dwelling unit)

					Monthly a	gross ren	t		
Condition and plumbing facilities	Total	\$19 or less	\$20 to \$24	\$25 to \$29	\$30 to \$34	\$35 to \$3 9	\$40 to \$49	\$50 or more	Not reported
Total number renter-occupied substandard dwelling units	530	231	112	53	43	13	12	3.	63
Percent of total	100.0	43.6	21.1	10.0	8.1	2.5	2.3	0.6	11.9
Not dilapidated: With private bath and private flush toilet, no hot running water With private flush toilet, no private bath With running water, no private flush toilet No running water inside structure		0.9 1.3 15.5 4.3	0.8 1.5 12.6 0.2	0.6 0.4 5.7	0.4 0.2 4.9 0.2	- 1.3	0.2 0.2 1.3	0.4	0.8 0.2 2.5 0.8
Dilapidated: With private bath and private flush toilet, hot and cold running water With private bath and private flush toilet, no hot running water With private flush toilet, no private bath With running water, no private flush toilet No running water inside structure	3.4 17.5	0.9 0.6 2.5 10.2 6.2	1.3 1.3 0.8 2.3 0.2	1.9 0.4 - 0.8	1.5 0.2 - 0.4	1.1	0.6 - - -	0.2	0.6 0.2 0.2 4.0 2.5
Not reporting condition or plumbing facilities	2.3	1.1	0.2	0.4	0.4	-	-		0.2

Table 4.--CHARACTERISTICS OF FAMILIES IN SUBSTANDARD DWELLING UNITS BY TENURE, FOR REDLANDS, CALIFORNIA: 1950

Characteristic	Total	Owner	Renter	Characteristic	Total	Owner	Renter
Total number of families	476	208	268	NUMBER OF PERSONS PER ROOM IN DWELLING UNIT			
Percent of total	100.0	43.7	56.3	Total	100.0	100.0	100.0
TYPE OF FAMILY		×		0.50 or less 0.51 to 0.75	11.8 18.1 28.2	15.9 15.9 25.0	8.6 19.8 30.6
Total	100.0	100.0	100.0	0.76 to 1.00	18.9	20.2	17.9
Primary family Secondary family	99.8 0.2	100.0	99.6 0.4	1.51 to 2.00	12.2 10.3 0.6	13.5 9.1 0.5	11.2 11.2 0.7
NUMBER OF PERSONS IN FAMILY				NUMBER OF MINORS IN FAMILY			
Total	100.0	100.0	100.0	Total	100.0	100.0	100.0
2 persons	36.6 22.1 15.5 8.2 8.0 3.6 6.1	35.6 19.7 13.9 10.1 10.6 4.3 5.8	37.3 23.9 16.8 6.7 6.9 3.0 6.3	No minors. 1 minor. 2 minors. 3 minors. 4 minors. 5 minors. 6 minors or more.	34.2 24.8 16.2 8.2 6.9 4.2 5.5	38.9 19.2 14.4 9.1 8.2 5.3 4.8	30.6 29.1 17.5 7.5 6.0 3.4 6.0

Table 4a.--INCOME IN 1949 OF PRIMARY FAMILIES IN SUBSTANDARD DWELLING UNITS, BY NUMBER OF MINORS AND TENURE, FOR REDLANDS, CALIFORNIA: 1950

				· ·		1	
Family income by number of minors	Total	Owner	Renter	Family income by number of minors	Total	Owner	Renter
Total number of primary families	475	208	267	Two minors	13.9	14.9	13,1
4				\$999 or less	1.8	2.0	1.6
Percent of total	100.0	43.8	56.2	\$1,000 to \$1,249 \$1,250 to \$1,499	0.9	2.0	. •
Total	100.0	100.0	100.0	\$1,500 to \$1,749	0.5 1.8	2.0	0.8 1.6
\$999 or less	15.3	13.9	16.4	\$1,750 to \$1,999	0.9	-	1.6
\$1,000 to \$1,249 \$1,250 to \$1,499	10.7	12.9	9.0	\$2,000 to \$2,249		_	_
\$1,500 to \$1,749	9.0	7.9	9,8	\$2,250 to \$2,499	1.3	3.0	_
\$1,750 to \$1,999	6.7	5.9	7.4	\$2,500 to \$2,749	0.5	_	0.8
	7.6	9.9	5.7	\$2,750 to \$2,999	_	-	-
\$2,000 to \$2,249 \$2,250 to \$2,499	7.1	9.9	4.9	#3 000 to #3 000			
\$2,500 to \$2,749	5.0	4.0	5.7	\$3,000 to \$3,999. \$4,000 to \$4,999.	3.6	2.0	4.9
\$2,750 to \$2,999	5.4	5.0	5.7	\$5,000 or more.	0.4	1.0	-
	2.2	2.0	2.5	Not reported	0.9	2.0	
\$3,000 to \$3,999. \$4,000 to \$4,999.	12.5	12.9	12.3		1.4	1.0	1.6
\$5,000 or more	4.1	3.0	4.9	Three or four minors	2		
Not reported	3.5	5.9	1.6	infee of four minors	15.6	18,8	13.1
	10.9	6.9	13.9	\$999 or less	1.3		
No minors	38.5	41.6	36.1	\$1,000 to \$1,249	0.9	2.0	0.8
\$999 or less	7.6	8.9		\$1,250 to \$1,499	1.3	2.0	0.8
\$1,000 to \$1,249	6.7	6.9	6.6	\$1,500 to \$1,749	0.4	1.0	0.0
\$1,250 to \$1,499	3.1	3.0	6.6 3.3	\$1,750 to \$1,999	2.2	3.0	1.6
\$1,500 to \$1,749	2.7	2.0	3.3	#0 000 to #0 000			
\$1,750 to \$1,999	2.6	5.0	0.8	\$2,000 to \$2,249	0.9	2.0	•
\$2,000 to \$2.249.	3.1			\$2,250 to \$2,499 \$2,500 to \$2,749	1.4	1.0	1.6
\$2,250 to \$2,499	1.4	5.0	1.6	\$2,750 to \$2,999	0.9	1.0	0.8
\$2,500 to \$2,749	1.3	2.0	2.5 0.8	#=,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,	-	-	-
\$2,750 to \$2,999	0.9	2.0	V.6	\$3,000 to \$3,999	3.1	1 40	0.7
\$3,000 to \$3,999	1.8	2.0		\$4,000 to \$4,999	0.5	4.0	2.5 0.8
\$4,000 to \$4,999	2.3	1.0	1.6 3.3	\$5,000 or more	0.4	1.0	0.0
\$5,000 or more	0.4	1.0	0.0	Not reported	. 2.3		4.1
Not reported	4.5	3.0	5.7	· ·			
One minor			, 5.0.	5 minors or more	10.4	8,9	11.5
	21.7	15.8	26.2	1			
\$999 or less	4.1	1.0	6.6	\$999 or less	0.5	-	8.0
\$1,000 to \$1,249	0.9	1.0	0.8	\$1,000 to \$1,249	1.4	1.0	1.6
\$1,250 to \$1,499. \$1,500 to \$1,749.	3.1	3.0	3.3	\$1,250 to \$1,499 \$1,500 to \$1,749	0.9	- 1	1.6
\$1,750 to \$1,999	1.4		2.5	\$1,750 to \$1,999	0.4	1.0	
	0.9	1.0	0.8	1 .	0.9	1.0	0.8
\$2,000 to \$2,249 \$2,250 to \$2,499	3.1	3.0	3.3	\$2,000 to \$2,249	_	_	_
\$2,500 to \$2,749	- 1	-		\$2,250 to \$2,499	0.9	_	1.6
\$2,750 to \$2,999	0.9	1.0	0.8	\$2,500 to \$2,749	1.8	1.0	2.5
	1.4	-	2.5	\$2,750 to \$2,999			-
\$3,000 to \$3,999 \$4,000 to \$4,999	1.3	2.0	0.8	\$3 000 to \$3 000	- 1		
\$5,000 or more.	0.5	-	0.8	\$3,000 to \$3,999. \$4,000 to \$4,999.	2.7	3.0	2.5
Not reported	1.8	2.0	1.6	\$5,000 or more.	0.4	1.0	-
	2.2	2.0	2.5	Not reported	0.4	1.0	-
					V.#	1.0	-

15031

Table 5.--RENT AS PERCENT OF INCOME BY FAMILY INCOME IN 1949 OF PRIMARY FAMILIES WITH NO SUBFAMILY OR SECONDARY FAMILY IN RENTER-OCCUPIED SUBSTANDARD DWELLING UNITS, BY PRESENCE OF MINORS, FOR REDLANDS, CALIFORNIA: 1950

Gross rent as percent of income by family income	Total	No minors	With minors	Gross rent as percent of income by family income	Total	No minors	With minors
Number of families	260	94	166	\$2,000 to \$2,499	8.4		
Percent of total	100.0	36.2	63.8	9 percent or less	0.8		
Total	100.0	(¹)	(¹)	10 percent to 14 percent 15 percent to 19 percent	5.0 2.5		
9 percent or less	19.3	 		20 percent to 24 percent	-		
10 percent to 14 percent	17.6			25 percent to 29 percent	-		
15 percent to 19 percent	15.1			30 percent to 34 percent 35 percent or more	_		}
20 percent to 24 percent 25 percent to 29 percent	4.2 5.0			35 percent or more			ŀ
30 percent to 34 percent	5.0			\$2,500 to \$2,999	8.4		
35 percent or more	7.6						
Not reported	2 26.1			9 percent or less	2.5 3.4	-	
\$1,499 or less	81.1			10 percent to 14 percent	1.7	·	
9 percent or less	2.5			20 percent to 24 percent	-		
10 percent to 14 percent	3.4			25 percent to 29 percent 30 percent to 34 percent	0.8		
15 percent to 19 percent	8.4			35 percent or more	_		
20 percent to 24 percent 25 percent to 29 percent	2.5 4.2				-		
30 percent to 34 percent	2.5			\$3,000 or over	16.8		
35 percent or more	7.6						
\$1,500 to \$1,999	9.2			9 percent or less	12.6 3.4		
9 percent or less	0.8			15 percent to 19 percent	0.8		
10 percent to 14 percent	2.5		'	20 percent to 24 percent	1		
15 percent to 19 percent	1.7			25 percent to 29 percent 30 percent to 34 percent	-		
20 percent to 24 percent 25 percent to 29 percent	1.7]		35 percent or more	_		
30 percent to 34 percent	2.5			• ,			
35 percent or more	~.5			Not reporting income or rent	226.1		

 $^{^{1}}$ Percentage distribution is not shown where the number of cases in the sample is less than 100. 2 Of the 26.1 percent, 13.4 represents families occupying dwelling units rent-free.

1950 CENSUS OF HOUSING

SPECIAL TABULATIONS FOR LOCAL HOUSING AUTHORITIES

March 21, 1951

Washington 25, D. C.

Series HC-6, No. 42*

GRANITE CITY, ILLINOIS: APRIL 1950

This report is based on a transcription and tabulation of data from the 1950 Census of Population and Housing. The statistics relate to dwelling units considered substandard by the Public Housing Administration, in accordance with the contract for these statistics between the Bureau of the Census and the Granite City Housing Authority.

A dwelling unit is considered substandard by the Public Housing Administration if it is either dilapidated or does not have the following plumbing facilities: flush toilet and bath inside the structure for the unit's exclusive use, and hot running water. The Public Housing Administration considers the absence of hot running water to be evidence generally of poor quality of the dwelling unit, but there may be some localities in which the absence of hot running water is not deemed to be a sufficient indication by itself of poor quality. In such localities, a revised count of substandard units may be obtained from table 1 by subtracting from the total the units which lack hot water only. If it is desired to eliminate the units lacking hot water only from the total count of substandard units, the distributions shown in the tables will not be materially affected.

The criteria used to define substandard units in these tabulations are not identical with the housing characteristics included in the published reports from the 1940 Census. Therefore, any comparison of data in this report with the 1940 results must be made with extreme care. A direct comparison cannot be made with the 1940 results because information on hot running water was not collected at that time. Further, the 1940 data on condition were collected showing dwelling units "needing major repairs," whereas in 1950 data on condition were collected showing units as "dilapidated." Because the definitions of these two terms differ significantly, the 1940 count of dwelling units needing major re-

pairs and the 1950 count of dilapidated dwelling units are comparable only in a general way.

In addition to the number of substandard units shown in the tables, there were 21 other units for which there was no report on either condition or the presence of one of the plumbing facilities. Had there been complete reporting on these items, some additional units might have been found to be substandard.

The data are presented in the series of attached tables. In table 1 the structural and occupancy characteristics are shown for all substandard dwelling units. Table 2 shows the rent paid for renter-occupied substandard units; table 3 shows the condition and plumbing facilities of these same units. Table 4 shows the characteristics of all families (both primary and secondary) living in the substandard units. Table 4a classifies the primary families by family income. Table 5 classifies primary families with no subfamily or secondary family present, by rent as a percent of family income.

In the contract rent tabulation, dwelling units occupied rent free are tabulated in the "\$9 or less" category. In the gross rent tabulations, however, such units are tabulated as "not reporting," except when utilities were reported.

DEFINITIONS

The definitions used in this special tabulation are generally the same as those used in the 1950 Census of Population and Housing. Some of the more important definitions are given below.

Dwelling unit. -- In general, a dwelling unit is a group of rooms or a single room, occupied, or intended for occupancy, as separate living quarters by a family or other group of persons living together or by a person living alone.

Primary family. -- A primary family consists of two or more persons including the head of the household and all (one or more) persons in the household who are related to the head by blood, marriage, or adoption. It may include a subfamily consisting of a married couple with or without children, or one parent with one or more children under 18 years of age, living in the household and related to but not including the head of the household or his wife. The subfamily is considered a part of the primary family for all tabulation purposes.

Secondary family. -- A secondary family consists of two or more persons, such as a lodger or servant and his relatives, living in a household and related to each other but not related to the household head. The secondary family is considered a separate family for tabulation purposes.

Minor. -- A minor is an unmarried person under 21 years of age other than the head of a family or his wife.

Dilapidation.--A dwelling unit is considered to be dilapidated when it has serious deficiencies, is rundown or neglected, or is of inadequate original construction, so that it does not provide adequate shelter or protection against the elements or it endangers the safety of the occupants.

Plumbing facilities. -- The following are the three plumbing facilities tabulated:

- l. Flush toilet inside the structure for the unit's exclusive use;
- 2. Installed bathtub or shower inside the structure for the unit's exclusive use; and
- 3. Hot and cold running water inside the structure.

Exclusive use.--Equipment is for "exclusive use" if it is used only by the occupants of one dwelling unit.

Shared.--Equipment is "shared" when occupants of two or more units use the same equipment.

Family income. -- Family income is the sum of the income received during 1949, by all members of the family. The following items were asked separately for the head of the family and for all other members of the family combined:

- 1. The amount of money wages or salary received in 1949;
- The amount of net money income received from self-employment in 1949; and

3. The amount of other money income received in 1949, such as interest, dividends, veterans' allowances, pensions, or rents.

Gross rent. ---Monthly gross rent is contract rent plus the reported average monthly cost of water, electricity, gas, and other fuel paid for by the renter. If furniture is included in the contract rent, the reported estimated rent of the dwelling unit without furniture is used for the computation instead of the contract rent.

SOURCE AND RELIABILITY OF THE DATA

The data in tables 1 through 4 are based on complete counts, including all dwelling units and families with the specified characteristics. The distributions involving income in tables 4a and 5, however, were prepared from data collected on a sample basis. As part of the 1950 Census, family income data were obtained from about one-fifth of all families. For these tabulations, additional interviews were made to increase the income sample above the 20 percent level. This was accomplished by a subsequent field enumeration of a sample of families who were not in the original sample but were living in substandard dwelling units.

Although the figures shown in tables 1 through 4 are based on the same data as the forthcoming 1950 Census tabulations of these items, they may differ from those to be published as part of the Census. The present tables were obtained by tabulating directly the data as transcribed in the field offices of the Census Bureau and the figures, therefore, do not include all of the refinements that result from a careful examination of the schedules.

In addition, the data are subject to other biases due to errors of response or nonreporting which cannot be corrected in editing. Factors affecting the accuracy of enumeration include the respondent's knowledge of the facts and the ability of the enumerator to obtain accurate information on such items as income, rent, dilapidation and plumbing facilities. The regular 1950 tabulations are also subject to these biases.

Reliability of Estimates in Tables 4a and 5

Because of sampling variability, percentage distributions shown in table 4a and all figures in table 5 may differ from those that would have been obtained from a complete count. (The absolute figures in table 4a represent complete

counts and are not subject to sampling variations.) The reliability estimates which follow give approximate measures of the sampling errors to be expected in these sample data.

Reliability of the percentages in tables 4a and 5.--The allowance to be made for sampling variation in a percentage depends, in general, both on the value of the percentage and the size of the base on which it is determined. If two percentages are of the same magnitude, the one based on a large number of cases in the sample

is, in general, less variable than the one based on a small number of sample cases.

Estimates of reliability are shown in the following table for percentages with bases of primary families of the specified types. The chances are about 19 out of 20 that the differences due to sampling variability between the percentages obtained from the sample and the percentages which would have been obtained from a complete census would be less than the sampling errors shown below.

	Sampling variability if the base is							
Percentage shown in table		y families in dwelling units		All primary families with no subfamily or secondary family present, in substandard renter units				
,	Total	Owner	Renter	Total	No minors	With minors		
0.5 1.0 2.0 3.0 4.0 5.0 10.0 15.0 20.0 25.0	0.5 0.7 1.0 1.2 1.3 1.5 2.1 2.5 2.7 3.0	0.7 1.0 1.4 1.7 2.0 2.2 3.0 3.6 4.0 4.4	0.7 0.9 1.6 1.6 1.9 2.1 2.8 3.4 3.8 4.1	0.7 0.9 1.3 1.6 1.8 2.0 2.8 3.4 3.8 4.1	1.0 1.5 2.1 2.5 2.9 3.2 4.4 5.3 5.9 6.4	0.9 1.2 1.7 2.1 2.4 2.7 3.7 4.4 4.9 5.8		
-				4.8 4.6 4.7	6.8 7.2 7.4	5.6 6.0 6.1		

To illustrate, for a figure of 10 percent based on all primary families living in substandard renter dwelling units, the sampling variability is 2.8 percent; the chances are about 19 out of 20 that the percentage obtained by a complete census would have been between 7.2 percent and 12.8 percent.

Reliability of absolute figures in table 5.-The approximate sampling variability of the absolute figures in table 5 is shown below. The chances are 19 out of 20 that the differences between the numbers shown in table 5 and the numbers that would have been obtained from a complete census would be less than the sampling errors which follow.

Classification	Absolute figure in table 5	Sampling variability
Total	1,288	13
No minors	533 755	60 61

Reliability of differences. The estimates of sampling variability shown in the above tables are not directly applicable to differences obtained by subtracting one figure from another. The sampling variability in an observed difference between two figures depends on the sampling variability of each of the figures and the correlation between them.

Table 1.--STRUCTURAL AND OCCUPANCY CHARACTERISTICS, CONDITION, AND PLUMBING FACILITIES OF OCCUPIED SUBSTANDARD DWELLING UNITS, BY TENURE, FOR GRANITE CITY, ILLINOIS: 1950

(A substandard dwelling unit is defined by the Public Housing Administration as a unit which is either dilapidated or does not have all of the following plumbing facilities: flush toilet and bath inside the structure for the unit's exclusive use, and hot running water)

Characteristic	Total	0wner	Renter	Characteristic	Total	Owner	Renter
Total number occupied substandard dwelling units	. 2,779	1,240	1,533	NUMBER OF LODGERS			
Percent of total	100.0	44.7	55,3	Total	100.0	100.0	100.0
NUMBER OF ROOMS	. 100.0	100.0	100.0	None	97.4 2.6	97.8 2.7	97 .4 2 . 6
1 room	5.3 22.6	2.7 7.5 35.4	7.4 34.8 41.6	CONDITION AND PLUMBING FACILITIES			
4 rooms. 5 rooms. 6 rooms.	22.3 6.9 2.8	33.8 13.1 5.3	12.9 1.9 0.8	Total	100.0	100.0	100,0
7 rooms	0.6	1.1 1.0	0.1 0.1 0.4	Not dilapidated: With private bath and private flush toilet, no hot running water	2.1	3.0	1.4
CONDITION				With private flush toilet, no private bath	12.7	15.6	10.4
Total Not dilapidated Dilapidated	69.1	100.0 69.0 29.5	100.0 69.1 29.0	With running water, no private flush toilet No running water inside the structure	51.4 2.1	47.7 2.7	54.4 1.6
Not reportedWATER SUPPLY		1.5	1.9	Dilapidated: With private bath and private flush toilet, hot and cold running water.	8.0	4.7	1.6
Total		100.0	100.0	With private bath and private flush toilet, no hot running water	1.4	1.9	1,0
Hot and cold piped running water inside structure	33,5	32,5	34.4	With private flush toilet, no private bath	3.5	4.8	2.5
structure No piped running water inside structur Not reported	5.1	62.0 5.5	60.7 4.8 0.1	flush toilet No running water inside the structure	18.5 2.8	15.4 2.7	20.9 2.8
TOILET FACILITIES				Not reporting condition or plumbing facilities	2.5	1.5	8,8
Total Flush toilet inside structure,	- 100.0	100.0	100.0				
exclusive use	20.1	30.2 10.3	17.2 28.0	CONDITION BY NUMBER OF PLUMBING FACILITIES			
privy)		59.4	54.1 0.7	Total	100.0	100.0	100.0
BATHING FACILITIES Total		100.0		Not dilapidated: Lacking 1 facilityLacking 2 facilities	8.6 29.1	10.6 28.1	7.0 29.9
Installed bathtub or shower inside structure, exclusive use			7.2	Lacking 3 facilities Dilapidated:	30.7	30.3	80.9
Installed bathtub or shower inside structure, shared	70.9	76.8	25.4 66.5	With all facilities Lacking 1 facility Lacking 2 facilities	8.0 2.6 5,4	4.7 3.5 6.4	1.6 2.0 4.7
NUMBER OF PERSONS	0,5	0.1	0.8	Lacking 3 facilities	18.1	15.0	20.6
Total	. 100.0	100.0	100.0	Not reporting condition or plumbing facilities	2.5	1.5	8.8
1 person	· 11.8 · 28.2 · 28.1	9.4 22.7 21.5	13.8 32.6 24.8	NUMBER OF DWELLING UNITS IN STRUCTURE			
5 persons	10.3	18.8 12.7 6.9	14.8 8.4 3.7	Total	100-0	100.0	100.0
7 persons	2.8	3.8 2.3	1.0 0.7 0.7	1 dwelling unit	48.4 42.7 8.9	77.6 21.6 0.8	24.7 59.8 15.5

Table 2.--CONTRACT AND GROSS RENT OF RENTER-OCCUPIED SUBSTANDARD DWELLING UNITS, FOR GRANITE CITY, ILLINOIS: 1950

(See table 1 for the Public Housing Administration defirition of substandard dwelling unit)

Monthly contract rent	Total.	Furniture in rent	Total	Monthly gross rent	Total
Total number renter-occupied substandard dwelling units. Total, percent. \$9 or less. \$10 to \$14. \$15 to \$19. \$20 to \$24. \$25 to \$29. \$30 to \$34. \$35 to \$39. \$40 to \$49. \$50 or more Not reported.	1,538 100.0 9.4 12.8 17.0 12.9 9.7 9.1 5.9 11.4 10.1	Total, percent Furniture included in contract rent Furniture not included in contract rent Not reported	100.0 31.4 59.9 8.7	Total, percent. \$9 or less. \$10 to \$14. \$15 to \$19. \$20 to \$24. \$25 to \$29. \$30 to \$34. \$35 to \$39. \$40 to \$49. \$50 or more. Not reported.	100.0 4.7 7.0 9.7 18.1 18.9 15.7 7.8 9.2 5.4 3.5

Table 3.--CONDITION AND PLUMBING FACILITIES OF RENTER-OCCUPIED SUBSTANDARD DWELLING UNITS, BY GROSS RENT, FOR GRANITE CITY, ILLINOIS: 1950

(See table 1 for the Public Housing Administration definition of substandard dwelling unit)

					Monthly	gross ren	t		~~
Condition and plumbing facilities	Total	\$19 or less	\$20 to \$24	\$25 to \$29	\$30 to \$34	\$35 to \$39	\$40 to \$49	\$50 or more	Not reported
Total number renter-occupied substandard dwelling units	1,533	328	278	289	241	120	141	83	53
Percent of total	100.0	21.4	18.1	18,9	15.7	7.8	9.2	5.4	3.5
Not dilapidated: With private bath and private flush toilet, no hot running water With private flush toilet, no private bath With running water, no private flush toilet No running water inside structure	1.4 10.4 54.4 1.6	0.1 1.6 10.8 0.7	0.1 1.4 10.8 0.3	0.3 2.1 10.6 0.3	0.4 1.6 8.1 0.1	0.1 1.1 4.2	0.1 1.3 5.3 0.1	0.3 1.2 3.1	0.1 1.4 0.1
Dilapidated: With private bath and private flush toilet, hot and cold running water With private bath and private flush toilet, no hot running water With private flush toilet, no private bath With running water, no private flush toilet No running water inside structure	1.6 1.0 2.5 20.9 2.8	0.2 0.1 0.5 5.2 1.8	0.1 0.1 0.3 4.2 0.1	0.3 0.1 0.6 3.7 0.1	0.2 0.3 0.5 3.8 0.1	0.1 0.1 0.4 1.5 0.3	0.4 0.3 0.3 1.1	0.3	0.1 1.0 0.4
Not reporting condition or plumbing facilities	3.8	0.6	0.8	0.7	0.6	0.1	0.8	0.1	0.3

Table 4.--CHARACTERISTICS OF FAMILIES IN SUBSTANDARD DWELLING UNITS BY TENURE, FOR GRANITE CITY, ILLINOIS: 1950

Characteristic	Total	Owner	Renter	Characteristic	Total	Owner	Renter
Total number of families	2,418	1,112	1,306	NUMBER OF PERSONS PER ROOM IN DWELLING UNIT			
Percent of total	100.0	46.0	54.0	Total	100.0	100.0	100.0
TYPE OF FAMILY				0.50 or less	7.6 22.7	11.3 25.3	4.4 20.5
Total	100.0	100.0	100.0	0.76 to 1.00	29.9 21.8	27.4 21.9	32.1
Primary family	99.8 0.2	99.9 0.1	99.8 0.2	1.51 to 2.00	12.2 5.5	9,5 4.5	21.7 14.4 6.4
NUMBER OF PERSONS IN FAMILY		-		NUMBER OF MINORS IN FAMILY	0.2		0.5
Total	100.0	100.0	100.0	Total	100.0	100.0	100.0
2 persons. 3 persons. 4 persons. 5 persons.	32.0 26.1 19.2 11.7	25.1 23.6 21.1 14.3	37.9 28.2 17.6 9.4	No minors	36.4 25.6 19.4 10.1	32.2 23.5 20.6 12.0	40.0 27.5 18.5 8.5
6 persons	5.5 2.7 2.9	7.1 4.3 4.5	4.1 1.3 1.5	4 minors	4.6 1.9 1.9	6.0 2.8 3.0	3.4 1.1 1.1

Table 4a.--INCOME IN 1949 OF PRIMARY FAMILIES IN SUBSTANDARD DWELLING UNITS, BY NUMBER OF MINORS AND TENURE, FOR GRANITE CITY, ILLINOIS: 1950

Family income by number of minors	Total	Owner	Renter	Family income by number of minors	Total	Owner	Renter
Total number of primary		•		Two minors	18.7	19.3	18.2
families	2,414	1,111	1,303	1,10 1111111111111111111111111111111111			
		+	-	\$999 or less	1.4	2.0	0.9
Percent of total	100.0	46.0	54.0	\$1,000 to \$1,249	0.3	0.8	0.
				\$1,250 to \$1,499	0.3	0.7	0.0
Total	100.0	100.0	100,0	\$1,500 to \$1,749 \$1,750 to \$1,999	0.5 0.6	0.4 0.3	0.0
\$999 or less	7.7	10.6	5.2	# 2 ,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,	0.0	0.0	.
\$1,000 to \$1,249	3.8	3.1	4.5	\$2,000 to \$2,249	1.5	1.0	1.9
\$1,250 to \$1,499 \$1,500 to \$1,749	1.3	1.4	1.1	\$2,250 to \$2,499	1.9	1.4	2.
\$1,750 to \$1,999	4.1	3.8	4.4	\$2,500 to \$2,749 \$2,750 to \$2,999	2.0	1.7	2.
	4.4	2.4	6.2	\$2,730 00 \$2,339	1.7	1.4	2.
\$2,000 to \$2,249 \$2,250 to \$2,499	10.3	9.6	11.0	.\$3,000 to \$3,999	4.8	5.6	4.
2,500 to \$2,749	7.3 10.0	6.5 11.0	8.0 9.2	\$4,000 to \$4,999	1.9	2.4	1.
2,750 to \$2,999	7.9	5.8	9.7	\$5,000 or more	1.3	1.4	1.
\$3,000 to \$3,999	21.1	22.1	20.4	Not reported	0.5	0.7	0.
\$4,000 to \$4,999	9.6	9.7	9.5				
5,000 or more	7.9	10.0	6.1	Three or four minors	14.7	19.2	<u>10.</u>
Not reported	4.5	4.1	4.9	#000 1		١	_
No minore	07.0	20.4		\$999 or less	0.5 0.5	0.3	0. 0.
No minors	87.6	33,6	40.9	\$1,250 to \$1,499	0.5	U.3	
999 or less	4.1	6.2	2.8	\$1,500 to \$1,749	1.2	1.4	1.
\$1,000 to \$1,249	2.1	1.4	2.8	\$1,750 to \$1,999	0.6	-	1.
\$1,250 to \$1,499 \$1,500 to \$1,749	0.8	0.7	0.9				
\$1,750 to \$1,999	1.7 1.8	1.4 1.4	1.9 2.2	\$2,000 to \$2,249 \$2,250 to \$2,499	2.2	3.1	1.
		1	1	\$2,500 to \$2,749	0.8 2.5	2.7	2.
\$2,000 to \$2,249 \$2,250 to \$2,499	2.9 3.1	2.4	3.3	\$2,750 to \$2,999	0.9	1.4	0.
\$2,500 to \$2,749	2.8	2.7	2.9				_
2,750 to \$2,999	2.9	1.4	4.3	\$3,000 to \$3,999	3.1	4.1	2.
\$3,000 to \$3,999	7.6	7.9	7.8	\$4,000 to \$4,999	0.5	0.7	0.
4,000 to \$4,999	3.8	2.8	4.7	\$5,000 or more	1.3	2.4	0.
\$5,000 or more	1.8	1.4	2.2	Not reported	0.6	1.4	
Not reported	2.2	1.7	2.6	5 minors		4 =	.
One minor	05.0			5 minors or more	3,8	4,5	3,
	25.3	23,4	27.0	\$999 or less	_	-	
999 or less	1.7	2.1	1.4	\$1,000 to \$1,249	_	_	1
\$1,000 to \$1,249 \$1,250 to \$1,499	0.9	1.0	0.9	\$1,250 to \$1,499	_	. →	ĺ
1,500 to \$1,749	0,2	~ 7	0.8	\$1,500 to \$1,749	-	-	
1,750 to \$1,999	0.8	0.7	0.9	\$1,750 to \$1,999	0.3	-	0.
\$2,000 to \$2,249	ł	11	ł	\$2,000 to \$2,249	0.6	0.7	0.
\$2,250 to \$2,499	3.1	2.4	3.7	\$2,250 to \$2,499	0.5	0.7	0.
\$2,500 to \$2,749	2.2	3.1	1.4	\$2,500 to \$2,749	0.5	0.7	0.
\$2,750 to \$2,999	2.2	1.7	2.6	\$2,750 to \$2,999	0.2	_	0.
\$3,000 to \$3,999	5.2	4.1	6.1	#3 000 += #3 000]	-
\$4,000 to \$4,999	3.1	3.4	2.9	\$3,000 to \$3,999 \$4,000 to \$4,999	0.5	0.3	0.
\$5,000 or more	2.7	3.4	2.0	\$5,000 or more	0.8	0.3	0.
Not reported	1.1	lt .	2.0		0.2	0.3	1

Table 5.--RENT AS PERCENT OF INCOME BY FAMILY INCOME IN 1949 OF PRIMARY FAMILIES WITH NO SUBFAMILY OR SECONDARY FAMILY IN RENTER-OCCUPIED SUBSTANDARD DWELLING UNITS, BY PRESENCE OF MINORS, FOR GRANITE CITY, ILLINOIS: 1950

Gross rent as percent of income by family income	Total	No minors	With minors	Gross rent as percent of income by family income	Total	No minors	With minors
Number of families	1,288	533	755	\$2,000 to \$2,499	18.6	17.2	19.6
Percent of total	100.0	41.4	58,6	9 percent or less	2.8	2.1 4.9	2.5 4.0
Total	100,0	100.0	100.0	10 percent to 14 percent 15 percent to 19 percent	4.3 7.4	5.6	8.7
9 percent or less	32.1	32.3	32.1 28.8	20 percent to 24 percent 25 percent to 29 percent	2.2 2.0	3.2 0.7	1.5 3.0
10 percent to 14 percent 15 percent to 19 percent	25.7 17.9	21.4 17.2	18.4	30 percent to 34 percent	0.3	0.7	4 4
20 percent to 24 percent 25 percent to 29 percent	6.6 3.7	8.1 4.6	5.4 8.0	-		3.0.0	50.6
30 percent to 34 percent 35 percent or more	1.2 5.4	1.4 5.7	1.0 4.4	\$2,500 to \$2,999	18.6	16.3	20.3
Not reported	7.4	8.1	6.9	9 percent or less	5.4 7.8	6.0 4.9	4.9 9.9
\$1,499 or less	9.5	13.7	6,4	15 percent to 19 percent 20 percent to 24 percent	3.9 1.4	3.2 2.1	4.4 1.0
9 percent or less	1.4	1.4 0.7	1.5	25 percent to 29 percent 30 percent to 34 percent	-	_	-
15 percent to 19 percent 20 percent to 24 percent	0.6	1.4		35 percent or more	-	<u> </u>	-
25 percent to 29 percent	1.2	2.8	-	\$3,000 or over	35.5	34.6	36.1
30 percent to 34 percent 35 percent or more	0.9 5.1	0.7 6.7	1.0 4.0	9 percent or less	20.9	20.6	21.2
\$1,500 to \$1,999	10.4	10.0	10.7	10 percent to 14 percent	9.5	8.4	10.2
9 percent or less	2.0 3.8	2.1 2.5	2.0 4.8	15 percent to 19 percent 20 percent to 24 percent	3.7 1.4	1.4	1.5
15 percent to 19 percent	2.3	2.8	2.0	25 percent to 29 percent 30 percent to 34 percent	1 1	_	- m
20 percent to 24 percent 25 percent to 29 percent	1.4 0.5	1.4	1.5	35 percent or more	-	-	-
30 percent to 34 percent 35 percent or more	0.3	-	0.5	Not reporting income or rent	7.4	8.1	6.9

50445

1950 CENSUS OF HOUSING

SPECIAL TABULATIONS FOR LOCAL HOUSING AUTHORITIES

November 14, 1950

Washington 25, D. C.

Series HC-6, No. 43

FREDERICK, MARYLAND: APRIL 1950

This report is based on a transcription and tabulation of data from the 1950 Census of Population and Housing. The statistics relate to dwelling units considered substandard by the Public Housing Administration, in accordance with the contract for these statistics between the Bureau of the Census and the Housing Authority of the City of Frederick.

A dwelling unit is considered substandard by the Public Housing Administration if it is either dilapidated or does not have the following plumbing facilities: flush toilet and bath inside the structure for the unit's exclusive use, and hot running water. The Public Housing Administration considers the absence of hot running water to be evidence generally of poor quality of the dwelling unit, but there may be some localities in which the absence of hot running water is not deemed to be a sufficient indication by itself of poor quality. In such localities, a revised count of substandard units may be obtained from table 1 by subtracting from the total the units which lack hot water only. If it is desired to eliminate the units lacking hot water only from the total count of substandard units, the distributions shown in the tables will not be materially affected.

The criteria used to define substandard units in these tabulations are not identical with the housing characteristics included in the published reports from the 1940 Census. Therefore, any comparison of data in this report with the 1940 results must be made with extreme care. A direct comparison cannot be made with the 1940 results because information on hot running water was not collected at that time. Further, the 1940 data on condition were collected showing dwelling units "needing major repairs," whereas

in 1950 data on condition were collected showing units as "dilapidated." Because the definitions of these two terms differ significantly, the 1940 count of dwelling units needing major repairs and the 1950 count of dilapidated dwelling units are comparable only in a general way.

The data are presented in the series of attached tables. In table 1 the structural and occupancy characteristics are shown for all substandard dwelling units. Table 2 shows the rent paid for renter-occupied substandard units; table 3 shows the condition and plumbing facilities of these same units. Table 4 shows the characteristics of all families (both primary and secondary) living in the substandard units. Table 4a classifies the primary families by family income. Table 5 classifies primary families with no subfamily or secondary family present, by rent as a percent of family income.

In the contract rent tabulation, dwelling units occupied rent-free are tabulated in the "\$9 or less" category. In the gross rent tabulations, however, such units are tabulated as "not reporting," except when utilities were reported.

DEFINITIONS

The definitions used in this special tabulation are generally the same as those used in the 1950 Census of Population and Housing. Some of the more important definitions are given below.

Dwelling unit. -- In general, a dwelling unit is a group of rooms or a single room, occupied, or intended for occupancy, as separate living quarters by a family or other group of persons living together or by a person living alone.

15029

Primary family. -- A primary family consists of two or more persons including the head of the household and all (one or more) persons in the household who are related to the head by blood, marriage, or adoption. It may include a subfamily consisting of a married couple with or without children, or one parent with one or more children under 18 years of age, living in the household and related to but not including the head of the household or his wife. The subfamily is considered a part of the primary family for all tabulation purposes.

Secondary family. -- A secondary family consists of two or more persons, such as a lodger or servant and his relatives, living in a household and related to each other but not related to the household head. The secondary family is considered a separate family for tabulation purposes.

 $\underline{\text{Minor.--A}}$ minor is an unmarried person under 21 years of age other than the head of a family or his wife.

Dilapidation. -- A dwelling unit is considered to be dilapidated when it has serious deficiencies, is rundown or neglected, or is of inadequate original construction, so that it does not provide adequate shelter or protection against the elements or it endangers the safety of the occupants.

Plumbing facilities. -- The following are the three plumbing facilities tabulated:

- 1. Flush toilet inside the structure for the unit's exclusive use:
- 2. Installed bathtub or shower inside the structure for the unit's exclusive use; and
- 3. Hot and cold running water inside the structure.

Exclusive use. -- Equipment is for "exclusive use" if it is used only by the occupants of one dwelling unit.

Shared. -- Equipment is "shared" when occupants of two or more units use the same equipment.

Family income. -- Family income is the sum of the income received during 1949, by all members of the family. The following items were asked separately for the head of the family and for all other members of the family combined:

- 1. The amount of money wages or salary received in 1949;
- 2. The amount of net money income received from self-employment in 1949; and

3. The amount of other money income received in 1949, such as interest, dividends, veterans allowances, pensions, or rents.

Gross rent. -- Monthly gross rent is contract rent plus the reported average monthly cost of water, electricity, gas, and other fuel paid for by the renter. If furniture is included in the contract rent, the reported estimated rent of the dwelling unit without furniture is used for the computation instead of the contract rent.

SOURCE AND RELIABILITY OF THE DATA

The data in tables 1 through 4 are based on complete counts, including all dwelling units and families with the specified characteristics. For nonwhite families tables 4a and 5 also represent complete counts, but for white families the distributions involving income in these tables were prepared from data collected on a sample basis. As part of the 1950 Census, family income data were obtained from about onefifth of all families. For these tabulations, however, a subsequent field enumeration was made of families who were not in the original sample but were living in substandard dwelling units. These additional interviews resulted in income data for all nonwhite families and an increase in the income sample for white families above the 20 percent level.

Although the figures shown in tables 1 through 4 are based on the same data as the forthcoming 1950 Census tabulations of these items, they may differ from those to be published as part of the census. The present tables were obtained by tabulating directly the data as transcribed in the field offices of the Census Bureau and the figures, therefore, do not include all of the refinements that result from a careful examination of the schedules.

In addition, the data are subject to other biases due to errors of response or nonreporting which cannot be corrected in editing. Factors affecting the accuracy of enumeration include the respondent's knowledge of the facts and the ability of the enumerator to obtain accurate information on such items as income, rent, dilapidation and plumbing facilities. The regular 1950 tabulations are also subject to these biases.

Reliability of Estimates in Tables 4a and 5

Because of sampling variability, percentage distributions shown in table 4a for total families and for white families, and all figures in table 5 for total families and for white families, may

differ from those that would have been obtained from a complete count. (The absolute figures in table 4a and all data for nonwhite families in tables 4a and 5 represent complete counts and are not subject to sampling variations.) The reliability estimates which follow give approximate measures of the sampling errors to be expected in these sample data.

Reliability of the percentages in tables 4a and 5.--The allowance to be made for sampling variation in a percentage depends, in general, both on the value of the percentage and the size of the base on which it is determined. If two

percentages are of the same magnitude, the one based on a large number of cases in the sample is, in general, less variable than the one based on a small number of sample cases.

Estimates of reliability are shown in the following table for percentages with bases of white primary families of the specified types. The chances are about 19 out of 20 that the differences due to sampling variability between the percentages obtained from the sample and the percentages which would have been obtained from a complete census would be less than the sampling errors shown below.

		Samp	ling variabilit	y if the base	is	
Percentage shown in table		te primary fam ndard dwelling		subfamily	primary famili or secondary substandard re	family pre-
	Total	Owner	Rent er	Total	No minors	With minors
0.5 1.0 2.0 3.0 4.0 5.0 10.0 15.0 20.0 25.0 30.0 40.0 50.0	0.7 0.9 1.3 1.6 1.8 2.0 2.8 3.3 3.7 4.0 4.2 4.5 4.6	(1)	0.7 1.0 1.4 1.7 2.0 2.2 3.1 3.7 4.1 4.4 4.7 5.0	0.7 1.0 1.5 1.8 2.0 2.3 3.1 3.7 4.2 4.5 4.8 5.1	(1)	0.9 1.3 1.8 2.2 2.5 2.5 2.8 3.8 4.6 5.1 5.5 5.8

1 Omitted because percentage distribution is not shown.

To illustrate, for a figure of 10 percent based on white primary families living in substandard renter dwelling units, the sampling variability is 3.1 percent; the chances are about 19 out of 20 that the percentage obtained by a complete census would have been between 6.9 percent and 13.1 percent.

The sampling variability of a specified percentage of total primary families with designated characteristics will vary according to the proportion of white families and nonwhite families making up this percentage. For example, consider the sampling variability of a figure of 10 percent based on total primary families. The maximum sampling error to be expected of such a figure would occur when the entire 10 percent includes only white primary families and the chances are about 19 out of 20 that this sampling error would not exceed 2.4 percent. If the entire 10 percent includes only nonwhite primary families no sampling error would be present. For other specific characteristics composed of 10 percent of total primary families the sampling variability may assume any value up to the maximum.

Reliability of absolute figures in table 5.—
The approximate sampling variability of the absolute figures in table 5 is shown below. The chances are 19 out of 20 that differences between the numbers shown in table 5 and the numbers that would have been obtained from a complete census would be less than the sampling errors which follow.

Classification	Sampling variability of absolute figures in table 5 for total families and white families
Total No minors With minors	1 <i>2</i> ° 28 29

Reliability of differences. The estimates of sampling variability shown in the above tables are not directly applicable to differences obtained by subtracting one figure from another. The sampling variability in an observed difference between two figures depends on the sampling variability of each of the figures and the correlation between them.

Table 1.--STRUCTURAL AND OCCUPANCY CHARACTERISTICS, CONDITION, AND PLUMBING FACILITIES OF OCCUPIED SUBSTANDARD DWELLING UNITS, BY TENURE AND COLOR OF OCCUPANTS, FOR FREDERICK, MARYLAND: 1950

(A substandard dwelling unit is defined by the Public Housing Administration as a unit which is either dilapidated or does not have all of the following plumbing facilities: flush toilet and bath inside the structure for the unit's exclusive use, and hot running water)

		Total			White		ı	Nonwhite	
Characteristic	Total	Owner	Renter	Total	Owner	Renter	Total	Owner	Renter
Total number occupied substandard dwelling units	1,144	198	951	848	173	675	296	20	27
Percent of total	100.0	16.9	83.1	74.1	15.1	59.0	25.9	1.7	24,
NUMBER OF ROOMS									
Total	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	(1)	100.
l room	2.8	2.6	2.8	3.1	2.3	3.3	2.0		1.
2 rooms	9.1 17.8	4.7 14.5	10.0 18.5	9.2 17.0	5.2 16.2	10.2	8.8	il 	9.
4 rooms	23.1	14.5	24.8	17.9	13.9	19.0	37.8	(39.
5 rooms	19.1 25.4	24.4 29.0	18.0 24.7	19.1	24.3	17.8 31.3	18.9	1	18.
7 rooms	1.4	5.2	0.6	1.4	4.6	0.6	1.4	1	0.
8 rooms or more	1.3	5.2	0.5	1.5	4.6	0.7	0.7	1	1
Not reported	-	-	-	-	-	-	-		
CONDITION	700.0		:			100.0	100.0	(1)	100
Total	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	#	100,
Not dilapidated	74.8 25.2	90.2 9.8	71.7	86.2 13.8	93.1 6.9	84.4 15.6	42.2 57.8		40, 59,
Not reported	-	_	-	-	-	-	-		
WATER SUPPLY		ļ							
Total	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	(1)	100
Hot and cold piped running water inside structure	22.6	.40.9	18.8	28.2	43.4	24.3	6.4	1	5
Only cold piped running water inside structure	74.8	56.5	78.5	70.4	54.3	74.5	87.5		88
No piped running water inside structure Not reported	2.6	2.6	2.6	1.4	2.3	1.2	6.1	(I	6
		_		_	-	-	_		
TOILET FACILITIES	100.0	300.0		700.0				(1)	100
Total	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	1	
Flush toilet inside structure, exclusive use Flush toilet inside structure, shared	63.7	69.4	62.6	68.0	67.6	68.1	51.4		48
Other toilet facilities (including privy)	24.1	15.0 15.5	11.6 25.9	16.2 15.8	16.8	16.0 15.9	0.7 48.0	1	50
Not reported	-	-	-	-	-	-	-		
BATHING FACILITIES	-								
Total	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	(1)	100
Installed bathtub or shower inside structure,								1	
exclusive use Installed bathtub or shower inside structure,	4.5	4.1	4.6	4.2	3.5	4.4	5.4	1	5
shared	11.8	15.0	11.1	15.8	16.8	15.6	0.3	1	
Other or none	83.7	80.8	84.2	80.0	79.8	80.0	94.3	İ	94
		-	_	_	-	-	-		1
NUMBER OF PERSONS								1	1 200
Total		100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	(1	1
1 person 2 persons	13.3 25.6	18.7	12.2	12.3 26.7	17.9	10.8	16.2	ľ	1:
3 persons		16.6	19.7	20.5	16.8	21.5	15.2	Ì	1
4 persons 5 persons	16.5	14.0	17.0	17.2	14.5	17.9	14.5	1	1
6 persons		3.6	8.5	9.6 7.0	6.9 4.0	10.2	7.8		1
7 persons 8 persons		2.1	4.0	3.4	2.3	3.7	4.4		
9 persons or more		2.1	2.2	2.0	1.7	2.1	4.1 5.4		
NUMBER OF LODGERS	1								
Total	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	(1) 10
None		92.2	89.6	93.7	92.5	94.1	79.4		7
1 or more lodgers	10.0	7.8	10.4	6.2	7.5	5.9	20.6	li .	2

Table 1.--STRUCTURAL AND OCCUPANCY CHARACTERISTICS, CONDITION, AND PLUMBING FACILITIES OF OCCUPIED SUBSTANDARD DWELLING UNITS, BY TENURE AND COLOR OF OCCUPANTS, FOR FREDERICK, MARYLAND: 1950—Con.

(A substandard dwelling unit is defined by the Public Housing Administration as a unit which is either dilapidated or does not have all of the following plumbing facilities: flush toilet and bath inside the structure for the unit's exclusive use, and hot running water)

		Total			White		N	onwhite	
Characteristic	Total	Owner	Renter	Total	Owner	Renter	Total	Owner	Renter
CONDITION AND PLUMBING FACILITIES	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	(¹)	100.0
Not dilapidated: With private bath and private flush toilet, no hot running water	0.3 49.6 24.2 0.8	0.5 63.2 24.4 2.1	0.2 46.8 24.2 0.5	0.4 57.8 27.0 1.1	0.6 63.6 26.6 2.3	0.3 56.3 27.1 0.7	26.0 16.2		23.6 17.0
Dilapidated: With private bath and private flush toilet, hot and cold running water	2.0 1.7 10.2 9.4 1.8	2.1 1.0 2.6 3.6 0.5	2.0 1.8 11.8 10.6 2.1	2.0 1.3 6.6 3.5 0.4	1.7 0.6 1.2 3.5	2.1 1.5 8.0 3.6 0.4	2.0 2.7 20.6 26.4 6.1		1.8 2.5 21.0 27.9 6.2
Not reporting condition or plumbing facilities CONDITION BY NUMBER OF PLUMBING FACILITIES		-	-	-	-		-		., -
Total	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	(1)	100.0
Not dilapidated: Lacking 1 facility. Lacking 2 facilities. Lacking 3 facilities.	8.6 53.5 12.8	22.8 57.5 9.8	5.7 52.7 13.4	10.5 63.8 11.9	23.7 59.0 10.4	7.1 65.0 12.3	3.0 24.0 15.2	-	2.2 22.5 15.9
Dilapidated: With all facilities. Lacking 1 facility. Lacking 2 facilities. Lacking 3 facilities.	2.0 2.1 10.0 11.1	2.1 1.6 2.1 4.1	2.0 2.2 11.6 12.5	2.0 1.7 6.4 3.8	1.7 1.2 0.6 3.5	2.1 1.8 7.9 3.9	2.0 3.4 20.3 32.1	-	1.8 3.3 20.7 33.7
Not reporting condition or plumbing facilities	-	-	-	-	-		-		-
NUMBER OF DWELLING UNITS IN STRUCTURE	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	(±)	100.0
1 dwelling unit	67.7 28.7 3.6	72.0 28.0	66.9	68.9 27.8 3.3	68.8 31.2	68.9 27.0 4.1	64.5 31.1 4.4		62.0 33.3 4.7

Table 2.--CONTRACT AND GROSS RENT OF RENTER-OCCUPIED SUBSTANDARD DWELLING UNITS, BY COLOR OF OCCUPANTS, FOR FREDERICK, MARYLAND: 1950

Monthly rent	Total	White	Nonwhite	Monthly rent	Total	White	Nonwhite
Total number renter-occupied substandard dwelling units	951	675	276	FURNITURE IN RENT	100.0	100.0	100.0
Percent of total	100.0	71.0	29.0	Furniture included in contract rent Furniture not included in contract	4.3	5.9	0.4
MONTHLY CONTRACT RENT				rent Not reported	91.4 4.3	90.4 3.7	93.8 5.8
Total	100.0	100.0	100.0	MONTHLY GROSS RENT			
\$9 or less \$10 to \$14	10.0 22.5	5.2 16.6	21.4 37.0	Total	100.0 2.5	1.8	100.0
\$15 to \$19 \$20 to \$24	38.8 13.8	40.4	34.8 5.1	\$10 to \$14 \$15 to \$19	4.5 10.8	2.4 7.0	9.8 20.3
\$25 to \$29	3.8	5.0	0.7	\$20 to \$24	17.5 21.1	14.4 21.5	25.0 20.3
\$30 to \$34 \$35 to \$39	3.6 2.1	4.7 2.8	0.7	\$30 to \$34 \$35 to \$39	21.5 10.8	25.3	12.0 4.7
\$40 to \$49	3.7 1.6 0.3	5.2 2.2 0.4		\$40 to \$49 \$50 or more Not reported	7.0 2.6 1.6	8.9 3.7 1.8	2.5

Table 3.--CONDITION AND PLUMBING FACILITIES OF RENTER-OCCUPIED SUBSTANDARD DWELLING UNITS, BY GROSS RENT, FOR FREDERICK, MARYLAND: 1950

					Monthly g	gross ren	t		
Condition and plumbing facilities	Total	\$19 or less	\$20 to \$24	\$25 to \$29	\$30 to \$34	\$35 to \$39	\$40 to \$49	\$50 or more	Not reported
Total number renter-occupied									15
substandard dwelling units	951	170	166	201	204	103	67	25	15_
Percent of total	100.0	17.9	17.5	21.1	21.5	10.8	7.0	2,6	1.6
Not dilapidated: With private bath and private flush toilet, no hot running water	0.2 46.8 24.2 0.5	0.1 2.9 4.7 0.4	7.3 3.6	11.4 4.5	14.1 4.5	6.0 2.8 0.1	0.1 3.9 2.1	0.8	0.4
Dilapidated: With private bath and private flush toilet, hot and cold running water With private bath and private flush toilet, no hot running water With private flush toilet, no private bath	11.8	0.2 0.3 2.4	0.1 0.2 3.7	0.4	0.3 0.3 1.6	0.1 0.6 0.5	0.1	0.4 0.1 0.1	0,2
With running water, no private flush toilet No running water inside structure	2.1	4.9 1.8	2.5	0.1	0.6	0.6	0.1	-	0,2
Not reporting condition or plumbing facilities	-	-	-	-	_	-	-		-

Table 3a.--CONDITION AND PLUMBING FACILITIES OF RENTER-OCCUPIED SUBSTANDARD DWELLING UNITS, BY GROSS RENT, FOR WHITE HOUSEHOLDS, FOR FREDERICK, MARYLAND: 1950

· ·					Monthly g	gross ren	t		
Condition and plumbing facilities	Total	\$19 or less	\$20 to \$24	\$25 to \$29	\$30 to \$34	\$35 to \$39	\$40 to \$49	\$50 or more	Not reported
M-4-7									
Total number renter-occupied substandard dwelling units	675	75	97	145	171	90	60	25	12
Percent of total	100.0	11.1	14.4	21.5	25.3	13.3	8.9	8.7	1.8
Not dilapidated: With private bath and private flush toilet, no hot running water With private flush toilet, no private bath With running water, no private flush toilet No running water inside structure	0.3 56.3 27.1 0.7	0.1 3.3 4.6 0.6	8.3 2.8	13.5 4.6	17.6 5.8	6.8 8.9 0.1	0.1 5.0 3.0	1.2	0.6
Dilapidated: With private bath and private flush toilet, hot and cold running water	2.1 1.5 8.0 3.6 0.4	0.1 1.0 1.0	0.8 2.4 0.4 0.1	0.6 0.1 2.1 0.6	0.3 - 1.2 0.4	0.1 0.7 0.7 0.9	0.4 0.1 0.1	0.6 0.1 0.1	0.8
Not reporting condition or plumbing facilities.		-	-	_	_	_	· ·	-	

Table 3b.--CONDITION AND PLUMBING FACILITIES OF RENTER-OCCUPIED SUBSTANDARD DWELLING UNITS, BY GROSS RENT, FOR NONWHITE HOUSEHOLDS, FOR FREDERICK, MARYLAND: 1950

(See table 1 for the Public Housing Administration definition of substandard dwelling unit)

					Monthly g	gross ren	t		
Condition and plumbing facilities	Total	\$19 or less	\$20 to \$24	\$25 to \$29	\$30 to \$34	\$35 to \$39	\$40 to \$49	\$50 or more	Not reported
Total number renter-occupied substandard dwelling units	276	95	69	56	33	13	7	·	3
Percent of total	i00.0	34.4	25.0	20.3	12.0	4.7	2.5		1.1
Not dilapidated: With private bath and private flush toilet, no hot running water. With private flush toilet, no private bath With running water, no private flush toilet No running water inside structure	23.6 17.0	2.2 5.1	- 4.7 5.4	- 6.2 4.3	5.4 1.4	4.0 0.4	1.1		- 0•4
Dilapidated: With private bath and private flush toilet, hot and cold running water	1.8 2.5 21.0 27.9 6.2	0.7 0.7 5.8 14.5 5.4	0.4 - 6.9 7.6	- 5.4 4.0 0.4	0.4 1.1 2.5 1.1	0.4	0.4 0.4 0.4 -	-	0.7
Not reporting condition or plumbing facilities	_	_	_	_	_	_		_	_

Table 4.--CHARACTERISTICS OF FAMILIES IN SUBSTANDARD DWELLING UNITS, BY TENURE AND COLOR, FOR FREDERICK, MARYLAND: 1950

		Total			White			Nonwhite	
Characteristic	Total	Owner	Renter	Total	Owner	Renter	Total.	Owner	Renter
Total number of families	970	151	819	728	136	592	242	15	227
Percent of total	100.0	15,6	84.4	75.1	14.0	61.0	24.9	1.5	23.4
TYPE OF FAMILY									
Total	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	(1)	100.0
Primary family	98.1 1.9	98.7 1.3	98.0 2.0	99.2 0.8	99.3 0.7	99.2 0.8	95.0 5.0		95.2 4.8
NUMBER OF PERSONS IN FAMILY									
Total	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	(¹)	100.0
2 persons. 3 persons. 4 persons. 5 persons. 6 persons. 7 persons. 8 persons or more. NUMBER OF PERSONS PER ROOM IN DWELLING UNIT Total. 0.50 or less. 0.51 to 0.75. 0.76 to 1.00. 1.01 to 1.50. 1.51 to 2.00. 2.01 or more. Not reported.	32.3 21.4 17.7 10.8 8.6 3.5 5.7 100.0 24.0 21.9 27.0 16.5 8.6 2.1	43.7 20.5 15.9 7.9 5.3 2.6 4.0 100.0 39.1 26.5 20.5 10.6 0.7	30.2 21.6 18.1 11.4 9.2 3.7 6.0 100.0 21.2 21.0 28.2 17.6 9.6 2.3	\$1.2 23.9 18.1 11.3 8.1 3.4 4.0 100.0 25.3 23.9 27.9 15.1 7.0 0.8	43.4 21.3 16.2 8.1 5.1 2.9 2.9 100.0 87.5 28.7 19.9 11.0 2.2 0.7	28.4 24.5 18.6 12.0 8.8 3.5 4.2 100.0 22.5 22.8 29.7 16.0 8.1 0.8	85.5 14.0 16.5 9.5 9.9 8.7 10.7 100.0 20.2 15.7 24.4 20.7 18.2 5.8	(1)	34.8 14.1 16.7 9.7 10.1 4.0 10.5 100.0 18.1 16.3 24.2 21.6 13.7 6.2
NUMBER OF MINORS IN FAMILY	· -	_	-	_		. -	-		-
Total	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	(¹)	100.0
No minors. 1 minor. 2 minors. 3 minors. 4 minors. 5 minors. 6 minors or more.	36.4 24.1 16.1 10.5 6.9 2.5 3.5	52.3 24.5 11.3 4.6 2.6 2.6	33.5 24.1 17.0 11.6 7.7 2.4 3.8	96.7 26.0 15.7 10.6 6.6 2.1 2.5	52.9 25.0 10.3 5.1 2.9 2.2 1.5	\$2.9 26.2 16.9 11.8 7.4 2.0 2.7	35.5 18.6 17.4 10.8 7.9 3.7 6.6		34.8 18.5 17.2 11.0 8.4 3.5 6.6

 $^{^{1}}$ Percentage distribution is not shown where the number of cases is less than 100.

Table 4a.--INCOME IN 1949 OF PRIMARY FAMILIES IN SUBSTANDARD DWELLING UNITS, BY NUMBER OF MINORS, TENURE, AND COLOR, FOR FREDERICK, MARYLAND: 1950

(See table 1 for the Public Housing Administration definition of substandard dwelling unit)

Family income by		Total			White		Nonwhite			
number of minors	Total	Owner	Renter	Total	Owner	Renter	Total	Owner	Renter	
Total number of primary families	952	149	803	722	135	587	230	1.4	2)	
Percent of total	100.0	15.7	84.3	75.8	14.2	61.7	24.2	1.5	22,	
Total	100.0	(1)	100.0	100.0	(¹)	100.0	100.0	(¹)	100.	
\$999 or less	14.0 10.3 3.5 8.1 7.1		13.2 10.6 3.7 9.3 6.9	9.2 7.7 3.2 7.7 7.4		7.4 7.8 3.5 9.1 7.4	29.1 18.3 4.3 9.1 6.1		29. 18. 4. 9.	
\$2,000 to \$2,249. \$2,250 to \$2,499. \$2,500 to \$2,749. \$2,750 to \$2,999.	12.0 6.5 7.4 4.9		12.9 6.0 7.3 5.3	11.6 7.7 8.5 6.0		12.6 7.4 8.7 6.9	13.0 2.6 3.9 1.3		13. 2. 3. 0.	
\$3,000 to \$3,999 \$4,900 to \$4,999 \$5,000 or more Not reported	11.5 4.5 1.9 8.5		10.7 5.1 0.9 8.2	14.1 5.3 2.5 9.2		13.4 6.1 1.3 8.7	3.5 2.2 - 6.5		3. 2. 6.	
No minors	35.1		32.9	35.2		32.5	34.8		34.	
\$999 or less. 1,000 to \$1,249. \$1,250 to \$1,499. \$1,500 to \$1,749.	6.0 5.3 1.1 2.1 1.2		5.0 5.9 1.2 2.5 0.8	4.2 4.9 1.1 2.1 0.7		2,6 5,6 1,3 2,6 0,4	11.7 6.5 1.3 2.2 2.6		11. 6. 0. 2. 1.	
\$2,000 to \$2,249. \$2,250 to \$2,499. \$2,500 to \$2,749. \$2,750 to \$2,999.	3.3 2.3 2.2 1.3		3.3 2.1 2.0 1.6	3.2 2.8 2.5 1.8		3.0 2.6 2.2 2.2	3.9 0.9 1.3		4. 0. 1.	
\$3,000 to \$3,999 4,000 to \$4,999 55,000 or more lot reported	4.1 1.6 0.5 3.9	-	3.5 1.6 - 3.4	4.9 2.1 0.7 4.2		4.3 2.2 - 3.5	1.3		1 3.	
One minor	21.9		20.8	22.9		21.6	18.7		18.	
\$999 or less \$1,000 to \$1,249 \$1,250 to \$1,499 \$1,500 to \$1,749 \$1,750 to \$1,999	4.1 1.7 0.8 1.2 1.8		3.8 1.1 0.9 1.1 1.4	3.2 1.4 1.1 0.7 1.8		2.6 0.9 1.3 0.4	7.0 2.6 - 2.6		6. 1. 2.	
2,000 to \$2,249	2.2 1.6 1.9 0.6		2.6 1.3 2.3 0.4	2.5 2.1 2.1 0.7		1.3 3.0 1.7 2.6 0.4	1.7 1.3 - 1.3 0.4		1. 1. 0.	
33,000 to \$3,999	3.2 0.8 - 2.0		2.8 0.9 - 2.1	4.2 1.1 - 2.1		3.9 1.3 2.2	1.7		1.	
Two minors	14.7		15.8	14.1		15.6	16.5	•	16.	
1999 or less	1.1 1.3 0.2 1.1 1.8	24	1.1 1.5 0.2 1.3 2.1	0.7 - 1.1 2.1		0.9 - 1.3	4.3 3.0 0.9 1.3	-	4. 3. 0. 1.	
32,000 to \$2,249	2.0 0.7 1.4 2.0		2.4 0.8 1.1 2.3	2.1 0.7 1.8 2.5		2.6 2.6 0.9 1.3	0.9 1.7 0.9 0.4		0. 1. 0.	
33,000 to \$3,999. 4,000 to \$4,999. 55,000 or more lot reported.	0.9 0.5 0.8 0.8	*	0.6 0.6	1.1 0.4 1.1		3.0 0.9 0.4 0.9 0.9	0.4 0.4 0.9 -		o. 1.	
1 Percentage distribution is	not shown	where the n	umber of ca	ses in the	sample is l	ess than in	~ -••			

Table 4a.--INCOME IN 1949 OF PRIMARY FAMILIES IN SUBSTANDARD DWELLING UNITS, BY NUMBER OF MINORS, TENURE, AND COLOR, FOR FREDERICK, MARYLAND: 1950

Family income by		Total			White			Nonwhite	
number of minors	Total	Owner	Renter	Total	Owner	Renter	Total	Owner	Renter
	· · · · · ·								
Three or four minors	20.4		22.3	20.8		22.9	19.1		20.4
999 or less	2.1		2.5	1.4		1.7	4.3		4.6
1,000 to \$1,249	0.9		1.1	_		- '	3.9		4.2
1,250 to \$1,499	1.1		1.0	1.1	-	0.9	1.3	İ	1.4
1,500 to \$1,749	2.9		3.5	3.2		3.9	2.2		2.8
1,750 to \$1 ,999	1.9		1.9	2.5		2.6	-		-
2,000 to \$2,249	2.8		2.7	2.5		2.2	3.9		4.2
2,250 to \$2,499	1.8		1.8	2.1		2.2	0.9		0.9
2,500 to \$2,749	0.9		1.1	1.1		1.3	0.4		0.5
2,750 to \$2,999	0.5		0.6	0.7		0.9	-		-
3,000 to \$3,999	2.1		2.5	2.5		3.0	0.9	-	0.9
4,000 to \$4,999	1.5		1.8	1.8		2.2	0.9		0.9
5,000 or more	0.3		0.3	0.4	ŀ	0.4	-] :
ot reported	1.4		1.4	1.8		1.7	0.4		0.5
5 minors or more	8.0		8.2	7.0		7.4	10.9		10.6
999 or less	0.7		0.8	0.4		0.4	1.7		1.9
1,000 to \$1,249	1.1		0.9	0.7		0.4	2.2		2.5
1,250 to \$1,499	0.2		0.2			_	0.9		0.9
1,500 to \$1,749	0.7		0.9	0.7		0.9	0.9		0.9
1,750 to \$1,999	0.5		0.6	0.4		0.4	0.9		0.9
2,000 to \$2,249	1.6	:	1.9	1.4		1.7	2.2		2.
2,250 to \$2,499	_		-	-		-	- 1		
2,500 to \$2,749	0.9		0.9	1.1		1.3	0.4		
2,750 to \$2,999	0.4		0.3	0.4		0.4	0.4		
3,000 to \$3,999	1.3		1.2	1.4		1.3	0.9		0.
4,000 to \$4,999	0.1	1	0.1	-	1	-	0.4	1	0.
5,000 or more	0.8	<u> </u>	-	0.4	ll.		-		
ot reported	0.3		0.3	0.4	1	0.4	-	l	L

#5029

Table 5.--RENT AS PERCENT OF INCOME BY FAMILY INCOME IN 1949 OF PRIMARY FAMILIES WITH NO SUBFAMILY OR SECONDARY FAMILY IN RENTER-OCCUPIED SUBSTANDARD DWELLING UNITS, BY PRESENCE OF MINORS AND COLOR, FOR FREDERICK, MARYLAND: 1950

Gross rent as percent of income		Total			White			Nonwhite	
by family income	Total	No minors	With minors	Total	No minors	With minors	Total	No minors	With minors
Number of families	746	248	498	564	185	379	182	63	119
Percent of total	100.0	33.2	66.8	75.6	24.8	50.8	24.4	8.4	16.0
Total	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	(¹)	100.0	100.0	(¹)	100,0
9 percent or less	8.8	10.2	8.0	9.5		8.7	6.6		5.9
10 percent to 14 percent	23.8	21.2	25.0	25.2		26.8	19.2		19.3
15 percent to 19 percent	21.2	18.6	22.5	22.5	l	23.5	17.0		19.2
20 percent to 24 percent 25 percent to 29 percent	13.2	7.3	16.2	13.1		16.8	13.7		14.3
30 percent to 34 percent	6.9	7.7	6.5	6.3		5.4	8.8		10.3
35 percent or more	11.3	11.2 13.6	4.1 10.1	6.8	1	4.0	5.5		4.8
Not reported	8.4	10.2	7.5	7.7 9.0		6,7 8.1	22.5 6.6		21.0 5.9
\$1,499 or less	27.4	37.4	22.5	18.9		13.4	53.8		51.8
9 percent or less	0.7	0.4	0.9	0.5		0.7	1.6		1.7
10 percent to 14 percent	1.0	1.2	0.9	0.5		0.7	2.7	1	1.7
15 percent to 19 percent	2.3	3.9	1.5	1.8	<u> </u>	0.7	3.8	}	4.
20 percent to 24 percent	3.5	4.6	3.0	1.8)[1.3	8.8		8.4
25 percent to 29 percent	3,8	4.7	3.4	2.3	<u> </u>	1.3	8.8		10.
30 percent to 34 percent	5.1	10.2	2.5	5.0	∦ -	2.0	5.5		4.
35 percent or more	10.9	12.6	10.1	7.2	ll ·	6.7	22.5		21.0
\$1,500 to \$1,999	16.1	10.0	19.1	16.7		20.1	14.8	<u> </u>	16.0
9 percent or less	0.1	0.4	_				0.5		}
10 percent to 14 percent	2.0	1.2	2.3	1.4	1	-	0.5		3.
15 percent to 19 percent	3.9	2.8	4.4	3.2]]	2.0	3.8 6.0	1	7.0
20 percent to 24 percent	7.1	2.4	9.4	8.1]]	10.7	3.8		5.
25 percent to 29 percent	1.7	1.0	2.0	2.3		2.7	2.0		
30 percent to 34 percent	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.4		1.3	_		
35 percent or more	0.8	1.0	-	0.5		-	- '		
\$2,000 to \$2,499	19.8	16.3	20.8	19.8		21.5	17.6		18.
9 percent or less	1.6	2.4	1.2	1.8	1	1.3	1.1		0.0
10 percent to 14 percent	6.2	6.7	6.0	5.4	11	4.7	8.8] }	10.
15 percent to 19 percent	8,8	5.7	10.3	9.5	ll .	11.4	6.6	li .	6.
20 percent to 24 percent	1.6	0.4	2.2	1.8	1	2.7	1.1	ŀ	0.
25 percent to 29 percent	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.4	((1.3	_		
30 percent to 34 percent	-	_	-	-	H	-	-		
35 percent or more	-	-	-	-		-	-		
\$2,500 to \$2,999	13.5	11.0	14.7	16.2		17.4	4.9		. 5.
9 percent or less	0.9	1.0	0.9	0.9	11	0.7	1.1	ll ·	1.
10 percent to 14 percent	6.3	4.9	6.9	7.2	ll.	8.1	3.3		3.
15 percent to 19 percent	4.6	4.1	4.8	5.9	II.	6.0	0.5	{}	0.
20 percent to 24 percent	1.0	-	1.5	1.4	1	2.0	_		
25 percent to 29 percent 30 percent to 34 percent	0.3	1.0		0.5	1	-	-		}
35 percent or more	0.3	_	0.5	0.5		0.7	_		
\$3,000 or over	15.3	15.1	15.4	19.4		19.5	2.7		2.
a nament on less	5.0		T		1				
7 percent or less	5.8	5.9	5.0	6.3	1	6.0	2.2	1	1.
10 percent to 14 percent 15 percent to 19 percent	8.3	7.2		10.8	11	11.4	0.5	1	0.
20 percent to 24 percent	1	2.0	1.5	2.3	1	2.0	_	1	1.
25 percent to 29 percent		_	1 -	_		1 -	-	I	1
O percent to 34 percent		1 -	1 -		1	_	-	1	
15 percent or more	-	-	_	 		-	-		-
				10		4		H	

¹ Percentage distribution is not shown where the number of cases in the sample is less than 100.

1950 CENSUS OF HOUSING

SPECIAL TABULATIONS FOR LOCAL HOUSING AUTHORITIES

November 15, 1950

Washington 25, D. C.

Series HC-6, No. 44

PADUCAH, KENTUCKY: APRIL 1950

This report is based on a transcription and tabulation of data from the 1950 Census of Population and Housing. The statistics relate to dwelling units considered substandard by the Public Housing Administration, in accordance with the contract for these statistics between the Bureau of the Census and the City of Paducah Municipal Housing Commission.

A dwelling unit is considered substandard by the Public Housing Administration if it is either dilapidated or does not have the following plumbing facilities: flush toilet and bath inside the structure for the unit's exclusive The Public Housing use, and hot running water. Administration considers the absence of hot running water to be evidence generally of poor quality of the dwelling unit, but there may be some localities in which the absence of hot running water is not deemed to be a sufficient indication by itself of poor quality. In such localities, a revised count of substandard units may be obtained from table 1 by subtracting from the total the units which lack hot water only. If it is desired to eliminate the units lacking hot water only from the total count of substandard units, the distributions shown in the · tables will not be materially affected.

The criteria used to define substandard units in these tabulations are not identical with the housing characteristics included in the published reports from the 1940 Census. Therefore, any comparison of data in this report with the 1940 results must be made with extreme care. A direct comparison cannot be made with the 1940 results because information on hot running water was not collected at that time. Further, the 1940 data on condition were collected showing dwelling units "needing major repairs," whereas

in 1950 data on condition were collected showing units as "dilapidated." Because the definitions of these two terms differ significantly, the 1940 count of dwelling units needing major repairs and the 1950 count of dilapidated dwelling units are comparable only in a general way.

The data are presented in the series of attached tables. In table 1 the structural and occupancy characteristics are shown for all substandard dwelling units. Table 2 shows the rent paid for renter-occupied substandard units; table 3 shows the condition and plumbing facilities of these same units. Table 4 shows the characteristics of all families (both primary and secondary) living in the substandard units. Table 4a classifies the primary families by family income. Table 5 classifies primary families with no subfamily or secondary family present, by rent as a percent of family income.

In the contract rent tabulation, dwelling units occupied rent-free are tabulated in the "\$9 or less" category. In the gross rent tabulations, however, such units are tabulated as "not reporting," except when utilities were reported.

DEFINITIONS

The definitions used in this special tabulation are generally the same as those used in the 1950 Census of Population and Housing. Some of the more important definitions are given below.

Dwelling unit. -- In general, a dwelling unit is a group of rooms or a single room, occupied, or intended for occupancy, as separate living quarters by a family or other group of persons living together or by a person living alone.

Primary family. -- A primary family consists of two or more persons including the head of the households and all (one or more) persons in the household who are related to the head by blood, marriage, or adoption. It may include a subfamily consisting of a married couple with or without children, or one parent with one or more children under 18 years of age, living in the household and related to but not including the head of the household or his wife. The subfamily is considered a part of the primary family for all tabulation purposes.

Secondary family. -- A secondary family consists of two or more persons, such as a lodger or servant and his relatives, living in a household and related to each other but not related to the household head. The secondary family is considered a separate family for tabulation purposes.

Minor. A minor is an unmarried person under 21 years of age other than the head of a family or his wife.

Dilapidation. -- A dwelling unit is considered to be dilapidated when it has serious deficiencies, is rundown or neglected, or is of inadequate original construction, so that it does not provide adequate shelter or protection against the elements or it endangers the safety of the occupants.

Plumbing facilities. -- The following are the three plumbing facilities tabulated:

- l. Flush toilet inside the structure for
 the unit's exclusive use;
- 2. Installed bathtub or shower inside the structure for the unit's exclusive use; and
- 3. Hot and cold running water inside the structure.

Exclusive use. -- Equipment is for "exclusive use" if it is used only by the occupants of one dwelling unit.

Shared. -- Equipment is "shared" when occupants of two or more units use the same equipment.

Family income. -- Family income is the sum of the income received during 1949, by all members of the family. The following items were asked separately for the head of the family and for all other members of the family combined:

- 1. The amount of money wages or salary received in 1949;
- 2. The amount of net money income received from self-employment in 1949; and

3. The amount of other money income received in 1949, such as interest, dividends, veterans' allowances, pensions, or rents.

Gross rent.--Monthly gross rent is contract rent plus the reported average monthly cost of water, electricity, gas, and other fuel paid for by the renter. If furniture is included in the contract rent, the reported estimated rent of the dwelling unit without furniture is used for the computation instead of the contract rent.

SOURCE AND RELIABILITY OF THE DATA

The data in tables 1 through 4 are based on complete counts, including all dwelling units and families with the specified characteristics. The distributions involving income in tables 4a and 5, however, were prepared from data collected on a sample basis. As part of the 1950 Census, family income data were obtained from about onefifth of all families. For these tabulations, additional interviews were made among nonwhite families to increase the income sample above the 20 percent level. This was accomplished by a subsequent field enumeration of a sample of nonwhite families who were not in the original sample but were living in substandard dwelling units.

Although the figures shown in tables 1 through 4 are based on the same data as the forthcoming 1950 Census tabulations of these items, they may differ from those to be published as part of the Census. The present tables were obtained by tabulating directly the data as transcribed in the field offices of the Census Bureau and the figures, therefore, do not include all of the refinements that result from a careful examination of the schedules.

In addition, the data are subject to other biases due to errors of response or nonreporting which cannot be corrected in editing. Factors affecting the accuracy of enumeration include the respondent's knowledge of the facts and the ability of the enumerator to obtain accurate information on such items as income, rent, dilapidation, and plumbing facilities. The regular 1950 tabulations are also subject to these biases.

Reliability of Estimates in Tables 4a and 5

Because of sampling variability, percentage distributions shown in table 4a and all figures in table 5 may differ from those that would have been obtained from a complete count. (The absolute figures in table 4a represent complete

counts and are not subject to sampling variations.) The reliability estimates which follow give approximate measures of the sampling errors to be expected in these sample data.

Reliability of the percentages in tables 4a and 5.--The allowance to be made for sampling variation in a percentage depends, in general, both on the value of the percentage and the size of the base on which it is determined. If two percentages are of the same magnitude, the one based on a large number of cases in the sample

is, in general, less variable than the one based on a small number of sample cases.

Estimates of reliability are shown in the following table for percentages with bases of primary families of the specified types. The chances are about 19 out of 20 that the differences due to sampling variability between the percentages obtained from the sample and the percentages which would have been obtained from a complete census would be less than the sampling errors shown below.

			Samp.	ling variabil	ity if the bas	e is					
Percentage shown	All p	orimary familie dwelling		idard	All primary families with no subfamily or secondar family present, in substandard renter units						
in table	Wh	nite	Non	white	Wh	ite	Nonwhite				
	Owner	Renter	Owner	Renter	No minors	With minors	No minors	With minors			
0.5 1.0 2.0 3.0 4.0	0.7 1.0 1.4 1.7 1.9	0.6 0.9 1.2 1.5	1.0 1.4 1.9 2.3	0.8 1.1 1.6 1.9	1.0 1.4 1.9 2.4	1.8 1.2 1.7 2.0	(¹)	1.2 1.6 2.3 2.8			
5.0 10.0 15.0 20.0	2.1 2.9 3.5 3.9	1.7 2.0 2.7 3.2 3.5	2.7 3.0 4.1 4.9 5.4	2.2 2.5 3.4 4.1 4.6	2.7 3.0 4.2 4.9 5.5	2.3 2.6 3.6 4.3		3,2 3,6 5,0 5,9			
25.0 30.0 40.0 50.0	4.2 4.5 4.8 4.9	3.8 4.1 4.3 4.4	5.9 6.2 6.7 6.8	4.9 5.2 5.6 5.7	6.0 6.3 6.8 6.9	4.8 5.2 5.5 5.9 6.0		6.6 7.2 7.6 8.1 8.3			

1 Omitted because percentage distribution is not shown.

To illustrate, for a figure of 10 percent based on white primary families living in substandard renter dwelling units, the sampling variability is 2.7 percent; the chances are about 19 out of 20 that the percentage obtained by a complete census would have been between 7.3 percent and 12.7 percent.

The sampling variability of a specified percentage of total primary families with designated characteristics will vary according to the proportion of white families and nonwhite families making up this percentage. For example, consider the sampling variability of a figure of 10 percent based on total primary families. The maximum sampling error to be expected of such a figure would occur when the entire 10 percent includes only white primary families and the chances are about 19 out of 20 that this sampling error would not exceed 1.7 percent. minimum sampling error would occur when the entire 10 percent includes only nonwhite primary families and the chances are 19 out of 20 that this sampling error would not exceed 1.0 percent. For other specific characteristics composed of 10 percent of total primary families the sampling variability may assume any value between these two figures.

Reliability of absolute figures in table 5.-The approximate sampling variability of the absolute figures in table 5 is shown below. The
chances are 19 out of 20 that differences between the numbers shown in table 5 and the numbers that would have been obtained from a
complete census would be less than the sampling
errors which follow.

Classification	Sampling variability of absolute figures in table 5								
	Total	White	Nonwhite						
Total	40	35	19						
No minors	89	80	39						
With minors	90	81	39						

Reliability of differences. -- The estimates of sampling variability in the above tables are not directly applicable to differences obtained by subtracting one figure from another. The sampling variability in an observed difference between two figures depends on the sampling variability of each of the figures and the correlation between them.

Table 1.--STRUCTURAL AND OCCUPANCY CHARACTERISTICS, CONDITION, AND PLUMBING FACILITIES OF OCCUPIED SUBSTANDARD DWELLING UNITS, BY TENURE AND COLOR OF OCCUPANTS, FOR PADUCAH, KENTUCKY: 1950

(A substandard dwelling unit is defined by the Public Housing Administration as a unit which is either dilapidated or does not have all of the following plumbing facilities: flush toilet and bath inside the structure for the unit's exclusive use, and hot running water)

Ohama a		Total			White		Nonwhite		
Characteristic	Total	Owner	Renter	Total	Owner	Renter	Total	Owner	Renter
Total number occupied substandard						1. 1.			
dwelling units	5,574	2,437	3,137	4,016	1,905	2,111	1,558	532	1,026
Percent of total	100.0	43.7	56.3	72.0	34.2	37.9	28.0	9,5	18,4
NUMBER OF ROOMS									
Total	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0
room	2.9	1.4	4.1	3.3	1.6	4.8	1.9	0.6	2.6
rooms.	14.5	7.5	19.9	14.8	8.0	20.8	13.7	5.5	18.0 52.5
POOMS.	34.1 28.2	24.3 35.5	41.6 22.6	31.0 30.4	25.1 36.3	36.3 25.0	42.0 22.7	21.6	17.6
rooms	13.0	19.0	8.4	13.9	18.4	9.9	10.8	21.4	5.8
rooms	5.0	8.6	2.2	4.4	7.2	1.9	6.4	13.7	2.6
rooms	1.0	1.6	0.6	0.9	1.3	0.6	1.3	3.0	0.0
rooms or more	0.6	1.0	0.3	0.7	1.0	0.4	0.3	0.9	j.
ot reported	0.7	1.1	0.4	0.7	1.2	0.3	0.8	0.9	0.8
CONDITION									
Total	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0
ot dilapidated	62.9	72.4	55.5	73.5	78.2	69.3	35.6	51.9	27.2
ilapidated	37.0	27.5	44.4	26.4	21.7	30.6	64.4	48.1	72.8
ot reported	0.1	0.1	(1)	0.1	0.1	/ (1)	-	-	
WATER SUPPLY									
Total	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.
ot and cold piped running water inside structure	14.7	11.4	17.3	19.1	13.5	24.2	3.3	3.8	3.
mly cold piped running water inside structure	58.2	63.9	53.7	63.1	65.6	60.8	45.6	57.7	39.
o piped running water inside structure	27.0	24.5	28.9	17.7	20.6	15.0	51.1	38.5	57.
ot reported	0.1	0.2	0.1	0.1	0.2	(1)	0.1	-	0.
TOILET FACILITIES									
Total	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100,
lush toilet inside structure, exclusive use	35.1	43.0	28.9	40.2	45.1	35.8	21.9	35.3	14.
lush toilet inside structure, shared	18.2	9.8	24.8	24.2	12.0	35.1	2.9	1.7	3,
ther toilet facilities (including privy)	46.6	47.2	46.2	35.6	42.8	29.0	75.2	63.0	81.
Jt reported	0.1	0.1	(1)	0.1	0.1	(1)	-	-	
BATHING FACILITIES									
Total	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100
nstalled bathtub or shower inside structure, exclusive use			-2. 7			1			
nstalled bathtub or shower inside structure,	24.9	29.8	21.1	29.8	32.0	27.9	12.3	22.2	7.
shared	16.6	8.9	22.6	22.5	11.1	32.8	1.3	0.9	1,
ther or none		60.9	55.9	47.3	56.5	38.9	86.1	76.9	90
ot reported	0.4	0.3	0.4	0.4	0.4	0.4	0.3	-	0.
NUMBER OF PERSONS									
	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	
Total		12.7	17.0	11	10.7	11.9	24.8	19.7	27. 32.
person	1			29.7	28.3	30.9	34.0 16.8	38.0 16.7	1
personpersons	80.9	30.4		1)					
person	30.9 21.1	30.4 21.2	21.0	22.8	22.4 15.6	23.1 16.8			
person	30.9 21.1 14.4 8.3	30.4		22.8 16.2	15.6	16.8	9.9 5.0	9.6	10
person. persons persons persons persons	30.9 21.1 14.4 8.3 4.7	30.4 21.2 14.3 9.4 5.7	21.0 14.6 7.4 4.0	22.8 16.2 9.6	15.6 10.6		9.9	9 .6 5.5	10 4 3
person. persons persons persons persons persons persons	80.9 21.1 14.4 8.3 4.7 2.5	30.4 21.2 14.3 9.4 5.7 2.8	21.0 14.6 7.4 4.0 2.3	22.8 16.2 9.6 5.0 2.7	15.6 10.6	16.8 8.7	9.9 5.0	9.6 5.5 4.3 1.3	10 4 3 2
person persons persons persons persons persons persons persons	80.9 21.1 14.4 8.3 4.7 2.5	30.4 21.2 14.3 9.4 5.7 2.8 1.7	21.0 14.6 7.4 4.0 2.3 1.3	22.8 16.2 9.6 5.0 2.7 1.4	15.6 10.6 6.0 3.2 1.4	16.8 8.7 4.1 2.3 1.4	9.9 5.0 3.9 1.9 1.8	9.6 5.5 4.3 1.3 3.0	100 4 3 2 1
person. persons persons persons persons persons persons persons persons persons persons	80.9 21.1 14.4 8.3 4.7 2.5	30.4 21.2 14.3 9.4 5.7 2.8 1.7	21.0 14.6 7.4 4.0 2.3 1.3	22.8 16.2 9.6 5.0 2.7 1.4	15.6 10.6 6.0 3.2 1.4	16.8 8.7 4.1 2.3	9.9 5.0 3.9 1.9	9.6 5.5 4.3 1.3 3.0	100 4 3 2 1
person. persons persons persons persons persons persons persons NUMBER OF LODGERS	30.9 21.1 14.4 8.3 4.7 2.5 1.5	30.4 21.2 14.3 9.4 5.7 2.8 1.7 1.8	21.0 14.6 7.4 4.0 2.3 1.3	22.8 16.2 9.6 5.0 2.7 1.4 1.3	15.6 10.6 6.0 3.2 1.4 1.8	16.8 8.7 4.1 2.3 1.4 0.8	9.9 5.0 3.9 1.9 1.8 2.0	9.6 5.5 4.3 1.3 3.0 1.9	10 4 8 8 1 2
person. persons persons persons persons persons persons persons persons persons persons	30.9 21.1 14.4 8.3 4.7 2.5 1.5	30.4 21.2 14.3 9.4 5.7 2.8 1.7	21.0 14.6 7.4 4.0 2.3 1.3 1.2	22.8 16.2 9.6 5.0 2.7 1.4 1.3	15.6 10.6 6.0 3.2 1.4	16.8 8.7 4.1 2.3 1.4	9.9 5.0 3.9 1.9 1.8	9.6 5.5 4.3 1.3 3.0	100 4 3 2 1 2

¹ Less than 0.05 percent.

Table 1.--STRUCTURAL AND OCCUPANCY CHARACTERISTICS, CONDITION, AND PLUMBING FACILITIES OF OCCUPIED SUBSTANDARD DWELLING UNITS, BY TENURE AND COLOR OF OCCUPANTS, FOR PADUCAH, KENTUCKY: 1950---Gon.

(A substandard dwelling unit is defined by the Public Housing Administration as a unit which is either dilapidated or does not have all of the following plumbing facilities: flush toilet and bath inside the structure for the unit's exclusive use, and hot running water)

		Total			White		1	onwhite	
Characteristic	Total	Owner	Renter	Total	Owner	Renter	Total	Owner	Renter
CONDITION AND PLUMBING FACILITIES									
Total	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	1.00.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0
				·					
Not dilapidated: With private bath and private flush toilet,									
no hot running water	17.6	23.2	13.3	21.9	25.9	18.2	6,7 6,2	13.5	3.1 4.6
With private flush toilet, no private bath	8.2 26.7	11.3 25.0	5.8 28.0	9.0	11.8 27.9	6.4 37.6	10.5	14.8	8,3
With running water, no private flush toilet No running water inside the structure	10.1	12.6	8.2	9.3	12.1	6.7	12.1	14.1	11.1
				-	** -				
Dilapidated: With private bath and private flush toilet,									
hot and cold running water	2.7	2.7	2,8	3.5	3.0	3.9	0.9	1.5	0.6
With private bath and private flush toilet,					1.8	4.2	3.3	5.1	2.3
no hot running water	3.1 3.2	2.5 3.1	3.6	3.1 2.6	2.4	2.8	4.7	5.6	4.3
With running water, no private flush toilet	10.9	7.2	13.8	8.9	6.0	11.4	16.2	11.5	18.7
No running water inside the structure	16.9	11.9	20.8	8.4	8.5	8.3	39.0	24.4	46.5
Not reporting condition or plumbing facilities	0.5	0,5	0.4	0.5	0.6	0.4	0.8		0.5
CONDITION BY NUMBER OF PLUMBING FACILITIES									
Total	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0
Not dilapidated:						1		j	
Lacking 1 facility	19.0	25.1	14.3	23.6	28.1	19.5	7.3 7.1	14.5	3.6 5.5
Lacking 2 facilities	17.2 26.4	16.5 30.4	17.7 23.3	21.1	18.3	23.6 25.8	21.2	27.3	18.0
Lacking 3 facilities	26.4	30.4	23.3	20.4	OL SU	20.0	~		2.50
Dilapidated:	2.7	2.7	2.8	3.5	3.0	3.9	0.9	1.5	0,6
With all facilities	3.3	2.8	3.8	3.3	2.0	4,4	3.5	5.5	2.4
Lacking 2 facilities	4.3	3.6	4.9	3.6	2.7	4.4	6.1	6.6	5.8
Lacking 3 facilities	26.5	18.4	32.8	16.0	13.9	17.9	53.7	34.6	63.5
Not reporting condition or plumbing facilities	0.5	0.5	<u>4</u> .0	0.5	0.6	0.4	0.3		0.5
NUMBER OF DWELLING UNITS IN STRUCTURE					·				
Total	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100,0
l dwelling unit	65.2	85.7	49.3	62.5	83,4	43.7	72.1	94.0	60.8
2 to 4 dwelling units	31.8	13.8	45.7	33.5	16.0	49.3	27.3	5.8 0.2	38 .4 0.8
5 or more dwelling units	3.0	0.5	4.9	4.0	0.6	7.0	0.6	UsE	U.O.

Table 2.--CONTRACT AND GBOSS RENT OF RENTER-OCCUPIED SUBSTANDARD DWELLING UNITS, BY COLOR OF OCCUPANTS, FOR PADUCAH, KENTUCKY: 1950

Monthly rent	Total	White	Nonwhite	Monthly rent	Total	White	Nonwhite
Total number renter-occupied substandard dwelling units	3,137	2,111	1,026	FURNITURE IN RENT	100.0	. 100.0	100.0
Percent of total	100.0	67.3	32.7	Furniture included in contract rent Furniture not included in contract	10.6	15.2	1.3
MONTHLY CONTRACT RENT			sare.	rent Not reported		79.4 5.4	94.4 4.3
Total	100.0	100.0	100.0	MONTHLY GROSS RENT			
\$ 9 or less	30.2	15.9	59.6	Total	100.0	100.0	100.0
\$10 to \$14	26.6 13.8		1 1			2.3 6.7	9.0 25.0
\$15 to \$19 \$20 to \$24	10.3		1.6	\$15 to \$19	21.2	15.3	33.4
\$25 to \$29	7.6	10.9	0.6	\$20 to \$24\$25 to \$29	19.1 14.3	19.3	18.8 5.8
\$30 to \$34	4.7	7.0		\$30 to \$34	10.2	14.0	2.4
\$35 to \$39 \$40 to \$49	2.8 2.7	4.2		\$35 to \$39 \$40 to \$49		8.6 7.5	0.4
\$50 or more	0.9	1 6	0.1	\$50 or more	2.1	3,1	0.2
Not reported	0.4	0.4	0.8	Not reported	§ 4.7	4.8	4.5

Table 3.--CONDITION AND PLUMBING FACILITIES OF RENTER-OCCUPIED SUBSTANDARD DWELLING UNITS, BY GROSS RENT, FOR PADUCAH, KENTUCKY: 1950

					Monthly	gross ren	t		
Condition and plumbing facilities	Total	\$19 or less	\$20 to \$24	\$25 to \$29	\$30 to \$34	\$35 to \$39	\$40 to \$49	\$50 or more	rep
Total number renter-occupied substandard dwelling units	3,137	1,205	600	449	321	185	163	67	
Percent of total	100.0	38.4	19.1	14.3	10.2	5.9	5.2	2,1	
Not dilapidated: With private bath and private flush toilet, no hot running water With private flush toilet, no private bath With running water, no private flush toilet No running water inside structure	13.3 5.8 28.0 8.2	0.7 1.7 6.1 4.2	2.2 1.5 5.6 2.3	2.9 1.2 5.4 0.6	3.1 0.4 4.0 0.2	1.9 0.3 2.6 0.1	1.6 0.2 2.3 (1)	0.5 0.1 1.0 0.1	
Dilapidated: With private bath and private flush toilet, hot and cold running water. With private bath and private flush toilet, no hot running water. With private flush toilet, no private bath With running water, no private flush toilet No running water inside structure.	2.8 3.6 3.3 13.8 20.8	0.1 0.6 1.5 6.9 16.3	0.2 0.8 0.9 3.1 2.6	0.4 1.1 0.4 1.7	0.5	0.4	0.7 0.1 0.1 0.1	0.4 (1) (1) 0.1	
Not reporting condition or plumbing facilities	0.4	0.3	0.1	(1)	0.1	0.1	0.1	-	

¹ Less than 0.05 percent.

Table 3a.--CONDITION AND PLUMBING FACILITIES OF RENTER-OCCUPIED SUBSTANDARD DWELLING UNITS, BY GROSS RENT. FOR WHITE HOUSEHOLDS, FOR PADUCAH, KENTUCKY: 1950

Condition and plumbing facilities					Monthly	gross ren	t		
	Total	\$19 or less	\$20 to \$24	\$25 to \$29	\$30 to \$34	\$35 to \$39	\$ 40 to \$ 49	\$50 or more	No
Total number renter-occupied substandard dwelling units	2,111	513	407	389	296	181	159	65	
Percent of total	100.0	24.3	19.3	18.4	14.0	8.6	7.5	3.1	1
Not dilapidated: With private bath and private flush toilet, no hot running water With private flush toilet, no private bath With running water, no private flush toilet No running water inside structure Dilapidated:	18.2 6.4 37.6 6.7	0.6 1.5 7.3 3.1	2.7 1.7 7.1 1.8	4.2 1.5 7.5 0.6	4.5 0.6 5.7 0.3	2.8 0.4 3.7 0.1	2.3 0.2 3.4 (1)	0.8 0.1 1.4 0.1	
With private bath and private flush toilet, hot and cold running water. With private bath and private flush toilet, no hot running water. With private flush toilet, no private bath. With running water, no private flush toilet. No running water inside structure.	3.9 4.2 2.8 11.4 8.3	0.1 0.4 0.9 4.5 5.6	0.1 0.8 0.7 2.9	0.5 1.5 0.6 1.9	0.8 0.7 0.2 1.0	0.4 0.3	0.9 0.1 0.1 0.2	0.5 (1) (1) 0.1	
Not reporting condition or plumbing facilities	0.4	0.2	0.1	2.0	(1) (1)	0.1	0.1	-	i i

¹ Less than 0.05 percent.

Table 3b.--CONDITION AND PLUMBING FACILITIES OF RENTER-OCCUPIED SUBSTANDARD DWELLING UNITS, BY GROSS RENT, FOR NONWHITE HOUSEHOLDS, FOR PADUCAH, KENTUCKY: 1950

(See table 1 for the Public Housing Administration definition of substandard dwelling unit)

			-		Monthly g	ross ren	t		
Condition and plumbing facilities	Total	\$19 or less	\$20 to \$24	\$25 to \$29	\$30 to \$34	\$35 to \$39	\$40 to \$49	\$50 or more	Not reported
Total number renter-occupied substandard dwelling units	1,026	692	193	60	25	4	. 4	2	46
Percent of total	100.0	67.4	18.8	5.8	2.4	0.4	0.4	0.2	4,5
Not dilapidated: With private bath and private flush toilet, no hot running water With private flush toilet, no private bath With running water, no private flush toilet No running water inside structure	3.1 4.6 8.3 11.1	0.9 2.1 3.7 6.4	1.1 1.3 2.5 3.1	0.4 0.7 1.1 0.8	0.3 0.1 0.6	0.1	0.2	0.1	0.2 0.4 0.1 0.7
Dilapidated: With private bath and private flush toilet, hot and cold running water With private bath and private flush toilet, no hot running water With private flush toilet, no private bath With running water, no private flush toilet No running water inside structure	0,6 2,3 4,3 18,7 46.5	1.0 2.6 12.0 38.3	0.2 0.7 1.4 3.5 5.1	0.3 0.2 0.2 1.2 1.0	0.3 - 1.0 0.2	0.1	0.1	1 1111	0.1 0.1 1.0
Not reporting condition or plumbing facilities	0.5	0.4	-	0.1	_	_	_	, , -	_

Table 4.--CHARACTERISTICS OF FAMILIES IN SUBSTANDARD DWELLING UNITS, BY TENURE AND COLOR, FOR PADUCAH, KENTUCKY: 1950

		m-+-1			10-21-	·		N 3. 14 .	
Characteristic		Total			White	 		Nonwhite	
	Total	Owner	Renter	Total	Owner .	Renter	Total	Owner	Renter
Total number of families	4,636	2,102	2,534	3,533	1,690	1,843	1,103	412	691
Percent of total	100.0	45.3	54.7	76.2	36.5	39.8	23.8	8.9	14,9
TYPE OF FAMILY									
Total	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0
Primary family	99 .4 0.6	99.3 0.7	99.4 0.6	99.5 0.5	99.5 0.5	99.6 0.4	98.9 1.1	98.5 1.5	99.1 0.9
NUMBER OF PERSONS IN FAMILY									
Total	100.0	100.0	1.00.0	100.0	1.00.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0
2 persons. 3 persons. 4 persons. 6 persons. 7 persons. 8 persons or more. NUMBER OF PERSONS PER ROOM IN DWELLING UNIT	37.7 24.2 16.7 9.5 5.4 2.8 3.6	36.3 23.8 16.0 10.4 6.4 3.0 4.0	38.9 24.6 17.4 8.7 4.7 2.6 3.2	34.7 25.4 18.1 10.4 5.5 2.9 3.0	33.1 24.8 17.2 11.4 6.7 3.4 3.5	36.2 26.0 18.9 9.6 4.4 2.4 2.5	47.5 20.5 12.4 6.3 5.2 2.6 5.4	49.8 19.9 10.9 6.3 5.1 1.7 6.3	46.2 20.8 13.3 6.4 5.2 3.2 4.9
Total	100.0	100.0	100.0	100,0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0
0.50 or less	16.4 26.3 27.1 15.9 9.1 4.4 0.8	24.1 25.1 24.6 13.8 7.7 3.5 1.2	10.0 27.3 29.2 17.7 10.3 5.1 0.5	15.1 25.2 28.7 16.7 9.1 4.4 0.7	20.9 25.1 26.2 14.6 8.0 4.0	9.8 25.4 31.0 18.7 10.1 4.8 0.3	20.7 29.6 22.1 13.4 9.1 4.2 1.0	87.4 25.0 18.2 10.7 6.3 1.5	10.7 32.3 24.5 15.1 10.7 5.8 1.0
NUMBER OF MINORS IN FAMILY									
Total	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0
No minors. 1 minor. 2 minors. 3 minors. 4 minors. 5 minors. 6 minors or more.	43.2 23.3 15.7 8.6 4.3 2.3 2.5	44.6 21.3 15.4 8.8 4.8 2.3 2.8	42.1 25.0 15.9 8.4 3.9 2.4 2.2	39.9 25.3 17.0 9.4 4.0 2.3 2.1	40.8 22.8 16.9 9.6 4.9 2.4 2.5	39.1 27.6 17.0 9.2 3.3 2.2 1.7	53.9 17.0 11.6 6.2 5.1 2.4 3.7	60.4 15.0 9.2 5.6 4.4 1.7 3.6	50.1 18.2 13.0 6.5 5.5 2.9

Table 4a.--INCOME IN 1949 OF PRIMARY FAMILIES IN SUBSTANDARD DWELLING UNITS, BY NUMBER OF MINORS, TENURE, AND COLOR, FOR PADUCAH, KENTUCKY: 1950

Family income by		Total]		White			Nonwhite	
number of minors	Total	Owner	Renter	Total	Owner	Renter	Total	Owner	Renter
Total number of primary families	4,607	2,087	2,520	3,516	1,681	1,835	1,091	406	68
Percent of total	100.0	45.3	54.7	76.3	36.5	39.8	23.7	8.8	14.
Total	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.
999 or less	22.8	20.9	24.0	18.4	17.7	19.0	36.1	34.0	37.
1,000 to \$1,249	6.6	4.6	8.3	6.2	4.2	8.0	8.0	6.4	9.
1,250 to \$1,499 1,500 to \$1,749	4.8 7.1	4.6 8.6	5.0 5.9	4.2 6.9	3.6 8.4	4.8 5.5	6.7 7.9	8,5 9,2	5, 7,
1,750 to \$1,999	5.0	4.8	5.2	4.1	4.2	4.0	8.0	7.1	8
2,000 to \$2,249	9.3	9.3	9.3	9.7	9.6	9.8	7.9	7.8	8.
2,250 to \$2,499	6.1	5,3	6.8	6.5	5.7 .	7.3	4.9	3.5	5
2,750 to \$2,749	6.9 3.4	7.1 4.5	6.6 2.5	7.4 3.6	7.8 4.5	7.0 2.8	5.1 2.8	4.3	5 1
3,000 to \$3,999	9.4	9.8	9.1	11.2	10.8	11.5	3.9	5.7	2
4,900 to \$4,999	4.9	5.9	4.0	6.3	7.2	5.5	0.3	0.7	
5,000 or more	4.4	3.9	4.9	5.7	4.8	6.5	0.3	-	0
-	9.5	10.8	8.4	9.9	11.4	8.5	8.2	8.5	8
No minors	44.2	45.7	42.9	41.6	42.3	41.0	52.4	59.6	48
999 or less	12.3 3.6	14.1	10.8	9.8	11.7	8.0	20.5	24.1 3.5	18 4
1,250 to \$1,499	2.6	2.4	2.5	3.5	3.0 1.8	4.0 2.0	4.0	5.0	3
1,500 to \$1,749	3.1	3.4	2.9	2.6	3.0	2.3	4.8	5.0	4
31,750 to \$1,999	2.2	2.1	2.2	1.9	1.8	2.0	3.1	3.5	2
2,000 to \$2,249 2,250 to \$2,499	3.2	3.5	3.0	2.7	3.0	2.5	4.8	5.7	4
2,500 to \$2,749	2.4	2.2 2.8	2.6	2.6	2.4 3.0	2.8	2.0 1.7	1.4 2.1	1
2,750 to \$2,999	1.4	1.3	1.5	1.5	1.5	2.3	1.2	0.7	ī
3,000 to \$3,999	2.8	3.1	2.5	3.3	3.3	3.3	1.1	2.1	o
\$4,000 to \$4,999 \$5,000 or more	1.6	1.3	1.8	2.0	1.5	2.5	0.3	0.7	,
Not reported	2.0	0.7 5.5	3.0	2.5 4.7	0.9 5.4	4.0 4.0	0.3 4.5	5 . 7	9
One minor	22.5	19.8	24.8	24.4	21.6	27.0	16.3	12.1	18
999 or less	4.4	3.0	5.5	3.5	2.7	4.8	7.2	4.3	9
\$1,000 to \$1,249 \$1,250 to \$1,499	1.5	0.4	2.4	1.6	0.3	2.8	1.2	0.7]]
\$1,500 to \$1,749		0.8 1.6	0.5	0.7	0.6	0.8	0.5	1.4 0.7	
1,750 to \$1,999	1.2	1.1	1.3	1,2	1.2	1.3	1.2	0.7]
\$2,000 to \$2,249		2.6	2.1	2.7	2.7	2.8	1.1	2.1	
\$2,250 to \$2,499 \$2,500 to \$ 2,749		1.3	1.8	1.8	1.5	0.8	1.2	0.7	
\$2,750 to \$2,749	2.0	1.7	2.3	2.0	1.8	2.3	2.0	1.4	
\$3,000 tc \$3,999	2.5	2.7	2.4	3.1	3.3	3.0	0.6	_	} ,
\$4,000 to \$4,999	1.6	1.7	1.5	2.0	2.1	2.0		-	}
\$5,000 or more	2.0	0.5	1.3	1.2	0.6	1.8	0.9	_	
Two minors	1	14.9	15.7	16.4	16.2	16.5	12.0	9.2	1
\$999 or less	2.8	2.1	3.4	2.6	1.8	3.3	3.7	3.5	
\$1,000 to \$1,249		0.1	1.3	0.8	-	0.5	2.3	0.7	
\$1,250 to \$1,499 \$1,500 to \$1,749	1	0.1	1.1	0.5		1.0	1.2	0.7	
\$1,750 to \$1,999		0.7	1.0	1.8	2.4	1.3	0.6	0.7 2.1	
\$2,000 to \$2,249	1.8	1.2	2.3	2.0	1.5	2.5	1.2	_	
\$2,250 to \$2,499	1.0	0.5	1.4	1.2	0.6	1.8	0.3	-	
\$2,500 to \$2,749 \$2,750 to \$2,999	1	1.0	0.9	1.1	1.2	1.0	0.3	-	
	1	0.4	0.3	0.3	0.3	0.3	0.5	0.7	
\$3,000 to \$3,999 \$4,000 to \$4,999		2.1	2.2	2.7	2.4	3.0	0.3	0.7	
\$5,000 or more	0.7	1.2	0.4	1.0	1.5	0.5		-	
Not reported	1.8	1.9	0.8	1.5	2.4	0.8	0.6	I _	1

Table 4a.--INCOME IN 1949 OF PHIMARY FAMILIES IN SUBSTANDARD DWELLING UNITS, BY NUMBER OF MINORS, TENURE, AND COLOR, FOR PADUCAH, KENTUCKY: 1950--Con.

Family income by		Total			White			Nonwhite	
number of minors	Total.	Owner	Renter	Total	Owner	Renter	Total	Owner	Renter
Three or four minors	13.3	15.2	11.8	13.6	15.9	11.5	12.5	12.1	12.7
\$999 or less \$1,000 to \$1,249 \$1,250 to \$1,499 \$1,500 to \$1,749 \$1,750 to \$1,999	2.2 0.6 0.7 0.7 1.0	1.0 0.8 0.6 1.2 0.7	3.2 0.5 0.7 0.3 1.3	2.0 0.7 0.8 0.7 0.7	0.9 0.6 0.6 1.2 0.9	3.0 0.8 1.0 0.3 0.5	2,9 0,5 0,3 0.8 2.1	1.4 1.4 0.7 1.4	3,8 - - 0,5 3,3
\$2,000 to \$2,249 \$2,250 to \$2,499 \$2,500 to \$2,749 \$2,750 to \$2,999	1.6 0.6 0.9 1.0	1.7 1.0 1.3 1.7	l.5 0.3 0.5 0.4	1.8 0.6 1.0 1.1	2.1 0.9 1.5 1.8	1.5 0.3 0.5 0.5	0.9 0.8 0.6 0.5	1.4 0.7 1.4	1.4 0.5 0.5
\$3,000 to \$3,999 \$4,000 to \$4,999 \$5,000 or more Not reported	1.6 0.7 0.6 1.0	1.4 1.2 1.2 1.2	1.7 0.4 0.2 0.9	1.6 1.0 0.8 0.8	1,2 1.5 1.5 1.2	2.0 0.5 0.3 0.5	1.4 - - 1.7	2.1	0.9 - 1.9
5 minors or more	4.6	4.5	4.7	4.0	3.9	4.0	6.8	7.1	6.6
\$999 or less \$1,000 to \$1,249 \$1,250 to \$1,499 \$1,500 to \$1,749 \$1,750 to \$1,999	0.8 0.1 0.4 0.5 0.1	0.6 0.2 0.6 0.3 0.1	1.0 0.1 0.6 0.1	0.5 0.1 0.3 0.3	0.6 0.3 0.6	0.5 - - 0.5	1.7 - 0.6 1.1 0.6	0.7 0.7 1.4 0.7	2.4 - 0.5 0.9 0.5
\$2,000 to \$2,249	0.3 0.4 0.6 0.1	0.2 0.2 0.2 0.3	0.4 0.6 1.0	0.4 0.4 0.7	0.3 0.3 0.3 -	0.5 0.5 1.0	0.6 0.6 0.5	- - 1.4	0.9
\$3,000 to \$3,999. \$4,000 to \$4,999. \$5,000 or more. Not reported.	0.5 0.1 0.1 0.5	0.6 0.2 0.2 0.5	0.3 - - 0.5	0.4 0.1 0.1 0.5	0.6 0.3 0.3 0.3	0.3 - - 0.8	0.6 - 0.5	0.7 - - 1.4	0.5

Primary family.—A primary family consists of two or more persons including the head of the household and all (one or more) persons in the household who are related to the head by blood, marriage, or adoption. It may include a subfamily consisting of a married couple with or without children, or one parent with one or more children under 18 years of age, living in the household and related to but not including the head of the household or his wife. The subfamily is considered a part of the primary family for all tabulation purposes.

Secondary family.--A secondary family consists of two or more persons, such as a lodger or servant and his relatives, living in a household and related to each other but not related to the household head. The secondary family is considered a separate family for tabulation purposes.

Minor. -- A minor is an unmarried person under 21 years of age other than the head of a family or his wife.

Lilapidation. —A dwelling unit is considered to be dilapidated when it has serious deficiencies, is rundown or neglected, or is of inadequate original construction, so that it does not provide adequate shelter or protection against the elements or it endangers the safety of the occupants.

Plumbing facilities. -- The following are the three plumbing facilities tabulated:

- 1. Flush toilet inside the structure for the unit's exclusive use;
- 2. Installed bathtub or shower inside the structure for the unit's exclusive use; and
- 3. Hot and cold running water inside the structure.

Exclusive use.—Equipment is for "exclusive use" if it is used only by the occupants of one dwelling unit.

Shared.---Equipment is "shared" when occupants of two or more units use the same equipment.

Family income. -- Family income is the sum of the income received during 1949, by all members of the family. The following items were asked separately for the head of the family and for all other members of the family combined:

- 1. The amount of money wages or salary received in 1949:
- The amount of net money income received from self-employment in 1949; and

3. The amount of other money incorceived in 1949, such as interest, divide veterans' allowances, pensions, or rent:

Gross rent. -- Monthly gross rent is corrent plus the reported average monthly co water, electricity, gas, and other fuel for by the renter. If furniture is includ the contract rent, the reported estimated of the dwelling unit without furniture is for the computation instead of the conrent.

SOURCE AND RELIABILITY OF THE DATA

The data in tables 1 through 4 are bas complete counts, including all dwelling and families with the specified characteris. The distributions involving income in table and 5, however, were prepared from data costed on a sample basis. As part of the Census, family income data were obtained about one-fifth of all families. For these ulations, additional interviews were made to crease the income sample above the 20 per level. This was accomplished by a subsective field enumeration of a sample of families were not in the original sample but were 11 in substandard dwelling units.

Although the figures shown in tables 1 thm 4 are based on the same data as the forthouse 1950 Census tabulations of these items, they differ from those to be published as part of Census. The present tables were obtained tabulating directly the data as transcribe the field offices of the Census Burgau and figures therefore do not include all of the finements that result from a careful examine of the schedules.

In addition, the data are subject to c biases due to errors of response or nonrepor which cannot be corrected in editing. Fac affecting the accuracy of enumeration inc the respondent's knowledge of the facts and ability of the enumerator to obtain accurate formation on such items as income, rent, dil dation and plumbing facilities. The regular tabulations are also subject to these biases

Reliability of Estimates in Tables 4a and

Because of sampling variability, percen distributions shown in table 4a and all fig in table 5 may differ from those that would been obtained from a complete count. (The solute figures in table 4a represent comp

counts and are not subject to sampling variations.) The reliability estimates which follow give approximate measures of the sampling errors to be expected in these sample data.

Reliability of the percentages in tables 4a and 5.--The allowance to be made for sampling variation in a percentage depends, in general, both on the value of the percentage and the size of the base on which it is determined. If two percentages are of the same magnitude, the one based on a large number of cases in the sample

is, in general, less variable than the one based on a small number of sample cases.

Estimates of reliability are shown in the following table for percentages with bases of primary families of the specified types. The chances are about 19 out of 20 that the differences due to sampling variability between the percentages obtained from the sample and the percentages which would have been obtained from a complete census would be less than the sampling errors shown below.

			Sampl	ling variabil	ity if the base	e is—				
Percentage shown	All p	rimary famili dwelling		in substandard All primary families with no subfamily family present. in substandard rentered						
in table	Wh	ite	Nonw	hite	ite White		Nonw	hite		
	Owner	Renter	Owner	Renter	No minors	With minors	No minors	With minors		
0.5 1.0 2.0	0.7 0.9 1.3	0.7 1.0 1.3	0.5 0.8 1.2	0.7 0.9 1.3	(1)	0.8 1.1 1.6	(1)	0.9 1.3 1.9		
3.0 4.0 5.0	1.6 1.8 2.0	1.6 1.9 2.1	1.4 1.6 1.8	1.6 1.9 2.1		1.9 2.2 2.5		2.3 2.6 2.9		
10.0 15.0 20.0	2.8 3.3 3.7	2.9 3.4 3.8	2.5 2.9 3.3	2.8 3.4 3.8		3.4 4.1 4.6		4.0 4.8 5.4		
25.6 30.0 40.0	4.1 4.3 4.6	4.1 4.4 4.7	3.6 3.8 4.0	4.1 4.3 4.6		4.9 5.2 5.6	·	5.8 6.1 6.6		
50.0	4.7	4.8	4.1	4.7	1	5.7		6.7		

Omitted because percentage distribution is not shown. To illustrate, for a figure of 10 percent based on white primary families living in substandard renter dwelling units, the sampling variability is 2.9 percent; the chances are about 19 out of 20 that the percentage obtained by a complete census would have been between 7.1 percent and 12.9 percent.

The sampling variability of a specified percentage of total primary families with designated characteristics will vary according to the proportion of white families and nonwhite families making up this percentage. For example, consider the sampling variability of a figure of 10 percent based on total primary families. The maximum sampling error to be expected of such a figure would occur when the entire 10 percent includes only white primary families and the chances are about 19 out of 20 that this sampling error would not exceed 1.6 percent. minimum sampling error would occur when the entire 10 percent includes only nonwhite primary families and the chances are 19 out of 20 that this sampling error would not exceed 0.9 percent. For other specific characteristics composed of 10 percent of total primary families the sampling variability may assume any value between these two figures.

Reliability of absolute figures in table 5. — The approximate sampling variability of the absolute figures in table 5 is shown below. The chances are 19 out of 20 that differences between the numbers shown in table 5 and the numbers that would have been obtained from a complete census would be less than the sampling errors which follow.

Classification	Sampling var figur	iability o	
	Total	White	Nonwhite
Total	26	23	11
No minors	4 5	41	19
With minors	48	44	20

Reliability of differences. The estimates of sampling variability shown in the above tables are not directly applicable to differences obtained by subtracting one figure from another. The sampling variability in an observed difference between two figures depends on the sampling variability of each of the figures and the correlation between them.

nts and are not subject to sampling varians.) The reliability estimates which follow e approximate measures of the sampling errors be expected in these sample data.

Reliability of the percentages in tables 4a

5.--The allowance to be made for sampling
iation in a percentage depends, in general,
h on the value of the percentage and the size
the base on which it is determined. If two
centages are of the same magnitude, the one
ed on a large number of cases in the sample

is, in general, less variable than the one based on a small number of sample cases.

Estimates of reliability are shown in the following table for percentages with bases of primary families of the specified types. The chances are about 19 out of 20 that the differences due to sampling variability between the percentages obtained from the sample and the percentages which would have been obtained from a complete census would be less than the sampling errors shown below.

		Sampling variability if the base is—												
ercentage shown	All p	rimary famili dwelling	es in substan units	dard	All primary families with no subfamily or secondar family present. in substandard renter units									
in table	Wh	White Nonwhite		hite	Whi	ite	Nonwhite							
	Owner	Renter	Owner	Renter	No minors	With minors	No minors	With minors						
0,5	0.7	0.7	0.5	0.7	(¹)	0.8	(¹)	0.9						
1.0	0.9	1.0	0.8	0.9		1.1		1.3						
2.0 3.0	1.3	1.3	1.2	1.3		1.6		1.9						
4.0	1.6 1.8	1.6 1.9	1.4 1.6	1.6 1.9		1.9 2.2		2.3 2.6						
5.0	2.0	2.1	1.8	2.1		2.5		2.9						
10.0	2.8	2.9	2.5	2.8		3.4		4.0						
15.0	3.3	3.4	2.9	3.4		4.1		4.8						
20.0	3.7	3.8	3.3	3.8		4.6	•	5.4						
25.C	4.1	4.1	3.6	4.1		4.9		5.8						
30.0	4.3	4.4	3.8	4.3		5.2		6.1						
40.0	4.6	4.7	4.0	4.6		5,6		6.6						
50.0	4.7	4.8	4.1	4.7	1	5.7		6.7						

Omitted because percentage distribution is not shown. To illustrate, for a figure of 10 percent sed on white primary families living in submadard renter dwelling units, the sampling iability is 2.9 percent; the chances are about out of 20 that the percentage obtained by a splete census would have been between 7.1 cent and 12.9 percent.

The sampling variability of a specified perstage of total primary families with desiged characteristics will vary according to the portion of white families and nonwhite fames making up this percentage. For example, isider the sampling variability of a figure of percent based on total primary families. The timum sampling error to be expected of such a jure would occur when the entire 10 percent :ludes only white primary families and the inces are about 19 out of 20 that this saming error would not exceed 1.6 percent. nimum sampling error would occur when the ene 10 percent includes only nonwhite primary illies and the chances are 19 out of 20 that .s sampling error would not exceed 0.9 per-For other specific characteristics comıt. sed of 10 percent of total primary families ; sampling variability may assume any value ween these two figures.

Reliability of absolute figures in table 5.-The approximate sampling variability of the absolute figures in table 5 is shown below. The chances are 19 out of 20 that differences between the numbers shown in table 5 and the numbers that would have been obtained from a complete census would be less than the sampling errors which follow.

Classification	Sampling var	iability o	
	Total	White	Nonwhite
Total	26	23	11
No minors	45	41	19
With minors	48	44	20

Reliability of differences.—The estimates of sampling variability shown in the above tables are not directly applicable to differences obtained by subtracting one figure from another. The sampling variability in an observed difference between two figures depends on the sampling variability of each of the figures and the correlation between them.

Table 1.--STRUCTURAL AND OCCUPANCY CHARACTERISTICS, CONDITION. AND PLUMBING FACILITIES OF OCCUPIED SUBSTANDARD DWELLING UNITS,
BY TENURE AND COLOR OF OCCUPANTS, FOR FLORENCE, ALABAMA: 1950

(A substandard dwelling unit is defined by the Public Housing Administration as a unit which is either dilapidated or does not have all of the following plumbing facilities: flush toilet and bath inside the structure for the unit's exclusive use, and hot running water)

and not remittig water)									
Characteristic		Total			White			Nonwhit	e
OIRI de let 15 vie	Total	Owner	Renter	Total	Owner	Renter	Total	Owner	Renter
Total number occupied substandard					1			1	
dwelling units	3,369	1,724	1,645	2,289	1,183	1,106	1,080	541	539
Percent of total	100.0	51.2	48.8	67.9	35.1	32.8	32.1	16.1	16.0
number of rooms		1							
Total	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0
I room	3.5	1.3	5.7	3.3	1.4	5.3	3.8	1.1	6.5
2 rooms	17.2	6.1	28.9	17.1	6.6	28.3	17.6	5.2	30.1
4 rooms	25.1	16.8	33.9	24.3	15.8	33.4	26.9	18.9	35,1
5 rooms	32.2	42.3	21.7	31.2	39.9	21.9	34.4	47.5	21.3
6 rooms	12.9	18.4 12.2	7.1	14.6	20.7	8.1	9.2	13.5	4.8
7 rooms	1.0	1.8	2.5	8.0	12.7	2.9	6.4	11.1	1.7
8 rooms or more	0.6	1.0	0.1	0.6	1.8	0.1	1.1	1.8	0.4
Not reported	-		-	0.0	1	-	0.6	0.9	0.2
CONDITION				1			1		-
Total	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0
Not dilapidated	66.0	68.2	63.6	60.0	1				1
Dilapidated	34.0	31.7	36.4	68.0	68.0	68.1	61.6	68.8	54.4
Not reported	0.1	0.1	0.1	0.1	0.1	81.8	38.4	31.2	45.6
WATER SUPPLY							-		_
Total	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0
Hot and cold piped running water inside structure	16.2	12.7	30.0	20.5	†	ļ		-	
Uniy cold piped running water inside structure	41.2	44.8	19.9 37.4	22.5	16.7	28.6	3.0	8.9	2.0
NO piped running water inside structure	42.6	42.5	42.7	47.1	51.5	42.5	28.6	30.3	26.9
Not reported	_		#6.7	30.4	31.8	28.9	68.4	65.8	71.1
TOILET FACILITIES						_	-	-	-
Total	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0
Flush toilet inside structure, exclusive use	21.9	25,7	70.0				20010	# 100.0	
Filmii Collet inside structure, change	15.9	7.2	17.9 24.9	26.0	29.9	21.9	13.1	16.5	9.8
Other toilet facilities (including privy)	õ2.2	67.1	57.1	21.6 52.3	10.1	38.9	3.7	0.9	6.5
	-	- 1	0.1	-	00.0	44.1 0.1	83.1	82.6	83.7
BATHING FACILITIES						0.1	_	-	_
Total	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	700.0	300.0		
Installed bathtub or shower inside atmusture				100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0
	13.5	75.0	<u>.</u> (4	
Also walled Daintub or shower inside et	10.0	15.3	11.6	16.8	18.0	15.6	6.4	9.4	3.3
shared	13.7	6.4	21.4	19.3					
Not reported	72.6	78.2	66.7	63.8	9.1 72.8	30.1	1.9	0.4	3.5
	0.2	0.1	0.3	0.1	0.1	54.2	91.4	90.2	92.6
NUMBER OF PERSONS		-			0.1	0.2	0.3		0.6
Total	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0
1 person.	10.6	2.5			1	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0
2 persons	26.7	8.5	12.7	7.6	6.4	8.9	16.9	13.1	20.6
3 persons. 4 persons.	21.8	20.4	28.9	25.1	22.9	27.4	30.2	28.5	31.9
	16.9	17.9	15.9	23.5 18.9	21.3	25.9	18.1	18.3	17.8
	10.1	11.€	8.4	11.1	19.7	18.1	12.8	14.0	11.5
	6.4	7.9	4.7	7.3	9.0	9.0 5.5	8.0	8.5	7.4
8 persons or more	3.9 1.5	4.6	3.1	3.9	4.6	8.1	3.8	5.7	3.0
between Oi more	2.2	1.9	1.2	1.2	1.4	1.0	2.3	3.1	3.2
NUMBER OF LODGERS	~	2.5	1.8	1.4	1.7	1.2	3.7	4.3	1.5 3.2
Total									3
None	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0
1 or more lodgers	96.6	96.3	96.8	97.5	97.3	97.6	04.0	24 - 1	
	3.4	3.7	3.2	2.5	2.7	2.4	94.6 5.4	94.1 5.9	95.2 4.8

Table 1.--STRUCTURAL AND OCCUPANCY CHARACTERISTICS, CONDITION, AND PLUMBING FACILITIES OF OCCUPIED SUBSTANDARD DWELLING UNITS, BY TENURE AND COLOR OF OCCUPANTS, FOR FLORENCE, ALABAMA: 1950---Con.

(A substandard dwelling unit is defined by the Public Housing Administration as a unit which is either dilapidated or does not have all of the following plumbing facilities: flush toilet and bath inside the structure for the unit's exclusive use, and hot running water)

		Total			White		ı	Nonwhite	
Characteristic	Total	Owner	Renter	Total	Owner	Renter	Total	Owner	Renter
CONDITION AND PLUMBING FACILITIES	100.0	1.00.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0
Not dilapidated: With private bath and private flush toilet, no hot running water	8.8 6.6 27.8 22.7	10.0 7.4 25.5 25.2	7.4 5.8 30.1 20.0	11.0 7.1 85.1 14.7	12.0 7.6 31.7 16.7	9.9 6.5 38.8 12.7	4.0 5.7 12.1 39.5	5.7 7.0 12.0 44.0	2.2 4.5 12.2 35.1
Dilapidated: With private bath and private flush toilet, hot and cold running water	1.8	2.5	1.0	2.3	3.1	1.4	0.6	1.1	0.2
no hot running water	1.0 3.6 7.7 19.9	1.2 4.5 6.4 17.2	0.9 2.6 9.1 22.7	1.3 4.3 8.4 15.6	1.4 5.7 6.7 15.0	1.2 2.8 10.2 16.3	0.6 1.9 6.3 28.9	0.7 1.8 5.7 21.8	0.4 2.0 6.9 36.0
Not reporting condition or plumbing facilities	0.2	.0.1	0.4	0.2	0.1	0.3	0.3	-	0.6
CONDITION BY NUMBER OF PLUMBING FACILITIES Total	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0
Not dilapidated. Lacking 1 facility Lacking 2 facilities Lacking 3 facilities	10.6 17.3 37.9	12.1 13.5 42.6	9.1 21.3 33.0	13.3 22.4 32.2	14.2 16.5 37.3	12.3 28.8 26.9	5.0 6.4 50.0	7.6 6.8 54.3	2.4 5.9 45.6
Dilapidated: With all facilities Lacking 1 facility Lacking 2 facilities Lacking 3 facilities	1.8 1.3 5.0 25.9	2.5 1.6 5.3 22.3	1.0 1.0 4.6 29.7	2.3 1.7 6.1 21.9	3.1 1.9 6.4 20.5	1.4 1.4 5.7 23.4	0.6 0.6 2.7 34.4	1.1 0.7 3.0 26.4	0.2 0.4 2.4 42.5
Not reporting condition or plumbing facilities	0.2	0.1	0.4	0.2	0.1	0.8	0.8	-	0.6
NUMBER OF DWEILING UNITS IN STRUCTURE	, ,								
Total	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0
1 dwelling unit 2 to 4 dwelling units 5 or more dwelling units	71.2 25.9 2.9	86.7 12.9 0.4	55.0 39.4 5.6	66.1 29.8 4.1	83.0 16.4 0.6	47.9 44.2 7.9	82.1 17.4 0.5	94.6 5.4 -	69.6 29.5 0.9

Table 2.--CONTRACT AND GROSS RENT OF RENTER-OCCUPIED SUBSTANDARD DWELLING UNITS, BY COLOR OF OCCUPANTS, FOR FLORENCE, ALABAMA: 1950

Monthly rent	Total	White	Nonwhite	Monthly rent	Total	White	Nonwhite
Total number renter-occupied substandard dwelling units	1,645	1,106	539	FURNITURE IN RENT	100.0	100.0	100.0
Percent of total	100.0	67.2	32.8	Furniture included in contract rent Furniture not included in contract	9.4	13.2	1.7
MONTHLY CONTRACT RENT				rent	87.4 3.2	83.5 3.3	95.4 3.0
Total	100.0	100.0	100.0	MONTHLY GROSS RENT			
\$ 9 or less	28.8	21.1	44.5	Total	100.0	100.0	100.0
\$10 to \$14	22.1	16.5	33.6	\$9 or less \$10 to \$14	9.8	8.9	11.7
\$15 to \$19 \$20 to \$24	15.0 13.3	15.4 17.3	14.1	\$15 to \$19		10.5 15.1	26.2 29.1
\$25 to \$29	8.6	12.3	0.9	\$20 to \$24\$25 to \$29	17.1 13.3	17.2 15.8	17.1 8.0
\$30 to \$34	4.7	6.7	0.7	\$30 to \$34	9.3	12.5	2.8
\$35 to \$39 \$40 to \$49	3.9	5.6	0.4	\$35 to \$39 \$40 to \$49	6.4 4.0	9.1 5.5	0.9 0.9
\$50 or more	2.6 0.9	3.8	0.2	\$50 or more	1.6	2.3	0.9
Not reported	0.3	0.2	0.6	Not reported	3.1	3.2	3.0

Table 3.--CONDITION AND PLUMBING FACILITIES OF RENTER-OCCUPIED SUBSTANDARD DWELLING UNITS, BY GROSS RENT, FOR FLORENCE, ALABAMA: 1950

					Monthly g	gross rent	t		
Condition and plumbing facilities	Total	\$19 or less	\$20 to \$24	\$25 to \$29	\$30 to \$34	\$35 to \$39	\$40 to \$49	\$50 or more	Not reported
Total number renter-occupied substandard dwelling units	1,645	742	282	218	153	106	66	27	51
Percent of total	100.0	45.1	17.1	13.3	9.8	6.4	4.0	1.6	3.1
Not dilapidated: With private bath and private flush toilet, no hot running water	7.4 .5.8 30.1 20.0	0.9 2.1 7.7 11.7	1.2 1.2 4.8 3.9	1.2 0.7 6.1 1.9	1.7 0.7 4.5 1.0	1.0 0.4 3.6 0.5	0.9 0.4 1.9 0.3	0.4 0.2 0.8	0.1 0.2 0.7 0.7
Dilapidated: With private bath and private flush toilet, hot and cold running water. With private bath and private flush toilet, no hot running water. With private flush toilet, no private bath With running water, no private flush toilet No running water inside structure.	1.0 0.9 2.6 9.1 22.7	0.1 0.2 1.1 4.7 16.5	0.1 - 0.9 2.5 2.6	0.1 0.1 0.3 1.3 1.4	0.1 0.2 0.2 0.2 0.2	0.3 0.2 0.1 0.2 0.2	0.2	0.1 0.1 0.1	0.1
Not reporting condition or plumbing facilities	0.4	0.1	0.1	0.1		_	0.1	0.1	

Table 3a.--CONDITION AND PLUMBING FACILITIES OF RENTER-OCCUPIED SUBSTANDARD DWELLING UNITS, BY GROSS RENT, FOR WHITE HOUSEHOLDS, FOR FLORENCE, ALABAMA: 1950

					Monthly g	gross ren	t		
Condition and plumbing facilities	Total	\$19 or less	\$20 to \$24	\$25 to \$29	\$30 to \$34	\$35 to \$39	\$40 to \$49	\$50 or more	Not reported
Total number renter-occupied									
substandard dwelling units	1,106	381	190	175	138	101	61	25	35
Percent of total	100.0	34.4	17.2	15.8	12.5	9.1	5.5	2.3	3.2
Not dilapidated: With private bath and private flush toilet, no hot running water	9.9 6.5 38.8 12.7	2.4 8.5	1.4 1.1 5.9 2.6	1.6 0.7 8.3 1.6	2.4 0.8 6.1 1.3	1.3 0.6 5.2 0.6	1.3 0.3 2.8 0.4	0.6 0.3 1.1	0.1 0.3 0.8 0.3
Dilapidated: With private bath and private flush toilet, hot and cold running water With private bath and private flush toilet, no hot running water	1.4	1	0.1	0.2	0.2	0.4	1	0.1	
With private flush toilet, no private bath With running water, no private flush toilet No running water inside structure	2.8	1.3 4.9	0.7 2.8 2.5	0.4	0.4 0.3 0.3 0.7	0.1	0.3	0.1	
Not reporting condition or plumbing facilities.	0.3	0.1	_	0.1	_		0.1	_	

Table 3b.--CONDITION AND PLUMBING FACILITIES OF RENTER-OCCUPIED SUBSTANDARD DWELLING UNITS, BY GROSS RENT, FOR NONWHITE HOUSEHOLDS, FOR FLORENCE, ALABAMA: 1950

		Monthly gross rent								
Condition and plumbing facilities	Total	\$19 or less	\$20 to \$24	\$25 to \$29	\$30 to \$34	\$35 to \$39	\$40 to \$ 49	\$50 or more	Not reported	
Total number renter-occupied substandard dwelling units	589	361	92	43	15	5	5	2	16	
Percent of total	100.0	67.0	17.1	8.0	2.8	0.9	0.9	0.4	3.0	
Not dilapidated: With private bath and private flush toilet, no hot running water With private flush toilet, no private bath With running water, no private flush toilet No running water inside structure	2.2 4.5 12.2 35.1	0.4 1.3 6.1 23.6	0.7 1.3 2.6 6.5	0.4 0.6 1.7 2.6	0.2 0.6 1.1 0.6	0.4 - 0.2 0.2	0.7 - 0.2	0.2	0.2 - 0.4 1.5	
Dilapidated: With private bath and private flush toilet, hot and cold running water With private bath and private flush toilet, no hot running water With private flush toilet, no private bath With running water, no private flush toilet No running water inside structure	6.9	0.2 0.7 4.5 30.1	- 1.1 1.9 2.8	0.2 0.2 0.6 1.9	- - - 0.4	0.2		-		
Not reporting condition or plumbing facilities	0.6	0.2	0.2	-				0.2		

Table 4.--CHARACTERISTICS OF FAMILIES IN SUBSTANDARD DWELLING UNITS, BY TENURE AND COLOR, FOR FLORENCE, ALABAMA: 1950

		Total			White			Nonwhite	
Characteristic	Total	Owner	Renter	Total	Owner	Renter	Total	Owner	Renter
Total number of families	2,988	1,570	1,418	2,105	1,107	998	883	463	420
Percent of total	100.0	52.5	47.5	70.4	37.0	33.4	29.6	15.5	14.1
TYPE OF FAMILY									
Total	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0
Primary family	99.4 0.6	99.2 0.8	99.7 0.3	99.6 0.4	99.4 0.6	99.8 0.2	99.1	98.7 1.3	99.5 0.5
NUMBER OF PERSONS IN FAMILY								-	
Total	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0
2 persons. 3 persons. 4 persons. 5 persons. 6 persons. 7 persons. 8 persons or more.	30.5 24.5 18.6 11.0 7.1 4.3 3.9	28.0 22.3 19.2 12.4 8.5 5.1 4.6	33.2 27.0 18.0 9.6 5.5 3.5	27.5 25.8 20.3 11.6 8.0 4.0 2.8	25.3 22.9 21.0 13.4 9.5 4.8 3.2	30.0 29.0 19.6 9.6 6.3 3.2 2.3	37.5 21.5 14.5 9.7 5.0 5.0	84.3 20.7 14.9 9.9 6.3 5.8 8.0	41.0 22.4 14.0 9.1 3.0 4.0
NUMBER OF PERSONS PER ROOM IN DWELLING UNIT					-				
Total	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.
0.50 or less. 0.51 to 0.75. 0.76 to 1.00. 1.01 to 1.50. 1.51 to 2.00. 2.01 or more. Not reported.	14.6 21.4 30.7 18.5 9.8 5.1	22.0 22.2 29.0 16.1 6.9 3.9	6.3 20.5 32.5 21.3 13.0 6.3	13.8 20.9 32.4 19.3 8.7 4.9	20.3 23.1 31.1 15.4 6.1 4.0	6.6 18.3 33.8 23.6 11.6 6.0	16.3 22.7 26.6 16.8 12.3 5.3	25.9 19.9 24.0 17.7 8.6 3.9	5. 25. 29. 15. 16.
NUMBER OF MINORS IN FAMILY									
Total	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.
No minors. 1 minor. 2 minors. 3 minors. 4 minors. 5 minors. 6 minors or more.	33.6 25.4 18.2 10.6 6.5 2.8 2.8	33.4 23.1 18.1 11.9 7.2 3.1	33.8 28.1 18.4 9.2 5.7 2.5 2.3	30.8 26.6 20.0 11.1 7.0 2.6	30.9 23.9 20.0 12.9 7.3 2.8 2.2	30.7 29.6 20.1 9.1 6.6 2.4	40.3 22.7 13.9 9.4 5.3 8.3 5.1	39.5 21.0 13.6 9.5 6.9 3.7 5.8	41. 24. 14. 9. 3. 2.

Table 4a.--INCOME IN 1949 OF PRIMARY FAMILIES IN SUBSTANDARD DWELLING UNITS, BY NUMBER OF MINORS, TENURE, AND COLOR, FOR FLORENCE, ALABAMA: 1950

Family income by		Total			White			Nonwhite	
number of minors	Total	Owner	Renter	Total	Owner	Renter	Total	Owner	Renter
Total number of primary families	2,971	1,557	1,414	2,096	1,100	996	875	457	418
Percent of total	100.0	52.4	47.6	70.5	37.0	33.5	29.5	15.4	14.1
Total	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0
\$999 or less. \$1,000 to \$1,249. \$1,250 to \$1,499. \$1,500 to \$1,749. \$1,750 to \$1,999.	21.7 6.1 4.7 7.6 7.2	19.9 5.7 3.3 7.5 8.7	23.8 6.6 6.1 7.7 5.6	17.3 5.1 4.3 7.6	15.2 4.0 3.1 7.1 9.9	19.7 6.2 5.6 8.2 5.3	32.2 8.6 5.6 7.6 6.1	31.1 9.7 3.9 8.6 5.8	33.3 7.4 7.4 6.5 6.5
\$2,000 to \$2,249 \$2,250 to \$2,499 \$2,500 to \$2,749 \$2,750 to \$2,999	10.6 6.4 6.9 3.2	11.3 7.0 7.5 2.6	10.0 5.8 6.3 3.9	12.3 7.5 7.5 4.0	12.7 8.7 8.4 3.1	11.8 6.2 6.6 4.9	6.7 3.8 5.5 1.5	7.8 3.1 5.4 1.6	5.6 4.6 5.6 1.4
\$3,000 to \$3,999 \$4,000 to \$4,999 \$5,000 or more Not reported	11.4 5.0 2.4 6.5	13.0 4.7 3.0 5.8	9.7 5.4 1.8 7.4	14.2 6.5 3.0 2.8	16.4 6.2 3.7 1.5	11.8 6.9 2.3 4.3	4.7 1.5 0.8 15.4	4.7 1.2 1.2 16.0	4.6 1.9 0.5 14.8
No minors	30.6 9.7	31.1	30.2 9.3	27.0 7.2	28.5 7.7	25 . 3	39.4 15.6	37.4 15.6	41,7 15,7
\$1,000 to \$1,249. \$1,250 to \$1,499. \$1,500 to \$1,749. \$1,750 to \$1,999.	1.5 1.7 2.5 2.0	2.0 1.8 3.1 2.6	0.9 1.7 1.9	0.8 1.4 2.1 2.3	1.2 1.2 3.1 3.4	0.3 1.6 1.0	3.1 2.5 3.6 1.3	3.9 3.1 3.1 0.8	2.3 1.9 4.2 1.9
\$2,000 to \$2,249	3.0 1.6 1.6 0.4	2.5 2.0 1.7	3.5 1.2 1.5 0.9	3.0 1.8 1.6 0.6	2.5 2.5 1.9	3.6 1.0 1.3 1.3	3.0 1.3 1.5	2.7 0.8 1.2	3.2 1.9 1.9
\$3,000 to \$3,999 \$4,000 to \$4,999 \$5,000 or more	2.2 1.1 0.7 2.5	1.4 1.1 0.7 2.1	3.1 1.1 0.8 2.9	2.5 1.4 1.0 1.3	1.5 1.5 0.9 0.9	3.6 1.3 1.0 1.6	1.5 0.2 0.2 5.5	1.2	1.9 0.5 0.5 6.0
One minor	24.4	21.1	28.0	25.8	21.7	29.3	22.3	19.8	25.0
\$999 or less \$1,000 to \$1,249 \$1,250 to \$1,499 \$1,500 to \$1,749 \$1,750 to \$1,999	3.8 1.7 1.5 2.4 1.6	3.3 1.2 0.6 1.4 1.7	4.4 2.2 2.6 3.4 1.6	2.4 1.4 1.3 2.7 1.8	1.9 0.9 0.6 1.2 1.9	3.0 2.0 2.0 4.3 1.6	7.2 2.3 2.2 1.7 1.3	6.6 1.9 0.4 1.9 1.2	7.9 2.8 4.2 1.4 1.4
\$2,000 to \$2,249. \$2,250 to \$2,499. \$2,500 to \$2,749. \$2,750 to \$2,999.	2.0 1.9 2.3 1.0	2.0 1.9 2.7 0.3	2.0 1.9 1.8 1.7	2.2 2.4 2.9 1.1	2.2 2.5 3.4 0.3	2.3 2.3 2.3 2.0	1.5 0.6 0.8 0.6	1.6 0.4 1.2 0.4	1.4 0.9 0.5 0.9
\$3,000 to \$3,999. \$4,000 to \$4,999. \$5,000 or more. Not reported.	2.9 1.5 0.4 1.4	3.4 1.0 0.6 1.1	2.5 2.1 0.2 1.7	4.0 2.1 0.5 0.6	4.6 1.2 0.6 0.3	3.3 3.0 0.3 1.0	0.4 0.2 0.2 3.2	0.4 0.4 0.4 3.1	0.5 - - 3.2
Two minors	19.5	18.7	20.5	21.7	20.7	22.7	14.4	13.6	15,3
\$1,000 to \$1,249 \$1,250 to \$1,499. \$1,500 to \$1,749. \$1,750 to \$1,999 \$2,000 to \$2,249	3.0 1.4 0.5 1.3 1.5	1.7 1.0 0.4 1.8 1.5	4.5 1.8 0.5 1.3 1.5	3.0 1.3 0.5 1.4 1.9	1.5 0.6 0.6 1.2 1.9	4.6 2.0 0.3 1.6 2.0	3.0 1.7 0.4 1.0 0.6	1.9 1.9 - 1.6 0.8	4.2 1.4 0.9 0.5 0.5
\$2,250 to \$2,499 \$2,500 to \$2,749 \$2,750 to \$2,999 \$3,000 to \$3,999	2.2 1.6 1.6 1.1	2.9 1.5 1.8 1.2	1.5 1.6 1.4 0.9	2.7 1.8 1.8 1.4	3.4 1.9 1.9 1.5	2.0 1.6 1.6 1.3	1.0 1.1 1.3 0.2	1.6 0.8 1.6	0.5 1.4 0.9
\$4,000 to \$4,999 \$5,000 or more Not reported	2.6 1.1 0.6 1.1	2.7 1.3 0.7 0.6	2.4 1.0 0.5 1.7	3.2 1.4 0.8 0.5	3.4 1.9 0.9	3.0 1.0 0.7 1.0	1.1 0.4 - 2.6	1.2	0.9 0.9 - 3.2

Table 4a.--INCOME IN 1949 OF PRIMARY FAMILIES IN SUBSTANDARD DWELLING UNITS, BY NUMBER OF MINORS, TENURE, AND COLOR, FOR FLORENCE, ALABAMA: 1950--Con.

Family income by		Total			White			Nonwhite	
number of minors	Total	Owner	Renter	Total	Owner	Renter	Total	Owner	Renter
Three or four minors	19.3	23.0	15.1	. 20.8	24.8	16.4	15.6	18.7	12.0
\$999 or less \$1,000 to \$1,249 \$1,250 to \$1,499 \$1,500 to \$1,749	3.6 1.1 0.8 1.0	3.8 1.0 0.6 1.7	3.5 1.2 1.1 0.4	3.8 1.1 1.0 1.0	3.7 0.9 0.6 1.5	3.9 1.3 1.3 0.3	3.1 1.1 0.4 1.2	3.9 1.2 0.4 1.9	2.0 0.9 0.0
\$2,000 to \$2,249 \$2,250 to \$2,499 \$2,500 to \$2,749 \$2,750 to \$2,7999	1.6 2.5 1.0 1.3 0.6	2.2 2.3 1.3 1.1 1.1	1.0 2.7 0.7 1.5 0.1	1.4 3.2 1.3 1.3 0.6	2.2 2.8 1.5 1.2	0.7 3.6 1.0 1.3	2.1 0.8 0.4 1.3 0.6	2.3 1.2 0.3 0.8 0.8	1.
\$3,000 to \$3,999 \$4,000 to \$4,999 \$5,000 or more Not reported	3.0 1.0 0.6 1.0	4.7 1.3 0.9 1.0	1.2 0.6 0.2 1.0	3.9 1.1 0.6 0.5	6.2 1.5 0.9 0.3	1.3 0.7 0.3 0.7	1.1 0.6 0.4 2.3	1.2 0.8 0.8 2.7	0.
5 minors or more	6 . 2	6.1	6.2	5.2	4.3	6.2	8.4	10.5	6.
\$999 or less. \$1,000 to \$1,249	1.6 0.5 0.1 0.3 0.5	1.1 0.4 - - 0.7	2.1 0.5 0.2 0.7 0.3	0.9 0.5 0.2 0.5 0.3	0.3 0.3 - - 0.6	1.6 0.7 0.3 1.0	3.2 0.4 - 0.8	3.1 0.8 - - 0.8	3.8 - - -
\$2,000 to \$2,249 \$2,250 to \$2,499 \$2,500 to \$2,749 \$2,750 to \$2,999	0.9 0.3 0.2 0.1	1.5 0.3 0.2	0.2 0.4 0.1 0.2	1.1	1.9 0.3 -	0.3 0.3 - 0.3	0.4 0.4 0.6	0.8 0.4 0.8	0.
\$3,000 to \$3,999 \$4,000 to \$4,999 \$5,000 or more Not reported	0.6 0.3 0.1 0.5	0.7 - 0.2 0.9	0.6 0.7 - 0.1	0.6 0.5 0.2	0.6 - 0.3 -	0.7 1.0 -	0.6 - - 1.8	0.8 - - 3.1	0.

Table 5.--RENT AS PERCENT OF INCOME BY FAMILY INCOME IN 1949 OF PRIMARY FAMILIES WITH NO SUBFAMILY OR SECONDARY FAMILY IN RENTER-OCCUPIED SUBSTANDARD DWELLING UNITS, BY PRESENCE OF MINORS AND COLOR, FOR FLORENCE, ALABAMA: 1950

Among month on managed and		Total			White			Nonwhite	
Gross rent as percent of income by family income	Total	No minors	With minors	Total	No minors	With minors	Total	No minors	With minors
Number of families	1,315	408	907	934	236	698	381	172	20
Percent of total	100.0	31.0	69.0	71.0	17.9	53.1	29.0	13.1	lõ.
Total	300.0	100.0	7.00.0	700.0	(1)	700.0	100.0		
	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	(1)	100.0	100.0	(1)	100.
9 percent or less	19.7 27.6	20.8	19.2 31.3	21.1		20.2 32.9	16.2		15. 25.
15 percent to 19 percent	16.7	22.3	14.1	16.8		15.0	16.2		11.
20 percent to 24 percent	7.7	3.5	9.6	7.4		8.9	8.6		12.
25 percent to 29 percent 30 percent to 34 percent	4.9	3.6	5.4	4.6	1	5.6	5.6	i	4.
35 percent or more	2.9	3.3	2.7	1.8		2.3	5.6		3.
Not reported	10.3	15.7 11.5	7.9 9.8	10.2	ĺ	7.5 7.5	10.7 16.8		9.
_	10.5	11.0	9.0	(•(7.5	10.8		17.
\$1,499 or less	33.2	39.2	30.5	27.7		25,8	46.7		46.
9 percent or less	4.3	5.8	3.7	5.3	}	4.2	2.0		1.
10 percent to 14 percent 15 percent to 19 percent	3.8	2.2	4.4	2.8	}	3.3	6,1	.	8.
20 percent to 24 percent	4.4	7.5	3.0	2.5		1.4	9.1		8.
25 percent to 29 percent	4.9 3.4	1.9	6.3 3.2	3.9	}	5.2	7.6)	10.
30 percent to 34 percent	2.9	3.3	2.7	2.5 1.8		2.8 2.3	5.6 5.6		. 4.
35 percent or more	9.6	14.8	7.2	9.1		6.6	10.7	į į	3. 9.
\$1,500 to \$1,999	10.0	10 F	34.5						
	13.2	10,5	14.5	13.7		15.5	12.2		11.
9 percent or less	2.5	1,8	2.8	2.5		2.8	2.5		2.
15 percent to 19 percent	5.7 2.5	3.8	6.6	6.0		6.6	5.1		6.
20 percent to 24 percent	1.3	4.9	1.4	2.1		1.9	3.6	ļ	
25 percent to 29 percent	1.0		1.9 1.4	1.4 1.4		1.9	1.0		1.
30 percent to 34 percent	- 1				i	1.9	-	ĺ	
35 percent or more	0.2	-	0.4	0.4		0.5	-	j	
\$2,000 to \$2,499	15.8	14.0	16.6	17.9		18.8	10.7		9.
9 percent or less	3.3	2.2	3.8						
10 percent to 14 percent	6.1	5.6	6.3	2.8		3.3	4.6	. [5.6
15 percent to 19 percent	3.9	3.8	4.0	6.7 4.9		7.0	4.6	į	3.
20 percent to 24 percent	1.5	1.6	1.4	2.1		5.2	1.5]	
25 percent to 29 percent 30 percent to 34 percent	0.5	-	0.7	0.7		1.9	-		
35 percent or more		- (- (- (_ []		
	0.5	0,8	0.4	0.7		0.5	-		
\$2,500 to \$2,999	10.3	8.3	11.2	11.6		11.7	7.1		9,8
9 percent or less	1.8	0.9	2.2))				
10 percent to 14 percent	4.3	2.9	4.9	1.8		2.3	2.0	1	1.9
15 percent to 19 percent	4.2	4.5	4.0	5.8		4.7	3.6	ļ	5,6
20 percent to 24 percent 25 percent to 29 percent	- 1	-	- 1			4.7	1.5		1,9
30 percent to 34 percent	-	-	-	-		_		l	-
35 percent or more	-	_	-	- ∥		_ \	- 1	1	
			-	-	·	-	-		-
\$3,000 or over	17.1	16.5	17.4	21.4		20.7	6.6		8.5
percent or less	7.7	10.1	6.5	8.8					
O percent to 14 percent	7.8	4.8	9.1	10.5		7.5	5.1	ļ	3.7
5 percent to 19 percent	1.6	1.6	1.7	2.1		11.8	1.0	1	1.9
percent to 29 percent	-	-	· 🗕 🛚	-	1	1.9	0.5		0.9
Dercent to 34 percent	-	-	-	-		_	-		-
35 percent or more	-	-	· - \	-		_ \	-	1	
	-	-	-	-		= 1	_		-
Not reporting income or rent	10.3	11.5	9.8	, ,	į				
1 Percentage distribution is			3.0	7.7		7.5	16.8		17.0

¹ Percentage distribution is not shown where the number of cases in the sample is less than 100.

1950 CENSUS OF HOUSING

SPECIAL TABULATIONS FOR LOCAL HOUSING AUTHORITIES

November 15, 1950

Washington 25, D. C.

Series HC-6, No. 46

BEAUMONT, TEXAS: APRIL 1950

This report is based on a transcription and tabulation of data from the 1950 Census of Population and Housing. The statistics relate to dwelling units considered substandard by the Public Housing Administration, in accordance with the contract for these statistics between the Bureau of the Census and the Housing Authority of the City of Beaumont.

A dwelling unit is considered substandard by the Public Housing Administration if it is either dilapidated or does not have the following plumbing facilities: flush toilet and bath inside the structure for the unit's exclusive The Public Housing use, and hot running water. Administration considers the absence of hot running water to be evidence generally of poor quality of the dwelling unit, but there may be some localities in which the absence of hot running water is not deemed to be a sufficient indication by itself of poor quality. localities, a revised count of substandard units may be obtained from table 1 by subtracting from the total the units which lack hot water only. If it is desired to eliminate the units lacking hot water only from the total count of substandard units, the distributions shown in the tables will not be materially affected.

The criteria used to define substandard units in these tabulations are not identical with the housing characteristics included in the published reports from the 1940 Census. Therefore, any comparison of data in this report with the 1940 results must be made with extreme care. A direct comparison cannot be made with the 1940 results because information on hot running water was not collected at that time. Further, the 1940 data on condition were collected showing dwelling units "needing major repairs," whereas

in 1950 data on condition were collected showing units as "dilapidated." Because the definitions of these two terms differ significantly, the 1940 count of dwelling units needing major repairs and the 1950 count of dilapidated dwelling units are comparable only in a general way.

The data are presented in the series of attached tables. In table 1 the structural and occupancy characteristics are shown for all substandard dwelling units. Table 2 shows the rent paid for renter-occupied substandard units; table 3 shows the condition and plumbing facilities of these same units. Table 4 shows the characteristics of all families (both primary and secondary) living in the substandard units. Table 4a classifies the primary families by family income. Table 5 classifies primary families with no subfamily or secondary family present, by rent as a percent of family income.

In the contract rent tabulation, dwelling units occupied rent-free are tabulated in the "\$9 or less" category. In the gross rent tabulations, however, such units are tabulated as "not reporting," except when utilities were reported.

DEFINITIONS

The definitions used in this special tabulation are generally the same as those used in the 1950 Census of Population and Housing. Some of the more important definitions are given below.

Dwelling unit. -- In general, a dwelling unit is a group of rooms or a single room, occupied, or intended for occupancy, as separate living quarters by a family or other group of persons living together or by a person living alone.

Primary family. -- A primary family consists of two or more persons including the head of the household and all (one or more) persons in the household who are related to the head by blood, marriage, or adoption. It may include a subfamily consisting of a married couple with or without children, or one parent with one or more children under 18 years of age, living in the household and related to but not including the head of the household or his wife. The subfamily is considered a part of the primary family for all tabulation purposes.

Secondary family.--A secondary family consists of two or more persons, such as a lodger or servant and his relatives, living in a household and related to each other but not related to the household head. The secondary family is considered a separate family for tabulation purposes.

Minor.--A minor is an unmarried person under 21 years of age other than the head of a family or his wife.

Dilapidation. -- A dwelling unit is considered to be dilapidated when it has serious deficiencies, is rundown or neglected, or is of inadequate original construction, so that it does not provide adequate shelter or protection against the elements or it endangers the safety of the occupants.

<u>Plumbing facilities.--The following</u> are the three plumbing facilities tabulated:

- l. Flush toilet inside the structure for
 the unit's exclusive use;
- 2. Installed bathtub or shower inside the structure for the unit's exclusive use; and
- 3. Hot and cold running water inside the structure.

Exclusive use. -- Equipment is for "exclusive use" if it is used only by the occupants of one dwelling unit.

Shared.--Equipment is "shared" when occupants of two or more units use the same equipment.

Family income. -- Family income is the sum of the income received during 1949, by all members of the family. The following items were asked separately for the head of the family and for all other members of the family combined:

- 1. The amount of money wages or salary received in 1949;
- 2. The amount of net money income received from self-employment in 1949; and
- 3. The amount of other money income received in 1949, such as interest, dividends, veterams allowances, pensions, or rents.

Gross rent. -- Monthly gross rent is contract rent plus the reported average monthly cost of

water, electricity, gas, and other fuel paid for by the renter. If furniture is included in the contract rent, the reported estimated rent of the dwelling unit without furniture is used for the computation instead of the contract rent.

SOURCE AND RELIABILITY OF THE DATA

The figures shown in this report are based on the transcribed data for all white-occupied substandard dwelling units and about one-fifth of the nonwhite-occupied substandard units. The income distributions for both white families and nonwhite families were prepared from data collected on a sample basis since, in the 1950 Census, only one family in five was asked to report family income. The transcribed data were supplemented by an actual count of the total number of nonwhite-occupied substandard units, even though the housing, family, and income distributions were based on a sample.

Although some of the figures in the tables are based on the same data as the forthcoming 1950 Census tabulations, they may differ from those to be published as part of the Census. The present tables were obtained by tabulating directly the data as transcribed in the field offices of the Census Bureau and the figures, therefore, do not include all of the refinements that result from a careful examination of the schedules.

In addition, the data are subject to other biases due to errors of response and to nonreporting which cannot be corrected in editing. Factors affecting accuracy of reporting are the respondent's knowledge of the facts and the ability of the enumerator to obtain accurate information on such items as income, rent, dilapidation, and plumbing facilities. The regular 1950 tabulations are also subject to these biases.

Reliability of Estimates

Because of sampling variability, income data for white families and all data for total and for nonwhite households may differ from the figures that would have been obtained from a complete count. (The numbers of occupied dwelling units, by race of occupant, are complete counts which are not subject to sampling variations.) The reliability estimates which follow give approximate measures of the sampling errors to be expected in these sample data.

Reliability of percentages. -- The allowance to be made for sampling variation in a percentage depends, in general, both on the value of the percentages and the size of the base on which it is determined. If two percentages are of the same magnitude, the one based on a large number

of cases in the sample is, in general, less variable than the one based on a small number of sample cases.

Estimates of reliability are shown in the following table for percentages with bases of dwelling units or families of the specified types. The chances are about 19 out of 20 that the differences due to sampling variability between the percentages obtained from the sample and the percentages which would have been obtained from a complete census would be less than the sampling error shown below.

To illustrate, for a figure of 10 percent based on nonwhite primary families living in

substandard renter dwelling units, the sampling variability is 2.1 percent; the chances are about 19 out of 20 that the percentage obtained by a complete census would have been between 7.9 percent and 12.1 percent.

The sampling variability of a specified percentage of total families or dwelling units with designated characteristics, tables 1 through 4, will vary according to the proportion of non-white families or honwhite-occupied units making up this percentage. The maximum sampling error to be expected of such a figure would occur when only nonwhite data are included. If the percentage includes only white data, no sampling error is present.

	. '	•		Samplin	g variabil	ity if bas	e is			
Percentage shown in table in table All nonwhite- occupied substandard dwelling	,	All pri	mary famil dwelli	All primary families with no sub- family or secondary family pre- sent, in substandard renter units						
	dwelling	Total		White		Nonw	Nonwhite		No	With
	units	Owner	Renter	Owner	Renter	Owner	Renter	Total	minors	minors
0.5	0.4	0.5	0.4	0 . 8	0.7	0.7	0.5	0.4	0.7	0.5
1.0	0.5	0.7	0.6	1.1	1.0	0.9	0,7	0.6	1.0	0.7
2.0	0.7	1.0	0.8	1.6	1.4	1.3	1.0	0.8	1.3	1.0
3.0	0.9	1.2	1.0	1.9	1.7	1.6	1.2	1.0	1.5	1.3
4.0	1.0	1.4	1.1	2.2	1.9	1.8	1.4	1.2	1.9	1.5
5.0	1.1	1.6	1.2	2.5	2.1	2.0	1.5	1.3	2.1	1.6
10.0	1.5	2.2	1.7	3.4	2.9	2.8	2.1	1.8	2.9	2.2
15.0	1.8	2.6	2.0	4.1	3.5	3.3	2.5	2.1	3.4	2.7
20.0	2.0	2.9	2.3	4.5	3.9	3.7	2.8	2.4	3.8	3.0
25.0	2.2	3.1	2.5	4.9	4.2	4.1	3.0	2.5	4.2	3,2
30.0	2.3	3.3	2.6	5.2	4.5	4.3	3.2	2.7	4.4	3.4
40.0	2.5	3.5	2.8	5.6	4.8	4.6	3.4	2,9	4.7	3,6
50.0	2.5	3.6	2.8	5.7	4.9	4.7	3.5	2.9	4.8	3.7

Reliability of absolute figures. -- The approximate sampling variability of the absolute figures for nonwhite households, tables I through 5, is shown below. The chances are about 19 out of 20 that the differences between the numbers shown in the tables and the numbers that would have been obtained from a complete census would be less than the sampling errors which follow.

Size of absolute figure	Sampling variability ¹	Size of absolute figure	Sampling variability ¹
50	30	1,500	140
100	40	2,000	150
250	65	3,000	165
500	90	4,000	160
750	105	5,000	135
1,000	120	6,000	85

¹ Applies to nonwhite families and nonwhite-occupied units, tables 1 through 5.

The following is the approximate sampling variability of the absolute figures for white

families, table 5. (All other absolute figures for white households represent complete counts and are not subject to sampling variations.) The chances are about 19 out of 20 that the differences between the estimates and the figures that would have been obtained from a complete census would be less than the sampling errors shown below.

Classification	Absolute figures for white fami- lies, table 5	Sampling variability
Total No minors With minors	590	38 78 80

Reliability of differences. The estimates of sampling variability in the above tables are not directly applicable to differences obtained by subtracting one figure from another. The sampling variability in an observed difference between two figures depends on the sampling variability of each of the figures and the correlation between them.

Table 1.--STRUCTURAL AND OCCUPANCY CHARACTERISTICS, CONDITION, AND PLUMBING FACILITIES OF OCCUPIED SUBSTANDARD DWELLING UNITS, BY TENURE AND COLOR OF OCCUPANTS, FOR BEAUMONT, TEXAS: 1950

(A substandard dwelling unit is defined by the Public Housing Administration as a unit which is either dilapidated or does not have all of the following plumbing facilities: flush toilet and bath inside the structure for the unit's exclusive use, and hot running water)

		Total			White		1	Nonwhite	
Characteristic	Total	Owner	Renter	Total	Owner	Renter	Total	Owner	Renter
Total number occupied substandard					7 000	B 003		2 115	
dwelling units	9,668	3,338	6,330	3,224	1,223	2,001	66.7	2,115	4,329
Percent of total	100.0	34.5	65.5	33.3	12.0	20.7	00.7	21.9	44.8
NUMBER OF ROOMS									
Total	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0
1 room	6.5 16.7 34.4	3.5 9.2 18.3	8.0 20.7 42.9	10.5 27.8 27.5	8.4 16.4 23.1	11.8 34.7 30.1	4.5 11.2 38.0	0.7 5.1 15.6	6.3 14.2 48.9
4 rooms	22.7	28.0	20.0	18.6	25.8	14.2	24.8	29.3	22.6
5 rooms	11.0 5.7	22.6 13.4	4.9 1.6	9.8 3.5	16.0	5.9 1.5	11.6	26.3	4.4 1.7
7 rooms	1.5	3.3	0.5 0.2	0.9	1.7	0.3	1.8 0.5	4.1	0.6
Not reported	0.5 0.9	1.1	1.0	0.9	0.7	1.0	0.9	0.5	0.1
CONDITION									
Total	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0
Not dilapidated	63.5	79.9	54.8	64.0	73.8	58.0	63.3	83.4	53,4
Dilapidated Not reported	35.1 1.4	18.7	43.8 1.3	34.5 1.5	24.9	40.4 1.6	35.5 1.3	15.1 1.5	45.4 1.2
WATER SUPPLY									
Total	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0
Hot and cold piped running water inside structure	20.8	17.0	22.8	56.7	41.9	65.8	2.9	2.7	3.0
Only cold piped running water inside structure No piped running water inside structure Not reported	49.3 29.7 0.1	51.1 31.7 0.1	48.4 28.7 0.1	34.6 8.6 (1)	46.8 11.4	27.2 6.9 (1)	56.7 40.3 0.2	53.7 43.4 0.2	58.2 38.7 0.1
TOILET FACILITIES						l			
Total	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100,0	100.0	1.00.0	100.0
Flush toilet inside structure, exclusive use Flush toilet inside structure, shared Other toilet facilities (including privy) Not reported	37.4 16.7 45.9 0.1	37.1 9.0 53.8 (1)	37.5 20.7 41.6 0.1	32.8 42.1 24.8 0.2	37.8 22.8 39.3 0.1	29.7 53.9 16.0 0.3	39.7 3.9 56.4	36.8 1.0 62.2	41.1 5.4 53.5
BATHING FACILITIES									
Total	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100,0	100.0	100.0
Installed bathtub or shower inside structure,							20010		
exclusive use	22.4 15.3	28.7 8.4	19.0 19.0	32.0 41.2	37.5 22.0	28.7	17.5	23.7	14.8
Other or none	61.9	62.7 0.1	61.4	26.3	40.1 0.4	53.0 17.8 0.5	2.4 79.7 0.4	0.5 75.9	3.8 81.8 0.6
NUMBER OF PERSONS	. 4					,500			
Total	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.
1 person	14.1	11.8	15.4	15.5	16.2	15.1	13.5	9.3	15,
2 persons	28.2	27.3 19.6	28.8	31.3	30.2	31.9	26.7	25.6	27.
4 persons	14.2	13.2	21.4	21.2	18.4	22.9 15.1	20.6	20.2	20. 14.
5 persons	9.2	10.5	8.7	8.3	9.6	7.5	9.7	11.0	9
7 persons	5.6 3.3	6.4 4.9	5.1 2.5	2.0	4.9 2.4	3.3	6.4 4.0	7.3 6.3	6. 2.
8 persons 9 persons or more	2.4	3.2	1.9	1.1	1.2	1.0	3.0	4.4	2.
NUMBER OF LODGERS	ಬ≎⊥	3,1	1.5	1.5	1.8	1.3	2.4	3.9	1.
Total	100.0	100.0	100.0	300.0	300 0	300	300.5	300.0	200
None	92.4	94.4	91.4	95.6	95.4	95.7	90.9	93.9	100.
	7.6	5.5	8.6	4.4	4.6	4.3	9.1	6.1	10.

¹ Less than 0.05 percent.

Table 1.--STRUCTURAL AND OCCUPANCY CHARACTERISTICS, CONDITION, AND PLUMBING FACILITIES OF OCCUPIED SUBSTANDARD DWELLING UNITS, BY TENURE AND COLOR OF OCCUPANTS, FOR BEAUMONT, TEXAS: 1950--Con.

(A substandard dwelling unit is defined by the Public Housing Administration as a unit which is either dilapidated or does not have all of the following plumbing facilities: flush toilet and bath inside the structure for the unit's exclusive use, and hot running water)

		Total			White		N	onwhite	
Characteristic	Total	Owner	Renter	Total	Owner	Renter	Total	Owner	Renter
CONDITION AND PLUMBING FACILITIES									·
Total	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0
	20010	2.50	200,0						
Not dilapidated: With private bath and private flush toilet, no hot running water	12.0 11.5 24.5 15.1	18.J. 9.6 28.2 23.8	8.9 12.5 22.6 10.6	10.5 3.3 45.4 4.5	16.4 4.3 45.8 7.2	6.9 2.6 45.2 2.8	12.8 15.6 14.1 20.5	19,0 12.7 18.0 33,4	9.8 17.0 12.2 14.2
Dilapidated:							1		
With private bath and private flush toilet, hot and cold running water	5.1	4.7	5.3	14.4	12.1	15.8	0 •5	0.5	0.5
no hot running water	2.6 5.5	2.2 1.9	2.8 7.4	2.6 1.4	2.3 1.5	2.7 1.3	2:6 7.5	2.2	2.9 10.1
With running water, no private flush toilet	7.5	2.5	10.2	11.8	4.7	16.1	5.4	1.2	7.4
No running water inside the structure	14.2	7.1	17.9	4.1	3.9	4.1	19.3	9.0	24.3
Not reporting condition or plumbing facilities	1.8	1.7	1.9	2.1	1.8	2,3	1.7	1.7	1.7
CONDITION BY NUMBER OF PLUMBING FACILITIES	٠								
Total	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0
Not dilapidated: Lacking 1 facility. Lacking 2 facilities. Lacking 3 facilities.	13.9 22.4 27.0	21.0 17.9 40.7	10.1 24.7 19.7	13.9 34.1 15.6	21.5 27.1 25.1	9.2 38.4 9.8	13.9 16.5 32.7	20.7 12.7 49.8	10.5 18.4 24.3
Dilapidated:									
With all facilities	5.1	4.7	5.8	14.4	12,1	15.8 2.9	0.5 2.8	0.5 2.4	0.5 3.0
Lacking 1 facilityLacking 2 facilities	2.8 8.1	2.5	3.0 11.0	8.6	3.4	11.7	7.8	2.2	10.6
Lacking 3 facilities	18.9	8.7	24.3	8.5	6.5	9.7	24.2	10.0	31.1
Not reporting condition or plumbing facilities	1.8	1.7	1.9	2.1	1.8	2.3	1.7	1.7	1,7
NUMBER OF DWELLING UNITS IN STRUCTURE									
Total	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0
1 dwelling unit	70.3 24.9 4.7	88.1 11.4 0.5	60.9 32.1 6.9	46.5 42.2 11.3	72.2 26.4 1.4	30.8 51.8 17.3	82.2 16.3 1.4	97.3 2.7	74.9 23.0 2.1

Table 2.--CONTRACT AND GROSS RENT OF RENTER-OCCUPIED SUBSTANDARD DWELLING UNITS, BY COLOR OF OCCUPANTS, FOR BEAUMONT, TEXAS: 1950

(See table 1 for the Public Housing Administration definition of substandard dwelling unit)

Ļ,

Monthly rent	Total	White	Nonwhite	Monthly rent	Total	White	Nonwhite
Total number renter-occupied substandard dwelling units	6,330	2,001	4,329	FURNITURE IN RENT	100.0	100.0	100.0
Percent of total	100.0	31.6	68.4	TELLIZIE ENGLES	17.9	47.1	4.4
MONTHLY CONTRACT RENT				Furniture not included in contract rent Not reported	75.4 6.7	46.0 6.9	88.9 6.7
Total	100.0	100.0	100.0	MONTHLY GROSS RENT			* .
\$ 9 or less	10.9	7.4	12.5	Total	100.0	100.0	100.0
\$10 to \$14	15.4	4.5				2,9	4.5
\$15 to \$19 \$20 to \$24	15.1 19.8	4.6 8.6			7.2 13.6	3,9 5,8	8.7 17.3
\$25 to \$29	9.5	9.1	9.7	\$20 to \$24		12.0	22.0
\$30 to \$34	9.2	12.6	7.6	\$25 to \$29		9.8 17.1	18.4 13.5
\$35 to \$39. \$40 to \$49.	4.2	10.5 25.7		\$35 to \$39	8.4	12.5	6.4
\$50 or more	9.8 5.4	16.2				18.8	3.3 1.3
Not reported	0.8	0.5	1.0	Not reported	4.8	5.3	4.5

Table 3.--CONDITION AND PLUMBING FACILITIES OF RENTER-OCCUPIED SUBSTANDARD DWELLING UNITS, BY GROSS RENT, FOR BEAUMONT, TEXAS: 1950

(See table 1 for the Public Housing Administration definition of substandard dwelling unit)

			•		Monthly g	ross ren	t		
Condition and plumbing facilities	Total	\$19 or less	\$20 to \$24	\$25 to \$29	\$30 to \$34	\$35 to \$39	\$40 to \$49	\$50 or more	Not reported
Total number renter-occupied substandard dwelling units	6,330	1,573	1,195	992	926	529	521	292	302
Percent of total	100.0	24.8	18.9	15.7	14.6	8.4	8.2	4.6	4.8
Not dilapidated: With private bath and private flush toilet, no hot running water With private flush toilet, no private bath With running water, no private flush toilet No running water inside structure	8.9 12.5 22.6 10.6	2.8	1.2 2.1 3.5 2.6	1.2 3.1 3.9 1.9	1.6 1.9 4.5 1.0	1.6 2.1 2.1 0.2	1.0 1.1 3.5 0.2	0.6 0.1 1.4	0.9 0.7 0.9
Dilapidated: With private bath and private flush toilet, hot and cold running water	10.2	0.5 1.5 2.7	0.2 0.4 2.1 2.5 4.0	0.3 0.4 1.8 1.3 1.6	0.7 0.7 1.3 1.8 0.7	0.8 0.1 0.3 0.6 0.4	1.4 0.2 0.1 0.7 (1)	1.6 0.3 (1) 0.3 0.1	0.1 0.1 0.3 0.3 0.3
Not reporting condition or plumbing facilities.			0.2	0.2	0.6	0.1	0.1	. 0.1	0,1

¹ Less than 0.05 percent.

Table 3a.--CONDITION AND PLUMBING FACILITIES OF RENTER-OCCUPIED SUBSTANDARD DWELLING UNITS, BY GROSS RENT, FOR WHITE HOUSEHOLDS, FOR BEAUMONT, TEXAS: 1950

(See table 1 for the Public Housing Administration definition of substandard dwelling unit)

					Monthly (gross ren	t		
Condition and plumbing facilities	Total	\$19 or less	\$20 to \$24	\$25 to \$29	\$30 to \$34	\$35 to \$39	\$ 40 to \$ 49	\$50 or more	Not reported
						-			
Total number renter-occupied substandard dwelling units	2,001	252	241	197	343	250	377	235	106
Percent of total	100.0	12,6	12.0	9.8	17.1	12.5	18.8	11.7	5.3
Not dilapidated: With private bath and private flush toilet, no hot running water	6.9 2.6 45.2 2.8	0.6 0.6 3.7 1.0	0.7 0.2 4.9 0.2	0.6 0.2 5.0 0.2	1.1 0.3 9.2 0.2	1.2 0.1 6.4 0.2	1.2 0.6 9.6 (1)	0.5 0.1 4.5	0.8 0.4 1.7 0.8
Dilapidated: With private bath and private flush toilet, hot and cold running water With private bath and private flush toilet, no hot running water	15.8	0.7	0.6	0.7	1.8	2.5	4.0	4.9	0.4
With private flush toilet, no private bath With running water, no private flush toilet No running water inside structure	16.1	0.4 2.7 2.2	0.1 3.9 0.6	0.2 1.9 0.1	0.1 2.7 0.3	(1) 1.2 0.1	0.2 2.1 (1)	0.1	0.1 0.5 0.4
Not reporting condition or plumbing facilities.	2.3	0.8	0.2	0.3	0.5	0.3	0.4	0.1	_

¹ Less than 0.05 percent.

Table 3b.--CONDITION AND PLUMBING FACILITIES OF RENTER-OCCUPIED SUBSTANDARD DWELLING UNITS, BY GROSS RENT, FOR KONWHITE HOUSEHOLDS, FOR BEAUMONT, TEXAS: 1950

					Monthly g	ross ren	t		***************************************
Condition and plumbing facilities	Total	\$19 or less	\$20 to \$24	\$25 to \$29	\$30 to \$34	\$35 to \$39	\$40 to \$49	\$50 or more	Not reported
Total number renter-occupied substandard dwelling units	4,329	1,321	954	795	583	279	144	57	196
Percent of total	100.0	30.5	22.0	18.4	13.5	6.4	3.3	1.3	4.5
Not dilapidated: With private bath and private flush toilet, no hot running water With private flush toilet, no private bath With running water, no private flush toilet No running water inside structure	9.8 17.0 12.2 14.2	1.0 1.8 2.4 5.1	1.4 3.0 2.9 3.7	1.4 4.4 3.3 2.6	1.8 2.6 2.4 1.3	1.8 3.0 0.1 0.2	0.8 1.3 0.6 0.2	0.6 0.1 -	1.0 0.8 0.5 1.0
Dilapidated: With private bath and private flush toilet, hot and cold running water With private bath and private flush toilet, no hot running water With private flush toilet, no private bath With running water, no private flush toilet No running water inside structure	0.5 2.9 10.1 7.4 24.3	0.6 2.0 2.7 14.4	0.5 3.0 1.8 5.6	0.1 0.5 2.5 1.1 2.3	0.1 0.6 1.8 1.3	0.1 0.5 0.2 0.5	0.1	0.1 0.4 - -	0.1 0.4 0.1 0.6
Not reporting condition or plumbing facilities	1.7	0.5	0.2	0.1	0.6			0.1	0.1

Table 4.--CHARACTERISTICS OF FAMILIES IN SUBSTANDARD DWELLING UNITS, BY TENURE AND COLOR, FOR BEAUMONT, TEXAS: 1950

Characteristic		Total			White			Nonwhite	
Unaracteristic	Total	Owner	Renter	Total	Owner	Renter	Total	Owner	Renter
Total number of families	8,131	2,935	5,196	2,683	1,006	1,677	5,448	1,929	3,519
Percent of total	100.0	36.1	63,9	33,0	12.4	20.6	67.0	23.7	43.3
TYPE OF FAMILY									
Total	100.0	100.0	100,0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0
Primary family	98.4 1.6	98.5 1.5	98.3 1.7	99.3 0.7	99.2 0.8	99.3 0.7	97.9 2.1	98.1 1.9	97.8 2.2
NUMBER OF PERSONS IN FAMILY					-				
Total	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100,0
2 persons	35.7 22.9 15.7	33.1 22.1 13.9	37.2 23.3 16.7	37.9 25.3 17.3	36.5 22.4 17.6	38.7 27.0 17.2	34.7 21.7 14.9	31.3 21.9 12.0	36.5 21.6 16.4
5 persons	10.8	12.1	10.1	9.9	11.7	8.8	11.3	12.3	10.7
6 persons	5.8	6.3	5.5	4.4	5.6	3.6	6.5	6.7	6.5
7 persons	- 3.9 5.2	5.3 7.2	3.1 4.1	2.3 2.9	2.7 3.6	2.1	4.6 6.3	6.7 9.1	3.5 4.8
NUMBER OF PERSONS PER ROOM IN DWELLING UNIT									
Total	100.0	100.0	100.0	1.00.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0
0.50 or less. 0.51 to 0.75. 0.76 to 1.00. 1.01 to 1.50. 1.51 to 2.00. 2.01 or more. Not reported.	13.3 21.3 26.1 18.7 13.1 7.0 0.6	23.9 19.5 23.7 17.9 9.6 4.8 0.6	7.3 22.3 27.4 19.2 15.0 8.2 0.6	9.7 17.5 32.8 18.8 13.2 7.2 0.8	17.4 20.6 27.1 16.9 11.0 6.3 0.7	5.0 15.7 36.3 20.0 14.5 7.7 0.8	15.1 23.2 22.7 18.7 13.0 6.9 0.5	27.3 19.0 21.9 18.4 8.8 4.0	8.4 25.5 23.2 18.8 15.2 8.5
NUMBER OF MINORS IN FAMILY									
Total	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100,0
No minors. 1 minor. 2 minors. 3 minors. 4 minors.	37.9 23.2 16.0 10.6 5.2	39.5 20.4 14.0 10.5 6.2	36.9 24.7 17.1 10.6 4.6	40.1 26.6 16.5 9.0 4.0	43.0 22.2 15.8 10.1 4.7	38.4 29.3 17.0 8.3 3.6	36.7 21.5 15.7 11.4 5.8	37.7 19.5 13.1 10.7 7.0	36.2 22.6 17.2 11.7 5.1
5 minors	3.7 3.4	4.9 4.4	3.1 2.9	1.7 2.0	2.1 2.1	1.5	4.7 4.2	6.4 5.6	3.8 3.4

Table 4a.--INCOME IN 1949 OF PRIMARY FAMILIES IN SUBSTANDARD DWELLING UNITS, BY NUMBER OF MINORS, TENURE, AND COLOR, FOR BEAUMONT, TEXAS: 1950

(See table 1 for the Public Housing Administration definition of substandard dwelling unit)

Family income by		Total			White			Nonwhite	
number of minors	Total	Owner	Renter	Total	Owner	Renter	Total	Owner	Renter
Total number of primary									
families	7,998	2,892	5,106	2,663	998	1,665	5,835	1,894	3,441
Percent of total	100.0	36.2	63.8	33.3	12.5	20.8	66.7	23.7	43.0
		_							
Total	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0
\$999 or less \$1,000 to \$1,249	21.9 5.7	19.1 5.4	23.5 5.9	14.9 4.4	16.0	14.3	25.3	20.7	27.9
\$1,250 to \$1,499	6.0	4.8	6.6	2.8	4.2 3.0	4.5 2.7	6.4 7.5	6.0 5.7	6,6 8,5
\$1,500 to \$1,749 \$1,750 to \$1,999	7.0 6.5	6.4	7.3	3.4	2.5	3.9	8.8	8.4	9.0
\$2,000 to \$2,249	8.8	5.5 7.6	7.0 9.5	3.6 7.3	2.1	4.5	7.9	7.4	8.8
\$2,250 to \$2,499	5.3	4.0	6.0	7.3 5.2	5.1 3.4	8.6 6.2	9.6 5.3	9.0 4.4	9.9 5.8
\$2,500 to \$2,749 \$2,750 to \$2,999	6.2	6.0	6.4	6.5	5.9	· 6.8	6.1	6.0	6.1
\$3,000 to \$3,999	3,2	3.2	3.2	2.9	4.2	2.1	3.4	2.7	3.7
\$4,000 to \$4,999	13.7 4.6	16.8 4.4	11.9	20.0 8.8	18.1 7.2	21.1 9.8	10.5 2.5	16.1	7.5
\$5,000 or more	2.9	4.6	1.9	6.4	7.6	5.7	1.2	3.0	2.2 0.1
Not reported	8.2	12.1	6.0	13.9	20.7	9.8	5.4	7.6	4.2
No minors	36.9	38.5	36.0	38.8	41.4	37.2	36,0	37.1	35.4
\$999 or less	9.2	10.0	8.7	6.7	8.9	5,4	10.4	10.6	10,3
\$1,000 to \$1,249 \$1,250 to \$1,499	2.1 2.5	2.6 2.4	1.8 2.5	2,2	3.0	1.8	2.0	2.5	1.8
\$1,500 to \$1,749	2.5	2.2	2.6	1.2 1.4	1.3 1.3	1.2 1.5	3.1	3.0 2.7	3,1 3,1
\$1,750 to \$1,999	2.6	2.3	2.8	1.6	0.4	2.4	3.1	3.3	3.0
\$2,000 to \$2,249 \$2,250 to \$2,499	2.9	2.0	3.4	2.5	1.7	3.0	3.1	2.2	3.6
\$2,500 to \$2,749	1.8 1.8	1.2 1.7	2.1 1.9	1:8	0.8	2.4	1.7	1.4	1,9
\$2,750 to \$2,999	1.2	0.8	1.4	1.6 1.4	0.8	2.1	1.9 1.1	2.2 0.5	1.8 1.3
\$3,000 to \$3,999	4.5	5.5	3.9	6.6	6.8	6.5	3.4	4.9	2,5
\$4,000 to \$4,999 \$5,000 or more	1.6	1.3	1.8	3.2	2.1	3.9	C.8	0.8	0.7
Not reported	1.2 3.2	1.8 4.7	0.8 2.4	3.1 5.4	4.2 8.9	2.4 3.3	0.2 2.1	0.5 2.5	1.9
One minor	22.9	19.8	24.6	25.5	20.3	28.6	21.6	19.6	22,6
\$999 or less	5.1	3,6	5.9	4.2	3.8				
\$1,000 to \$1,249	1.8	1,6	1.9	0.9	0.4	1.2	5.5 2.2	3.5 2.2	6.6 2.2
\$1,250 to \$1,499 \$1,500 to \$1,749	1.4	0.7	1.8	0,5	0.4	0.6	1.8	0.8	2,4
\$1,750 to \$1,999	1.3	1.1	1.4 1.6	0.7 1.1	0.4	1.2 1.5	1.5 1.5	1.6 1.1	1.5 1.6
\$2,000 to \$2,249	1.7	1.5	1.8	2.0	1.3	2.4	1.5		1.5
\$2,250 to \$2,499 \$2,500 to \$2,749	1.1	0.5	1.5	0.9	0.4	1.2	1.3	1.6 0.5	1.6
\$2,750 to \$2,999	1.6 0.6	1.3 0.6	1.7 0.6	1.6	1.3	1.8	1.5	1.4	1,6
\$3,000 to \$3,999	3.4	3.7	3.3	0.5 5.8	0.8 5.1	0.3	0.7	0.5	0.7
\$4,000 to \$4,999 \$5,000 or more	1.0	0.6	1.2	2.3	1.3	6.2 3.0	2.2	3.0 0.3	1,6
Not reported.	0.6 2.0	0.6 3.1	0.6	1.6	1.3	1.8	0.1	0.3	-
Two minors			1.4	3.3	3.8	3.0	1.4	2.7	0.6
\$999 or less	16.8	15.7	17.3	18.4	20.3	17.3	16.0	13.4	17.4
\$1,000 to \$1,249	0.6	2,5	3.7 1.0	0.6	2.1	1.5 0.9	4.1 0.7	2.7	4.8 1.0
\$1,250 to \$1,499	0.6	0.5	0.6		_	-	0.9	0.8	0.9
\$1,750 to \$1,749 \$1,750 to \$1,999	1.4 0.9	1.4 0.9	1.4 0.9	0.5	0.8	0.3	1.8	1.6	1.9
\$2,000 to \$2,249	1.8	1.5	1.9	0.3	0.4	0.3	1.2	1.1	1.2
\$2,250 to \$2,499	1.2	0.8	1.4	1.8	1.3 1.3	1.5 2.1	1.9	1.6 0.5	2.1
\$2,500 to \$2,749 \$2,750 to \$2,999	1.4	1.7	1.2	2.6	3.4	2.1	0,8	0.8	0.7
\$3,000 to \$3,999	0.5	0.5	0,5	0.3	0.8	-	. 0.6	0.3	0.7
\$4,000 to \$4,999	2.2	1.8	2.4	3.6 1.4	2.1 1.3	4.5	1.5	1.6	1.5
\$5,000 or more	0.5	1.0	0.2	0.7	0.8	1.5 0.6	0.6 0.4	0.5	
Not reported	1.7	2.4	1.3	3,5	5.9	2.1	0.8	0.5	0.9

Table 4a.--INCOME IN 1949 OF PRIMARY FAMILIES IN SUBSTANDARD DWELLING UNITS, BY NUMBER OF MINORS, TENURE, AND COLOR, FOR BEAUMONT, TEXAS: 1950--Con.

Family income by		Total			White			Nonwhite	
number of minors	Total	Owner	Renter	Total	Owner	Renter	Total	Owner	Renter
-								3.5.5	10.0
Three or four minors	16.4	16.7	16.3	14.5	14.8	14.3	17.4	17.7	17.2
\$999 or less	2.9	1.5	3.6	1.8	0.8	2.4	3.4	1.9	4.2
\$1,000 to \$1,249	0.8	0 . 8	0.8	0.7	0.8	0.6	0.9	0.8	0.9
\$1,250 to \$1,499	1.0	1.0	1.0	0.7	1.3	0.8	1.2	0.8	1.3
\$1,500 to \$1,749	1.1	0.7	1.3	0.5	0.4	0.6	1.4	0.8	1.6 1.8
\$1,750 to \$1,999	1.1	0.9	1.3	0,3	0.4	0.3	1.5	1.1	7*0
\$2,000 to \$2,249	1.6	1.5	1.6	1.1	0.8	1.2	1.8	1.9	1.8
\$2,250 to \$2,499	0.9	0.8	1.0	0.7	0.8	0.6	1.1	0.8	1.2
\$2,500 to \$2,749	1.0	0.9	1.0	0.5	0.4	0.6	1.2	1.1	1.2 0.6
\$2,750 to \$2,999	0.5	0.5	0.5	0.2	-	0.3	0.7	0.8	0.0
\$3,000 to \$3,999	2.8	4.2	2.1	3.5	3.4	3,6	2.5	4.6	1.3
\$4,000 to \$4,999	1.1	1.4	0.9	1.9	2.5	1.5	0.7	0.8	0.6
\$5,000 or more	0.7	1.2	0.4	1.0	1.3	0.9	0.5	1.1	0.1
Not reported	1.0	1.3	0.8	1.6	1.7	1.5	0.7	1.1	0.4
								300	n o
5 minors or more	7.0	9.2	5,8	2.9	3.4	2.7	9.1	12.3	7.3
\$999 or less	1.5	1.4	1.5	0.5	0.4	0.6	1.9	1.9	1.9
\$1,000 to \$1,249	0.4	0.4	0.4	-	-	_	0.6	0.5	0.6
\$1,250 to \$1,499	0.5	0.2	0.7	0.4	-	0.6	0.6	0.3	0.7
\$1,500 to \$1,749	0.8	1.1	0.6	0.2		0.3	1.1	1.6	0.7
\$1,750 to \$1,999	0.5	0.7	0.4	0.2	0.4	-	0.7	0.8	1
\$2,000 to \$2,249	0.9	1.1	0.8	0.4	-	0.6	1.2	1.6	0.9
\$2,250 to \$2,499	0.3	0.7	-	-	-	-	0.4	1.1	0.7
\$2,500 to \$2,749	0.5	0.4	0.6	0.2	1.3	0.3	0.7	0.5 0.5	0.3
\$2,750 to \$2,999	0.4	0,8	0.2	0.5	1.3		0.4		
\$3,000 to \$3,999	0.8	1.5	0.4	0,5	0.8	0.3	1.0		0.4
\$4,000 to \$4,999		0.4	-	_	-	-	0.2	0.5	-
\$5,000 or more		-	_	-		-	-	0.8	0.3
Not reported	0.4	0.7	0.2	0.2	0.4		0.5	1 0.8	

Table 5.--RENT AS PERCENT OF INCOME BY FAMILY INCOME IN 1949 OF PRIMARY FAMILIES WITH NO SUBFAMILY OR SECONDARY FAMILY IN RENTER-OCCUPIED SUBSTANDARD DWELLING UNITS, BY PRESENCE OF MINORS AND COLOR, FOR BEAUMONT, TEXAS: 1950

A	*	Total			White			Nonwhite	
Gross rent as percent of income by family income	Total	No minors	With minors	Total	No minors	With minors	Total	No minors	With minors
Number of families	4,733	1,766	2,967	1,571	590	981	3,162	1,176	1,98
Percent of total	100.0	37.3	62.7	33.2	12.5	20.7	66.8	24.8	42.
rereem or total		3.40	0.027	00.0			- 50.0		46
Total	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100
9 percent or less	16.0	14.6	16.8	18.9	21.8	17.2	14.5	11.0	16
10 percent to 14 percent	22.2	24.5 17.1	20.9 15.6	24.0 14.8	25.2 13.4	23.2 15.7	21.4 16.8	24.1 18.9	19
20 percent to 24 percent	9.3	7.2	10.5	6,3	5.0	7.1	10.8	8.3	15 12
25 percent to 29 percent	5.5	5.2	5.7	5.0	5.0	5.1	5.7	5.3	6
30 percent to 34 percent	5.1	4.6	5.5	6.0	4.2	7.1	4.7	4.8	4
35 percent or more	15.8	15.0	16.2	11.7	11.8	11.6	17.8	16.7	18
Not reported	10.0	11.8	8.9	18.2	13.4	13.1	8.3	11.0	6,
\$1,499 or less	35.4	35.6	35,2	21.1	22.7	20.2	42.4	42.1	42,
9 percent or less	4.2	5.5	3.4	3.8	5.9	2.5	4.4	5.8	3,
10 percent to 14 percent	1.2	2.0	0.7	0.6	1.7		1.5	2.2	1.
15 percent to 19 percent	3,8	4.4	3.5	1.3	0.8	1.5	5.1	6.1	4.
20 percent to 24 percent 25 percent to 29 percent	3.8	3.2	4.3	0.9	, =	1.5	5.4	4.8	5.
30 percent to 34 percent	3.5 3.7	2.3 3.8	4.1	1.9	1.7	2.0	4.2	2.6	5.
35 percent or more	15.1	14.5	3.6 15.5	2.5 10.1	2.5 10.1	2.5	4.2 17.6	4.4 16.7	18,
\$1,500 to \$1,999	12.1	12.2	12.1	6,3	7.6	5.6	15.0	14.5	15
9 percent or less	0.8	0.6					7.0		
10 percent to 14 percent	3.2	3.2	0.9 3.1	0.8	1,7	0.5	1.0	0.9	1,
L5 percent to 19 percent	4.0	4.6	3.6	1.9	2.5	1.5	4.4 5.1	3.9 5.7	4 4
20 percent to 24 percent	1.9	0.9	2.4				2.8	1.8	3
25 percent to 29 percent	1.0	1.7	0.5	0.8	0.8	_	1.3	2.2	Ō.
30 percent to 34 percent	0.8	0.6	1.0	1.9	0.8	2.5	0.3	0.4	0
	0.5	0.0	0.3	1.3	1.7	1.0	0.2	-	0,
\$2,000 to \$2,499	15.2	14.7	15.4	15.1	14.3	15.7	15.2	14.9	15
9 percent or less	1.7	0.3	" 2.6	0.9	-	1.5	2.1	0.4	3
10 percent to 14 percent	5.3	5.2	5.3	3.8	4.2	3.5	6.0	5.7	- 6,
20 percent to 24 percent	4.6	5.8	8.9	4.4	5.0	4.0	4.7	6.1	3.
25 percent to 29 percent	2.3	2.6	2.1	2.8	3.4	2.5	2.0	2.2	1
0 percent to 34 percent	0.6 0.5	0.6	0.7	1.6	0.8	2.0	0.2	0.4	
55 percent or more	0.1		0.2	1.3	0.8	1.5 0.5	0.2		0
\$2,500 to \$2,999	9.6		30.0				_		
		8.9	10.0	8,8	9.2	8.6	10.0	8.8	10
percent or less	2.7	2.0	3.1	2.5	4.2	1.5	2.8	0.9	3.
O percent to 14 percent 5 percent to 19 percent	3.7	5.0	2.9	1.6	0.8	2.0	4.7	7.0	3
O percent to 24 percent	1.8	0.9	2.4	1.9	0.8	2.5	1.8	0.9	2
5 percent to 29 percent	1.0	0.6	1.2	1.6	1.7	1.5	0.7	-	1
O percent to 34 percent	0.1	0.0	0.2	0.9	1.7	0.5	-	-	
5 percent or more		-	-	0.5	_	0.5	- 1	_	
\$3,000 or over	17.8	16.8	18.4	35.3	32.8	36.9	9,1	8.8	9
percent or less	6.6	6.3	6.8	11.4	11.8				
O percent to 14 percent	8.9	9.1	8.8	17.4	16.8	11.1	4.2	3.5	4
5 percent to 19 percent	1.9	1.4	2.2	5.4	4.2	17.7	4.7	5.3	4
O percent to 24 percent	0.3		0.5	0.9	***	6.1	0.2	~	0
5 percent to 29 percent	0.1	_	0.2	0.3	_ [0.5	-11	-	
O percent to 34 percent 5 percent or more	-	-	-	-		0.5			
- Percent or more			-	-	-	-	-1	-	
Not reporting income or rent	. 18	i	11			l.	ti ti	1	

1950 CENSUS OF HOUSING

SPECIAL TABULATIONS FOR LOCAL HOUSING AUTHORITIES

November 15, 1950

Washington 25, D. C.

Series HC-6, No. 47

HARLINGEN, TEXAS: APRIL 1950

This report is based on a transcription and tabulation of data from the 1950 Census of Population and Housing. The statistics relate to dwelling units considered substandard by the Public Housing Administration, in accordance with the contract for these statistics between the Bureau of the Census and the Housing Authority of the City of Harlingen.

A dwelling unit is considered substandard by the Public Housing Administration if it is either dilapidated or does not have the following plumbing facilities: flush toilet and bath inside the structure for the unit's exclusive use, and hot running water. The Public Housing Administration considers the absence of hot running water to be evidence generally of poor quality of the dwelling unit, but there may be some localities in which the absence of hot running water is not deemed to be a sufficient indication by itself of poor quality. In such localities, a revised count of substandard units may be obtained from table 1 by subtracting from the total the units which lack hot water only. If it is desired to eliminate the units lacking hot water only from the total count of substandard units, the distributions shown in the tables will not be materially affected.

The criteria used to define substandard units in these tabulations are not identical with the housing characteristics included in the published reports from the 1940 Census. Therefore, any comparison of data in this report with the 1940 results must be made with extreme care. A direct comparison cannot be made with the 1940 results because information on hot running water was not collected at that time. Further, the 1940 data on condition were collected showing dwelling units "needing major repairs," whereas

in 1950 data on condition were collected showing units as "dilapidated." Because the definitions of these two terms differ significantly, the 1940 count of dwelling units needing major repairs and the 1950 count of dilapidated dwelling units are comparable only in a general way.

The data are presented in the series of attached tables. In table 1 the structural and occupancy characteristics are shown for all substandard dwelling units. Table 2 shows the rent paid for renter-occupied substandard units; table 3 shows the condition and plumbing facilities of these same units. Table 4 shows the characteristics of all families (both primary and secondary) living in the substandard units. Table 4a classifies the primary families by family income. Table 5 classifies primary families with no subfamily or secondary family present, by rent as a percent of family income.

In the contract rent tabulation, dwelling units occupied rent-free are tabulated in the "\$9 or less" category. In the gross rent tabulations, however, such units are tabulated as "not reporting," except when utilities were reported.

DEFINITIONS

The definitions used in this special tabulation are generally the same as those used in the 1950 Census of Population and Housing. Some of the more important definitions are given below.

Dwelling unit. -- In general, a dwelling unit is a group of rooms or a single room, occupied, or intended for occupancy, as separate living quarters by a family or other group of persons living together or by a person living alone.

h

1,

111

Primary family. -- A primary family consists of two or more persons including the head of the household and all (one or more) persons in the household who are related to the head by blood, marriage, or adoption. It may include a subfamily consisting of a married couple with or without children, or one parent with one or more children under 18 years of age, living in the household and related to but not including the head of the household or his wife. The subfamily is considered a part of the primary family for all tabulation purposes.

Secondary family. -- A secondary family consists of two or more persons, such as a lodger or servant and his relatives, living in a household and related to each other but not related to the household head. The secondary family is considered a separate family for tabulation purposes.

Minor. -- A minor is an unmarried person under 21 years of age other than the head of a family or his wife.

Dilapidation. -- A dwelling unit is considered to be dilapidated when it has serious deficiencies, is rundown or neglected, or is of inadequate original construction, so that it does not provide adequate shelter or protection against the elements or it endangers the safety of the occupants.

Plumbing facilities. -- The following are the three plumbing facilities tabulated:

- 1. Flush toilet inside the structure for the unit's exclusive use;
- 2. Installed bathtub or shower inside the structure for the unit's exclusive use; and
- 3. Hot and cold running water inside the structure.

Exclusive use. -- Equipment is for "exclusive use" if it is used only by the occupants of one dwelling unit.

Shared. -- Equipment is "shared" when occupants of two or more units use the same equipment.

Family income. -- Family income is the sum of the income received during 1949, by all members of the family. The following items were asked separately for the head of the family and for all other members of the family combined:

- 1. The amount of money wages or salary received in 1949;
- 2. The amount of net money income received from self-employment in 1949; and

3. The amount of other money income received in 1949, such as interest, dividends, veterans' allowances, pensions, or rents.

Gross rent. ---Monthly gross rent is contract rent plus the reported average monthly cost of water, electricity, gas, and other fuel paid for by the renter. If furniture is included in the contract rent, the reported estimated rent of the dwelling unit without furniture is used for the computation instead of the contract rent.

SOURCE AND RELIABILITY OF THE DATA

The data in tables 1 through 4 are based on complete counts, including all dwelling units and families with the specified characteristics. The distributions involving income in tables 4a and 5, however, were prepared from data collected on a sample basis. As part of the 1950 Census, family income data were obtained from about one-fifth of all families. For these tabulations, additional interviews were made to increase the income sample above the 20 percent level. This was accomplished by a subsequent field enumeration of a sample of families who were not in the original sample but were living in substandard dwelling units.

Although the figures shown in tables 1 through 4 are based on the same data as the forthcoming 1950 Census tabulations of these items, they may differ from those to be published as part of the Census. The present tables were obtained by tabulating directly the data as transcribed in the field offices of the Census Bureau and the figures, therefore, do not include all of the refinements that result from a careful examination of the schedules.

In addition, the data are subject to other biases due to errors of response or nonreporting which cannot be corrected in editing. Factors affecting the accuracy of enumeration include the respondent's knowledge of the facts and the ability of the enumerator to obtain accurate information on such items as income, rent, dilapidation and plumbing facilities. The regular 1950 tabulations are also subject to these biases.

Reliability of Estimates in Tables 4a and 5

Because of sampling variability, percentage distributions shown in table 4a and all figures in table 5 may differ from those that would have been obtained from a complete count. (The absolute figures in table 4a represent complete

counts and are not subject to sampling variations.) The reliability estimates which follow give approximate measures of the sampling errors to be expected in these sample data.

Reliability of the percentages in tables 4a and 5.--The allowance to be made for sampling variation in a percentage depends, in general, both on the value of the percentage and the size of the base on which it is determined. If two percentages are of the same magnitude, the one based on a large number of cases in the sample

is, in general, less variable than the one based on a small number of sample cases.

Estimates of reliability are shown in the following table for percentages with bases of primary families of the specified types. The chances are about 19 out of 20 that the differences due to sampling variability between the percentages obtained from the sample and the percentages which would have been obtained from a complete census would be less than the sampling errors shown below.

		Sampl	ing variabili	ty if the base	is					
Percentage shown in table		y families in s dwelling units	ubstandard	or seconda						
	Total	Owner	Renter	Total	No minors	With minors				
0.5 1.0 2.0 3.0 4.0 5.0 10.0 15.0 20.0 25.0 30.0	0.5 0.7 1.0 1.3 1.4 1.6 2.2 2.6 3.0 3.2 3.4 3.6	0.8 1.1 1.5 1.9 2.2 2.4 3.3 3.9 4.4 4.8 5.1 5.4	0.7 1.0 1.4 1.7 1.9 2.2 3.0 3.6 4.0 4.3 4.6 4.9	0.7 1.0 1.4 1.7 2.0 2.2 3.1 3.7 4.1 4.4 4.7	(1)	0.8 1.2 1.7 2.0 2.3 2.6 8.5 4.2 4.7 5.1 5.4 5.8				

1 Omitted because percentage distribution is not shown.

To illustrate, for a figure of 10 percent based on all primary families living in substandard renter dwelling units, the sampling variability is 3.0 percent; the chances are about 19 out of 20 that the percentage obtained by a complete census would have been between 7.0 percent and 13.0 percent.

Reliability of absolute figures in table 5.—
The approximate sampling variability of the absolute figures in table 5 is shown below. The chances are 19 out of 20 that the differences between the numbers shown in table 5 and the numbers that would have been obtained from a complete census would be less than the sampling errors which follow.

Classification	Absolute figure in table 5	Sampling variability
Total	1,276	31
No minors		57 61

Reliability of differences. The estimates of sampling variability shown in the above tables are not directly applicable to differences obtained by subtracting one figure from another. The sampling variability in an observed difference between two figures depends on the sampling variability of each of the figures and the correlation between them.

Table 1.--STRUCTURAL AND OCCUPANCY CHARACTERISTICS, CONDITION, AND PLUMBING FACILITIES OF OCCUPIED SUBSTANDARD DWELLING UNITS, BY TENURE, FOR HARLINGEN, TEXAS: 1950

(A substandard dwelling unit is defined by the Public Housing Administration as a unit which is either dilapidated or does not have all of the following plumbing facilities: flush toilet and bath inside the structure for the unit's exclusive use, and hot running water)

			T		T		
Characteristic	Total	Owner	Renter	Characteristic	Total	Owner	Renter
Total number occupied				NUMBER OF LODGERS			
substandard dwelling units	2,746	1,124	1,622	Total of Booding			
Percent of total	100.0	40.9	59.1	Total	100.0	100.0	100.0
NUMBER OF ROOMS				None	94.9	96.6	98.6
Total	100.0	100.0	100.0	l or more lodgers		3,4	6.4
1 room	19.4	7.3	27.7				
2 rooms.	37.6 19.5	25.7 25.7	45.7 15.2	CONDITION AND PLUMBING FACILITIES			
4 rooms	11.7	20.0	5.9	•			
5 rooms	6.4 2.3	12.0 4.9	2.5 0.6	Total	100.0	100.0	100.0
7 rooms	0.8	1.8	0.1	Not dilapidated:		b ₀ c	
8 rooms or more	0.2	0.4	0.1	With private bath and private flush			
Not reported	2.3	2.3	2.3	toilet, no hot running water With private flush toilet, no	9.6	15.9	5.2
CONDITION				private bath	2.5	4.1	1.4
Total	100.0	100.0	100.0	flush toilet	19.5	26.7	14.5
Not dilapidated	63.0 35.8	76.4 22.1	53.6 45.3	No running water inside the structure	31.1	29.7	32.1
Not reported	1.3	1.5	1.1	Dilapidated:			
				With private bath and private flush			
WATER SUPPLY	100.0	300.0	100.0	toilet, hot and cold running water With private bath and private flush	4.2	3.3	4.7
Total	100.0	100.0	100.0	toilet, no hot running water	2.1	2.3	2.0
Hot and cold piped running water inside structure	8.9	6.5	10.6	With private flush toilet, no private bath		0.5	0.4
Only cold piped running water inside				With running water, no private	0.5	0.5	,0 . 4
structure	34.8 56.0	51.2 42.3	23.4 65.5	flush toilet	4.5 24.3	4.0	4.8
Not reported	0.8	-	0.4		A.4.0	11.9	32.9
TOILET FACILITIES				Not reporting condition or plumbing facilities.	1.7	1.5	1.8
Total	100.0	100.0	100.0				
Flush toilet inside structure,				CONDITION BY NUMBER OF PLUMBING			
exclusive use	19.1 4.3	26.4 1.4	14.1	FACILITIES			
privy)	76.4	72.2	79.8	Total	100.0	100.0	100.0
Not reported	0.1	-	0.2	Not dilapidated:			
BATHING FACILITIES				Lacking 1 facility Lacking 2 facilities	10.5	17.3	5.7
Total	100.0	100.0	100.0	Lacking 2 facilities	12.5 39.8	19.1 40.1	8.0 39.5
Installed bathtub or shower inside structure, exclusive use	25.8	38.6	16.1	Dilapidated:			
Installed bathtub or shower inside	l			With all facilities	4.2	3.3	4.7
structure, shared	4.2 70.1	1.3	6.1 77.1	Lacking 1 facility Lacking 2 facilities	2.2	2.5	2.0
Not reported	0.4	0,1	0.7	Lacking 3 facilities	2.3 26.9	2.4	2.3 35.9
NUMBER OF PERSONS				Not reporting condition on plumbing			
Total	100.0	100.0	100.0	Not reporting condition or plumbing facilities		1.5	1.8
1 person	10.7	6.8	13.5				
2 persons	20.2	15.2	28.7	NUMBER OF DWELLING UNITS IN STRUCTURE			
3 persons	16.5	14.6	17.9 15.7				
5 persons	11.3	11.7	11.0	Total	100.0	100.0	100.0
6 persons	9.0	10.2	8.2	·	79.8		
	5.9 4.0	8.2 6.6	2.3	1 dwelling unit		95.8	68.7
8 persons9 persons or more	★• ∪ II	0.01	. 2000	5 or more dwelling units.	15.7	3.9	23.8

Table 2.--CONTRACT AND GROSS RENT OF RENTER-OCCUPIED SUBSTANDARD DWELLING UNITS, FOR HARLINGEN, TEXAS: 1950

Monthly contract rent	Total	Furniture in rent	Total	Monthly gross rent	Total
Total number renter-occupied substandard dwelling units. Total, percent	1,622	Total, percent		\$9 or less	14.4
\$9 or less \$10 to \$14 \$15 to \$19 \$20 to \$24	31.3 21.2 15.7 14.6	rent. Furniture not included in contract rent Not reported	12.0 71.6 16.4	\$15 to \$19	17.3 20.7 14.1 8.9
\$25 to \$29 \$30 to \$34 \$35 to \$39 \$40 to \$49	4.8 4.3 2.6 2.5			\$30 to \$34 \$35 to \$39 \$40 to \$49	4.8 3.2 2.5
\$50 or more	1.9 1.0			\$50 or more Not reported	1.5 12.6

Table 3.--CONDITION AND PLUMBING FACILITIES OF RENTER-OCCUPIED SUBSTANDARD DWELLING UNITS, BY GROSS RENT, FOR HARLINGEN, TEXAS: 1950

(See table 1 for the Public Housing Administration definition of substandard dwelling unit)

					Monthly (gross ren	t		
Condition and plumbing facilities	Total	\$19 or less	\$20 to \$24	\$25 to \$29	\$30 to \$34	\$35 to \$39	\$40 to \$4 9	\$50 or more	Not reported
Total number renter-occupied substandard dwelling units	1,622	850	228	145	. 78	52	40	24	205
Percent of total	100.0	52.4	14.1	8.9	4.8	3.2	2,5	1.5	12.6
Not dilapidated: With private bath and private flush toilet, no hot running water With private flush toilet, no private bath With running water, no private flush toilet No running water inside structure	5.2 1.4 14.5 32.1	0.3 0.4 3.7 18.7	0.6 0.1 2.5 6.0	0.9 0.2 2.5 3.3	1.3 0.1 2.0 0.2	0.9 0.2 1.2 0.2	0.4 0.1 0.6	0.2 0.1 0.2	0.7 0.1 1.8 3.6
Dilapidated: With private bath and private flush toilet, hot and cold running water With private bath and private flush toilet, no hot running water With private flush toilet, no private bath With running water, no private flush toilet No running water inside structure	4.7 2.0 0.4 4.8 32.9	0.3 0.2 0.4 2.6 24.6	0.3 1.0 0.1 1.0 2.5	0.7 0.2 0.3 0.6	0.6 0.1 0.1 0.2	0.5	1.2 0.1 - 0.1	0.7	0.4 0.2 - 0.5 4.9
Not reporting condition or plumbing facilities	1.8	1.1	0.1	0.2	0.1		-	0.1	0,5

Table 4.--CHARACTERISTICS OF FAMILIES IN SUBSTANDARD DWELLING UNITS BY TENURE, FOR HARLINGEN, TEXAS: 1950

Characteristic	Total	Owner	Renter	Characteristic	Total	0wner	Renter
Total number of families	2,411	1,050	1,361	NUMBER OF PERSONS PER ROOM IN DWELLING UNIT			
Percent of total	100.0	43.6	56.4	Total	100.0	100.0	1.00.0
TYPE OF FAMILY				0.50 or less	3.9 7.1	5.8 9.1	2.4 5.6
Total	100.0	100.0	100.0	0.76 to 1.00	17.8 16.7	,18.6 18.3	17.3 15.4
Primary family Secondary family NUMBER OF PERSONS IN FAMILY	99.3 0.7	99.5 0.5	99.2 0.8	1.51 to 2.00	21.6 30.9 1.9	21.0 25.1 2.1	22.0 35.4 1.8
Total	100.0	100.0	100.0	NUMBER OF MINORS IN FAMILY Total	100.0	100.0	100.0
2 persons	22.7 18.7 18.0 12.4 10.0 6.7 11.4	17.0 15.8 17.4 12.6 10.8 8.6	27.2 20.9 18.5 12.3 9.3 5.2 6.5	No minors. 1 minor. 2 minors. 3 minors. 4 minors. 5 minors. 6 minors or more.	23.6 20.8 17.3 12.6 9.4 7.5 8.8	21.1 16.9 17.3 12.0 9.0 10.1 13.5	25.5 23.8 17.3 18.0 9.6 5.6 5.1

6

Table 4a.--INCOME IN 1949 OF PRIMARY FAMILIES IN SUBSTANDARD DWELLING UNITS, BY NUMBER OF MINORS AND TENURE, FOR HARLINGEN, TEXAS: 1950

Family income by number of minors	Total	Owner	Renter	Family income by number of minors	Total	Owner	Renter
Total number of primary				Two minors	16.4	18.0	15.1
families	2,395	1,045	1,350				
Percent of total	100.0	43.6	56.4	\$999 or less	4.3 1.2	4.8 1.2	3.9 1.3
Total	100.0	100.0	100.0	\$1,250 to \$1,499 \$1,500 to \$1,749 \$1,750 to \$1,999	2.3 1.5 0.9	1.2 1.6 1.6	3.2
\$999 or less	28.0	27.2	28.6	φ1,700 60 φ1,999	0.3	2.0	0.8
\$1,000 to \$1,249	10.5	10.8	10.3	\$2,000 to \$2,249	1.4	1.6	1.3
\$1,250 to \$1,499	9.5	8.4	10.3	\$2,250 to \$2,499	0.3	0.8	
\$1,500 to \$1,749	10.2	9.6	10.6	\$2,500 to \$2,749	0.7	1.2	0.3
\$1,750 to \$1,999	6.8	7.2	6.4	\$2,750 to \$2,999	0.2		8.0
\$2,000 to \$2,249	7.3	5.6	8.7	#3 000 to #3 000	1.8	2.0	1 6
\$2,250 to \$2,499	3.0	2.8	3.2	\$3,000 to \$3,999 \$4,000 to \$4,999	0.4	0.4	1.6
\$2,500 to \$2,749	5,3	8.4	2.9	\$5,000 or more	0.7	0.8	0.3 0.6
\$2,750 to \$2,999	1.9	2.8	1.3	Not reported	0.5	0.8	0.3
\$3,000 to \$3,999	7.5	7.6	7.4	-	-	-	-40
\$4,000 to \$4,999	2.5	3.2	1.9	Three or four minors	24.5	19.2	90 6
\$5,000 or more	2.3	2.4	2.3	Inree or lour minors	24.0		28.6
Not reported	5.2	4.0	6.1	\$999 or less	6.3	4.0	8.0
No minors	23.9	22.8	24.8	\$1,000 to \$1,249	2.7	2.8	2.6
				\$1,250 to \$1,499	1.6	1.2	1.9
\$999 or less	8.0	8,0	8.0	\$1,500 to \$1,749	2.0	1.6	2.3
\$1,000 to \$1,249	2.7	2.8	2.6	\$1,750 to \$1,999	2.9	1.6	3.9
\$1,250 to \$1,499	1.6	2.4	1.0	#n 300 (. #0 0/0		ا م م	
\$1,500 to \$1,749 \$1,750 to \$1,999	0.7	2.0 0.8	2.9	\$2,000 to \$2,249	3.1	1.6	4.2
	1			\$2,250 to \$2,499 \$2,500 to \$2,749	0.2	1.2	0.3 1.9
\$2,000 to \$2,249	0.5	0.4	0.6	\$2,750 to \$2,999	3.0	1.2	0.3
\$2,250 to \$2,499	1.1	0.4	1.6	42, 725 to 42, 722	0.~		0.0
\$2,500 to \$2,749	1,1	2.0	0.8	\$3,000 to \$3,999	2.0	2.4	1.6
\$2,750 to \$2,999	0.5	0,4	0,6	\$4,000 to \$4,999	0.5	0.8	0.8
\$3,000 to \$3,999	2.5	1.6	3.2	\$5,000 or more	0.2	0.4	
\$4,000 to \$4,999	0.7	0.8	0.6	Not reported	1.4	1.6	1.3
\$5,000 or more	0.5	0.4	0.6	1			
nou reported	1.4	0.8	1.9	5 minors or more	14.5	21.6	9,0
One minor	20.7	18.4	22.5				
\$999 or less	5.9	5.6		\$999 or less	3.5	4.8	2.6
\$1,000 to \$1,249	2.5	1.2	6.1 3.5	\$1,000 to \$1,249	1.4	2.8	0.3
\$1,250 to \$1,499	2.0	1.2	2.6	\$1,250 to \$1,499	2.0	2.4	1.6
\$1,500 to \$1,749	2.9	2.8	2.9	\$1,500 to \$1,749	1.2	1.6	1.0
\$1,750 to \$1,999	1.4	1.6	1.3	\$1,750 to \$1,999	0.9	1.6	0.3
\$2,000 to \$2,249	1.2	1.2	1.3	\$2,000 to \$2,249	1.1	0.8	1.3
\$2,250 to \$2,499	1.1	0.8	1.3	\$2,250 to \$2,499	0.3	0.8	
\$2,500 to \$2,749	0,5	0.8	0.3	\$2,500 to \$2,749	1.4	3.2	
\$2,750 to \$2,999	0.5	1.2	-	\$2,750 to \$2,999	0.5	1.2	
\$3,000 to \$3,999	0.5	0.4	0.6	#2 000 L #2 000		, ,	٠.,
\$4,000 to \$4,999	0.7	0.8	0.6	\$3,000 to \$3,999	0.7	1.2	0.3
\$5,000 or more	1	` <u>`</u>	0.6	\$4,000 to \$4,999	0.2	0.4	1.0
Not reported	1.4	0.8	1.9	\$5,000 or more	0.9	0,8	0.6
	1	1		Nov reported		1	1

Table 5.--RENT AS PERCENT OF INCOME BY FAMILY INCOME IN 1949 OF PRIMARY FAMILIES WITH NO SUBFAMILY OR SECONDARY FAMILY IN RENTER-OCCUPIED SUBSTANDARD DWELLING UNITS, BY PRESENCE OF MINORS, FOR HARLINGEN, TEXAS: 1950

Gross rent as percent of income by family income	Total	No minors	With minors	Gross rent as percent of income by family income	Total	No minors	With minors
Number of families	1,276	321	955	\$2,000 to \$2,499	10.5		10.9
Percent of total	100.0	25.2	74.8	9 percent or less	3.4		3.6
Total	100.0	(¹)	100.0	10 percent to 14 percent 15 percent to 19 percent	2.0 2.7		1.8
9 percent or less	18.0 19.7		17.7 16.8	20 percent to 24 percent 25 percent to 29 percent	2.4		2.3
15 percent to 19 percent 20 percent to 24 percent	15.0 8.5		15.9 9.1	30 percent to 34 percent 35 percent or more	. -		-
25 percent to 29 percent 30 percent to 34 percent 35 percent or more	7.8 3.1 7.1		7.7 3.2 8.6	\$2,500 to \$2,999	3.7	<u> </u>	3.6
Not reported	220.7		20.9	9 percent or less	1.0		1.4
\$1,499 or less	89.8		41.4	15 percent to 19 percent			-
9 percent or less	3,7 6.8 7.8 5.1		3.6. 6.8 7.7 5.5	20 percent to 24 percent 25 percent to 29 percent 30 percent to 34 percent 35 percent or more	0.7		0.9
25 percent to 29 percent 30 percent to 34 percent 35 percent or more	6.8 3.1		6.8 3.2 7.7	\$3,000 or over	9.9		7.7
\$1,500 to \$1,999	6.5 15.3		15.5	9 percent or less	5.1 3.7		4.5 2.3
9 percent or less	4.8 5.1 3.4 0.3 1.0	;	4.5 4.1 0.5 0.9	15 percent to 19 percent 20 percent to 24 percent 25 percent to 29 percent 30 percent to 34 percent 35 percent or more	1.0		0.9
30 percent to 34 percent 35 percent or more	0.7		0.9	Not reporting income or rent	² 20.7		20.9

47151

¹ Percentage distribution is not shown where the number of cases in the sample is less than 100.
2 Of the 20.7 percent, 8.8 represents families occupying dwelling units rent-free, and 4.1 represents families reporting zero income in 1949.

1950 CENSUS OF HOUSING

SPECIAL TABULATIONS FOR LOCAL HOUSING AUTHORITIES

November 16, 1950

Washington 25, D. C.

Series HC-6, No. 48

AUGUSTA, GEORGIA: APRIL 1950

This report is based on a transcription and tabulation of data from the 1950 Census of Population and Housing. The statistics relate to dwelling units considered substandard by the Public Housing Administration, in accordance with the contract for these statistics between the Bureau of the Census and the Housing Authority of the City of Augusta.

A dwelling unit is considered substandard by the Public Housing Administration if it is either dilapidated or does not have the following plumbing facilities: flush toilet and bath inside the structure for the unit's exclusive use, and hot running water. The Public Housing Administration considers the absence of hot running water to be evidence generally of poor quality of the dwelling unit, but there may be some localities in which the absence of hot running water is not deemed to be a sufficient indication by itself of poor quality. localities, a revised count of substandard units may be obtained from table 1 by subtracting from the total the units which lack hot water only. If it is desired to eliminate the units lacking hot water only from the total count of substandard units, the distributions shown in the tables will not be materially affected.

The criteria used to define substandard units in these tabulations are not identical with the housing characteristics included in the published reports from the 1940 Census. Therefore, any comparison of data in this report with the 1940 results must be made with extreme care. A direct comparison cannot be made with the 1940 results because information on hot running water was not collected at that time. Further, the 1940 data on condition were collected showing dwelling units "needing major repairs," whereas

in 1950 data on condition were collected showing units as "dilapidated." Because the definitions of these two terms differ significantly, the 1940 count of dwelling units needing major repairs and the 1950 count of dilapidated dwelling units are comparable only in a general way.

The data are presented in the series of attached tables. In table 1 the structural and occupancy characteristics are shown for all substandard dwelling units. Table 2 shows the rent paid for renter-occupied substandard units; table 3 shows the condition and plumbing facilities of these same units. Table 4 shows the characteristics of all families (both primary and secondary) living in the substandard units. Table 4a classifies the primary families by family income. Table 5 classifies primary families with no subfamily or secondary family present, by rent as a percent of family income.

In the contract rent tabulation, dwelling units occupied rent-free are tabulated in the "\$9 or less" category. In the gross rent tabulations, however, such units are tabulated as "not reporting," except when utilities were reported.

DEFINITIONS

The definitions used in this special tabulation are generally the same as those used in the 1950 Census of Population and Housing. Some of the more important definitions are given below.

Dwelling unit. -- In general, a dwelling unit is a group of rooms or a single room, occupied, or intended for occupancy, as separate living quarters by a family or other group of persons living together or by a person living alone.

<u>Primary family.--A</u> primary family consists of two or more persons including the head of the household and all (one or more) persons in the household who are related to the head by blood, marriage, or adoption. It may include a subfamily consisting of a married couple with or without children, or one parent with one or more children under 18 years of age, living in the household and related to but not including the head of the household or his wife. The subfamily is considered a part of the primary family for all tabulation purposes.

Secondary family. -- A secondary family consists of two or more persons, such as a lodger or servant and his relatives, living in a household and related to each other but not related to the household head. The secondary family is considered a separate family for tabulation purposes.

Minor.--A minor is an unmarried person under \overline{y} years of age other than the head of a family or his wife.

Dilapidation. -- A dwelling unit is considered to be dilapidated when it has serious deficiencies, is rundown or neglected, or is of inadequate original construction, so that it does not provide adequate shelter or protection against the elements or it endangers the safety of the occupants.

Plumbing facilities. -- The following are the three plumbing facilities tabulated:

- Flush toilet inside the structure for the unit's exclusive use;
- 2. Installed bathtub or shower inside the structure for the unit's exclusive use; and
- ${\bf 3.}\ \ {\bf Hot}$ and cold running water $\ \ {\bf inside}\ \ {\bf the}$ structure.

Exclusive use. -- Equipment is for "exclusive use" if it is used only by the occupants of one dwelling unit.

Shared. -- Equipment is "shared" when occupants of two or more units use the same equipment.

Family income. -- Family income is the sum of the income received during 1949, by all members of the family. The following items were asked separately for the head of the family and for all other members of the family combined:

- 1. The amount of money wages or salary received in 1949:
- 2. The amount of net money income received from self-employment in 1949; and
- 3. The amount of other money income received in 1949, such as interest, dividends, veterana allowances, pensions, or rents.

Gross rent. -- Monthly gross rent is contract rent plus the reported average monthly cost of

water, electricity, gas, and other fuel paid for by the renter. If furniture is included in the contract rent, the reported estimated rent of the dwelling unit without furniture is used for the computation instead of the contract rent.

SOURCE AND RELIABILITY OF THE DATA

The figures shown in this report are based on the transcribed data for all white-occupied substandard dwelling units and about one-fifth of the nonwhite-occupied substandard units. The income distributions for both white families and nonwhite families were prepared from data collected on a sample basis since, in the 1950 Census, only one family in five was asked to report family income. The transcribed data were supplemented by an actual count of the total number of nonwhite-occupied substandard units, even though the housing, family, and income distributions were based on a simple.

Although some of the figures in the tables are based on the same data as the forthcoming 1950 Census tabulations, they may differ from those to be published as part of the Census. The present tables were obtained by tabulating directly the data as transcribed in the field offices of the Census Bureau and the figures, therefore, do not include all of the refinements that result from a careful examination of the schedules.

In addition, the data are subject to other biases due to errors of response and to nonreporting which cannot be corrected in editing. Factors affecting accuracy of reporting are the respondent's knowledge of the facts and the ability of the enumerator to obtain accurate information on such items as income, rent, dilapidation, and plumbing facilities. The regular 1950 tabulations are also subject to these biases.

Reliability of Estimates

Because of sampling variability, income data for white families and all data for total and for nonwhite households may differ from the figures that would have been obtained from a complete count. (The numbers of occupied dwelling units, by race of occupant, are complete counts which are not subject to sampling variations.) The reliability estimates which follow give approximate measures of the sampling errors to be expected in these sample data.

Reliability of percentages. -- The allowance to be made for sampling variation in a percentage depends, in general, both on the value of the percentages and the size of the base on which it is determined. If two percentages are of the same magnitude, the one based on a large number

of cases in the sample is, in general, less variable than the one based on a small number of sample cases.

Estimates of reliability are shown in the following table for percentages with bases of dwelling units or families of the specified types. The chances are about 19 out of 20 that the differences due to sampling variability between the percentages obtained from the sample and the percentages which would have been obtained from a complete census would be less than the sampling error shown below.

To illustrate, for a figure of 10 percent based on nonwhite primary families living in

substandard renter dwelling units, the sampling variability is 2.0 percent; the chances are about 19 out of 20 that the percentage obtained by a complete census would have been between 8.0 percent and 12.0 percent.

The sampling variability of a specified percentage of total families or dwelling units with designated characteristics, tables 1 through 4, will vary according to the proportion of non-white families or honwhite-occupied units making up this percentage. The maximum sampling error to be expected of such a figure would occur when only nonwhite data are included. If the percentage includes only white data, no sampling error is present.

				Samplin	g variabili	ity if bas	e is	• .		
Percentage shown in table All nonwhite-occupied substandard dwelling units	nonwhite- occupied		All pri	mary famil dwelli	All primary families with no sub- family or secondary family pre- sent, in substandard renter unit					
	To	tal	Wh	White		Nonwhite		No	With	
	Owner	Renter	Owner	Renter	Owner	Renter	Total	minors	minors	
0.5	0.4	0.6	0.3	1.0	0.5	0.7	0.5	0.4	0.6	0.5
1.0	0.5	0.8	0.5	1.4	0.7	1.0	0.7	0.5	0.8	0.7
2.0	0.7	1.2	0.7	1.9	1.0	1.5	0.9	0.7	1.1	1.0
3.0	0.9	1.4	0.8	2.3	1.3	1.8	1.1	0.9	1.4	1.2
4.0	1.0	1.6	1.0	2.7	1.4	2.0	1.3	1.0	1.6	1.4
5.0	1.1	1.8	1.1	3.0	1.6	2.3	1.4	1.1	1.7	1.5
10.0	1.5	2.5	1.5	4.1	2.2	3.1	2.0	1.6	2.4	2.1
15.0	1.8	2.9	1.8	4.9	2.6	3.7	2.3	1.9	2.9	2.5
20.0	2.0	3.3	2.0	5.4	3.0	4.1	2.6	2.1	3.2	2.8
25.0	2.2	3,6	2.1	5.9	3.2	4.5	2.8	2.3	3.5	3.0
30.0	2.3	3.8	2.3	6.2	3.4	4.7	3.0	2.4	3.7	3.2
40.0	2.5	4.0	2.4	6.7	3.6	5,1	3.2	2.6	3.9	3.4
50.0	2.5	4.1	2,5	6.8	3.7	5.2	3.3	2.6	4.0	3.4

Reliability of absolute figures.—The approximate sampling variability of the absolute figures for nonwhite households, tables 1 through 5, is shown below. The chances are about 19 out of 20 that the differences between the numbers shown in the tables and the numbers that would have been obtained from a complete census would be less than the sampling errors which follow.

Size of absolute figure	Sampling variability ¹	Size of absolute figure	Sampling variability ¹
50 100 250 500 1,000 1,500	30 40 65 90 120 140	2,000 2,500 3,500 4,500 5,500 6,500	155 165 170 160 130

¹ Applies to nonwhite families and nonwhite-occupied units, tables 1 through 5.

The following is the approximate sampling variability of the absolute figures for white

families, table 5. (All other absolute figures for white households represent complete counts and are not subject to sampling variations.) The chances are about 19 out of 20 that the differences between the estimates and the figures that would have been obtained from a complete census would be less than the sampling errors shown below.

Classification	Absolute figures for white fami- lies, table 5	Sampling variability		
Total	1,145	60 104 107		

Reliability of differences. -- The estimates of sampling variability in the above tables are not directly applicable to differences obtained by subtracting one figure from another. The sampling variability in an observed difference between two figures depends on the sampling variability of each of the figures and the correlation between them.

Table 1.--STRUCTURAL AND OCCUPANCY CHARACTERISTICS, CONDITION, AND PLUMBING FACILITIES OF OCCUPIED SUBSTANDARD DWELLING UNITS, BY TENURE AND COLOR OF OCCUPANTS, FOR AUGUSTA, GEORGIA: 1950

(A substandard dwelling unit is defined by the Public Housing Administration as a unit which is either dilapidated or does not have all of the following plumbing facilities: flush toilet and bath inside the structure for the unit's exclusive use, and hot running water)

		Total			White		Nonwhite		
Characteristic	Total	Owner	Renter	Total	Owner	Renter	Total	Owner	Renter
Total number occupied substandard	22 622	0.050	0.050	A A 111 D	1,046	3,427	6,738	1,812	1.004
dwelling units	100.0	2,858	8,353 74.5	4,473 39.9	9.3	30.6	60,1	16.2	4,926
Percent of total	100.0	20.0							1
NUMBER OF ROOMS		•				_			
Total	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100,
1 room	6.1	0.9 4.3	7.9 22.4	9.2	1.9 6.1	11.4 27.8	4.1 14.5	0.8	5. 18.
3 rooms	41.8	28.2	46.4	33.9	31.7	34.6	47.0	26.2	54,
4 rooms	18.6	30.6	14.5	18.3	29.3	14.9	18.8	31.4	14.
5 rooms	9,2	21.7	4.9 2.1	10.7	21.0	7.6 2.3	8.2 4.6	22.1	3.
7 rooms	4.1 0.9	2.0	0.5	0.7	1.0	0.6	1.0	2.6	ő.
8 rooms or more	0.9	2.2	0.4.	0.5	1.4	0.2	1.1	2.6	0,
Not reported	0.6	0.1	0.8	0.6	0.4	0.6	0.6	-	0,
CONDITION									ļ
Total	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.
Not dilapidated		81.6	57.9	75.4	83.4	73.0	56.4	80.5	47
Dilapidated Not reported		17.0	41.0	23.9	15.7	26.4	42.1 1.5	17.7	51
	1.5	1.5	7.4	.0.7	1.0	0.7	Τ.υ	1.7	1
WATER SUPPLY			,						
Total	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100
Hot and cold piped running water inside structure		11.5	13.0	29.2	26.0	30.2	1.6	3.2	1
Only cold piped running water inside structure No piped running water inside structure	59.1	72.7	54.5	67.0	70.7	65.8	53.9	73.8	46
Not reported		15.8	32.4 0.1	3.8 (¹)	3.3	3.9 (¹)	44.3 0.2	23.0	52
TOILET FACILITIES									
Total	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100
Flush toilet inside structure, exclusive use		63.4	29.1	43.1	60.8	37.6	34.4	64.8	23
Flush toilet inside structure, shared	18.7	11.0	21.4	43.4	27.6	48.3	2.3	1.5	2
Other toilet facilities (including privy)	43.3	25.6 (1)	49.4 0.1	13.3	11.5	13.9	63.2 0.1	33.7	74
BATHING FACILITIES			V.1	0.2	0.1	0.2	0.1	_	• •
Total	100.0	100.0	100.0	1.00.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100
Installed bathtub or shower inside structure,			200.0	1.00.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	1
exclusive use	22.7	40.6	16.5	32.3	53.2	26.0	16.3	33.4	9
Installed bathtub or shower inside structure, shared	17.3	10.3	19.6	43.0					1
Other or none		48.8	63.0	41.9 25.5	26.7 20.1	46.5 27.1	0.9 81.9	0.9 65.4	87
Not reported	0.7	0.2	0.9	0.4	0,1	0.4	0.9	0.3	j
NUMBER OF PERSONS									
Total	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100
1 person 2 persons	1	8.2	13.7	13.8	18.9	13.8	11.3	4.9	13
3 persons	07.5	26.8	27.8	30.4	25.8	31.8	25.6	27.3	25
4 persons	14.8	22.4 15.4	21.1	21.5	20.5	21.9	21.4	23.5	2
5 persons	8.0	9.7	14.6 8.6	14.8 8.9	15.8	14.5 8.3	14.8 8.9	15.1	1.
6 persons 7 persons	6.1	7.5	5.6	5.9	7.4	5.4	6.3	7.6	'
gersons	0.0	3.7	3.5	2.6	3.5	2.8	4.2	3.8	
9 persons or more	3.3	2.2 4.1	2.0 3.0	1.1	1.0	1.1	2.7 4.8	2.9 5.8	
NUMBER OF LODGERS					1.0	0.9	#.O		
Total	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	10
Vone	88.7	87.5							1
l or more lodgers	11.3	12.5	89.1 10.9	94.2 5.8	93.1 6.9	94.5 5.5	85.1 14.9	84.3 15.7	1
1 Less than 0.05 percent.		8	1	, J.J	0.7	0.0	74.2	1 -0.	

Table 1.--STRUCTURAL AND OCCUPANCY CHARACTERISTICS, CONDITION, AND PLUMBING FACILITIES OF OCCUPIED SUBSTANDARD DWELLING UNITS, BY TENURE AND COLOR OF OCCUPANTS, FOR AUGUSTA, GEORGIA: 1950---Con.

⁽A substandard dwelling unit is defined by the Public Housing Administration as a unit which is either dilapidated or does not have all of the following plumbing facilities: flush toilet and bath inside the structure for the unit's exclusive use, and hot running water)

Characteristic		Total			White	-	1	Nonwhite	
Characteristic	Total	Owner	Renter	Total	Owner	Renter	Total	Owner	Renter
CONDITION AND PLUMBING FACILITIES									
Total	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0
Not dilapidated: With private bath and private flush toilet, no hot running water	16.3 13.3 23.6 10.4	33.3 22.8 17.2 8.1	10.5 10.0 25.8 11.2	23.1 9.6 41.3 1.2	42.7 8.5 31.0 1.0	17.1 9.9 44.4 1.2	11.9 15.7 11.9 16.6	27.9 31.1 9.3 12.2	6.0 10.1 12.8 18.2
Dilapidated: With private bath and private flush toilet, hot and cold running water. With private bath and private flush toilet, no hot running water. With private flush toilet, no private bath. With running water, no private flush toilet	1.4 2.6 3.8 9.4	1.7 2.2 2.5 3.3	1.3 2.7 4.3 11.6	2.7 4.5 2.8 11.2	3.7 3.9 1.2 4.4	2.3 4.6 3.3 13.3	0.5 1.3 4.5 8.3	0.6 1.2 3.2 2.6	0.5 1.4 5.0 10.4
No running water inside the structure Not reporting condition or plumbing facilities	17.3 1.7	7.3 1.5	20.8 1.8	2.6	2.4	2.7 1.1	27.1 2.1	10.2	33.4
CONDITION BY NUMBER OF PLUMBING FACILITIES									
Total	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0
Not dilapidated: Lacking 1 facility Lacking 2 facilities Lacking 3 facilities	17.4 22.8 23.5	35.5 30.2 15.8	11.2 20.3 26.1	24.5 32.2 18.4	44.6 28.1 10.4	18.4 33.4 20.8	12.7 16.6 26.8	30.2 31.4 18.9	6.2 11.1 29.7
Dilapidated: With all facilities	1.4 2.6 5.1 25.5	1.7 2.2 3.1 10.0	1.3 2.8 5.8 30.8	2.7 4.5 5.3 11.3	3.7 3.9 1.9 6.1	2.8 4.7 6.3 12.9	0.5 1.4 5.0 34.9	0.6 1.2 3.8 12.2	0.5 1.5 5.5 43.2
Not reporting condition or plumbing facilities	1.7	1.5	1.8	1.1	1.1	1.1	2.1	1.7	2.2
NUMBER OF DWELLING UNITS IN STRUCTURE	į					}			
Total	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0
1 dwelling unit	58.1 33.9 8.0	82.2 17.1 0.7	49.8 39.6 10.5	34.3 49.4 16.3	64.5 33.7 1.8	25.1 54.2 20.7	73.9 23.6 2.5	92.4 7.6	67.1 29.5 3.4

Table 2.--CONTRACT AND GROSS RENT OF RENTER-OGCUPIED SUBSTANDARD DWELLING UNITS, BY COLOR OF OCCUPANTS, FOR AUGUSTA, GEORGIA: 1950

(See table 1 for the Public Housing Administration definition of substandard dwelling unit)

							
Monthly rent	Total	White	Nonwhite	Monthly rent	Total	White	Nonwhite
Total number renter-occupied substandard dwelling units	8,353	3,427	4,926	FURNITURE IN RENT	100.0	100.0	100.0
Percent of total	100.0	41.0	59.0	Furniture included in contract rent Furniture not included in contract	10.5	24.7	0.6
MONTHLY CONTRACT RENT				rent Not reported	84.4 5.0	71.9 3.4	93.2 6.2
Total	100.0	100.0	100.0	MONTHLY GROSS RENT			
\$ 9 or less	36.0	14.8	50.8	Total	100.0	100.0	100.0
\$10 to \$14 \$15 to \$19	28.7 12.0	19.2	35.3 8.4	\$9 or less \$10 to \$14	7.4 16.4	5.2 8.0	9.0
\$20 to \$24 \$25 to \$29	7.0 4.8	13.8	2.4 1.4	\$15 to \$19 \$20 to \$24	23.8	13.9	30.6
\$30 to \$34	4.1	9.9	0.1	\$25 to \$29 \$30 to \$34	13.0 7.9	16.7	10.4
\$35 to \$39 \$40 to \$49	2.0 3.2	4.9 7.4	0.2	\$35 to \$39 \$40 to \$49	4.1 3.9	7.8 8.5	1.
\$50 or more	1.1 1.1	2.4	0.1	\$50 or more	1.9	4.0 1.6	0.1

Table 3.--CONDITION AND PLUMBING FACILITIES OF RENTER-OCCUPIED SUBSTANDARD DWELLING UNITS, BY GROSS RENT, FOR AUGUSTA, GEORGIA: 1950

					Monthly g	ross rent	t		
Condition and plumbing facilities	Total	\$19 or less	\$20 to \$24	\$25 to \$29	\$30 to \$34	\$35 to \$39	\$40 to \$49	\$50 or more	Not reported
Total number renter-occupied substandard dwelling units	8,353	3,974	1,655	1,084	661	343	323	162	151
Percent of total	100.0	47.6	19.8	13.0	7.9	4.1	3.9	1.9	1,8
Not dilapidated: With private bath and private flush toilet, no hot running water	10.5 10.0 25.8 11.2	1.5 3.7 9.4 7.0	2.1 3.1 5.5 2.1	2.6 1.6 3.4 1.3	1.7 0.8 2.8 0.5	1.0 0.4 1.8 0.1	1.0 0.2 1.6	0.3 0.1 0.9 (1)	0.4 0.1 0.3 0.2
Dilapidated: With private bath and private flush toilet, hot and cold running water	1.3 2.7 4.3 11.6 20.8	0.2 0.6 1.7 6.9 15.6	0.2 0.5 1.1 1.9 3.0	0.1 0.5 1.1 0.9 1.2	0.1 0.6 0.1 0.8 0.5	0.1 0.2 0.1 0.4 0.1	0.3 0.2 0.2 0.4	0.2	(1) (1) 0.2 0.4
Not reporting condition or plumbing facilities	1.8	1.0	0.3	0.2	(¹)		(1)	0.1	0.1

¹ Less than 0.05 percent.

Table 3a.--CONDITION AND PLUMBING FACILITIES OF RENTER-OCCUPIED SUBSTANDARD DWELLING UNITS, BY GROSS RENT, FOR WHITE HOUSEHOLDS, FOR AUGUSTA, GEORGIA: 1950

					Monthly (gross ren	t		
Condition and plumbing facilities	Total	\$19 or less	\$20 to \$24	\$25 to \$29	\$ 30 to \$ 34	\$35 to \$39	\$40 to \$49	\$50 or more	Not reported
Total number renter-occupied substandard dwelling units	3,427	929	702	573	471	269	291	136	56
agna serious a agentitife autras							-		
Percent of total	100.0	27.1	20.5	16.7	13.7	7.8	8.5	4.0	1.6
ot dilapidated:									1
With private bath and private flush toilet,									
no hot running water	17.1	2.0	2.7	4.0	3.3	1.8	2.3	0.6	0.3
With private flush toilet, no private bath			3.1	1.3	1.0	0.4	0.4	0.1	0.1
With running water, no private flush toilet			8.8	6.7	6.3	3.9	3.5	2.0	0.8
No running water inside structure	1.2	0.8	0.1	0.2	(¹)	(1)	-	(¹)	-
Dilapidated:									
With private bath and private flush toilet,		li .		1				i	}
hot and cold running water	2.3	0.3	0.1	0.3	0.3	0.3	0.5	0.4	0.1
With private bath and private flush toilet,	- 1	1		1		1		1	
no hot running water	4.6	0.5	0.8	1.2	0.8	0.5	0.5	0.2	0.3
With private flush toilet, no private bath			1.1	1.0	0.3	(1)	0.1	-	1
With running water, no private flush toilet			2.9	1.6	1.4	0.9	1.0	0.4	
No running water inside structure	2.7	2.0	0.3	0.2	0.1	(1)·		-	0.3
ot reporting condition or plumbing facilities.	1.1	0.4	0.4	0.2	0.1	_	0.1	0.1	(2

¹ Less than 0.05 percent.

Table 3b.--CONDITION AND PLUMBING FACILITIES OF RENTER-OCCUPIED SUBSTANDARD DWELLING UNITS, BY GROSS RENT, FOR NONWHITE HOUSEHOLDS, FOR AUGUSTA, GEORGIA: 1950

					Monthly a	ross ren	t		
Condition and plumbing facilities	Total	\$19 or less	\$20 to \$24	\$25 to \$29	\$30 to \$34	\$35 to \$39	\$40 to \$ 49	\$50 or more	Not reported
Total number renter-occupied substandard dwelling units	4,926	8,045	95 3	511	190	74	32	26	95
Percent of total	100.0	61.8	19.3	10.4	3.9	1.5	0.6	0.5	1.9
Not dilapidated: With private bath and private flush toilet, no hot running water With private flush toilet, no private bath With running water, no private flush toilet No running water inside structure	6.0 10.1 12.8 18.2	1.1 4.0 7.5 11.3	1.6 3.2 3.2 3.5	1.6 1.7 1.2 2.0	0.5 0.6 0.3 0.9	0.4 0.3 0.3 0.1	0.1	0.1 0.1 0.1	0.5 0.1 -
Dilapidated: With private bath and private flush toilet, hot and cold running water With private bath and private flush toilet; no hot running water With private flush toilet, no private bath With running water, no private flush toilet No running water inside structure	0.5 1.4 5.0 10.4 33.4	0.1 0.6 2.5 8.2 25.0	0.2 0.2 1.1 1.2 4.9	0.1 1.2 0.4 1.9	0.4 - 0.3 0.7	0.1	0.1	0.1	0.2
Not reporting condition or plumbing facilities	2.2	1,5	0.2	0.2			-	0.1	.0.2

Table 4.--CHARACTERISTICS OF FAMILIES IN SUBSTANDARD DWELLING UNITS, BY TENURE AND COLOR, FOR AUGUSTA, GEORGIA: 1950

Characteristic		Total.			White			Nonwhite	
CHARG GELTS CTG	Total	Owner	Renter	Total.	Owner	Renter	Total	Owner	Renter
Total number of families	9,662	2,571	7,091	3,804	. 891	2,918	5,858	1,680	4,178
Percent of total	100.0	26.6	73.4	39.4	9.2	30.1	60.6	17.4	43.2
TYPE OF FAMILY	•		ļ				-		
Total	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0
Primary family	96.3 8.7	95.9 4.1	96.5 3.5	98.8 1.2	98.1	99.0 1.0	94.7 5.8	94.7 5.3	94.7 5.3
number of persons in family									
Total	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0
2 persons. 3 persons. 4 persons. 5 persons. 6 persons. 7 persons. 8 persons or more. NUMBER OF PERSONS PER ROOM IN DWELLING UNIT Total.	35.5 23.3 16.7 9.3 6.1 3.8 5.3	38.6 24.2 15.8 9.6 6.7 4.2 5.9	36.2 22.9 17.0 9.2 5.8 3.7 5.1	36.6 24.8 17.0 10.5 6.2 2.8 2.2	31.2 24.2 17.7 13.0 7.6 3.8 2.4	38.3 24.9 16.8 9.7 5.7 2.5 2.1	34.8 22.3 16.5 8.5 6.0 4.5 7.4	34.8 24.1 14.7 7.8 6.3 4.4 7.8	34.8 21.6 17.2 8.8 5.9 4.5 7.2
0.50 or less. 0.51 to 0.75. 0.76 to 1.00. 1.01 to 1.50. 1.51 to 2.00. 2.01 or more. Not reported.	8.2 22.5 26.6 19.1 14.0 9.2 0.4	17.6 28.7 24.3 17.0 9.4 2.8 0.1	20.2 27.4 19.8 15.5 11.6	8.8 20.7 30.9 20.2 13.4 5.7 0.4	25.6 27.5 18.3 8.9 2.2 0.3	19.2 31.9 20.7 14.7 6.8 0.5	23.7 23.8 18.3 14.4 11.5	30.4 22.6 16.3 9.7 3.1	20.9 24.3 19.2 16.3 14.9
NUMBER OF MINORS IN FAMILY	·					j			
Total	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0
No minors. 1 minor. 2 minors. 3 minors. 4 minors. 5 minors. 6 minors or more.	40.6 23.2 15.6 9.6 4.8 2.6 3.5	42.1 22.9 15.8 8.0 5.3 2.4 8.4	40.1 23.3 15.5 10.2 4.6 2.7 3.5	40.0 25.2 18.3 8.9 4.8 1.7	18.5 10.7 5.4 1.7	40.2 25.9 18.2 8.4 4.6 1.8	41.1 21.9 13.8 10.1 4.8 3.2 5.0	48.6 22.9 14.4 6.6 5.3 2.8 4.4	40.1 21.6 18.6 11.5 4.5 3.4 5.3

Table 4a.--INCOME IN 1949 OF PRIMARY FAMILIES IN SUBSTANDARD DWELLING UNITS, BY NUMBER OF MINORS, TENURE, AND COLOR, FOR AUGUSTA, GEORGIA: 1950

Family income by		Total			White			Nonwhite	
number of minors	Total.	Owner	Renter	Total	Owner	Renter	Total	Owner	Renter
Matal						-			
Total number of primary families	9,304	2,465	6,839	3,757	874	2,883	5,547	1,591	3,956
Percent of total	100.0	26.5	73.5	40.4	9.4	31.0	59.6	17.1	42,5
Total	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0
999 or less	21.5 7.8	19.4 8.0	22.2 7.7	12.3 4.6	14.5 5.8	11.6 4.3	27.8 10.0	22.2 9.3	90. 10.
1,250 to \$1,499	7.8	7.4	8.0	4.0	3.5	4.1	10.5	9.6	10.
1,500 to \$1,749 1,750 to \$1,999	10.8 7.6	8.9 7.8	10.8 7.5	6.7 6.3	2.9 5.8	7.9 6.5	12.8 8.5	12.8 8.9	12. 8.
2,000 to \$2,249	9.2	10.6	8.7	9.1	7.5	9.6	9.8	12.3	8,
2,250 to \$2,499	5.7	6.1	5.6	8.3	7.5	8.6	4.0	5.3	8
2,500 to \$2,749	5.7	4.6	6.1	9.2	7.5	9.8	3.8	8.0	3,
2,750 to \$2,999	3.9	4.2	3.9	5.8	7.5	5.3	2.7	2.3	2,
3,000 to \$3,999	10.2 3.7	12.9 3.9	9.2 3.6	18.5 7.3	23.1 7.5	17.1 7.2	4.6 1.2	7.3 2.0	3, 0,
5,000 or more	2.5	2.3	2.6	5.3	3.5	5.8	0.7	1.7	0.
lot reported	4.0	8.8	4.0	2.5	3.5	2.2	4.9	4.0	5.
No minors	40.2	48.1	39.1	41.2	43.9	40.4	39.5	42.7	38,
999 or less	.9.0	9.7	8.7	8.1	9.8	7.5	9.6	9.6	9
1,000 to \$1,249	3.4	3.4	8.5	2.5	2.9	2.4	4.1	3.6	4
1,500 to \$1,749	3.7 4.8	2.6 5.3	4.1 4.6	2.2 4.0	0.6 2.3	2.7 4.5	4.7 5.4	3.6 7.0	5
1,750 to \$1,999	3.0	2.9	3.0	2.9	2.9	2.9	3.1	3.0	3
2,000 to \$2,249	3.4	4.0	8.2	2.8	2.9	2.7	3.8	4.6	3
2,250 to \$2,499	2.1	2.1	2.1	2.4	1.7	2.6	1.9	2.3	1
2,500 to \$2,749 2,750 to \$2,999	1.3	2.1 1.9	2.2	3.8 1.6	4.6 2.3	3.6 1.4	1.0 1.1	0.7 1.7	Ö
3,000 to \$3,999	3.3	4.2	8.0	5.7	5.8	5.7	1.7	3.3	1
4,000 to \$4,999	1.5	2.1	1.8	2.9	4.0	2.6	0.6	1.0	C
5,000 or more	0.6	0.6 2.3	0.6 1.8	1.2	1.2 2.9	1.2	0.2 2.4	0.3 2.0	0
One minor	28.2	21.9	23.6	24.7	21.4	25.7	22.1	22,2	22
999 or less	5.5	5.5	5.5	2.0	2.8	1.9	7.9	7.2	8
1,000 to \$1,249	1.5	1.5	1.4	0.9	1.7	0.7	1.8	1.3	1
1,250 to \$1,499 1,500 to \$1,749	1.3	1.5 1.3	1.3	0.7 0.9	0.6	0.7	1.8	2.0 2.0	
1,750 to \$1,999	1.4	1.3	1.4	1.1	0.6	1.2 1.2	2.2	1.7] :
2,000 to \$2,249	2.3	3.4	1.9	2.5	2.9	2.4	2.2	3.6	
2,250 to \$2,499	1.5	1.5	1.5	2.8	2.3	2.9	0.7	1.0	(
2,500 to \$2,749 2,750 to \$2,999	1.1	0.8 0.6	1.2	2.1	1.7	2.2	0.5	0.3	
3,000 to \$3,999	l			1.5	1.2	1.5	0.9		1
4,000 to \$4,999	3.2 0.9	3.5 0.4	3.1	6.2 2.0	6.9 1.2	6.0 2.2	1.1	1.7	
5,000 or more	0.7	0.2	0.9	1.4		1.9	0.2	0.8	
ot reported	0.9	0.4	1.1	0.7	-	0.9	1.1	0.7	
Two minors	15.3	14.7	15.4	16.9	14.5	17.6	14.2	14.9	1
999 or less	2.8	1.1	3.4	1.5	1.2	1.5	3.7	1.0	1
1,000 to \$1,249 1,250 to \$1,499	1.4	2.1 1.7	1.1 0.8	0.5 0.5	1 0	0.7	2.0	3.3 2.0	
1,500 to \$1,749	1.4	1.1	1.5	0.7	1.2	0.3	1.9	1.7	
1,750 to \$1,999	1.4	1.5	1.3	1.3	0.6	1.5	1.4	2.0	
2,000 to \$2,249	1.4	1.5	1.4	1.4	0.6	1.7	1.4	2.0	
2,250 to \$2,499 2,500 to \$2,749	1.2	1.1	1.2	2.1	1.2	2.4	0.6	1.0	1
2,750 to \$2,999	0.8	0.8	0.8	1.7	2.3	1.9	0.7	-	
3,000 to \$3,999	1.7	2.3	1.5	3.4	5.8	2.7	0.5	0.8	
4,000 to \$4,999	0.8	0.6	0.8	1.6.	1.7	1.5	0.2		1
5,000 or more	0.2	0.2		11	н —• -	1		0.3	i i

Table 4a.-- HECOME IN 1949 OF HELMARY FAMILIES IN SUBSTANDARD DWELLING UNITS, BY NUMBER OF MINORS, TENURE, AND COLOR, FOR AUGUSTA, GEORGIA: 1950—Con.

Family income by		Total			White			Nonwhite	
number of minors	Total	Owner	Renter	Total	Owner	Renter	Total	Owner	Renter
Three or four minors	15.0	14.1	15.3	14.1	16.8	13.4	15.6	12.6	16.9
\$999 or less	2.8	1.7	3,2	0.5	0.6	0.5	4.4	2.3	5.2
1,000 to \$1,249	1.1	1.1	1.1	0.5	1.2	0.3	1.4	1.0	1.6
1,250 to \$1,499	1.8	1.3	1.3	0.5	1.2	0.8	1.8	1.3	2.0
1,500 to \$1,749	1.8	1.3	2.0	1.1	0.6	1.2	2.4	1.7	2.
1,750 to \$1,999	1.2	1.5	1.1	0.8	1.7	0.5	1.4	1.3	1.5
2,000 to \$2,249	1.5	1.3	1.6	2.0	1.2	2.2	1.1	1.3	1.1
2,250 to \$2,499	0.6	0.6	0.5	0.7	1.2	0.5	0.5	0.8	0.5
2,500 to \$2,749	1.1	0.8	1.2	1.8	1.2	2.1	0.6	0.7	0.
2,750 to \$2,999	0.5	0.8	0.4	1.1	1.7	0.9	0.2	0.3	0
3,000 to \$3,999	1.7	2.5	1.5	2.9	4.0	2.6	1.0	1.7	0,
4,000 to \$4,999	0.8	0.2	0.4	0.7		0.9	0.1	0.3	7.
5,000 or more			0.5	1.3	1.7	1.2	. 0.1		
ot reported	0.5 0.6	0.6	0.6	0.3	0.6	0.2	0.8	0.3	0.
					·				
5 minors or more	6.4	6.1	6.5	3.0	3.5	2.9	8.6	7.6	9.
999 or less	1.4	1.5	1.4	0.3	0.6	. 0.2	2.2	2.0	2.5
1,000 to \$1,249	0.4		0.6	0.1	<u> </u>	0.2	0.7		0.
1,250 to \$1,499	0.5	0.4	0.5	_	_	_	0.9	0.7	0.
1,500 to \$1,749	0.6	_	0.8	0.1	_	0.2	0.9		1.
1,750 to \$1,999	0.7	0.6	0.7	0.3	_	0.3	1.0	1.0	0.
52,000 to \$2,249	0.6	0.4	0.7	0.4		0.5	0.8	0.7	0.
2,250 to \$2,499	0.4	0.8	0.2	0.4	1.2	0.2	0.4	0.7	0.
2,500 to \$2,749	0.3	0.4	0.3	U. =	1	0.2	0.6	0.7	0.
2,750 to \$2,999	0.2	-	0.2	_	_	_	0.3	· · ·	0.
3,000 to \$3,999	0.3	0.4	0.2	0.3	0.6	0.2	0.8	0.8	0.
4,000 to \$4,999			0.2	0.1	0.6	0.2	0.2	0.7	0.
5,000 or more	0.2	0.6	-		11				
Not reported	0.5	0.6	0.4	0.9	0.6	1.0	0.2	0.7	0.
ton reportedies as assessed as asses	0.3	0.2	0.4	0.1		0.2	0.5	0.3	0.

Table 5.--RENT AS PERCENT OF INCOME BY FAMILY INCOME IN 1949 OF PRIMARY FAMILIES WITH NO SUBFAMILY OR SECONDARY FAMILY IN RENTER-OCCUPIED SUBSTANDARD DWELLING UNITS, BY PRESENCE OF MINORS AND COLOR, FOR AUGUSTA, GEORGIA: 1950

τ

t P t t a b C

t

Manager and the second	* .	Total		-	White			Nonwhite	
kross rent as percent of income by family income	Total	No minors	With minors	Total	No minors	With minors	Total	No minors	With minors
Number of families	6,034	2,562	3,472	2,631	1,145	1,486	3,403	1,417	1,988
Percent of total	100.0	42.5	57.5	43.6	19.0	24.6	56.4	23.5	32,9
Total	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0
9 percent or less	20.9	22.3	19.9	25.1	25.4	24.9	17.6	19.7	16,2
10 percent to 14 percent	24.4	24.4	24.3	26.3	22.4	29.2	22.9	26.0	20.7
15 percent to 19 percent	16.3	14.4	17.7	17.4	12.5	21.3	15.5	16.0	15.1
20 percent to 24 percent	10.6	11.2	10.2	9.2	10.8	8.0	11.8	11.5	11.9
25 percent to 29 percent	4.2	3.8	4.4	4.3	5.2	3.7	4.0	2.6	5.0
30 percent to 34 percent	2.6	3.2	2.2	2.8	3.4	2.3	2.5	3,0	2.1
35 percent or more				9.0	14.2	5.0	14.7	11.2	17.2
ot reported	12.2 8.7	12.5 8.2	12.0 9.1	15.8	6.0	5.6	×11.0	10.0	11.7
to reported				3.0	0.0				
\$1,499 or less	34.0	37.6	31.4	18.2	27.2	11.3	46.3	46.1	46,4
9 percent or less	1.3	2.1	0.8	-	-	-	2.3	3.7	1.3
10 percent to 14 percent	4.0	4.5	3.6	1.7	1.7	1.7	5.7	6.7	5.0
15 percent to 19 percent	5.8	6.1	4.7	1.9	2.2	1.7	7.9	9.3	6.9
20 percent to 24 percent	6.6	7.5	6.0	3.0	4.7	1.7	9.4	9.7	9.
25 percent to 29 percent	2.9	2.6	3.2	1.7	2.6	1.0	3.9	2.6	9,1
30 percent to 34 percent	2.1	2.8	1.5	1.5	2.€	0.7	2.5	3,0	2.
35 percent or more	11.9	12.1	11.7	8.4	13.4	4.7	14.6	11.2	17.0
\$1,500 to \$1,999	18.7	19.8	17.9	14.6	18.1	12.0	21.8	21.2	82,
9 percent or less	3.0	3.2	2.9	1.1	2.2	0.3	4.5	4.1	4.
10 percent to 14 percent	7.2	8.5	6.3	3.8	4.3	3.3	9,9	11.9	8.
15 percent to 19 percent	4.7	4.0	5.2		11	3.7	5.3	3.7	5.
20 percent to 24 percent	2.3	2.6			4.3	2.0	1.9	1.5	2.
25 percent to 24 percent	0.8	1.0	2.1			1.3	0.2		0.
30 percent to 34 percent	0.4	0.4		1.7	2.2	1.0	0.2	_	
35 percent or more	0.3	0.2	0.4		0.9	0.3	0.2	_	ű.
				0.1		0.0			
\$2,000 to \$2,499	13.8	13.2	14.2	18.0	13.4	21.6	10.5	13.0	
9 percent or less	3.6	4.4	3.1	3.6	3.9	3.3	3.7	4.8	2.
10 percent to 14 percent	5.3	5.2	5.4	6.6	5.2	7.6	4.3	5.2	3.
15 percent to 19 percent	3.5	2.8	4.0	5.3	3.0	7.0	2.2	2.6	1
20 percent to 24 percent	0.7	0.4	1.0	1.3	0.4	2.0	0.3	0.4	0.
25 percent to 29 percent	0.3	0.2	0.4		0.4	1.0		-	
30 percent to 34 percent	0.2	-	0.8		-	0.7	_	-	1
35 percent or more	0.1	0.2	-	0.2	0.4	***	-	-	,
\$2,500 to \$2,999	10.1	8.5	11.8	15.6	12.5	17.9	5,9	5.2	6
9 percent or less	4.3	4.2	4.4	4.9	4.7	5.0	3.9	3.7	·\ 4.
10 percent to 14 percent		2.5			4.3	5.3	1.9	1.1	2
15 percent to 19 percent		1.0			1.7	6.0	0.2	0.4	1
20 percent to 24 percent		0.8			1.7	1.3	0.2	1	1.
25 percent to 29 percent			0.1		1.7	0.3	_	-	
30 percent to 34 percent		1 -	1		,_	0.5	_	_	
35 percent or more				- -	1	-		-	
\$3,000 or over	14.6	12.7	16.1	27.8	22.8	31.6	4.5	4.5	
9 percent or less								9.0	
10 percent to 14 percent		8.4			14.7	16.3	3.3	3.3	
	1	3.7		#1	6.9	11.3	1.1	1.1	
15 percent to 19 percent 20 percent to 24 percent		0.6		n	1.3	3.0		-	1
25 percent to 24 percent	0.3	_	0.0	11	_	1.0	0,2	-	1
30 percent to 29 percent	1		.	-∥ -	-	-	-	1	1
35 percent of more		-	•	-11 -	-	-	***	-	1
Porocito or more	-	-		- -	_	-	-	-	
Not reporting income or rent	8.7	8.2	9.	1 ¹ 5,8	6.0	5,6	211.0	10.0	

¹ Of the 5.8 percent, 2.4 represents families reporting zero income in 1949.

g Of the 11.0 percent, 4.0 represents families reporting zero income in 1949.

⁴⁷³⁸¹

1950 CENSUS OF HOUSING

SPECIAL TABULATIONS FOR LOCAL HOUSING AUTHORITIES.

November 16, 1950

Washington 25, D. C.

Series HC-6, No. 49

UNIONTOWN, PENNSYLVANIA: APRIL 1950

This report is based on a transcription and tabulation of data from the 1950 Census of Population and Housing. The statistics relate to dwelling units considered substandard by the Public Housing Administration, in accordance with the contract for these statistics between the Bureau of the Census and the Fayette County Housing Authority.

A dwelling unit is considered substandard by the Public Housing Administration if it is either dilapidated or does not have the following plumbing facilities: flush toilet and bath inside the structure for the unit's exclusive use, and hot running water. The Public Housing Administration considers the absence of hot running water to be evidence generally of poor quality of the dwelling unit, but there may be some localities in which the absence of hot running water is not deemed to be a sufficient indication by itself of poor quality. In such localities, a revised count of substandard units may be obtained from table 1 by subtracting from the total the units which lack hot water only. If it is desired to eliminate the units lacking hot water only from the total count of substandard units, the distributions shown in the tables will not be materially affected.

The criteria used to define substandard units in these tabulations are not identical with the housing characteristics included in the published reports from the 1940 Census. Therefore, any comparison of data in this report with the 1940 results must be made with extreme care. A direct comparison cannot be made with the 1940 results because information on hot running water was not collected at that time. Further, the 1940 data on condition were collected showing dwelling units "needing major repairs," whereas

in 1950 data on condition were collected showing units as "dilapidated." Because the definitions of these two terms differ significantly, the 1940 count of dwelling units needing major repairs and the 1950 count of dilapidated dwelling units are comparable only in a general way.

The data are presented in the series of attached tables. In table 1 the structural and occupancy characteristics are shown for all substandard dwelling units. Table 2 shows the rent paid for renter-occupied substandard units; table 3 shows the condition and plumbing facilities of these same units. Table 4 shows the characteristics of all families (both primary and secondary) living in the substandard units. Table 4a classifies the primary families by family income. Table 5 classifies primary families with no subfamily or secondary family present, by rent as a percent of family income.

In the contract rent tabulation, dwelling units occupied rent-free are tabulated in the "\$9 or less" category. In the gross rent tabulations, however, such units are tabulated as "not reporting," except when utilities were reported.

DEFINITIONS

The definitions used in this special tabulation are generally the same as those used in the 1950 Census of Population and Housing. Some of the more important definitions are given below.

Dwelling unit. -- In general, a dwelling unit is a group of rooms or a single room, occupied, or intended for occupancy, as separate living quarters by a family or other group of persons living together or by a person living alone.

Table 1.--STRUCTURAL AND OCCUPANCY CHARACTERISTICS, CONDITION, AND PLUMBING FACILITIES OF OCCUPIED SUBSTANDARD DWELLING UNIX,
BY TENURE AND COLOR OF OCCUPANTS, FOR UNIONTOWN, PENNSYLVANIA: 1950

(A substandard dwelling unit is defined by the Public Housing Administration as a unit which is either dilapidated or does not have all of the following plumbing facilities: flush toilet and bath inside the structure for the unit's exclusive use, and hot running water)

Obano at and at da		Total			White		N	Nonwhite	
Characteristic	Total	Owner	Renter	Total	Owner	Renter	Total	Owner	Renter
Total number occupied substandard									
dwelling units	1,175	307	868	1,007	261	746	168	46	12
Percent of total	100.0	26.1	73.9	85.7	22.2	63.5	14.3	3.9	10.
NUMBER OF ROOMS					ø				
Total	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	(1)	100
1 room	20.7	2.0 4.6	11.1 26.4	8.3 22.5	1.1 5.0	10.9 28.7	10.7 9.5		12. 12.
3 rooms	24.5	16.0	27.5	25.8	17.2	28.8	16.7	<u> </u>	19.
4 rooms	25.0	31.9	22.6	23.4	32.2	20.4 5.4	34.5		36
5 rooms	10.2	21.8 15.0	6.1 4.4	8.8 7.5	18.8	4.3	18.5		10
7 rooms	2.1	5.2	1.0	1.8	5.0	0.7	4.2	!	3
8 rooms or more	1.4	3.6	0.7	1.0	3.8	0.7	1.2		0
Not reported	0.2	. •	0.2	0.2	-	0.3	-		
CONDITION				ĺ					
Total	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	(1)	100
Not dilapidated	66.0 33.7	76.9 22.8	62.1 37.6	70.4	82.4 17.2	66.2 33.4	39.3 60.7		36 63
Not reported	0.3	0.3	0.3	29.2	0.4	0.4	-		0.3
					""				
WATER SUPPLY						ļ			
Total	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	(1)	10
Hot and cold piped running water inside structure.	68.1	66.1	68.8	73.5	71.6	74.1	35.7		31
Only cold piped running water inside structure No piped running water inside structure	30.1	33.6	28,9	24.8	28.0	23.7	61.9		60
Not reported	1.0	0.5	2.3	1.7	0.4	2.1	2.4		
TOILET FACILITIES									
Total	100.0	100.0	1.00.0	100 %	100.0	100.0	100.0	(¹)	100
Flush toilet inside structure, exclusive use	41.8	54.7	37.2	39.4	49.8	35.8	56.0		45
Flush toilet inside structure, shared	48.1	38.8	51.4	51.7	44.4	54.3	26.2]	32
Other toilet facilities (including privy)	9.8	5.9	11.2	8.4	5.0	9.7	17.9		20
to reported	0.3	0.7	0.2	0.4	0.8	0.3	-		
BATHING FACILITIES							ļ!		
Total				1 !		į	li li		
The state of the s	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	(¹)	100
Installed bathtub or shower inside structure.								(¹)	100
Installed bathtub or shower inside structure, exclusive use	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	(¹)	100 1/
Installed bathtub or shower inside structure, exclusive use	16.3 46.8							(1)	1.
Installed bathtub or shower inside structure, exclusive use	16.3 46.8 36.7	16.0 40.7 42.7	16.5	16.1 51.2 32.5	14.2 47.1 38.0	16.8	17.9	(1)	1.
Installed bathtub or shower inside structure, exclusive use	16.3 46.8	16.0 40.7	16.5 49.0	16.1 51.2	14.2	16.8 52.7	17.9 20.2	(1)	1.
Installed bathtub or shower inside structure, exclusive use	16.3 46.8 36.7	16.0 40.7 42.7	16.5 49.0	16.1 51.2 32.5	14.2 47.1 38.0	16.8 52.7	17.9 20.2	(1)	1.
Installed bathtub or shower inside structure, exclusive use	16.3 46.8 36.7	16.0 40.7 42.7	16.5 49.0	16.1 51.2 32.5	14.2 47.1 38.0	16.8 52.7	17.9 20.2	(¹)	
Installed bathtub or shower inside structure, exclusive use	16.3 46.8 36.7 0.2 100.0	16.0 40.7 42.7 0.7 100.0	16.5 49.0 34.6 - 100.0	16.1 51.2 32.5 0.2 100.0	14.2 47.1 38.0 0.8 100.0	16.8 52.7 30.6 - 100.0	17.9 20.2 61.9 - 100.0		1. 2. 5
Installed bathtub or shower inside structure, exclusive use Installed bathtub or shower inside structure, shared Other or none Installed bathtub or shower inside structure, shared Other or none Installed bathtub or shower inside structure, shared Installed bathtub or shower inside structure	16.3 46.8 36.7 0.2 100.0	16.0 40.7 42.7 0.7 100.0	16.5 49.0 34.6 - 100.0 20.6 29.6	16.1 51.2 32.5 0.2 100.0 20.2 30.1	14.2 47.1 38.0 0.8 100.0	16.8 52.7 30.6 - 100.0 20.4 31.8	17.9 20.2 61.9 - 100.0		1. 2. 5 10 2. 1
Installed bathtub or shower inside structure, exclusive use	16.3 46.8 36.7 0.2 100.0	16.0 40.7 42.7 0.7 100.0 18.6 27.0 17.6	16.5 49.0 34.6 - 100.0 20.6 29.6 21.7	16.1 51.2 32.5 0.2 100.0 20.2 30.1 20.6	14.2 47.1 38.0 0.8 100.0 19.5 25.3 19.2	16.8 52.7 30.6 - 100.0 20.4 31.8 21.0	17.9 20.2 61.9 - 100.0 19.6 22.0 20.8		1. 2. 5
Installed bathtub or shower inside structure, exclusive use	16.3 46.8 36.7 0.2 100.0 20.1 28.9 20.6 14.0 8.3	16.0 40.7 42.7 0.7 100.0 18.6 27.0 17.6 13.7 11.0	16.5 49.0 34.6 - 100.0 20.6 29.6	16.1 51.2 32.5 0.2 100.0 20.2 30.1	14.2 47.1 38.0 0.8 100.0	16.8 52.7 30.6 - 100.0 20.4 31.8	17.9 20.2 61.9 - 100.0		1. 2. 5 10 2. 1. 2.
Installed bathtub or shower inside structure, exclusive use	16.3 46.8 36.7 0.2 100.0 20.1 28.9 20.6 14.0 8.3 3.5	16.0 40.7 42.7 0.7 100.0 18.6 27.0 17.6 13.7 11.0 6.2	16.5 49.0 34.6 - 100.0 20.6 29.6 21.7 14.1 7.3 2.5	16.1 51.2 32.5 0.2 100.0 20.2 30.1 20.6 14.2 8.6 3.0	14.2 47.1 38.0 0.8 100.0 19.5 25.3 19.2 14.2	16.8 52.7 30.6 - 100.0 20.4 31.8 21.0 14.2	17.9 20.2 61.9 - 100.0 19.6 22.0 20.8 12.5		1. 22 5 10 2 1 2
Installed bathtub or shower inside structure, exclusive use	16.3 46.8 36.7 0.2 100.0 20.1 28.9 20.6 14.0 8.3 3.5 1.7	16.0 40.7 42.7 0.7 100.0 18.6 27.0 17.6 13.7 11.0 6.2 1.6	16.5 49.0 34.6 - 100.0 20.6 29.6 21.7 14.1 7.3 2.5 1.7	16.1 51.2 32.5 0.2 100.0 20.2 30.1 20.6 14.2 8.6 3.0 1.5	14.2 47.1 38.0 0.8 100.0 19.5 25.3 19.2 14.2 11.9 5.7	16.8 52.7 30.6 - 100.0 20.4 31.8 21.0 14.2 7.5 2.0 1.5	17.9 20.2 61.9 - 100.0 19.6 22.0 20.8 12.5 6.0 6.5 3.0		1. 2. 5 10 2. 1. 2. 1.
Installed bathtub or shower inside structure, exclusive use	16.3 46.8 36.7 0.2 100.0 20.1 28.9 20.6 14.0 8.3 3.5	16.0 40.7 42.7 0.7 100.0 18.6 27.0 17.6 13.7 11.0 6.2	16.5 49.0 34.6 - 100.0 20.6 29.6 21.7 14.1 7.3 2.5 1.7	16.1 51.2 32.5 0.2 100.0 20.2 30.1 20.6 14.2 8.6 3.0 1.5 0.9	14.2 47.1 38.0 0.8 100.0 19.5 25.3 19.2 14.2 11.9 5.7 1.5	16.8 52.7 30.6 - 100.0 20.4 31.8 21.0 14.2 7.5 2.0 1.5 0.9	17.9 20.2 61.9 - 100.0 19.6 22.0 20.8 12.5 6.0 6.5 3.0 4.8		10
Installed bathtub or shower inside structure, exclusive use	16.3 46.8 36.7 0.2 100.0 20.1 28.9 20.6 14.0 8.3 3.5 1.7	16.0 40.7 42.7 0.7 100.0 18.6 27.0 17.6 13.7 11.0 6.2 1.6 2.3	16.5 49.0 34.6 - 100.0 20.6 29.6 21.7 14.1 7.3 2.5 1.7	16.1 51.2 32.5 0.2 100.0 20.2 30.1 20.6 14.2 8.6 3.0 1.5	14.2 47.1 38.0 0.8 100.0 19.5 25.3 19.2 14.2 11.9 5.7	16.8 52.7 30.6 - 100.0 20.4 31.8 21.0 14.2 7.5 2.0 1.5	17.9 20.2 61.9 - 100.0 19.6 22.0 20.8 12.5 6.0 6.5 3.0		1. 2. 5 10 2. 1. 2. 1.
Installed bathtub or shower inside structure, exclusive use	16.3 46.8 36.7 0.2 100.0 20.1 28.9 20.6 14.0 8.3 3.5 1.7	16.0 40.7 42.7 0.7 100.0 18.6 27.0 17.6 13.7 11.0 6.2 1.6 2.3	16.5 49.0 34.6 - 100.0 20.6 29.6 21.7 14.1 7.3 2.5 1.7	16.1 51.2 32.5 0.2 100.0 20.2 30.1 20.6 14.2 8.6 3.0 1.5 0.9	14.2 47.1 38.0 0.8 100.0 19.5 25.3 19.2 14.9 5.7 1.5 0.8	16.8 52.7 30.6 - 100.0 20.4 31.8 21.0 1.5 2.0 1.5 0.9 0.7	17.9 20.2 61.9 - 100.0 19.6 22.0 20.8 12.5 6.0 6.5 3.0 4.8 4.8	(1)	1. 22 5 10 2 1 2
Installed bathtub or shower inside structure, exclusive use	16.3 46.8 36.7 0.2 100.0 20.1 28.9 20.6 14.0 3.5 1.7 1.4 1.5	16.0 40.7 42.7 0.7 100.0 18.6 27.0 17.6 13.7 11.0 6.2 1.6 2.3 2.0	16.5 49.0 34.6 - 100.0 20.6 29.6 21.7 1.3 2.5 1.7 1.2 1.4	16.1 51.2 32.5 0.2 100.0 20.2 30.1 20.6 14.2 8.6 3.0 1.5 0.9	14.2 47.1 38.0 0.8 100.0 19.5 25.3 19.2 14.2 11.9 5.7 1.5	16.8 52.7 30.6 - 100.0 20.4 31.8 21.0 14.2 7.5 2.0 1.5 0.9	17.9 20.2 61.9 - 100.0 19.6 22.0 20.8 12.5 6.0 6.5 3.0 4.8		100

¹ Percentage distribution is not shown where the number of cases is less than 100.

Table 3b.--Condition and plumbing facilities of Renter-Occupied substandard dwelling units, by gross rent, for nonwhite Households, for uniontown, pennsylvania: 1950

	* <i>X</i>				Monthly g	ross ren	t		
Condition and plumbing facilities	Total	\$19 or less	\$20 to \$24	\$25 to \$29	\$30 to \$34	\$35 to \$39	\$40 to \$49	\$50 or more	Not reported
Total number renter-occupied substandard dwelling units	122	38	13	27	25	10	4	_	5
Percent of total	100.0	31.1	10.7	22.1	20.5	8.2	3.3	-	4.1
Not dilapidated: With private bath and private flush toilet, no hot running water With private flush toilet, no private bath With running water, no private flush toilet No running water inside structure	2.5 15.6 18.9	3.3	1.6 3.3	1.6 4.9 2.5	6.6 4.9	1.6 3.3	0.8	-	1.6
Dilapidated: With private bath and private flush toilet, hot and cold running water	7.4 4.1 16.4 32.0 3.3	2.5 - 3.3 19.7 2.5	0.8 - 2.5 2.5	2.5 4.1 5.7 0.8	2.5 - 3.3 3.3	0.8 - 2.5 -	0.8	-	0.8 0.8 0.8
Not reporting condition or plumbing facilities		-	_	_	_	-		_	-

Table 4.--CHARACTERISTICS OF FAMILIES IN SUBSTANDARD DWELLING UNITS, BY TENURE AND COLOR, FOR UNIONTOWN, PENNSYLVANIA: 1950

		Total			White			Nonwhite	
Characteristic	Total	Owner	Renter	Total	Owner	Renter	Total	0wner	Renter
Total number of families	934	249	685	799	209	590	135	40	95
Percent of total	100.0	26.7	73.3	85.5	22.4	63.2	. 14.5	4.3	10.2
TYPE OF FAMILY									
Total	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	1.00.0	(·1)	(1)
Primary family	99.7 0.3	99.6 0.4	99.7	99.7 0.3	100.0	99.7 0.3	99.3 0.7		
NUMBER OF PERSONS IN FAMILY	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100,0	100.0	(¹)	(1)
2 persons. 3 persons. 4 persons. 5 persons. 7 persons. 8 persons or more.	38.9 25.5 17.1 9.3 3.9 1.9 3.4	36.1 21.7 16.1 13.3 6.4 1.6 4.8	39.9 26.9 17.5 7.9 2.9 2.0	39.7 25.7 17.9 9.9 3.3 1.6 2.0	34.0 23.9 17.2 14.4 6.2 1.4 2.9	41.7 26.3 18.1 8.3 2.2 1.7	34.1 24.4 12.6 5.9 7.4 3.7 11.9		
NUMBER OF PERSONS PER ROOM IN DWELLING UNIT			100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	(1)	(1)
Total	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0			21.5		
0.50 or less	16.3 23.3 28.4 20.0 7.9 3.9 0.2	32.5 24.1 20.9 15.7 4.8 2.0	10.4 23.1 31.1 21.6 9.1 4.5 0.3	15.4 24.4 29.0 19.9 7.8 3.3 0.3	30.6 28.2 21.1 14.8 3.8 1.4	10.0 23.1 31.9 21.7 9.2 3.9 0.3	17.0 24.4 20.7 8.9 7.4		
NUMBER OF MINORS IN FAMILY				100.0	100.0	700.0	100.0	(1)	(1)
Total No minors 1 minor 2 minors 3 minors 4 minors 5 minors 6 minors or more	100.0 43.4 24.3 17.0 8.2 3.5 1.3 2.2	100.0 48.2 18.9 16.5 8.0 4.0 2.0 2.4	100.0 41.6 26.3 17.2 8.3 3.4 1.0 2.2	100.0 44.2 24.5 17.9 8.1 3.0 0.6 1.6	100.0 48.8 18.7 17.2 8.6 3.8 0.5 2.4	42.5 26.6 18.1 8.0 2.7 0.7	38.5 23.0 11.9 8.9 6.7 5.2 5.9		

¹Percentage distribution is not shown where the number of cases is less than 100.

Table 3.--CONDITION AND PLUMBING FACILITIES OF RENTER-OCCUPIED SUBSTANDARD DWELLING UNITS, BY GROSS RENT, FOR UNIONTOWN, PENNSYLVANIA: 1950

			•		Monthly g	ross rent	t		
Condition and plumbing facilities	Total	\$19 or less	\$20 to \$24	\$25 to \$29	\$30 to \$34	\$35 to \$39	\$40 to \$49	\$50 or more	Not reported
Total number renter-occupied	949	165	100	135	151	79	115	70	31
substandard dwelling units	868	165	122		171			10	
Percent of total	100.0	19.0	14.1	15.6	17.4	9.1	13.2	8.1	3.6
Not dilapidated: With private bath and private flush toilet, no hot running water With private flush toilet, no private bath With running water, no private flush toilet No running water inside structure	2.0 14.1 45.5 0.3	0.3 2.0 7.3 0.2	0.3 1.3 6.3 0.1	0.7 3.1 5.8	3.7 7.5	1.0	0.5 1.8 7.1	0.1 0.9 4.5	0.2 2.1
Dilapidated: With private bath and private flush toilet, hot and cold running water	11.4 1.8 7.9 14.4 2.0	0.6 1.2 6.1 1.3	0.7 0.3 1.8 2.8 0.3	1.3 0.3 1.4 2.4 0.3	3.0 0.5 1.7 0.9	0.1	2.4 0.5 0.3 0.6	1.4 0.3 0.8	0.5 0.1 0.3 0.2
Not reporting condition or plumbing facilities	0.6	0.1	_	0.2	0.1	_	_	_	0.1

Table 3a.--CONDITION AND PLUMBING FACILITIES OF RENTER-OCCUPIED SUBSTANDARD DWELLING UNITS, BY GROSS RENT, FOR WHITE HOUSEHOLDS, FOR UNIONTOWN, PENNSYLVANIA: 1950

					Monthly (gross ren	t		
Condition and plumbing facilities	Total	\$19 or less	\$20 to \$24	\$25 to \$29	\$30 to \$34	\$35 to \$39	\$ 40 to \$ 49	\$50 or more	Not reported
. Total number renter-occupied	746	127	109	108	126	69	111	70	26
substandard dwelling units	740	121	109	100	120	69	7-1-1		20
Percent of total	100.0	17.0	14.6	14.5	16.9	9.2	14.9	9.4	3.5
Not dilapidated: With private bath and private flush toilet, no hot running water	49.9	0.4 2.3 7.9 0.3	0.4 1.2 6.8 0.1	0.5 2.8 6.3	3.2 7.9	0.9	0.4 2.0 8.3	0.1 1.1 5.2	0.3
Dilapidated: With private bath and private flush toilet, hot and cold running water	12.1	0.3	0.7	1.5	3.1	1.7	2.7	1.6	0.5
no hot running water	11.5	0.8 3.9 1.1	0.4 1.7 2.8 0.4	0.9 1.9 0.3	0.5 1.5 0.5	0.1 0.5 0.7	0.4 0.4 0.7	0.4	0.3
Not reporting condition or plumbing facilities.	0.7	0.1	-	0.3	0.1	_	_	_	0.1

Table 3b.--Condition and plumbing facilities of Renter-Occupied Substandard Dwelling Units, by GROSS Rent, FOR NONWHITE HOUSEHOLDS, FOR UNIONTOWN, PENNSYLVANIA: 1950

(See table 1 for the Public Housing Administration definition of substandard dwelling unit)

					Monthly g	ross rent	t		
Condition and plumbing facilities	Total	\$19 or less	\$20 to \$24	\$25 to \$29	\$30 to \$34	\$35 to \$39	\$40 to \$49	\$50 or more	Not reported
Total number renter-occupied substandard dwelling units	122	38	13	27	25	10	4	<u>.</u>	5
Percent of total	100.0	31.1	10.7	22.1	20.5	8.2	3.3	-	4.1
Not dilapidated: With private bath and private flush toilet, no hot running water With private flush toilet, no private bath With running water, no private flush toilet No running water inside structure	2.5 15.6 18.9	3.3	1.6 3.3	1.6 4.9 2.5	6.6 4.9	1.6 3.3	0.8	-	1.6
Dilapidated: With private bath and private flush toilet, hot and cold running water With private bath and private flush toilet, no hot running water With private flush toilet, no private bath With running water, no private flush toilet No running water inside structure		2.5 3.3 19.7 2.5	0.8 - 2.5 2.5	2.5 4.1 5.7 0.8	2.5 3.3 3.3	0.8 - 2.5	0.8	- - - -	0.8 0.8 0.8
Not reporting condition or plumbing facilities	-	-	-						

Table 4.--CHARACTERISTICS OF FAMILIES IN SUBSTANDARD DWELLING UNITS, BY TENURE AND COLOR, FOR UNIONTOWN, PENNSYLVANIA: 1950

		Total			White			Nonwhite	
Characteristic	Total	Owner	Renter	Total	Owner	Renter	Total	Owner	Renter
Total number of families	934	249	685	799	209	590	135	40	95
Percent of total	100.0	26.7	73.3	85.5	22.4	63.2	14.5	4.3	10.2
TYPE OF FAMILY									
Total	100.0	1.00.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	(·1)	(1)
Primary family	99•7 0•3	99.6 0.4	99.7 0.3	99.7 0.3	100.0	99.7 0.3	99.3 0.7		
NUMBER OF PERSONS IN FAMILY	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	(¹)	(1)
2 persons	38.9 25.5 17.1 9.3 3.9 1.9 3.4	36.1 21.7 16.1 13.3 6.4 1.6 4.8	39.9 26.9 17.5 7.9 2.9 2.0 2.9	39.7 25.7 17.9 9.9 3.3 1.6 2.0	34.0 23.9 17.2 14.4 6.2 1.4	41.7 26.3 18.1 8.3 2.2 1.7	34.1 24.4 12.6 5.9 7.4 3.7 11.9		
NUMBER OF PERSONS PER ROOM IN DWELLING UNIT	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	(1)	(1)
Total 0.50 or less 0.51 to 0.75 0.76 to 1.00 1.01 to 1.50 1.51 to 2.00 2.01 or more Not reported	16.3 23.3 28.4 20.0 7.9 3.9 0.2	32.5 24.1 20.9 15.7 4.8 2.0	10.4 23.1 31.1 21.6 9.1	15.4 24.4 29.0 19.9 7.8 3.3 0.3	30.6 28.2 21.1 14.8 3.8 1.4	23.1 31.9 21.7 9.2	21.5 17.0 24.4 20.7 8.9 7.4		
NUMBER OF MINORS IN FAMILY	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100-0	100.0	(¹)	(1)
Total No minors	43.4 24.3 17.0 8.2 3.5 1.3 2.2	48.2 18.9 16.5 8.0 4.0 2.0	41.6 26.3 17.2 8.3 3.4 1.0	44.2 24.5 17.9 8.1 3.0 0.6	48.8 18.7 17.2 8.6 3.8 0.5 2.4	26.6 18.1 8.0 2.7 0.7	38.5 23.0 11.9 8.9 6.7 5.2 5.9	/	

¹Percentage distribution is not shown where the number of cases is less than 100.

Table 5.--RENT AS PERCENT OF INCOME BY FAMILY INCOME IN 1949 OF PRIMARY FAMILIES WITH NO SUBFAMILY OR SECONDARY FAMILY, IN RENTER-OCCUPIED SUBSTANDARD DWELLING UNITS, BY PRESENCE OF MINORS AND COLOR, FOR UNIONTOWN, PENNSYLVANIA: 1950

One of the second second		Total			White			Nonwhite	
Gross rent as percent of income by family income	Total.	No minors	With minors	Total	No minors	With minors	Total	No minors	With minors
Number of families	646	265	381	562	232	330	84	33	51
Percent of total	100.0	41.0	59.0	87.0	35.9	51.1	13.0	5.1	7.9
	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	(¹)	100.0	(¹)	(¹)	(¹)
Total	100.0	100.0							
9 percent or less	10.4	16.6 23.1	6 .1 20.5	11.1 22.7		7.1 21.3			
15 percent to 19 percent	20.4	18.0	22.1	20.8		22.8			
20 percent to 24 percent	10.9	9.4	11.9	10.2		11.0			
25 percent to 29 percent 30 percent to 34 percent	6.6 5.3	4.1 4.9	8.4 5.6	6.0 5.6		7.9 5.5			
35 percent or more	11.0	7.8	13.3	10.2		12.6			
Not reported	13.7	16.0	12.1	² 13.4		11.8			
\$1, 499 or less	22.4	24.0	21.4	19.9		18.9.			
9 percent or less	1.1	2.7		0.9		- 			
10 percent to 14 percent 15 percent to 19 percent	0.6	1.4		0.5		_			
20 percent to 24 percent	0.6 2.5	3.7	1.6	2.3		1.6			
25 percent to 29 percent	3.8	2.1	5.0	2.8		3.9	,		
30 percent to 34 percent	4.1	4.9	3.5	4.2	1	3.1			
35 percent or more	9.8	7.8	11.2	8.8		10.2			•
\$1,500 to \$1,999	12.2	9.5	14.1	10.6		12.6			
9 percent or less	0.3	0.8	-			-		,	
10 percent to 14 percent	1.0	0.4	1.5	0.5		0.8			
15 percent to 19 percent 20 percent to 24 percent	4.8 3.7	6.1 2.3	3.9 4.6	4.6 2.8		3.9 3.1			
25 percent to 29 percent	0.4	2.5	0.7	0.5		0.8	-		
30 percent to 34 percent	0.8	-	1.4	0.9		1.6	İ		
35 percent or more	1.2	-	2.0	1.4		2.4			
\$2,000 to \$2,499	15.3	10.4	18.8	16.2		20.5			
9 percent or less	0.8	1.0	0.7	0.9		0:8			
10 percent to 14 percent	3.4	4.3	2.7	3.7		3.1			
15 percent to 19 percent	6.0	1.7	9.0	6.0		9.4			
20 percent to 24 percent 25 percent to 29 percent	2.7	1.4	3.7	2.8		3.9	,		
30 percent to 34 percent	0.4	2.0	2.0	2.3 0.5		0.8	1		
35 percent or more	_	_	_	0.2		0.0			
\$2,500 to \$2,999	17.0	0.0	10.6	10.0					
	11.2	9.2	12.6	12.0		13.4			:
9 percent or less	1.2	1.0	1.4	1.4		1.6		1	
15 percent to 19 percent	4.1	2.3 3.9	5.7 4.2	4.6 4.2		3.9		I	
20 percent to 24 percent	1.6	2.0	1.4	1.9		1.6		1	
25 percent to 29 percent	-	_	-	-					
30 percent to 34 percent 35 percent or more	_	-	-	-		-			
\$3,000 or over	25.1	30.9		27.8		22.4			
1			21.1	27.8		22.6			
9 percent or less 10 percent to 14 percent	7.0	11.2	4.1	7.9	1	4.7			
15 percent to 19 percent	12.3	14.8	10.6	13.4 5.6	1	11.0		1	
20 percent to 24 percent	0.4	-	0.7	0.5		0.8	-	Į.	
25 percent to 29 percent	0.4	-	0.7	0.5		0.8	1		
30 percent to 34 percent	-	-	-	-		-			1
35 percent or more	-	-	-	-		-			1
Not reporting income or rent	720	76.0	10.1	27.7	P .				
THE THEORE OF LEUE	13.7	16.0	12.1	² 13.4		11.8		li	1

¹percentage distribution is not shown where the number of cases in the sample is less than 100. ²Of the 13.4 percent 4.1 represents families reporting zero income in 1949.

⁴⁷¹⁵²

1950 CENSUS OF HOUSING

SPECIAL TABULATIONS FOR LOCAL HOUSING AUTHORITIES

November 16, 1950

Washington 25, D. C.

Series HC-6, No. 50

ASTORIA, OREGON: APRIL 1950

This report is based on a transcription and tabulation of data from the 1950 Census of Population and Housing. The statistics relate to dwelling units considered substandard by the Public Housing Administration, in accordance with the contract for these statistics between the Bureau of the Census and the Housing Authority of the City of Astoria.

A dwelling unit is considered substandard by the Public Housing Administration if it is either dilapidated or does not have the following plumbing facilities: flush toilet and bath inside the structure for the unit's exclusive The Public Housing use, and hot running water. Administration considers the absence of hot running water to be evidence generally of poor quality of the dwelling unit, but there may be some localities in which the absence of hot running water is not deemed to be a sufficient indication by itself of poor quality. localities, a revised count of substandard units may be obtained from table 1 by subtracting from the total the units which lack hot water only. If it is desired to eliminate the units lacking hot water only from the total count of substandard units, the distributions shown in the tables will not be materially affected.

The criteria used to define substandard units in these tabulations are not identical with the housing characteristics included in the published reports from the 1940 Census. Therefore, any comparison of data in this report with the 1940 results must be made with extreme care. A direct comparison cannot be made with the 1940 results because information on hot running water was not collected at that time. Further, the 1940 data on condition were collected showing dwelling units "needing major repairs," whereas

in 1950 data on condition were collected showing units as "dilapidated." Because the definitions of these two terms differ significantly, the 1940 count of dwelling units needing major repairs and the 1950 count of dilapidated dwelling units are comparable only in a general way.

The data are presented in the series of attached tables. In table 1 the structural and occupancy characteristics are shown for all substandard dwelling units. Table 2 shows the rent paid for renter-occupied substandard units; table 3 shows the condition and plumbing facilities of these same units. Table 4 shows the characteristics of all families (both primary and secondary) living in the substandard units. Table 4a classifies the primary families by family income. Table 5 classifies primary families with no subfamily or secondary family present, by rent as a percent of family income.

In the contract rent tabulation, dwelling units occupied rent-free are tabulated in the "\$9 or less" category. In the gross rent tabulations, however, such units are tabulated as "not reporting," except when utilities were reported.

DEFINITIONS

The definitions used in this special tabulation are generally the same as those used in the 1950 Census of Population and Housing. Some of the more important definitions are given below.

Dwelling unit. -- In general, a dwelling unit is a group of rooms or a single room, occupied, or intended for occupancy, as separate living quarters by a family or other group of persons living together or by a person living alone.

Primary family. -- A primary family consists of two or more persons including the head of the household and all (one or more) persons in the household who are related to the head by blood, marriage, or adoption. It may include a subfamily consisting of a married couple with or without children, or one parent with one or more children under 18 years of age, living in the household and related to but not including the head of the household or his wife. The subfamily is considered a part of the primary family for all tabulation purposes.

Secondary family. -- A secondary family consists of two or more persons, such as a lodger or servant and his relatives, living in a household and related to each other but not related to the household head. The secondary family is considered a separate family for tabulation purposes.

Minor. -- A minor is an unmarried person under 21 years of age other than the head of a family or his wife.

Dilapidation. -- A dwelling unit is considered to be dilapidated when it has serious deficiencies, is rundown or neglected, or is of inadequate original construction, so that it does not provide adequate shelter or protection against the elements or it endangers the safety of the occupants.

Plumbing facilities. -- The following are the three plumbing facilities tabulated:

- 1. Flush toilet inside the structure for the unit's exclusive use;
- 2. Installed bathtub or shower inside the structure for the unit's exclusive use; and
- 3. Hot and cold running water inside the structure.

Exclusive use. -- Equipment is for "exclusive use" if it is used only by the occupents of one dwelling unit.

Shared. -- Equipment is "shared" when occupants of two or more units use the same equipment.

Family income. -- Family income is the sum of the income received during 1949, by all members of the family. The following items were asked separately for the head of the family and for all other members of the family combined:

- 1. The amount of money wages or salary received in 1949;
- 2. The amount of net money income received from self-employment in 1949; and

3. The amount of other money income received in 1949, such as interest, dividends, veterans' allowances, pensions, or rents.

Gross rent. -- Monthly gross rent is contract rent plus the reported average monthly cost of water, electricity, gas, and other fuel paid for by the renter. If furniture is included in the contract rent, the reported estimated rent of the dwelling unit without furniture is used for the computation instead of the contract rent.

SOURCE AND RELIABILITY OF THE DATA

The data in tables 1 through 4 are based on complete counts, including all dwelling units and families with the specified characteristics. The distributions involving income in tables 4a and 5, however, were prepared from data collected on a sample basis. As part of the 1950 Census, family income data were obtained from about one-fifth of all families. For these tabulations, additional interviews were made to increase the income sample above the 20 percent level. This was accomplished by a subsequent field enumeration of a sample of families who were not in the original sample but were living in substandard dwelling units.

Although the figures shown in tables 1 through 4 are based on the same data as the forthcoming 1950 Census tabulations of these items, they may differ from those to be published as part of the Census. The present tables were obtained by tabulating directly the data as transcribed in the field offices of the Census Bureau and the figures, therefore, do not include all of the refinements that result from a careful examination of the schedules.

In addition, the data are subject to other biases due to errors of response or nonreporting which cannot be corrected in editing. Factors affecting the accuracy of enumeration include the respondent's knowledge of the facts and the ability of the enumerator to obtain accurate information on such items as income, rent, dilapidation and plumbing facilities. The regular 1950 tabulations are also subject to these biases.

Reliability of Estimates in Tables 4a and 5

Because of sampling variability, percentage distributions shown in table 4a and all figures in table 5 may differ from those that would have been obtained from a complete count. (The absolute figures in table 4a represent complete

counts and are not subject to sampling variations.) The reliability estimates which follow give approximate measures of the sampling errors to be expected in these sample data.

Reliability of the percentages in tables 4a and 5.--The allowance to be made for sampling variation in a percentage depends, in general, both on the value of the percentage and the size of the base on which it is determined. If two percentages are of the same magnitude, the one based on a large number of cases in the sample

is, in general, less variable than the one based on a small number of sample cases.

Estimates of reliability are shown in the following table for percentages with bases of primary families of the specified types. The chances are about 19 out of 20 that the differences due to sampling variability between the percentages obtained from the sample and the percentages which would have been obtained from a complete census would be less than the sampling errors shown below.

		Sampling variability if the base is—											
Percentage A. shown in table		y families in s dwelling units	substandard	All primary families with no subfamily or secondary family present, in sub- standard renter units									
	Total	Owner	Renter	Total	No minors	With minors							
0.5 1.0 2.0 3.0 4.0 5.0 10.0 15.0 20.0 25.0 30.0 40.0 50.0	0.7 1.0 1.4 1.7 2.0 2.2 3.0 3.6 4.0 4.4 4.6 4.9 5.0	(1)	0.9 1.2 1.7 2.1 2.4 2.7 3.7 4.4 4.9 5.3 5.6 6.0 6.1	0.9 1.2 1.7 2.1 2.4 2.7 3.7 4.4 4.9 5.3 5.7 6.1 6.2	(1)	(1)							

- Omitted because percentage distribution is not shown.

To illustrate, for a figure of 10 percent based on all primary families living in substandard renter dwelling units, the sampling variability is 3.7 percent; the chances are about 19 out of 20 that the percentage obtained by a complete census would have been between 6.3 percent and 13.7 percent.

Reliability of absolute figures in table 5.—
The approximate sampling variability of the absolute figures in table 5 is shown below. The chances are 19 out of 20 that the differences between the numbers shown in table 5 and the numbers that would have been obtained from a complete census would be less than the sampling errors which follow.

Classification	Absolute figure in table 5	Sampling variability
Total	287	4
No minors	157	18 18

Reliability of differences. The estimates of sampling variability shown in the above tables are not directly applicable to differences obtained by subtracting one figure from another. The sampling variability in an observed difference between two figures depends on the sampling variability of each of the figures and the correlation between them.

Table 1.--STRUCTURAL AND OCCUPANCY CHARACTERISTICS, CONDITION, AND PLUMBING FACILITIES OF OCCUPIED SUBSTANDARD DWELLING UNITS, BY TENURE, FOR ASTORIA, OREGON: 1950

(A substandard dwelling unit is defined by the Public Housing Administration as a unit which is either dilapidated or does not have all of the following plumbing facilities: flush toilet and bath inside the structure for the unit's exclusive use, and hot running water)

Characteristic	Total	Owner	Renter	Characteristic	Total	Owner	Renter
Total number occupied				NUMBER OF LODGERS			
substandard dwelling units	849	256	593				1
Percent of total	100.0	30.2	69.8	Total	100.0	100.0	100.0
NUMBER OF BOOKS	1						
NUMBER OF ROOMS Total	100.0	100.0	100.0	Nonel or more lodgers	95.8	93.8	96.6 3.4
1 room	14.4	7.4	17.4		ľ		
2 rooms	27.3	16.0	32.2			1	
3 rooms	23.8	18.0	26.3			ll	İ
4 rooms	15.4	18.4 15.6	14.2		100.0		
6 rooms		11.7	2.0	Total	100.0	100.0	100,0
7 rooms	2.8	7.0	1.0	Not dilapidated:			
8 rooms or more	2.4	5.9	0.8	With private bath and private flush			
Not reported	0.2	-	0.3	toilet, no hot running water	0.8	2.0	0.3
				With private flush toilet, no	0.0		0,,
CONDITION				private bath	17.7	24.6	14.7
Total	100.0	100.0	100.0	With running water, no private		00.0	
Not dilapidated	57.0	52.3	59.0	flush toilet	35.3	23.8	40.3
Dilapidated	42.3	46.9	40.3	No running water inside the structure	2.7	1.2	3,4
Not reported	0.7	0.8	0.7			ļ	
				With private bath and private flush			
WATER SUPPLY				toilet, hot and cold running water	18.5	28.9	14.0
Total	100.0	100.0	100.0	With private bath and private flush			
Hot and cold piped running water inside				toilet, no hot running water	-	-	-
structure	72.0	69.5	73.0	With private flush toilet, no			
Only cold piped running water inside	12.0	0,0	ان در	private bath	5.3	8.2	4.0
structure	21.9	23.4	21.2	flush toilet	15.2	4.3	19.9
No piped running water inside structure	6.0	7.0	5.6	No running water inside the structure	3.2	5.5	2.2
Not reported	0.1	-	0.2				
TOILET FACILITIES				Not reporting condition or plumbing facilities	1.3	1.6	1,2
Total	100.0	100.0	100.0			i l	
Flush toilet inside structure,				CONDITION BY MINDED OF DIMERING			
exclusive use	42.4 36.0	63.7 16.4	33.2 44.5	CONDITION BY NUMBER OF PLUMBING FACILITIES	1		
Other toilet facilities (including	20.0	10,7	444.0	·			
privy)	21.3	19.5	22.1	Total	100.0	1.00.0	100.0
Not reported	0.2	0.4	0.2		100.0	2.00.0	2,0010
BATHING FACILITIES			ļ	Not dilapidated:	İ	}	
			1	Lacking 1 facility	15.9	21.5	13.5
Total	100.0	100.0	100.0	Lacking 2 facilities	30.3	20.7	34.4
Installed bathtub or shower inside	l			Lacking 3 facilities	10.4	9.4	10.8
Installed bathtub or shower inside	21.1	32.8	16.0			ļ	
structure, shared	30.3	76.0	2.	With all facilities	18.5	28.9	14.0
Other or none	47.9	16.8 49.6	36.1	Lacking 1 facility	3.2	5.5	2.2
Not reported	0.7	0.8	47.2 0.7	Lacking 2 facilities	11.1	4.3	14.0
		3.5	5.7	Lacking 3 facilities	9.4	8.2	9.9
NUMBER OF PERSONS			.	Not reporting condition or plumbing	1	į	
Total	100.0	100.0	100.0	facilities	1.3	1.6	1.2
l person	43.5	32.8	48.1		-		
2 persons	29.9	32.8	28.7	NUMBER OF DWELLING UNITS IN STRUCTURE	1	l	
3 persons	13.9	16.8	12.6	OI DIRECTORE		ļ	
5 persons	7.5	9.0	6.9		1	- 1	
b persons	2.9	4.7	2.2	Total	100.0	100.0	100.0
7 persons	0.6	2.0	1.0	l desplian			
8 persons	0.2	0.4	0.2	1 dwelling unit	31.7	62.5	18.4
9 persons or more	0.1	-	0.2	2 to 4 dwelling units	40.4	33.6	43.3
			II		27.9	3.9	38.3

Table 2.--CONTRACT AND GROSS RENT OF RENTER-OCCUPIED SUBSTANDARD DWELLING UNITS, FOR ASTORIA, OREGON: 1950

(See table 1 for the Public Housing Administration definition of substandard dwelling unit)

Monthly contract rent	Total	Furniture in rent	Total	Monthly gross rent	Total
Total number renter-occupied substandard dwelling units. Total, percent. \$9 or less. \$10 to \$14. \$15 to \$19. \$20 to \$24. \$25 to \$29. \$30 to \$34. \$35 to \$39. \$40 to \$49. \$50 or more. Not reported.	593 100.0 23.6 9.1 13.3 14.8 15.2 12.0 6.6 3.5 0.8 1.0	rent. Furniture not included in contract rent. Not reported.	46.4	Total, percent. \$9 or less. \$10 to \$14. \$15 to \$19. \$20 to \$24. \$25 to \$29. \$30 to \$34. \$35 to \$39. \$40 to \$49. \$50 or more. Not reported.	8.1 7.3 10.5

Table 3.--CONDITION AND PLUMBING FACILITIES OF RENTER-OCCUPIED SUBSTANDARD DWELLING UNITS, BY GROSS RENT, FOR ASTORIA, OREGON: 1950

(See table 1 for the Public Housing Administration definition of substandard dwelling unit)

					Monthly	gross ren	t		
Condition and plumbing facilities	Total	\$19 or less	\$20 to \$24	\$25 to \$29	\$30 to \$34	\$35 to \$39	\$40 to \$49	\$50 or more	Not reported
Total number renter-occupied substandard dwelling units	593	153	101	73	52	50	52	28	84
Percent of total	100.0	25.8	17.0	12.3	8.8	8.4	8.8	4.7	14.2
Not dilapidated: With private bath and private flush toilet, no hot running water With private flush toilet, no private bath With running water, no private flush toilet No running water inside structure	0.3 14.7 40.3 3.4	4.6 10.1 1.2	2.5 7.8 0.2	1.7 7.8 0.2	1.3	1.2 2.5	0.3 1.7 3.0	0.7	1.7 3.9 1.9
Dilapidated: With private bath and private flush toilet, hot and cold running water With private bath and private flush toilet, no hot running water With private flush toilet, no private bath With running water, no private flush toilet No running water inside structure	4.0 19.9	1.2 1.2 6.6 0.7	1.3 0.5 4.2 0.3	0.7 - 0.7 1.2	1.9 - 0.7 0.3	2.2 - 0.7 1.5	3.0 0.3 0.3	3.3	0.3 - 5.1 1.2
Not reporting condition or plumbing facilities	1.2	0.3	0.2	0.2		0.3	_		0.2

Table 4.--CHARACTERISTICS OF FAMILIES IN SUBSTANDARD DWELLING UNITS BY TENURE, FOR ASTORIA, OREGON: 1950

(See table 1 for the Public housing Administration destination of Substandard dwelling data)											
Characteristic	Total	Owner	Renter	Characteristic	Total	Owner	Renter				
Total number of families Percent of total	461	165 35.8	296 64.2	NUMBER OF PERSONS PER ROOM IN DWELLING UNIT Total	100.0	100.0	100.0				
TYPE OF FAMILY	100.0	100.0	100.0	0.50 or less 0.51 to 0.75 0.76 to 1.00	22.1 35.1 26.5	38.8 29.1 20.6	12.8 38.5 29.7				
Primary family	98.9 1.1	100.0	98.3 1.7	1	8.9 5.4 2.0	4.2 4.2 3.0	11.5 6.1 1.4				
NUMBER OF PERSONS IN FAMILY	700.0	100.0	100.0	NUMBER OF MINORS IN FAMILY	100.0	100.0	100.0				
Total	100.0 54.2 24.1 13.2 4.8 2.4 0.7 0.7	100.0 50.9 24.8 12.1 6.7 3.0 1.8 0.6	100.0 56.1 23.6 13.9 3.7 2.0	Total No minors 1 minors 2 minors 3 minors 4 minors 5 minors 6 minors or more	55.1 24.7 12.4 5.0 1.7 0.7	55.8 22.4 10.9 6.1 2.4 1.8 0.6	54.7 26.0 13.2 4.4 1.4				

Table 4a.--INCOME IN 1949 OF PRIMARY FAMILIES IN SUBSTANDARD DWELLING UNITS, BY NUMBER OF MINORS AND TENURE, FOR ASTORIA, OREGON: 1950

(See table 1 for the Putlic Housing Administration definition of substandard dwelling unit)

Family income by number of minors	Total	Owner	Renter	Family income by number of minors	Total	0wner	Renter
Total number of primary families	456	165	291	Two minors	14.2		13.7
Percent of total	100.0	36.2	63.8	\$999 or less \$1,000 to \$1,249	1.0		0.7 1.4
Total	100.0	(¹)	100.0	\$1,250 to \$1,499 \$1,500 to \$1,749	0.5		1.4
\$999 or less \$1,000 to \$1,249 \$1,250 to \$1,499 \$1,500 to \$1,749 \$1,750 to \$1,999	10.6 3.2 4.3 4.7 3.8		6.5 4.3 2.9 5.8 3.6	\$1,750 to \$1,999 \$2,000 to \$2,249 \$2,250 to \$2,499 \$2,7500 to \$2,749 \$2,750 to \$2,999	1.0 0.9 0.5 0.5		1.4
\$2,000 to \$2,249. \$2,250 to \$2,799. \$2,500 to \$2,749. \$2,750 to \$2,749.	4.7 5.7 8.9 4.3		5.8 4.3 10.8 3.6	\$3,000 to \$3,999. \$4,000 to \$4,999. \$5,000 or more. Not reported.	3.3 2.4 1.0 1.4		2.2 0.7 2.2
\$3,000 to \$3,999. \$4,000 to \$4,999. 55,000 or more	26.9 10.8 5.2 7.0		27.3 11.5 5.0 8.6	Three or four minors	5.2		4.3
No minors	54.8		54.0	\$999 or less	0.5		
\$999 or less \$1,000 to \$1,249 \$1,250 to \$1,499 \$1,500 to \$1,749	7.7 2.3 1.5 3.2		4.3 2.9 - 4.3	\$1,250 to \$1,499 \$1,500 to \$1,749 \$1,750 to \$1,999	0.5		-
\$1,750 to \$1,999 \$2,000 to \$2,249 \$2,250 to \$2,499 \$2,500 to \$2,749	1.4 2.4 3.3 3.7		2.2 2.9 4.3	\$2,000 to \$2,249	0.5 0.5 0.5		0.7 0.7 0.7
\$2,750 to \$2,999 \$3,000 to \$3,999 \$4,000 to \$4,999 \$5,000 or more.	1.4 16.5 5.2 2.4		1.4 17.3 5.8 2.2	\$3,000 to \$3,999. \$4,000 to \$4,999. \$5,000 or more. Not reported.	1.4 0.5 0.9		0.7
Not reported	3.7		4.3	5 minors or more	0.5		_
One minor \$999 or less. \$1,000 to \$1,249. \$1,250 to \$1,499. \$1,500 to \$1,749. \$1,750 to \$1,999.	25.3 1.4 - 1.8 0.5 1.4		28.1 1.4 - 2.9 - 1.4	\$999 or less \$1,000 to \$1,249 \$1,250 to \$1,499 \$1,500 to \$1,749. \$1,750 to \$1,999	1		-
\$2,000 to \$2,249. \$2,250 to \$2,499. \$2,500 to \$2,749. \$2,750 to \$2,999.	0.9 1.0 4.7 2.4		1.4 0.7 5.8 2.2	\$2,000 to \$2,249 \$2,250 to \$2,499 \$2,500 to \$2,749 \$2,750 to \$2,999	0.5		-
\$3,000 to \$3,999. \$4,000 to \$4,999. \$5,000 or more. Not reported.	5.7 2.8 1.0 1.9		5.8 3.6 0.7 2.2	\$3,000 to \$3,999. \$4,000 to \$4,999 \$5,000 or more Not reported.	- I		

 $^{^{1}}$ Percentage distribution is not shown where the number of cases in the sample is less than 100.

Table 5.--RENT AS PERCENT OF INCOME BY FAMILY INCOME IN 1949 OF PRIMARY FAMILIES WITH NO SUBFAMILY OR SECONDARY FAMILY IN RENTER-OCCUPIED SUBSTANDARD DWELLING UNITS, BY PRESENCE OF MINORS, FOR ASTORIA, OREGON: 1950

Gross rent as percent of income by family income	Total	No minors	With minors	Gross rent as percent of income by family income	Total	No minors	With minors
Number of families	287	1.57	130	\$2,000 to \$2,499	8.8		
Percent of total	100.0	54.7	45,3	9 percent or less	1.5		
Total	100.0	(¹)	(¹)	10 percent to 14 percent 15 percent to 19 percent	2.9 0.7		
9 percent or less	19.7 33.6			20 percent to 24 percent 25 percent to 29 percent	1.5 1.5		
15 percent to 19 percent 20 percent to 24 percent	12.4	į		30 percent to 34 percent 35 percent or more	0.7		
25 percent to 29 percent 30 percent to 34 percent	4.4		·	\$2,500 to \$2,999	13.9		
35 percent or more Not reported	5.8 14.6			9 percent or less	2.2 7.3		
\$1,499 or less	13.9			10 percent to 14 percent	1.5		
9 percent or less	2.2			20 percent to 24 percent 25 percent to 29 percent	2.9		
10 percent to 14 percent 15 percent to 19 percent	2.9			30 percent to 34 percent	-		
20 percent to 24 percent	0.7			35 percent or more			
25 percent to 29 percent 30 percent to 34 percent	2.9			\$3,000 or over	40.9		
35 percent or more	5.1			9 percent or less	13.1		
\$1,500 to \$1,999	8.0			10 percent to 14 percent	21.1		
9 percent or less	0.7			15 percent to 19 percent 20 percent to 24 percent	5.1 1.5		
10 percent to 14 percent 15 percent to 19 percent	2.2			25 percent to 29 percent 30 percent to 34 percent	-		
20 percent to 24 percent 25 percent to 29 percent	1.5			35 percent or more	-		
30 percent to 34 percent 35 percent or more	1.5			Not reporting income or rent	14.6		

¹⁻Percentage distribution is not shown where the number of cases in the sample is less than 100.

1950 CENSUS OF HOUSING

SPECIAL TABULATIONS FOR LOCAL HOUSING AUTHORITIES

November 16, 1950

Washington 25, D. C.

Series HC-6, No. 51

GOLDSBORO, NORTH CAROLINA: APRIL 1950

This report is based on a transcription and tabulation of data from the 1950 Census of Population and Housing. The statistics relate to dwelling units considered substandard by the Public Housing Administration, in accordance with the contract for these statistics between the Bureau of the Census and the Housing authority of the City of Goldsboro.

A dwelling unit is considered substandard by the Public Housing Administration if it is either dilapidated or does not have the following plumbing facilities: flush toilet and bath inside the structure for the unit's exclusive use, and hot running water. The Public Housing Administration considers the absence of hot running water to be evidence generally of poor quality of the dwelling unit, but there may be some localities in which the absence of hot running water is not deemed to be a sufficient indication by itself of poor quality. In such localities, a revised count of substandard units may be obtained from table 1 by subtracting from the total the units which lack hot water only. If it is desired to eliminate the units lacking hot water only from the total count of substandard units, the distributions shown in the tables will not be materially affected.

The criteria used to define substandard units in these tabulations are not identical with the housing characteristics included in the published reports from the 1940 Census. Therefore, any comparison of data in this report with the 1940 results must be made with extreme care. A direct comparison cannot be made with the 1940 results because information on hot running water was not collected at that time. Further, the 1940 data on condition were collected showing dwelling units "needing major repairs," whereas

in 1950 data on condition were collected showing units as "dilapidated." Because the definitions of these two terms differ significantly, the 1940 count of dwelling units needing major repairs and the 1950 count of dilapidated dwelling units are comparable only in a general way.

The data are presented in the series of attached tables. In table 1 the structural and occupancy characteristics are shown for all substandard dwelling units. Table 2 shows the rent paid for renter-occupied substandard units; table 3 shows the condition and plumbing facilities of these same units. Table 4 shows the characteristics of all families (both primary and secondary) living in the substandard units. Table 4a classifies the primary families by family income. Table 5 classifies primary families with no subfamily or secondary family present, by rent as a percent of family income.

In the contract rent tabulation, dwelling units occupied rent-free are tabulated in the "\$9 or less" category. In the gross rent tabulations, however, such units are tabulated as "not reporting," except when utilities were reported.

DEFINITIONS

The definitions used in this special tabulation are generally the same as those used in the 1950 Census of Population and Housing. Some of the more important definitions are given below.

Dwelling unit. -- In general, a dwelling unit is a group of rooms or a single room, occupied, or intended for occupancy, as separate living quarters by a family or other group of persons living together or by a person living alone.

Frimary family. -- A primary family consists of two or more persons including the head of the household and all (one or more) persons in the mousehold who are related to the head by blood, marriage, or adoption. It may include a subfamily consisting of a married couple with or without children, or one parent with one or more children under 18 years of age, living in the household and related to but not including the head of the household or his wife. The subfamily is considered a part of the primary family for all tabulation purposes.

secondary family. -- A secondary family consists of two or more persons, such as a lodger or servant and his relatives, living in a house-nold and related to each other but not related to the nousehold head. The secondary family is considered a separate family for tabulation purposes.

Minor. -- A dinor is an unmarried person under all years of age other than the head of a family or his wife.

Dilapidation. — A dwelling unit is considered to be dilapidated when it has serious deficiencies, is rundown or neglected, or is of inadequate original construction, so that it does not provide adequate shelter or protection against the elements or it endangers the safety of the occupants.

Plumbing facilities. -- The following are the three plumbing facilities tabulated:

- 1. Flush toilet inside the structure for the unit's exclusive use;
- 2. Installed bathtub or shower inside the structure for the unit's exclusive use; and
- 3. hot and cold running water inside the

Exclusive use. -- Equipment is for "exclusive use" if it is used only by the occupants of one dwelling unit.

Shared .-- Equipment is "shared" when occupants of two or more units use the same equipment.

Family income. Family income is the sum of the income received during 1949, by all members of the family. The following items were asked separately for the head of the family and for all other members of the family combined:

- I. The amount of money wages or salary received in 1949;
- 2. The amount of net money income received from self-employment in 1949; and

3. The amount of other money income received in 1949, such as interest, dividents, veterans' allowances, pensions, or rents.

Gross rent.--Monthly gross rent is contract rent plus the reported average monthly cost of water, electricity, gas, and other fuel path for by the renter. If furniture is included in the contract rent, the reported estimated rest of the dwelling unit without furniture is used for the computation instead of the contract rent.

SOURCE AND RELIABILITY OF THE DATA

The data in tables 1 through 4 are based and complete counts, including all dwelling units and families with the specified characteristics. The distributions involving income in tables and 5, however, were prepared from data collected on a sample basis. As part of the 1950 Census, family income data were obtained from about one-fifth of all families. For these tabulations, additional interviews were made to increase the income sample above the 20 percent level. This was accomplished by a subsequent field enumeration of a sample of families who were not in the original sample but were living in substandard dwelling units.

Although the figures shown in tables 1 through 4 are based on the same data as the forthcoming 1950 Census tabulations of these items, they may differ from those to be published as part of the Census. The present tables were obtained by tabulating directly the data as transcribed in the field offices of the Census Bureau and the figures therefore do not include all of the refinements that result from a careful examination of the schedules.

In addition, the data are subject to other biases due to errors of response or nonreporting which cannot be corrected in editing. Factors affecting the accuracy of enumeration include the respondent's knowledge of the facts and the ability of the enumerator to obtain accurate information on such items as income, rent, dilapidation and plumbing facilities. The regular 1950 tabulations are also subject to these biases.

Reliability of Estimates in Tables 4a and 5

Because of sampling variability, percentage distributions shown in table 4a and all figures in table 5 may differ from those that would have been obtained from a complete count. (The absolute figures in table 4a represent complete

counts and are not subject to sampling variations.) The reliability estimates which follow give approximate measures of the sampling errors to be expected in these sample data.

Reliability of the percentages in tables 4a and 5.—The allowance to be made for sampling variation in a percentage depends, in general, both on the value of the percentage and the size of the base on which it is determined. If two percentages are of the same magnitude, the one based on a large number of cases in the sample

is, in general, less variable than the one based on a small number of sample cases.

Estimates of reliability are shown in the following table for percentages with bases of primary families of the specified types. The chances are about 19 out of 20 that the differences due to sampling variability between the percentages obtained from the sample and the percentages which would have been obtained from a complete census would be less than the sampling errors shown below.

			5ampl	ing variabili	ty if the base	e is—						
Percentage shown	All I	orimary famili dwelling		dard	All primary families with no subfamily or seconda family present, in substandard renter units							
in table	White Nonwhite		hite	Wha	ite	Nonwhite						
	Owner	Renter	Owner	Renter	No minors	With minors	No minors	With minors				
0.5 1.0 2.0 3.0 4.0 5.0 10.0 15.0 20.0 25.0	(¹)	0.7 1.0 1.3 1.6 1.9 2.1 2.9 3.4 3.8 4.2 4.4	1.2 1.6 2.3 2.8 3.2 3.6 4.9 5.9 6.6 7.1	0.7 1.0 1.4 1.7 1.9 2.2 3.0 3.5 4.0	(1)	0.8 1.2 1.6 2.0 2.3 2.5 3.5 4.2 4.7 5.1	(1)	0.9 1.3 1.9 2.3 2.6 2.9 4.0 4.8 5.4 5.8 6.1				
40.0 50.0		4.7 4.8	8.0 8.2	4.9 5.0		5.7 5.8		6.6 6.7				

Omitted because percentage distribution is not shown. To illustrate, for a figure of 10 percent based on white primary families living in substandard renter dwelling units, the sampling variability is 2.9 percent; the chances are about 19 out of 20 that the percentage obtained by a complete census would have been between 7.1 percent and 12.9 percent.

The sampling variability of a specified percentage of total primary families with designated characteristics will vary according to the proportion of white families and nonwhite families making up this percentage. For example, consider the sampling variability of a figure of 10 percent based on total primary families. The maximum sampling error to be expected of such a figure would occur when the entire 10 percent includes only nonwhite primary families and the chances are about 19 out of 20 that this sampling error would not exceed 2,0 percent. minimum sampling error would occur when the entire 10 percent includes only white primary families and the chances are 19 out of 20 that this sampling error would not exceed 1.3 per-For other specific characteristics composed of 10 percent of total primary families the sampling variability may assume any value between these two figures.

Reliability of absolute figures in table 5. --The approximate sampling variability of the absolute figures in table 5 is shown below. The chances are 19 out of 20 that differences between the numbers shown in table 5 and the numbers that would have been obtained from a complete census would be less than the sampling errors which follow.

Classification	Sampling variability of absolute figures in table 5							
	Total	White	Nonwhite					
Total	53	19	49					
No minors	74	34	65					
With minors	79	37	70					

Reliability of differences.—The estimates of sampling variability shown in the above tables are not directly applicable to differences obtained by subtracting one figure from another. The sampling variability in an observed difference between two figures depends on the sampling variability of each of the figures and the correlation between them.

Table 1.--STRUCTURAL AND OCCUPANCY CHARACTERISTICS, CONDITION, AND PLUMBING FACILITIES OF OCCUPIED SUBSTANDARD DWELLING UNITS, BY TENURE AND COLOR OF OCCUPANTS, FOR GOLDSBORO, NORTH CAROLINA: 1950

(A substandard dwelling unit is defined by the Public Housing Administration as a unit which is either dilapidated or does not have all of the following plumbing facilities: flush toilet and bath inside the structure for the unit's exclusive use, and hot running water)

		Total			White		. 1	lonwhite	
Characteristic	Total	Owner	Renter	Total	Owner	Renter	Total	Owner	Renter
Total number occupied substandard									
dwelling units	3,355	845	2,510	1,174	298	876	2,181	547	1,634
Percent of total	100.0	25.2	74.8	35.0	8.9	26.1	65.0	16.3	48.7
NUMBER OF ROOMS	İ								
Total	100.0	100.0	.100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100,0
1 room	2.1	1.1	2.5	2.0	2.0	1.9	2.2	0.5	2.6
2 rooms	9,3 26.3	3.8	11.2 31.7	13.8 23.4	6.0 13.1	16.4 26.9	6.9 27.9	2.6 9.0	8.3 84.2
4 rooms	33.5	28.6	35.1	26.9	21.8	28.7	37.0	32.4	88.6
5 rooms	14.8	24.5	11.6	16.6	22.5	14.6	13.8	25.6	9,9
6 rooms	9.1 2.5	20.0 6.9	5.5 1.1	12.3	22.8	8.7 1.5	7.4	18.5 6.4	3.7 0.9
8 rooms or more	1.6	4.6	0.6	1.3	4.0	0.3	1.9	4.9	0.7
Not reported	0.7	0.1	0.8	0.7	-	0.9	0.7	0.2	0,9
CONDITION									
Total	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100,0
Not dilapidated	53.3	71.7	47.1	69.8	76.8	67.4	44.4	68.9	36.2
Dilapidated	45.6	27.8	51.6	28.7	22.1	30.9	54.7	30.9	62.6
Not reported	1.2	0.5	1.4	1.5	1.0	1.7	1.0	0.2	1.2
WATER SUPPLY		Ì							
Total	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0
Hot and cold piped running water inside structure	6.0	7.8	5.4	13.7	14.8	13.4	1.8	4.0	1,1
Only cold piped running water inside structure	66.9	67.5	66.7	72.6	67.4	74.3	63.9	67.5	62,7
No piped running water inside structure Not reported	26.9	24.6 0.1	27.7	13.7	17.8	12.3	34.1	28.3	36.0 0.2
TOILET FACILITIES	,								
Total	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0
Flush toilet inside structure, exclusive use		58.2	46.2	56.5	59.1	55.6	45.3	57.8	41.2
Flush toilet inside structure, shared	11.9	7.5	13.4	25.6	18.1	28.1	4.6	1.6	5,6
Other toilet facilities (including privy)	38.7	34.3	40.2	17.8	22.8	16.1	50.0	40.6	53.1
Not reported	0.1	-	0.2	0.2	-	0.2	0.1	-	0.1
BATHING FACILITIES									
Total	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0
Installed bathtub or shower inside structure,	-					4.5	7.0 -	60.0	
exclusive use	28.1	31.6	20.3	42.4	45.6	41.3	12.7	23.9	9,0
shared	9.2	6.6	10.0	23.5	17.8	25.5	1.5	0.5	1.8
Other or none	67.3	61.8	69.2	33.9	36.6	33.0	85.3	75.5	88.6
Not reported	0.4	- .	0.5	0.2	-	0.2	0.5	-	0,6
number of persons							<i>2</i>		
Total	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0
l person	7.5	7.9	7.3	7.2	11.4	5.7	7.7	6.0	8.5
2 persons	28.2	24.5	22.7	22.4 22.5	24.8 17.8	21.6	23.6 19.6	24.3	29.
4 persons	16.8	15.7	17.2	19.4	17.8	20.2	15.4	15.0	15.
5 persons	11.6	11.4	11.7	12.9	11.1	13.6	10.9	11.5	10.
persons	8.0	8.2	7.9	7.4	8.7	7.0	8.3	7.9	8.
persons	2.7	4.9 2.6	5.6 2.8	1.8	5.0 2.0	4.7 1.7	5.8 3.3	4.8 2.9	3.
9 persons or more	4.1	4.6	4.0	1.6	2.0	1.5	5.5	6.0	5.
NUMBER OF LODGERS									
Total	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100
Ione	87.3	87.2	87.3		93.3	93.8	83.9	83.9	83.
l or more lodgers	12.7	12.8	12.7	6.3	6.7	6.2	16.1	16.1	16.

Table 1.--STRUCTURAL AND OCCUPANCY CHARACTERISTICS, CONDITION, AND PLYMBING FACILITIES OF OCCUPIED SUBSTANDARD DWELLING UNITS, BY TENURE AND COLOR OF OCCUPANTS, FOR GOLDSECRO, NORTH CAROLINA: 1950—Con.

⁽A substandard dwelling unit is defined by the Public Housing Administration as a unit which is either dilapidated or does not have all of the following plumbing facilities: flush toilet and bath inside the structure for the unit's exclusive use, and hot running water)

Characteristic		Total			White		N	onwhite	
onar ac ter is tile	Total.	Owner	Renter	Total	Owner	Renter	Total	Owner	Renter
CONDITION AND PLUMBING FACILITIES									
Total	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0
Not dilapidated: With private bath and private flush toilet, no hot running water	16.8 14.7 12.5	22.4 19.8 14.0	14.3 13.0 12.0	31.9 8.8 23.4	33.6 10.1 20.8	31.3 8.3 24.3	8.0 17.9 6.6	16.3 25.0 10.2	5.2 15.5 5.3
No running water inside the structure	9,6	15.5	7.6	5,5	12.4	3.2	11.7	17.2	9.9
Dilapidated: With private bath and private flush toilet, hot and cold running water With private bath and private flush toilet,	1.1	2.4	0.7	2.5	4.0.	1.9	0.4	1.5	0.1
no hot running water With private flush toilet, no private bath With running water, no private flush toilet No running water inside the structure	4.3 12.0 10.5 17.3	5.4 8.0 2.8 9.1	3.9 13.3 13.0 20.1	6.5 6.0 5.6 8.2	6.4 4.7 1.7 5.4	6.5 6.4 7.0 9.1	3.2 15.2 13.1 22.2	4.9 9.9 3.5 11.2	2.6 17.0 16.3 25.9
Not reporting condition or plumbing facilities	1.7	0.6	2.1	1.7	1.0	1.9	1.7	0.4	2.1
CONDITION BY NUMBER OF PLUMBING FACILITIES									
Total	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0
Not dilapidated: Lacking 1 facility Lacking 2 facilities Lacking 3 facilities	17.2 18.1 17.8	24.3 21.8 25.6	14.8 16.8 15.2	33.0 17.8 18.7	35.2 17.4 24.2	32.3 17.9 16.9	8.7 18.2 17.3	18.3 24.1 26.3	5.4 16.2 14.3
Dilapidated: With all facilities. Lacking 1 facility. Lacking 2 facilities. Lacking 3 facilities.	1.1 · 4.5 12.4 27.2	2.4 5.8 8.2 11.5	0.7 4.1 13.8 32.5	2.5 6.7 6.1 13.4	4.0 7.0 4.4 6.7	1.9 6.6 6.7 15.6	0.4 3.3 15.7 34.6	1.5 5.1 10.2 14.1	0.1 2.8 17.6 41.5
Not reporting condition or plumbing facilities	1.7	0.6	2.1	1.7	1.0	1.9	1.7	0.4	2.1
NUMBER OF DWELLING UNITS IN STRUCTURE				:					
Total	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100,0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0
1 dwelling unit	75.9 23.1 1.0	88.0 11.7 0.2	71.8 26.9 1.3	56.6 41.6 1.7	73.8 25.5 0.8	50.8 47.1 2.1	86.3 13.1 0.6	95.8 4.2	83.1 16.0 0.9

Table 2.--CONTRACT AND GROSS RENT OF RENTER-OGCUPIED SUBSTANDARD DWELLING UNITS, BY COLOR OF OCCUPANTS, FOR GOLDSBORO, NORTH CAROLINA: 1950

(See table 1 for the Public Housing Administration definition of substandard dwelling unit)

Monthly rent	Total	White	Nonwhite	Monthly rent	Total	White	Nonwhite
Total number renter-occupied substandard dwelling units	2,510	876	1,634	FURNITURE IN RENT	3	100,0	100.0
Percent of total MONTHLY CONTRACT RENT	100.0	34.9	65.1	Furniture included in contract rent Furniture not included in contract rent Not reported	1.8 95.1 3.0	4.1 91.8 4.1	96.9 2.4
Total	100.0	100.0	100.0	MONTHLY GROSS RENT	100.0	100.0	100.0
\$9 or less	37.5 14.1 11.6	17.6 20.2 21.1	48.1 10.9 6.4	\$9 or less. \$10 to \$14. \$15 to \$19.	9.7 22.3	1.9 4.8 11.9 18.0	2.8 12.3 27.9 26.1
\$25 to \$29 \$30 to \$34	3.3	8.3 7.3 3.7	1.9 1.2 0.4	\$20 to \$24	16.9 11.2 5.2	19.4 16.7 9.5	15. 8.: 2.
\$35 to \$39 \$40 to \$49 \$50 or more	1.1	2.7 0.3 1.0	0.2	\$40 to \$49 \$50 or more Not reported	1.8	10.6 4.1 3.1	1. 0. 1.

Table 3.--CONDITION AND PLUMBING FACILITIES OF RENTER-OCCUPIED SUBSTANDARD DWELLING UNITS, BY GROSS RENT, FOR GOLDSBORO, NORTH CAROLINA: 1950

					Monthly g	gross ren	t		
Condition and plumbing facilities	Total	\$19 or less	\$20 to \$24	\$25 to \$29	\$30 to \$34	\$35 to \$39	\$40 to \$49	\$50 or more	Not reported
Total number renter-occupied									
substandard dwelling units	2,510	866	585	424	281	130	122	44	58
Percent of total	100.0	34.5	23.3	16.9	11.2	5.2	4.9	1.8	2.3
Not dilapidated:									
With private bath and private flush toilet, no hot running water	14.8	1.5	2.2	2.4	2.8	1.8	2.4	0.7	
with private flush toilet, no private bath	13.0	4.5	3.6	2.2	1.4	0.5	0.3	0.3	0.5
With running water, no private flush toilet	12.0	2.7	2.2	2.1	1.9	1.0	1.2	0.4	0.4
No running water inside structure	7.6	3.8	1.9	1.0	0.4	0.2	0.1	-	0.2
Dilapidated:	- 1								
With private bath and private flush toilet, hot and cold running water	0.7	(¹)		0.1	0.1	0.1	0.2	0.2	(1)
with private bath and private flush toilet.			[}			' '
no hot running water	3.9 13.3	0.4	1.0	0.7	0.9	0.6	0.3	(1)	-
with running water, no private flush toilet	13.0	4.7 6.6	4.0 3.3	2.7	1.3	0.3	.0.1	(¹)	0.2
No running water inside structure	20.1	9.2	5.0	3.5	0.7 1.5	0.3	0.2	0.1	0.2 0.5
Not reporting condition or plumbing facilities	2.1	1.0	0.2	0.4	0.2	0.1	(¹)	_	0.1

¹ Less than 0.05 percent.

Table 3a.--CONDITION AND PLUMBING FACILITIES OF RENTER-OCCUPIED SUBSTANDARD DWELLING UNITS, BY GROSS RENT, FOR WHITE HOUSEHOLDS, FOR GOLDSBORO, NORTH CAROLINA: 1950

Condition	-				Monthly	gross ren	ıt		
Condition and plumbing facilities	Total	\$19 or less	\$20 to \$24	\$25 to \$29	\$30 to \$34	\$35 to . \$39	\$40 to \$49	\$50 or more	Not reported
Total number renter-occupied substandard dwelling units	876	163	158	170	146	00	25		
· ·			100	170	140	83	98	36	27
Percent of total	100.0	18.6	18.0	19.4	16.7	9.5	10.6	4.1	3.1
Not dilapidated: With private bath and private flush toilet, no hot running water With private flush toilet, no private bath With running water, no private flush toilet No running water inside structure	31.3 8.3 24.3 3.2	3.4 1.0 3.8 0.9	4.8 2.1 4.7 0.5	4.9 2.3 4.8 0.8	6.1 1.4 3.5 0.5	4.0 0.2 2.4 0.2	5.4 0.6 3.2 0.2	1.7 0.7 1.1	1.0 0.1 0.8
Dilapidated: With private bath and private flush toilet, hot and cold running water With private bath and private flush toilet, no hot running.	1.9	0.1		0.2	0.3	0.2	0.6	0.5	-
no hot running water	6.5 6.4 7.0 9.1	0.9 1.1 3.3 3.7	1.4 1.5 1.0 1.9	1.0 2.3 0.9 1.7	1.6 0.9 0.8 1.0	1.1 0.3 0.5 0.2	0.5	- - -	0.2
Not reporting condition or plumbing facilities.	1.9	0.3	0.2	0.5	0.6	0.2	0.1	0.1	0.5

Table 3b.--CONDITION AND PLUMBING FACILITIES OF RENTER-OCCUPIED SUBSTANDARD DWELLING UNITS, BY GROSS RENT, FOR NONWHITE HOUSEHOLDS, FOR GOLDSBORO, NORTH CAROLINA: 1950 (See table 1 for the Public Housing Administration definition of substandard dwelling unit)

Condition and military					Monthly	gross ren	t		
Condition and plumbing facilities	Total	\$19 or less	\$20 to \$24	\$25 to \$29	\$30 to \$34	\$35 to \$39	\$40 to \$49	\$50 or more	Not reported
Total number renter-occupied substandard dwelling units	1,634	703	427	254	135	47	29	8	31
Percent of total	100.0	43.0	26.1	15.5	8.3	2.9	1.8	0.5	1.9
Not dilapidated: With private bath and private flush toilet, no hot running water	5.2 15.5 5.3 9.9	0.5 6.4 2.1 5.4	0.7 4.4 0.9 2.6	1.0 2.1 0.7 1.1	1.1 1.4 1.0 0.4	0.7 0.7 0.2 0.2	0.8 0.2 0.2	0.2	0.2 0.2 0.2 0.2
Dilapidated: With private bath and private flush toilet, hot and cold running water With private bath and private flush toilet, no hot running water With private flush toilet, no private bath With running water, no private flush toilet No running water inside structure	0.1 2.6 17.0 16.3 25.9	0.2 6.6 8.3 12.2	0.7 5.4 4.5 6.6	0.6 2.9 2.2 4.5	0.5 1.5 0.6 1.8	0.4 0.2 0.2 0.2	0.2 0.1 0.3	0.1 0.1 -	0.1 - 0.2 0.2 0.6
Not reporting condition or plumbing facilities	2.1	1.3	0.2	0.4	0.1	-	-	_	0.1

Table 4.--CHARACTERISTICS OF FAMILIES IN SUBSTANDARD DWELLING UNITS, BY TENURE AND COLOR, FOR GOLDSBORO, NORTH CAROLINA: 1950

		Total			White			Nonwhite	
Characteristic	Total	Owner	Renter	Total	Owner	Renter	Total	Owner	Renter
Total number of families	3,074	767	2,307	1,081	264	817	1,993	503	1,490
Percent of total	100.0	25.0	75.0	35,2	8,6	26.6	64.8	16.4	48.5
TYPE OF FAMILY									
Total	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0
Primary family	96.9 3.1	97.0 3.0	96.8 3.2	99.0 1.0	97.3 2.7	99.5 0.5	95.7 4.3	96.8 3.2	95.4 4.6
NUMBER OF PERSONS IN FAMILY								4	
Total	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0
2 persons 3 persons 4 persons 6 persons 7 persons 8 persons or more	28.6 22.4 17.0 11.6 7.9 5.6 6.9	29.2 23.1 16.3 11.5 7.2 5.1 7.7	28.4 22.2 17.2 11.7 8.1 5.7 6.6	25.0 25.1 20.4 13.8 7.3 5.0 3.5	29.9 21.6 17.8 11.7 9.1 5.3 4.5	23.4 26.2 21.2 14.4 6.7 4.9 3.2	30.6 21.0 15.2 10.5 8.2 5.9 8.7	28.8 23.9 15.5 11.8 6.2 5.0 9.8	31.2 20.1 15.1 10.2 8.9 6.2 8.5
NUMBER OF PERSONS PER ROOM. IN DWELLING UNIT									
Total	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0
0.50 or less. 0.51 to 0.75. 0.76 to 1.00. 1.01 to 1.50. 1.51 to 2.00. 2.01 or more. Not reported.	14.6 19.9 26.5 20.8 11.4 6.1 0.7	27.8 21.8 27.0 15.0 6.8 1.6	10.3 19.3 26.4 22.8 13.0 7.5 0.8	14.5 19.1 32.5 21.1 9.1 3.1 0.6	30.7 21.2 29.5 10.6 6.4 1.5	9.3 18.4 33.4 24.5 9.9 3.7 0.9	14.7 20.4 23.3 20.7 12.7 7.6 0.7	26.2 22.1 25.6 17.3 7.0 1.6 0.2	10.8 19.8 22.5 21.8 14.6 9.7 0.8
NUMBER OF MINORS IN FAMILY					-				
Total	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100-0	100.0	100.0	100.0
No minors 1 minor. 2 minors 3 minors 4 minors 5 minors	32.6 24.3 16.7 10.4 7.3 4.0	37.8 24.0 15.0 9.4 6.3 3.4	30.9 24.4 17.3 10.7 7.6 4.2	29.3 26.7 21.2 10.2 7.7 2.8	37.1 23.5 15.5 10.6 9.1 1.9	26.8 27.8 23.0 10.0 7.2 3.1	34.4 22.9 14.3 10.5 7.1 4.7	38.2 24.3 14.7 8.7 4.8 4.2	33.2 22.5 14.2 11.1 7.9 4.8 6.4
		_	1		1.9 2.3	3.1 2.1	4.7 6.1	4.2 5.2	1

Table 4a.--INCOME IN 1949 OF PRIMARY FAMILIES IN SUBSTANDARD DWELLING UNITS, BY NUMBER OF MINORS, TENURE, AND COLOR, FOR GOLDSBORO, NORTH CAROLINA: 1950

Family income by		Total			White			Nonwhite	
number of minors	Total	Owner	Renter	Total	Owner	Renter	Total	Owner	Renter
Total number of primary						_			
families	2,978	744	2,234	1,070	257	813,	1,908	487	1,421
Percent of total	100.0	25.0	75.0	35.9	8.6	27.3	64.1	16.4	47.7
Total	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	(¹)	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0
999 or less	24.2	26.0	23.6	15.5		13.5	29.1 11.4	28.1 11.4	29.4 11.4
1,000 to \$1,249 1,250 to \$1,499	10.1 8.5	10.5 9.0	10.0	7.8 3.5		7.4 4.8	11.4	13.2	10,
il,500 to \$1,749	9.2	5.9	10.2	5.9		7.1	11.0	7.9	12.
1,750 to \$1,999	9.8	9.2	10.0	8.0		7.4	10.7	8.8	11,
2,000 to \$2,249	7.1	8.2	6.8	9.9		9.2 6.7	5.6 3.3	6.1 2.6	5. 3.
\$2,250 to \$2,499 \$2,500 to \$2,749	4.4 5.3	3.6 5.9	4.7 5.1	6.4 7.8		8.5	3.9	6.1	3,
2,750 to \$2,999	1.9	3.8	1.2	2.4		1.8	1.6	3.5	0.
3,000 to \$3,999	7.1.	4.2	8.1	11.0		12.8	4.9	3.5	5.
4,000 to \$4,999	2.9	4.0	2.5	5.6		5.3	1.4	2.6	. 0.
5,000 or more	2.1 7.4	2.7 7.0	2.0 7.5	4.3 11.8		4.3 11.7	0.9 4.9	1.8 4.4	0. 5.
No minors	32.5	39.1	30.4	29.7		25.9	34.1	37.7	32.
999 or less	9.8	12.4	9.0	7.5		5,3	11.2	11.4	11,
1,000 to \$1,249	4.2	6.1	3.6	2.7		2.1	5.1	7.0	4.
31,250 to \$1,499	2.7 3.3	5.0 2.1	3.6 1.9	1.3 1.6		1.4	3.4 4.2	7.0 2.6	. 2. 4.
1,750 to \$1,999	2.7	2.5	3.7	2.4		2.5	2.8	2.6	2
2,000 to \$2,249	2.2	3.0	2.7	2.4		1.4	2.1	1.8	2.
2,250 to \$2,499	1.1	1.0	1.9	1.8		1.4	0.9	0.9	0.
\$2,500 to \$2,749 \$2,750 to \$2,999	1.5 0.3	1.5 0.4	1.1 1.5	1.6		1.8 0.7	1.4	1.8	1.
3,000 to \$3,999	1.4	1.0	0.3	2.2			0.9	0.9	0,
4,000 to \$4,999	0.7	0.4	1.5	1.1		2.5	0.5	0.9	0,
5,000 or more	0.5	0.8	0.5	1.1		0.7	0.2	-	0,
lot reported	2.2	3.0	2.0	3.7		8.8	1.4	1.8	1.
One minor	24.5	23.9	24.8	25.2		26.6	24.2	25.4	28
1,000 to \$1,249	6.1 2.0	7.8 1.0	5.5 2.3	3.8 2.2		3.5 2.5	7.4 1.9	9.6	6.
1,250 to \$1,499	2.0	1.1	2.3	0.5	-	0.7	2.8	1.8	3
1,500 to \$1,749	2.7	2.7	2.7	1.3		1.4	3.5	3.5	3
2,000 to \$2,249	2.6	3.4	2.3	2.1		1.8	2.8	8.5	2
2,250 to \$2,499	1.4 1.6	0.4 1.9	1.8 1.5	2.7		3.2	0.7	1.8	0 1
2,500 to \$2,749	1.1	1.3	1.0	1.9	Ì	1.8 1.8	1.4 0.7	0.9	0
2,750 to \$2,999	0.5	1.5	0.2	0.8			0.7	1.8	0
3,000 to \$3,999	1.8	_	2.4	3.2		4.3	0.9	-	. 1
4,000 to \$4,999	0.7 0.4	1.3 0.6	0.5 0.4	1.6		1.4	0.2	0.9	
ot reported	1.7	0.8	2.0	3.0		1.1 3.2	0.2 0.9	0.9	1
Two minors	18.1	15.5	19.0	22.6		25.5	15.6	16.7	15
999 or less	3.9	2.1	4.5	2.2		2.5	4.9	2.6	5
1,250 to \$1,499	2.3	3.1 1.7	2.0 1.8	1.3		1.1	2.8	3.5	.2
1,500 to \$1,749	0.8		1.0	0.8	200	1.1 1.8	2.3 0.5	2.6	2
1,750 to \$1,999	1.5	1.0 .	1.7	1.3		1.4	1.6	0.9	i
2,000 to \$2,249	1.6	1.9	1.6	3.0		3.2	0.9	1.8	C
2,250 to \$2,499	0.7	0.4	0.8	1.9		2.1	-	-	
2,750 to \$2,999	0.6	1.0 1.5	1.2	2.4 0.5		2.8	0.5	0.9	. (
3,000 to \$3,999	1.1	1.0	1.2	2.7		0.4	0.7	1.8	. (
4,000 to \$4,999	0.8	1.5	0.6	1.1		3.2 1.1	0.2	0.9 1.8	C
5,000 or more	0.5	-	0.7	1.1		1.4	0.2	1.0	. (
	1.2	0.4	1.5	3.0		3.5	0.2		

¹ Percentage distribution is not shown where the number of cases in the sample is less than 100.

Table 4a.--INCOME IN 1949 OF PHIMARY FAMILIES IN SUBSTANDARD DWELLING UNITS, BY NUMBER OF MINORS, TENURE, AND COLOR, FOR GOLDSBORO, NORTH CAROLINA: 1950—Con.

Family income by		Total,			White	,		Nonwhite	
number of minors	Total	Owner	Renter	Total	Owner	Renter	Total	Owner	Renter
Three or four minors	18.0	15.4	18.9	17.7		17.0	18.0	13.2	19.9
\$999 or less	3.2	2.1	3.6	1.9	·	2.1	4.0	2.6	4.4
\$1,000 to \$1,249	0.9	0.4	1.1	1.3		1.4	0.7	-	0.9
\$1,250 to \$1,499	1.4	- 1	1.9	0.5		0.7	1.9	- 1	2.5
\$1, 500 to \$1, 749	1.6	0.6	2.0	0.8	, !	1.1	2.1	0.9	2.5
\$1,75 0 to \$1, 999	2.3	2.3	2.3	2.1		1.8	2.3	1.8	2.5
\$2,000 to \$2,249	1.6	2.3	1.3	1.9	·	1.4	1.4	1.8	1.3
\$2,250 to \$2,499	0.9	0.4	1.1	1.3	Ì	1.4	0.7	- 1	0.9
\$2,500 to \$2,749	1.1	2.1	0.8	1.1		1.1	1.1	2.6	0.6
\$2,750 to \$2,999	0.3	-	0.5	0.5		0.7	0.2	-	0.3
\$3,000 to \$3,999	1.9	1.7	2.0	2.4		2.1	1.6	0.9	1.9
\$4,000 to \$4,999	0.4	0.4	0.4	1.1		1.1	- 1	-	-
\$5,000 or more	0.5	0.8	0.4	1.3		1.1	- 1	- 1	· -
Not reported	1.8	2.5	1.6	1.3		1.1	2.1	2.6	1.9
5 minors or more	6.8	6.1	7.0	4.8		5.0	7.9	7.0	8.2
\$ 999 or less	1.1	1.5	1.0	0.3	1	_	1.6	1.8	1.6
\$1,000 to \$1,249	0.7	-	0.9	0,3	l	0.4	0.9	-	18
\$1,250 to \$1,499	0.7	1.1	0.5	0.8		0.4	0.9	1.8	0.6
\$1,500 to \$1,749	0.7	0.6	0.8	0.8		1.1	0.7	0.9	0.6
\$1,750 to \$1,999	0.8	-	1.0	-		-	1.2	-	1.6
\$2,000 to \$2,249	0.8	0.6	0.2	-		-	0.5	0.9	0.0
\$2,250 to \$2,499	0.2	i	0.2	_	1	-	0.2	-	0.8
\$2,500 to \$2,749	0.4	- 1	0.6	0.8	1	1.1	0.2		0.8
\$2,750 to \$2,999	0.1	0.4	- '	0.8		-	-	-	-
\$3,000 to \$3,999	0.9	0.6	1.1	0.5		0.7	1.2	0.9	1.:
\$4,000 to \$4,999	0.3	0.4	0.3	8.0	1	0.7	-	-	
\$5,000 or more	0.1	0.6	1	_		-	0.2	0.9	
Not reported	0.4	0.4	0.5	0.8	ll	0.7	0.2	- 1	0.

Table 5.--RENT AS PERCENT OF INCOME BY FAMILY INCOME IN 1949 OF PRIMARY FAMILIES WITH NO SUBFAMILY OR SECONDARY FAMILY IN RENTER-OCCUPIED SUBSTANDARD DWELLING UNITS, BY PRESENCE OF MINORS AND COLOR, FOR GOLDSBORO, NORTH CAROLINA: 1950

No minors No minors Total No minors Total No minors Total No minors Total No minors Mith minors Number of families. 1,980 648 1,332 761 207 554 1,219 441	Gross rent as percent of income		Total			White			Nonwhite	
Percent of total. 100,0 32,7 67,8 38,4 10,5 28,0 61,6 82,3 8 Total. 100,0 100,0 100,0 100,0 100,0 (1 100,0 100,0 (2) 100,0 (2		Total.			Total			Total		With minors
Total	Number of families	1,980	648	1,332	761	207	55 4	1,219	441	778
9 percent or less	Percent of total	100.0	32.7	67.3	38.4	10.5	28.0	61.6	22.3	39.3
10 percent to 14 percent 19.6 19.0 20.7 22.0 22.9 19.5 1 20 20 20 20 20 20 20	Total	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	(¹)	100.0	100.0	(¹)	100.0
15 percent to 19 percent 18.5 13.7 20.9 19.8 21.9 18.1 22	9 percent or less	14.4	18.7	12.4	16.3		15.1	13.3		10.4
20 percent to 24 percent	10 percent to 14 percent	19.8	18.0	20.7	22.0		22.9	18.5		19.1
25 percent to 29 percent							21.9	18.1		20.2
30 percent to 34 percent 3.1 3.0 3.1 2.7 2.6 3.3 3.0 percent to more 12.9 15.8 11.5 7.5 7.5 7.3 16.2 31,499 or less 41.2 47.4 38.2 23.9 20.8 52.0 52 percent to 16.5 5.5 53 percent to 16.5 5.5 61 percent to 16.5 5.5 73 percent to 16.5 5.5 73 percent to 16.5 5.5 74 percent to 16.5 5.5 75 percent to 17 percent 1.4 1.4 1.4 -									.	16.8
12.9 12.9 13.8 11.5 7.6 7.2 14.5 5.5 14.6 14.6										9.8
St. reported			J		1 :			1		3.5
\$1,499 or less	Not reported									14.5
9 percent or less		3.2	0.1	9.0	10.2		14.6	3.5		6.4
10 percent to 14 percent 1.4 1.5 1.6	\$1,499 or less	41.2	47.4	38.2	29.9	ļ	20.3	52.0		50.9
10 percent to 14 percent					4.2		2.6	3.0]	1.7
20 percent to 24 percent.			1.4	1.4	- 1		-	2.2	<u> </u>	2.3
25 percent to 29 percent. 5.8 7.8 7.8 7.8 7.8 7.8 7.8 7.										8.1
30 percent to 34 percent. \$1.80 to \$1,999 \$0.2										12.7
12.8 15.8 11.8 7.2 6.8 16.8 16.8 17.8 17.2 17										8.7
\$1,500 to \$1,999 20.2										2.9 14.5
9 parcent or less			15.6		/•=		. 0.0	10.2		7.4.00
10 percent to 14 percent.	\$1,500 to \$1,999	20.2	20.8	19.9	13.6		13.0	24.4		24.9
10 percent to 14 percent		1.3	1.4	1.2	0.4		0.5	1.8	1	1.5
20 percent to 24 percent. 3.7 4.8 3.2 3.8 3.6 3.7 2.5 percent to 29 percent to 1.2 0.9 1.3 1.9 1.6 0.7 30 percent to 34 percent. 0.5 - 0.8 0.8 1.0 0.4 0.5 - 0.2 0.4 0.5 - 0.8 1.0 0.4 0.5 - 0.2 0.4 0.5 - 0.5 - 0.8 0.8 1.0 0.4 0.5 - 0.5 - 0.8 0.8 1.0 0.4 0.5 - 0.5 - 0.8 0.8 1.0 0.4 0.5 - 0.5 - 0.8 0.8 1.0 0.5 0.5 - 0.5 - 0.8 0.8 1.0 0.5 0.5 - 0.5 0.5 - 0.5 0.5 - 0.5 0.5 0.5 0.5 0.5 0.5 0.5 0.5 0.5 0.5			10.1	6.1	2.7			k .	ļ	9.
25 percent to 29 percent				7.1	3.8				1	9,
30 percent to 34 percent.							3.6	3.7		2.9
35 percent or more \$2,000 to \$2,499 \$11.0 \$8.9 \$12.1 \$15.2 \$17.7 \$8.5 9 percent or less \$2.8 \$3.9 \$1.6 \$4.9 \$6.4 \$7.8 \$2.2 \$15 percent to 14 percent \$3.6 \$1.6 \$4.9 \$6.4 \$7.8 \$2.2 \$20 percent to 24 percent \$0.9 \$1.1 \$0.8 \$1.1 \$1.0 \$0.7 \$2.9 \$3.0 \$3.0 \$3.0 \$3.0 \$3.0 \$3.0 \$3.0 \$3.1 \$3.0 \$	30 percent to 29 percent		0.9			 				1.
\$2,000 to \$2,499 \$11.0 \$1			-				1	0.4		0.
9 percent or less	pozodav oz możernika.	0.1	· -	0.2	0.4		0.5	-		
10 percent to 14 percent	\$2,000 to \$2,499	11.0	8.9	12.1	15.2		17.7	8.5		8,1
10 percent to 14 percent	9 percent or less	2.3	3.9	1.6	0.8		0.5	9.3	ŀ	2.
15 percent to 19 percent.	10 percent to 14 percent	3.8	1.6						l)	2.
25 percent to 29 percent.	15 percent to 19 percent			4.4	6.1				1	2.
30 percent to 34 percent. \$2,500 to \$2,999 \$2,500 to \$2,999 \$3,00 percent or less \$2,2	20 percent to 24 percent		1.1	1		1	1.0	0.7	i	0.
\$2,500 to \$2,999 \$2,500 to \$2,999 \$2,500 to \$2,999 \$2,2	30 percent to 29 percent	0.3	-	0.4	0.8]	1.0	-	1	ļ
\$2,500 to \$2,999	35 percent or more		-	-	-		-	-	1	
### Spercent or less	portuent of more in the same i	-	_	_	-		-	-		
## Percent to 14 percent. ## 2.4	\$2,500 to \$2,999	6.7	5.9	7.0	10.2		10.4	4.4		4.
## State of the st	percent or less	2.2	1.8	2.4	2.3		2.6	2.2	1	2.
### 15 percent to 19 percent	10 percent to 14 percent	2.4	11	l .	11	1		i	H .	1.
#3,000 or over	15 percent to 19 percent								[ō.
### 25 percent to 29 percent	20 percent to 24 percent	0.4						-		"
\$3,000 or over	30 percent to 29 percent	-	-	-	-		-	-		
\$3,000 or over	35 percent or more	<u>-</u>	-	-	-		-	-	}	
9 percent or less			_	_	_		-	-		
10 percent to 14 percent 4.9 2.9 5.8 9.1 9.9 2.2 1.5 percent to 19 percent 0.3 - 0.4 0.8 1.0 - 25 percent to 29 percent	\$3,000 or over	11.6	8.8	13.0	22.0	-	24.0	5.2		5.
1.5 percent to 19 percent 1.3 0.4 1.7 3.4 4.2 - 20 percent to 24 percent 0.3 - 0.4 0.8 1.0 - 25 percent to 29 percent			13					3.0	1	2.
20 percent to 24 percent 0.3 - 0.4 0.8 1.0 - 25 percent to 29 percent	10 percent to 14 percent				9.1	11		2.2		2.
25 percent to 29 percent	percent to 19 percent		0.4		II	11		-		
30 percent to 34 percent - - - - - -	25 percent to 24 percent	-0.3	_	0.4	0.8	N .	1.0	-	-	\
35 percent or more	30 percent to 29 percent		-		ı -		-	-	1	1
	35 percent or mare	-	-	-	-	N .	-	1]
	F OCT MOLES	-	_	_	-	1		_		
Not reporting income or rent 9.2 8.1 9.8 15.2 14.6 5.5	Not reporting income or rent	9	ום	٥٥	15.0	1	14 6	E F		6.

¹ Percentage distribution is not shown where the number of cases in the sample is less than 100.

1950 CENSUS OF HOUSING

SPECIAL TABULATIONS FOR LOCAL HOUSING AUTHORITIES

November 16, 1950

Washington 25, D. C.

Series HC-6, No. 52

FARRELL. PENNSYLVANIA: APRIL 1950

This report is based on a transcription and tabulation of data from the 1950 Census of Population and Housing. The statistics relate to dwelling units considered substandard by the Public Housing Administration, in accordance with the contract for these statistics between the Bureau of the Census and the Mercer County Housing Authority.

A dwelling unit is considered substandard by the Public Housing Administration if it is either dilapidated or does not have the following plumbing facilities: flush toilet and bath inside the structure for the unit's exclusive use, and hot running water. The Public Housing Administration considers the absence of hot running water to be evidence generally of poor quality of the dwelling unit. but there may be some localities in which the absence of hot running water is not deemed to be a sufficient indication by itself of poor quality. In such localities, a revised count of substandard units may be obtained from table 1 by subtracting from the total the units which lack hot water only. If it is desired to eliminate the units lacking hot water only from the total count of substandard units, the distributions shown in the tables will not be materially affected.

The criteria used to define substandard units in these tabulations are not identical with the housing characteristics included in the published reports from the 1940 Census. Therefore, any comparison of data in this report with the 1940 results must be made with extreme care. A direct comparison cannot be made with the 1940 results because information on hot running water was not collected at that time. Further, the 1940 data on condition were collected showing dwelling units "needing major repairs," whereas

in 1950 data on condition were collected showing units as "dilapidated." Because the definitions of these two terms differ significantly, the 1940 count of dwelling units needing major repairs and the 1950 count of dilapidated dwelling units are comparable only in a general way.

The data are presented in the series of attached tables. In table 1 the structural and occupancy characteristics are shown for all substandard dwelling units. Table 2 shows the rent paid for renter-occupied substandard units; table 3 shows the condition and plumbing facilities of these same units. Table 4 shows the characteristics of all families (both primary and secondary) living in the substandard units. Table 4a classifies the primary families by family income. Table 5 classifies primary families with no subfamily or secondary family present, by rent as a percent of family income.

In the contract rent tabulation, dwelling units occupied rent-free are tabulated in the "\$9 or less" category. In the gross rent tabulations, however, such units are tabulated as "not reporting," except when utilities were reported.

DEFINITIONS

The definitions used in this special tabulation are generally the same as those used in the 1950 Census of Population and Housing. Some of the more important definitions are given below.

Dwelling unit. -- In general, a dwelling unit is a group of rooms or a single room, occupied, or intended for occupancy, as separate living quarters by a family or other group of persons living together or by a person living alone.

Primary family. -- A primary family consists of two or more persons including the head of the household and all (one or more) persons in the household who are related to the head by blood, marriage, or adoption. It may include a subfamily consisting of a married couple with or without children, or one parent with one or more children under 18 years of age, living in the household and related to but not including the head of the household or his wife. The subfamily is considered a part of the primary family for all tabulation purposes.

Secondary family. -- A secondary family consists of two or more persons, such as a lodger or servant and his relatives, living in a household and related to each other but not related to the household head. The secondary family is considered a separate family for tabulation purposes.

 $\underline{\text{Minor.--}}$ A minor is an unmarried person under 21 years of age other than the head of a family or his wife.

Dilapidation. -- A dwelling unit is considered to be dilapidated when it has serious deficiencies, is rundown or neglected, or is of inadequate original construction, so that it does not provide adequate shelter or protection against the elements or it endangers the safety of the occupants.

Plumbing facilities. The following are the three plumbing facilities tabulated:

- 1. Flush toilet inside the structure for the unit's exclusive use;
- 2. Installed bathtub or shower inside the structure for the unit's exclusive use; and
- 3. Hot and cold running water inside the structure.

Exclusive use. -- Equipment is for "exclusive use" if it is used only by the occupants of one dwelling unit.

 $\frac{\texttt{Shared.--}\texttt{Equipment is "shared" when occupants}}{\texttt{two or more units use the same equipment.}}$

Family income. -- Family income is the sum of the income received during 1949, by all members of the family. The following items were asked separately for the head of the family and for all other members of the family combined:

- 1. The amount of money wages or salary received in 1949;
- 2. The amount of net money income received from self-employment in 1949; and

3. The amount of other money income received in 1949, such as interest, dividends, veterans' allowances, pensions, or rents.

Gross rent. ---Monthly gross rent is contract rent plus the reported average monthly cost of water, electricity, gas, and other fuel paid for by the renter. If furniture is included in the contract rent, the reported estimated rent of the dwelling unit without furniture is used for the computation instead of the contract rent.

SOURCE AND RELIABILITY OF THE DATA

The data in tables 1 through 4 are based on complete counts, including all dwelling units and families with the specified characteristics. For nonwhite families tables 4a and 5 also represent complete counts, but for white families the distributions involving income in these tables were prepared from data collected on a sample basis. As part of the 1950 Census, family income data were obtained from about one-For these tabulations, fifth of all families. however, a subsequent field enumeration was made of families who were not in the original sample but were living in substandard dwelling units. These additional interviews resulted in income data for all nonwhite families and an increase in the income sample for white families above the 20 percent level.

Although the figures shown in tables 1 through 4 are based on the same data as the forthcoming 1950 Census tabulations of these items, they may differ from those to be published as part of the census. The present tables were obtained by tabulating directly the data as transcribed in the field offices of the Census Bureau and the figures, therefore, do not include all of the refinements that result from a careful examination of the schedules.

In addition, the data are subject to other biases due to errors of response or nonreporting which cannot be corrected in editing. Factors affecting the accuracy of enumeration include the respondent's knowledge of the facts and the ability of the enumerator to obtain accurate information on such items as income, rent, dilapidation and plumbing facilities. The regular 1950 tabulations are also subject to these biases.

Reliability of Estimates in Tables 4a and 5

Because of sampling variability, percentage distributions shown in table 4a for total families and for white families, and all figures in table 5 for total families and for white families, may

differ from those that would have been obtained from a complete count. (The absolute figures in table 4a and all data for nonwhite families in tables 4a and 5 represent complete counts and are not subject to sampling variations.) The reliability estimates which follow give approximate measures of the sampling errors to be expected in these sample data.

Reliability of the percentages in tables 4a and 5.--The allowance to be made for sampling variation in a percentage depends, in general, both on the value of the percentage and the size of the base on which it is determined. If two

percentages are of the same magnitude, the one based on a large number of cases in the sample is, in general, less variable than the one based on a small number of sample cases.

Estimates of reliability are shown in the following table for percentages with bases of white primary families of the specified types. The chances are about 19 out of 20 that the differences due to sampling variability between the percentages obtained from the sample and the percentages which would have been obtained from a complete census would be less than the sampling errors shown below.

		Sampl:	ing variability	if the base i	s				
Percentage shown in table		e primary fami		n All white primary families we subfamily or secondary famil sent, in substandard renter					
4	Total	Owner	Rent er	Total	No minors	With minors			
0.5 1.0 2.0 3.0 4.0 5.0 10.0 15.0 20.0 25.0 30.0 40.0	0.5 0.7 1.0 1.2 1.4 1.5 2.1 2.5 2.8 3.0 3.2 3.4	0.8 1.1 1.6 1.9 2.2 2.4 3.3 4.0 4.4 4.8 5.1 5.4 5.5	0.6 0.9 1.3 1.5 1.8 2.0 2.7 3.2 3.6 3.9 4.1 4.4 4.5	0.7 1.0 1.4 1.7 1.9 2.1 2.9 3.5 3.9 4.2 4.5 4.8 4.9	(1)	0.9 1.2 1.7 2.1 2.4 2.7 3.7 4.4 5.0 5.4 5.7 6.1			

Omitted because percentage distribution is not shown.

To illustrate, for a figure of 10 percent based on white primary families living in substandard renter dwelling units, the sampling variability is 2.7 percent; the chances are about 19 out of 20 that the percentage obtained by a complete census would have been between 7.3 percent and 12.7 percent.

The sampling variability of a specified percentage of total primary families with designated characteristics will vary according to the proportion of white families and nonwhite families making up this percentage. For example, consider the sampling variability of a figure of 10 percent based on total primary families. The maximum sampling error to be expected of such a figure would occur when the entire 10 percent includes only white primary families and the chances are about 19 out of 20 that this sampling error would not exceed 1.7 percent. If the entire 10 percent includes only nonwhite primary families no sampling error would be present. For other specific characteristics composed of 10 percent of total primary families the sampling variability may assume any value up to the maximum.

Reliability of absolute figures in table 5.-The approximate sampling variability of the absolute figures in table 5 is shown below. The chances are 19 out of 20 that differences between the numbers shown in table 5 and the numbers that would have been obtained from a complete census would be less than the sampling errors which follow.

Classification	Sampling variability of absolute figures in table 5 for total families and white families
Total No minors With minors	10 14 14

Reliability of differences.—The estimates of sampling variability shown in the above tables are not directly applicable to differences obtained by subtracting one figure from another. The sampling variability in an observed difference between two figures depends on the sampling variability of each of the figures and the correlation between them.

Table 1.--STRUCTURAL AND OCCUPANCY CHARACTERISTICS, CONDITION. AND PLUMBING FACILITIES OF OCCUPIED SUBSTANDARD DWELLING UNITS, BY TENURE AND COLOR OF OCCUPANTS, FOR FARREIL, PENNSYLVANIA: 1950

(A substandard dwelling unit is defined by the Public Housing Administration as a unit which is either dilapidated or does not have all of the following plumbing facilities: flush toilet and bath inside the structure for the unit's exclusive use, and hot running water)

		Total		t .	White		Nonwhite		
Characteristic	Total	Owner	Renter	Total	Owner	Renter	Total	Owner	Renter
Total number occupied substandard dwelling units	970	313	657	643	255	388	327	58	269
Percent of total	100.0	32.8	67 .7	66.8	26.3	40.0	33.7	6.0	27.7
NUMBER OF ROOMS									
Total	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0		100.0	100.0	(1)	100.0
1 room. 2 rooms. 3 rooms. 5 rooms. 6 rooms. 7 rooms. 8 rooms or more. Not reported.	5.7 15.1 29.1 26.0 10.6 9.5 2.7 1.3 0.1	2.6 3.8 23.6 27.8 14.7 20.8 3.8 2.6	7.2 20.4 31.7 25.1 8.7 4.1 2.1 0.8	5.6 14.5 30.6 23.3 10.4 11.5 2.5 1.4	2.7 3.5 25.1 24.7 14.9 22.4 3.9 2.4 0.4	7.5 21.6 34.3 22.4 7.5 4.4 1.5	5.8 16.2 26.0 31.2 11.0 5.5 3.1 1.2		6. 18. 27. 29. 10. 3. 3.
CONDITION	0.1								
Total	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	(1)	100.
Not dilapidated	72.6 27.2 0.2	73.5 26.5	72.1 27.5 0.3	79.5 20.4 0.2	79.6 20.4	79.4 20.4 0.3	59.0 40.7 0.3		61. 37. 0.
WATER SUPPLY									
Total	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	(¹)	100.
Hot and cold piped running water inside structure Only cold piped running water inside structure No piped running water inside structure Not reported	58.7 40.2 1.1	65.2 34.2 0.6	55.6 43.1 1.4	65.5 33.4 1.1	69.4 29.8 0.8	62.9 35.8 1.3	45.3 53.5 1.2		45. 53. 1.
TOILET FACILITIES									
Total	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	(¹)	100
Flush toilet inside structure, exclusive use Flush toilet inside structure, shared Other toilet facilities (including privy) tot reported	57.9 35.3 6.7 0.1	66.5 27.8 5.8	53.9 38.8 7.2 0.2	54.3 38.9 6.8	63.5 31.4 5.1	48.2 43.8 8.0	65.1 28.1 6.4 0.3		62 31 5 0
BATHING FACILITIES									
Total	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	(1)	100
Installed bathtub or shower inside structure, exclusive use	20.4	24.0	18.7	18.5	22.0	16.2	24.2	,	22
shared	33.0 46.4 0.2	28.8 46.6 0.6	35.0 46.3 -	40.1 41.1 0.3	32.2 45.1 0.8	45.4 38.4 -	19.0 56.9		20 57
NUMBER OF PERSONS						-			
Total	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	(1)	100
person. persons. persons. persons. persons. persons. persons. persons. persons. persons.	13.2 28.6 22.9 13.9 10.5 5.8 2.8 0.9 1.4	10.5 30.0 18.8 15.0 11.2 8.3 3.8 0.6	14.5 27.9 24.8 13.4 10.2 4.6 2.3 1.1	13.8 28.8 24.1 15.4 10.0 4.8 2.2 0.3 0.6	11.0 30.6 19.2 16.1 11.0 8.6 2.4 0.4 0.8	15.7 27.6 27.3 14.9 9.3 2.3 2.1 0.3 0.5	11.9 28.1 20.5 11.0 11.6 7.6 4.0 2.1		12 28 21 11 11 7 2 2
NUMBER OF LODGERS			:					,	
Total	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	(¹)	100
oneor more lodgers	92.0 8.0	93.9 6.1	91.0 9.0	95.3	94.5 5.5	95.9 4.1	85.3 14.7		84 16

¹ Percentage distribution is not shown where the number of cases is less than 100.

Table 1.--STRUCTURAL AND OCCUPANCY CHARACTERISTICS, CONDITION, AND PLUMBING FACILITIES OF OCCUPIED SUBSTANDARD DWELLING UNITS, BY TENURE AND COLOR OF OCCUPANTS, FOR FARRELL, PENNSYLVANIA: 1950-Con.

(A substandard dwelling unit is defined by the Public Housing Administration as a unit which is either dilapidated or does not have all of the following plumbing facilities: flush toilet and bath inside the structure for the unit's exclusive use, and hot running water)

Characteristic		Total			White		N	onwhite	
Onar as ser is the	Total	Owner	Renter	Total	Owner	Renter	Total	Owner	Renter
CONDITION AND PLUMBING FACILITIES Total	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	(1)	100.0
ot dilapidated: With private bath and private flush toilet, no hot running water	5.6 33.2 32.9 0.7	7.0 37.4 28.4 0.3	4.9 31.2 35.0 0.9	5.6 34.7 38.4 0.6	6.7 39.2 32.9 0.4	4.9 31.7 42.0 0.8	5.5 30.3 22.0 0.9		4.8 30.5 24.9 1.1
<pre>Nilapidated: With private bath and private flush toilet, hot and cold running water With private bath and private flush toilet, no hot running water With private flush toilet, no private bath With running water, no private flush toilet No running water inside the structure Vot reporting condition or plumbing facilities</pre>	10.3 1.8 6.9 7.7 0.4 0.5	13.7 0.6 7.3 4.2 0.3 0.6	8.7 2.3 6.7 9.4 0.5 0.5	8.6 1.1 4.0 6.1 0.5 0.5	12.5 4.7 2.4 0.4 0.8	5.9 1.8 3.6 8.5 0.5	13.8 3.1 12.5 11.0 0.3 0.6		12.6 3.0 11.2 10.8 0.4 0.7
CONDITION BY NUMBER OF PLUMBING FACILITIES	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	(1)	100.0
Not dilapidated: Lacking 1 facility. Lacking 2 facilities. Lacking, 3 facilities	43.8	29.7 39.9 3.5	18.1 45.7 8.2	26.1 47.7 5.4	32.2 43.5 3.5	22.2 50.5 6.7			12.3 38.7 10.4
Dilapidated: With all facilities. Lacking 1 facility. Lacking 2 facilities. Lacking 3 facilities. Not reporting condition or plumbing facilities	8.6	13.7 3.2 7.7 1.6	9.0 4.6	6.2 2.6	12.5 1.6 5.1 0.8 0.8	5.9 3.6 7.0 3.9	8.3 13.1 5.5		12.6 7.8 11.9 5.6 0.7
NUMBER OF DWELLING UNITS IN STRUCTURE		100.0	100,0	100.0	100.0		 	 	
1 dwelling unit	43.2 49.9	58.8 39.3 1.9	54.9	53.2	42.7	60.1	43.4		40.1 47.6 12.3

¹ Percentage distribution is not shown where the number of cases is less than 100.

Table 2.--Contract and GROSS RENT OF RENTER-OCCUPIED SUBSTANDARD DWELLING UNITS, BY COLOR OF OCCUPANTS, FOR FARRELL, PENNSYLVANIA: 1950

(200 0220 2 2							
Monthly rent	Total	White	Nonwhite	Monthly rent	Total	White	Nonwhite
Total number renter-occupied substandard dwelling units	657	388	269	FURNITURE IN RENT	100.0	100.0	100.0
Percent of total	100.0	59.1	40.9	Furniture included in contract rent Furniture not included in contract	5.2 88.6	6.2 86.9	3.7 91.1
MONTHLY CONTRACT RENT				rent Not reported	6.2	7.0	5.2
Total	100.0	100.0	100.0	MONTHLY GROSS RENT Total	100.0	100.0	100.0
\$9 or less \$10 to \$14 \$15 to \$19 \$20 to \$24 \$25 to \$29	13.9 23.3	11.3 13.9 19.8 18.8 12.4	6.3 13.8 28.3 21.6 14.9	\$9 or less. \$10 to \$14. \$15 to \$19. \$20 to \$24.	10.0 14.8 18.0	4.9 5.4 10.0 13.7 16.5 15.5	1.5 3.0 10.0 16.4 20.1 16.4
\$30 to \$34 \$35 to \$39 \$40 to \$49 \$50 or more Not reported	4.1 5.3 2.6	7.2 4.4 7.5 2.8 1.8	3.7 2.2 2.2	\$35 to \$39 \$40 to \$49 \$50 or more	12.6 12.5 5.6	13.1 12.1 5.7 3.1	11.9 13.0 5.6 2.2

Table 3.--CONDITION AND PLUMBING FACILITIES OF RENTER-OCCUPIED SUBSTANDARD DWELLING UNITS, BY GROSS RENT, FOR FARREIL, PENNSYLVANIA: 1950

					Monthly g	ross ren	t		
Condition and plumbing facilities	Total	\$19 or less	\$20 to \$24	\$25 to \$29	\$30 to \$34.	\$35 to \$39	\$40 to \$49	\$50 or more	Not reported
					-				
Total number renter-occupied substandard dwelling units	657	118	97	118	104	83	82	37	18
Percent of total	100.0	18.0	14.8	18.0	15.8	12.6	12,5	5.6	2.7
Not dilapidated: With private bath and private flush toilet, no hot running water With private flush toilet, no private bath With running water, no private flush toilet No running water inside structure		0.6 4.4 6.8 0.3	0.3 5.0 5.5 0.3	1.1 5.9 5.2	0.8 6.1 5.5 0.2	1.2 4.0 3.7	0.6 3.5 4.6	0.2 1.7 2.1 0.2	0.2 0.6 1.7
Dilapidated: With private bath and private flush toilet, hot and cold running water With private bath and private flush toilet, no hot running water With private flush toilet, no private bath With running water, no private flush toilet No running water inside structure	6.7 9.4	3.3	1.2 0.2 0.9 1.2	0.9 0.8 2.3 1.8	1.5 0.2 1.1 0.5	1.5 0.2 0.8 1.4	2.0	1.1 0.2 0.3	0.2 0.2 0.2
Not reporting condition or plumbing facilities	0.5	-	0.2	-	0.2	-	0.2	<u>}</u>	

Table 3a.--CONDITION AND PLUMBING FACILITIES OF RENTER-OCCUPIED SUBSTANDARD DWELLING UNITS, BY GROSS RENT, FOR WHITE HOUSEHOLDS, FOR FARRELL, PENNSYLVANIA: 1950

					Monthly g	gross ren	t		
Condition and plumbing facilities	Total	\$19 or less	\$20 to \$24	\$25 to \$29	\$30 to \$34	\$35 to \$39	\$ 40 to \$ 49	\$50 or more	Not reported
Total number renter-occupied substandard dwelling units	388	79	58	64	60	51	47	22	12
Percent of total	100.0	20.4	13.7	16.5	15.5	13.1	12.1	5.7	3.1
Not dilapidated: With private bath and private flush toilet, no hot running water	4.9 31.7 42.0 0.8	0.5 4.9 8.8 0.3	0.3 5.4 5.2 0.3	0.8 5.9 5.7	0.8 5.7 6.4 0.3	1.3 4.4 5.2	0.8 2.8 6.2	0.3 2.1 2.3	0.3 0.5 2.3
Dilapidated: With private bath and private flush toilet, hot and cold running water	5.9 1.8 3.6 8.5 0.5	0.8 0.8 3.9 0.5	1.0 - 0.3 1.0	0.8 0.3 1.5 1.5	1.0 0.8 0.8	0.8 0.3 0.3 1.0	1.5	0.8	
Not reporting condition or plumbing facilities.	0.3	-	0,3	-	-	-	-		-

Table 3b.--Condition and plumbing facilities of renter-occupied substandard dwelling units, by gross rent, for nonwhite households, for farrell, pennsylvania: 1950

(See table 1 for the Public Housing Administration definition of substandard dwelling unit)

					Monthly g	ross rent			
Condition and plumbing facilities	Total	\$19 or less	\$20 to \$24	\$25 to \$29	\$30 to \$34	\$35 to \$39	\$40 to \$49	\$50 or more	Not reported
Total number renter-occupied substandard dwelling units	269	39	44	54	44	32	35	15	6
Percent of total	100.0	14.5	16.4	20.1	16.4	11.9	13.0	5.6	2.2
Not dilapidated: With private bath and private flush toilet, no hot running water With private flush toilet, no private bath With running water, no private flush toilet No running water inside structure	4.8 80.5 24.9 1.1	0.7 3.7 4.1 0.4	0.4 4.5 5.9 0.4	1.5 5.9 4.5	0.7 6.7 4.1	1.1 3.3 1.5	0.4 4.4 2.2	1.1 1.9 0.4	0.7 0.7
Dilapidated: With private bath and private flush toilet, hot and cold running water With private bath and private flush toilet, no hot running water With private flush toilet, no private bath With running water, no private flush toilet No running water inside structure		1.1 0.7 1.1 2.6	1.5 0.4 1.9 1.5	1.1 1.5 3.3 2.2	2.2 1.5 0.7	2.6 1.5 1.9	2.6 · 0.4 1.5 1.1 -	1.5 0.4 0.4	- 0.4 0.4
Not reporting condition or plumbing facilities	0.7	-			0.4		0.4		

Table 4.--CHARACTERISTICS OF FAMILIES IN SUBSTANDARD DWELLING UNITS, BY TENURE AND COLOR, FOR FARRELL, PENNSYLVANIA: 1950

		Total			White			Nonwhite	
Characteristic	Total	Owner	Renter	Total	Owner	Renter	Total	Owner	Renter
Total number of families	819	276	543	542	225	817	277	51	226
Percent of total	100.0	33,7	66.3	66.2	27.5	38.7	33.8	6.2	27.6
		,							
TYPE OF FAMILY	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	(¹)	100.0
Total Primary family	99.9	100.0	99.8	100.0	100,0	100.0	99.6		99.6 0.4
Secondary family	0.1	-	0.2	-		-	0.4		0.1
NUMBER OF PERSONS IN FAMILY							100.0	(1)	100.0
Total	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0			39.8
2 persons	35.4	35.9	35.2 28.5	33.8 28.4	36.4 21.3	31.9	38.6 20.6		21.7
3 persons	25.8 15.8	20.3 17.0	15.1	18.1	18.2	18.0	11.2		11.1
4 persons	11.8	12.3	11.6	11.8	12.0	10.7	6.5		6.6
6 persons	5.4	7.6	4.2	4.8	2.7	2.5	5.1	ll .	3.5
7 persons	8.4	4.3	2.9	2.6	1.3	0.9	5.1	1	4.4
8 persons or more	2.4	2.5	2.4						
NUMBER OF PERSONS PER ROOM									
IN DWELLING UNIT	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	(¹)	100.0
Total				18.5	26.2	12.9	13.0		10.6
0.50 or less	16.6	25.7	12.0	22.9	11	22.4	23.5		22.6
0.51 to 0.75	23.1	24.3 30.1	29.8	31.7		31.5	25.8	H	23.0
0.76 to 1.00	19.8	14.9	22.3	19.0			21.3	1	13.8
1.01 to 1.50	7.8	2.9	10.8	5.5			4.7	1	4.4
1.51 to 2.00	3.1	1.8	3.7	2.2		1		11	-
Not reported	0.1	0.4	-	0.2	0.4	-			
WHEN OF LEWONS THE WALTEN								(1)	100.0
NUMBER OF MINORS IN FAMILY Total	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	1 (-)	
	38.7	43.1	36.5	37.5			41.2 20.2		41.6
No minors	28.1	24.6	29.8				14.1	1	14.
1 minor 2 minors	15.4	14.9					11.6		12.4
3 minors	10.1	9.4	1		'11		5.8	1	5.1
4 minors	3.7	4.0			'II		3.2		1.4
5 minors	2.2	2.9		1	11		4.0		. 4.
6 minors or more	1.8	1		1	ــــــــــــــــــــــــــــــــــــــ				

¹ Percentage distribution is not shown when the number of cases is less than 100.

Table 4a.--INCOME IN 1949 OF PRIMARY FAMILIES IN SUBSTANDARD DWELLING UNITS, BY NUMBER OF MINORS, TENURE, AND COLOR, FOR FARRELL, PENNSYLVANIA: 1950

Family income by		Total			White			Nonwhite	
number of minors	Total	Owner	Renter	Total	Owner	Renter	Total	Owner	Ren
Total number of primary families	818	276	54.2	542	225	817	276	51	
Percent of total	100.0	33.7	66.3	66,3	27.5	38,8	33.7	6.2	
Total	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	(1)	
\$999 or less \$1,000 to \$1,249 \$1,250 to \$1,499 \$1,500 to \$1,749 \$1,750 to \$1,999	8.7 3.6 3.1 4.8 4.6	9.0 1.9 1.9 2.3 4.3	8.5 4.5 3.7 6.0 4.7	6.5 2.1 2.1 2.7 2.5	7.5 1.5 1.5 1.5 2.0	5.7 2.6 2.6 3.6 2.1	18.0 6.5 5.1 8.7 8.7		
\$2,000 to \$2,249 \$2,250 to \$2,499 \$2,500 to \$2,749 \$2,750 to \$2,999	8.2 7.1 9.2 10.1	9,1 6,5 10,1 8,8	7.8 7.4 8.8 10.8	8.0 5.5 9.5 11.9	9.8 7.5 9.8 9.0	6.7 4.1 9.3 14.0	8.7 10.1 8.7 6.5		
\$3,000 to \$3,999 \$4,000 to \$4,999 \$5,000 or more Not reported	17.3 8.3 8.2 6.7	21.1 9.7 11.8 3.4	15.4 7.7 6.4 8.4	21.2 10.7 10.8 6.4	21.1 10.5 13.5 3.8	21.2 10.9 8.8 8.3	9.8 3.6 3.3 7.2		
No minors	38.8	41.6	37.8	37.5	42.1 7.5	34.2	41.3 4.7		
\$999 or less. \$1,000 to \$1,249. \$1,250 to \$1,499. \$1,500 to \$1,749. \$1,750 to \$1,999.	4.7 0.9 0.8 2.5 1.5	7.6 - 1.0 1.9 1.0	3.2 1.3 0.7 2.8 1.7	4.6 0.6 0.3 2.1 0.9	0.8 1.5 0.8	1.0 2.6 1.0	1.4 1.8 3.3 2.5		
\$2,000 to \$2,249 \$2,250 to \$2,499 \$2,500 to \$2,749 \$2,750 to \$2,999	3.9 3.9 3.6 3.5	5.3 3.7 4.5 3.4	3.2 4.0 3.2 3.5	3.7 3.1 3.1 3.1	6.0 4.5 3.8 3.8	2.1 2.1 2.6 2.6	4.3 5.4 4.7 4.3		
\$3,000 to \$3,999 \$4,000 to \$4,999 \$5,000 or more Not reported	5.5 2.3 2.7 2.9	7.0 1.2 3.4 1.6	4.8 2.9 2.4 3.5	7.1 3.4 3.4 2.1	6.8 1.5 3.8 1.5	7.3 4.7 3.1 2.6	2.5 0.4 1.4 4.3		
One minor	27.2	24.5	28.6	30.9	25.6	34.7	19.9		ļ
\$999 or less. \$1,000 to \$1,249. \$1,250 to \$1,499. \$1,500 to \$1,749. \$1,750 to \$1,999.	2.5 1.2 1.1 1.0 0.7	1.1 1.0 0.6 0.4 1.2	3.2 1.3 1.3 1.3 0.4	1.5 0.9 1.2 0.6 0.6	0.8 0.8 1.5	2.6 1.0 1.6 1.0	4.3 1.8 0.7 1.8 0.7		
\$2,000 to \$2,249	2.4 1.8 2.3 2.7	3.4 1.2 2.2 0.6	2.0 2.1 2.4 3.8	2.8 1.8 2.8 3.9	3.8 1.5 2.3 0.8	2.1 2.1 3.1 6.2	1.8 1.8 1.4 0.4		
\$3,000 to \$3,999. \$4,000 to \$4,999. \$5,000 or more. Not reported.	5.2 2.3 1.9 2.1	5.6 2.5 3.4 1.2	5.0 2.2 1.1 2.5	6.4 3.1 2.5 2.7	6.0 3.0 3.8 1.5	6.7 3.1 1.6 3.6	2.9 0.7 0.7 0.7		
Two minors	15.3 0.8	15.4	15.3	15.9	15.8	16.1	14.1		1
\$1,000 to \$1,249. \$1,250 to \$1,499. \$1,500 to \$1,749. \$1,750 to \$1,999.	1.0 0.4 0.2 0.8	0.6 - 0.6	1.2 0.7 0.4 0.9	0.6	0.8 - - 0.8	0.5 0.5	1.8 0.7 0.7		
\$2,000 to \$2,249 \$2,250 to \$2,499 \$2,500 to \$2,749 \$2,750 to \$2,999	1.1 0.2 1.3 1.4	0.6 1.0 2.6	1.6 - 1.5 0.8	0.9 0.8 1.2 1.5	0.8 0.8	1.6	1.4		
\$3,000 to \$3,999 \$4,000 to \$4,999 \$5,000 or more Not reported	1.9	5.0 2.6 1.8 0.6	2.8 1.5 1.7 1.0		2.3	4.1 2.6 2.6 0.5	1.4 0.7 0.4 1.4		

Table 4a.--INCOME IN 1949 OF PHIMARY FAMILIES IN SUBSTANDARD DWELLING UNITS, BY NUMBER OF MINORS, TENURE, AND COLOR, FOR FARREIL, PENNSYLVANIA: 1950---Con.

Family income by		Total.			White			Nonwhite	
number of minors	Total	Owner	Renter	Total	Owner	Renter	Total	Owner	Renter
Three or four minors	15.0	15.4	14.8	13.8	15.8	12.4	17.4		18.2
\$999 or less \$1,000 to \$1,249 \$1,250 to \$1,499 \$1,500 to \$1,749 \$1,750 to \$1,999	0.5 0.4 0.7 0.9 1.3	0.4 0.4 0.4 	0.6 0.4 0.9 1.3 1.8	0.3	1111	0.5 0.5	1.4 1.1 1.4 2.5 3.3		1.3 0.9 1.3 3.1 3.6
\$2,000 to \$2,249 \$2,250 to \$2,499 \$2,500 to \$2,749 \$2,750 to \$2,999	0.8 0.9 1.6 2.1	0.4 0.6 2.5 1.8	1.0 1.1 1.1 2.3	0.6 0.3 2.2 3.1	0.8 3.0 2.3	1.0 1.6 3.6	1.1 2.2 0.4 0.4		0.9 2.7 0.4 0.4
\$3,000 to \$3,999. \$4,000 to \$4,999. \$5,000 or more. Not reported.	2.3 1.4 1.6 0.6	3.2 2.5 3.1	1.9 0.9 0.8 1.0	2.8 1.6 2.2 0.6	3.0 3.0 3.8	2.6 0.5 1.0 1.0	1.4 1.1 0.4 0.7		0.9 1.3 0.4 0.9
5 minors or more	3.7	3.1	3.9	1.8	0.8	2.6	7.2		5.8
\$999 or less \$1,000 to \$1,249 \$1,250 to \$1,499 \$1,500 to \$1,749 \$1,750 to \$1,999	0.2 0.1 0.1 0.1	1.1	0.4 0.2 0.2 0.2	-	-	-	0.7 0.4 0.4 0.4 1.1		0.9 0.4 0.4 0.4
\$2,000 to \$2,249 \$2,250 to \$2,499 \$2,500 to \$2,749 \$2,750 to \$2,999	0.2 0.4 0.3	0.4	0.2 0.7 0.3	0.3		0.5 0.5	0.7 0.7 0.4		0.4 0.9
\$3,000 to \$3,999 \$4,000 to \$4,999 \$5,000 or more Not reported	0.7 0.5 0.3 0.2	0.4 1.0	0.9 0.2 0.5 0.3	0.3 0.3 0.3 0.3	0.8	0.5 0.5 0.5	1.4 0.7 0.4		1.3 0.4 0.4

¹ Percentage distribution is not shown where the number of cases is less than 100.

Table 5.--RENT AS PERCENT OF INCOME BY FAMILY INCOME IN 1949 OF PRIMARY FAMILIES WITH NO SUBFAMILY OR SECONDARY FAMILY IN REVIER-OCCUPIED SUBSTANDARD DWEILING UNITS, BY FRESENCE OF MINORS AND COLOR, FOR FARRELL, PENNSYLVANIA: 1950

(See table 1 for the Public Housing Administration definition of substandard dwelling unit)

Number of families Percent of total	Total	No minors	With		No	With		No	11111
			minors	Total	minors	minors	Total	minors	With minors
Percent of total	444	201	243	278	107	166	171	94	7
	100.0	45.8	54.7	61.5	24.1	37.4	38.5	21.2	17.
Total	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	(1)	100.0	100.0	(1)	(1
percent or less	21.9	23.5	20,5	25.3	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	22.8	16.4		
O percent to 14 percent	28.3	25.7	30.5	34.3		38.6	18.7	1	
5 percent to 19 percent	14.9	16.8	13,3	15.1		15.8	14.6)	
O percent to 24 percent	8.9	9.9	8.0	7.8		6.9	10.5	ļ	
5 percent to 29 percent	4.3	3.3	5.2	1.2		1.0	9.4	}	
O percent to 34 percent	2.4	0.5.	4.0	0.6		1.0	5.3		
5 percent or more	8.7	9.4	8.2	5.4		3.0	14.0	1	
ot reported	10.6	10.9	10.8	10,2		10.9	11.1		
\$1,499 or less	16.0	14.2	17.5	10.2		9.9	25.1		
percent or less	2.4	1.3	3.3	2.4	`}	3.0	2.3		
O percent to 14 percent	0.8	1.0	0.7	0.6	j	1.0	1.2	ļ	
5 percent to 19 percent	0.2	0.5	- }	- }}	İ	7 -	0.6	-	
O percent to 24 percent 5 percent to 29 percent	1.6	1.8	1.4	1.8	}	2.0	1.2	1	
percent to 29 percent	1.6	1,5	1.6	- 1	. 1	-	4.1	1	
5 percent to 54 percent	1.5		2.7	0.6	}	1.0	2.9		
	7.9	8.1	7.8	4.8		3.0	12.9		
\$1,500 to \$1,999	10.0	11.4	8.9	6.0		4.0	16.4		·
percent or less	-	-	_}	· _ }		_	_!!		
percent to 14 percent	1.0	1.8	0.4	0.6	J	_	1.8	ľ	
percent to 19 percent	3.1	4.6	1.8	2.4		2.0	4.1	j	
percent to 24 percent	2.7	2.6	2.7	1.8		1.0	4.1		
percent to 34 percent	1.7	0.5	2.7	0.6	. 1.	1.0	8.5		
percent or more	0.9	0.5	1.2	0.6		-	2.3		
\$2,000 to \$2,499	16.0	19.0	13.5	12.0	}		}		
percent or less						11.9	22.2		
percent to 14 percent	0.5	1.0	-		1		1.2	ł	
percent to 19 percent.	5.7 5.1	7.4	4.4	4.2		4.0	8.2	ĺ	
percent to 24 percent	3.4	5.6	4.6	4.2	1	5.0	6.4	1	
percent to 29 percent	1.0	3.6	3.3	3.0	1	8.0	4.1		
percent to 34 nemount		1.00	8.0	0.6			1.8	j	
percent or more	0.2	=	0.4	-	.		0.6		
2,500 to \$2,999	18.8	18.1	19.4	97.1					
percent or less				21.1		24.8	15,2		
percent to 14 percent	4.1	4.8	3.9∥	3.0 ∥		4.0	5.8	ļ	
percent to 19 nameant	8.7	7.6	9.6	10.8	1	12.9	5.8	{	
percent to 24 percent	1.2	4.4	5.1	6.0		6.9	2.9		
percent to 29 percent	1.5	1.8	0,7	1.2	1	1.0	1.2		
percent to 34 percent			-	-	. [-			
percent or more	-	-	-	=			1		
3,000 or over	28.6	26.4	30.5	40.4					
percent or less	14.9	30.0	1			38,6	9.9	_,	
percent to 14 percent	12.0	16.9	13.3	19.9	. • [15.8	7.0		
percent to 19 nament	1.7	7.9	15.4	18.1		20.8	2.3		
percent to 24 percent		1.0	1.8	2.4	1	2.0	0.6	ſ	
Dercent to 20 names 1	- 1			- ((-1		1	
Percent to 14 hensen+	-11		-1	-				1	
percent or more	-	-	-		,	-	-		
ot reporting income or rent						-	1		
1 Percentage distribution is n	10.6	10.9	10.8	10.2		10.9	11.1	`	

1950 CENSUS OF HOUSING

SPECIAL TABULATIONS FOR LOCAL HOUSING AUTHORITIES

November 17, 1950

Washington 25, D. C.

Series HC-6, No. 53

ATHENS, GEORGIA: APRIL 1950

This report is based on a transcription and tabulation of data from the 1950 Census of Population and Housing. The statistics relate to dwelling units considered substandard by the Public Housing Administration, in accordance with the contract for these statistics between the Bureau of the Census and the Housing Authority of the City of Athens.

A dwelling unit is considered substandard by the Public Housing Administration if it is either dilapidated or does not have the following plumbing facilities: flush toilet and bath inside the structure for the unit's exclusive use, and hot running water. The Public Housing Administration considers the absence of hot running water to be evidence generally of poor quality of the dwelling unit, but there may be some localities in which the absence of hot running water is not deemed to be a sufficient indication by itself of poor quality. localities, a revised count of substandard units may be obtained from table 1 by subtracting from the total the units which lack hot water only. If it is desired to eliminate the units lacking hot water only from the total count of substandard units, the distributions shown in the tables will not be materially affected.

The criteria used to define substandard units in these tabulations are not identical with the housing characteristics included in the published reports from the 1940 Census. Therefore, any comparison of data in this report with the 1940 results must be made with extreme care. A direct comparison cannot be made with the 1940 results because information on hot running water was not collected at that time. Further, the 1940 data on condition were collected showing dwelling units "needing major repairs," whereas in 1950 data on condition were collected showing units as "dilapidated." Because the definitions of these two terms differ significantly, the 1940 count of dwelling units needing major re-

pairs and the 1950 count of dilapidated dwelling units are comparable only in a general way.

In addition to the number of substandard units shown in the tables, there were 64 other units for which there was no report on either condition or the presence of one of the plumbing facilities. Had there been complete reporting on these items, some additional units might have been found to be substandard.

The data are presented in the series of attached tables. In table 1 the structural and occupancy characteristics are shown for all substandard dwelling units. Table 2 shows the rent paid for renter-occupied substandard units; table 3 shows the condition and plumbing facilities of these same units. Table 4 shows the characteristics of all families (both primary and secondary) living in the substandard units. Table 4a classifies the primary families by family income. Table 5 classifies primary families with no subfamily or secondary family present, by rent as a percent of family income.

In the contract rent tabulation, dwelling units occupied rent free are tabulated in the "\$? or less" category. In the gross rent tabulations, however, such units are tabulated as "not reporting," except when utilities were reported.

DEFINITIONS

The definitions used in this special tabulation are generally the same as those used in the 1950 Census of Population and Housing. Some of the more important definitions are given below.

Dwelling unit. -- In general, a dwelling unit is a group of rooms or a single room, occupied, or intended for occupancy, as separate living quarters by a family or other group of persons living together or by a person living alone.

Primary family. -- A primary family consists of two or more persons including the head of the household and all (one or more) persons in the household who are related to the head by blood, marriage, or adoption. It may include a subfamily consisting of a married couple with or without children, or one parent with one or more children under 18 years of age, living in the household and related to but not including the head of the household or his wife. The subfamily is considered a part of the primary family for all tabulation purposes.

Secondary family. -- A secondary family consists of two or more persons, such as a lodger or servant and his relatives, living in a household and related to each other but not related to the household head. The secondary family is considered a separate family for tabulation purposes.

Minor. -- A minor is an unmarried person under 21 years of age other than the head of a family or his wife.

<u>Lilapidation.--</u>A dwelling unit is considered to be dilapidated when it has serious deficiencies, is rundown or neglected, or is of inadequate original construction, so that it does not provide adequate shelter or protection against the elements or it endangers the safety of the occupants.

<u>Plumbing facilities.--</u>The following are the three plumbing facilities tabulated:

- 1. Flush toilet inside the structure for the unit's exclusive use;
- Installed bathtub or shower inside the structure for the unit's exclusive use; and
- 3. Hot and cold running water inside the structure.

Exclusive use. -- Equipment is for "exclusive use" if it is used only by the occupants of one dwelling unit.

Shared. -- Equipment is "shared" when occupants of two or more units use the same equipment.

Family income. -- Family income is the sum of the income received during 1949, by all members of the family. The following items were asked separately for the head of the family and for all other members of the family combined:

- 1. The amount of money wages or salary received in 1949;
- 2. The amount of net money income received from self-employment in 1949; and

3. The amount of other money income received in 1949, such as interest, dividends, veterans' allowances, pensions, or rents.

Gross rent. -- Monthly gross rent is contract rent plus the reported average monthly cost of water, electricity, gas, and other fuel paid for by the renter. If furniture is included in the contract rent, the reported estimated rent of the dwelling unit without furniture is used for the computation instead of the contract rent.

SOURCE AND RELIABILITY OF THE DATA

The data in tables 1 through 4 are based on complete counts, including all dwelling units and families with the specified characteristics. The distributions involving income in tables 4a and 5, however, were prepared from data collected on a sample basis. As part of the 1950 Census, family income data were obtained from about one-fifth of all families. For these tabulations, additional interviews were made to increase the income sample above the 20 percent level. This was accomplished by a subsequent field enumeration of a sample of families who were not in the original sample but were living in substandard dwelling units.

Although the figures shown in tables 1 through 4 are based on the same data as the forthcoming 1950 Census tabulations of these items, they may differ from those to be published as part of the Census. The present tables were obtained by tabulating directly the data as transcribed in the field offices of the Census Bureau and the figures therefore do not include all of the refinements that result from a careful examination of the schedules.

In addition, the data are subject to other biases due to errors of response or nonreporting which cannot be corrected in editing. Factors affecting the accuracy of enumeration include the respondent's knowledge of the facts and the ability of the enumerator to obtain accurate information on such items as income, rent, dilapidation and plumbing facilities. The regular 1950 tabulations are also subject to these biases.

Reliability of Estimates in Tables 4a and 5

Because of sampling variability, percentage distributions shown in table 4a and all figures in table 5 may differ from those that would have been obtained from a complete count. (The absolute figures in table 4a represent complete

counts and are not subject to sampling variations.) The reliability estimates which follow give approximate measures of the sampling errors to be expected in these sample data.

Reliability of the percentages in tables 4a and 5.—The allowance to be made for sampling variation in a percentage depends, in general, both on the value of the percentage and the size of the base on which it is determined. If two percentages are of the same magnitude, the one based on a large number of cases in the sample

is, in general, less variable than the one based on a small number of sample cases.

Estimates of reliability are shown in the following table for percentages with bases of primary families of the specified types. The chances are about 19 out of 20 that the differences due to sampling variability between the percentages obtained from the sample and the percentages which would have been obtained from a complete census would be less than the sampling errors shown below.

	,		Samp?	ling variabil	ity if the base	is-					
Percentage shown	· All p	rimary familio dwelling		ndard	All primary families with no subfamily or secondar family present, in substandard renter units						
in table	Wh	ite	Non	white	What	ite	Nonw	hite			
	Owner	Renter	Owner	Renter	No minors	With minors	No minors	With minors			
0,5	0.9	0.7	0.9	0.7	1.0	1.0	(¹)	1.1			
1.0	1.3	1.0	1.2	1.0	1.4	1.4	, ,	1.5			
2.0	1.8	1.4	1.7	1.5	1.9	2.0		2.1			
3.0	2.2	1.7	2.1	1.8	2.4	2.4		2.6			
4.0	2.5	1.9	2.4	2.0	2.7	2.8		3,0			
5.0	2,8	2.1	2.7	2.3	8.0	3,1		3,3			
10.0	3,8	2.9	3,7	3.1	4.2	4.3		4.6			
15.0	4.5	3.5	4.4	3.7	5.0	5.1		5.4			
20.0	5.1	3,9	5.0	4.2	5.6	5.7		6.1			
25.0	5.5	4.2	5.4	4.5	6.0	6.2		6.6			
30.0	5.8	4.5	5 . 7	4.8	6.4	6.6		7.0			
40.0	6.2	4.8	6.1	5.1	6.8	7.0		7.5			
50.0	6.4	4.9	6.2	5.2	6.9	7.2		7.6			

1 Omitted because percentage distribution is not shown.

To illustrate, for a figure of 10 percent based on white primary families living in substandard renter dwelling units, the sampling variability is 2.9 percent; the chances are about 19 out of 20 that the percentage obtained by a complete census would have been between 7.1 percent and 12.9 percent.

The sampling variability of a specified percentage of total primary families with designated characteristics will vary according to the proportion of white families and nonwhite families making up this percentage. For example, consider the sampling variability of a figure of 40 percent based on total primary families. The maximum sampling error to be expected of such a figure would occur when the entire 40 percent includes only nonwhite primary families and the chances are about 19 out of 20 that this sampling error would not exceed 1.7 percent. minimum sampling error would occur when the entire 40 percent includes only white primary families and the chances are 19 out of 20 that this sampling error would not exceed 1.4 percent. For other specific characteristics composed of 40 percent of total primary families the sampling variability may assume any value between these two figures.

Reliability of absolute figures in table 5. -The approximate sampling variability of the absolute figures in table 5 is shown below. The chances are 19 out of 20 that differences between the numbers shown in table 5 and the numbers that would have been obtained from a complete census would be less than the sampling errors which follow.

Classification	Sampling variability of absolute figures in table 5								
	Total	White	Nonwhite						
Total	39	17	35						
No minors	62	43	45						
With minors	63	43	47						

Reliability of differences:--The estimates of sampling variability shown in the above tables are not directly applicable to differences obtained by subtracting one figure from another. The sampling variability in an observed difference between two figures depends on the sampling variability of each of the figures and the correlation between them.

Table 1.--STRUCTURAL AND OCCUPANCY CHARACTERISTICS, CONDITION, AND PLUMBING FACILITIES OF OCCUPIED SUBSTANDARD DWELLING (
BY TENURE AND COLOR OF OCCUPANTS, FOR ATHENS, GEORGIA: 1950

(A substandard dwelling unit is defined by the Public Housing Administration as a unit which is either dilapidated or doe have all of the following plumbing facilities: flush toilet and bath inside the structure for the unit's exclusive and hot running water)

Characteristic		Total			White			Nonwhit
OTINI de ver 13 UTC	Total	0wner	Renter	Total	Owner	Renter	Total	Owner
Total number occupied substandard								
dwelling units	3,510	1,416	2,094	1,656	662	994	1,854	754
Percent of total	100.0	40.3	59.7	47.2	18,9	28.3	52,8	21.5
NUMBER OF ROOMS					1			
Total	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0
l room	8.9	3.5	12.6	13.0	5.7	17.9	5.3	1.6
2 rooms	24.2	9.5	34.2	22.2	10.7	29.8	26.1	8.4
7 rooms	26.9 22.7	24.3	28.6	26.8	26.6	27.0	26.9	22.5
rooms	9.9	31.6 18.1	16.7 4.3	21.4	27.3 15.7	17.5	23.8	35.3
rooms	4.6	8.0	2.3	4.4	7.9	4.5 2.1	10.7 4.8	20.2
7 rooms	1.1	2.3	0.3	1.1	2.4	0.3	1.1	2.3
lot reported	0.7	1.5	0.1	0.9	2.1	0.1	0.4	0.9
	1.0	1.3	0.8	1.1	.1.5	0.8	0.9	1.1
CONDITION								
Total	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0
ot dilapidated	53.5	65.2	45.6	74.7	84.6	68.1	34.5	48.1
ot reported	42.7 3.8	31.5	50.3 4.1	19.7 5.6	10.7	25.8	63.3	49.7
WATER SUPPLY			±• ±	5.6	4.7	6.1	2.2	2.1
Total	100.0	100.0	300.0					
ot and cold piped running water incide atmusture		100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0
miy coid pided running water incide ctructure	13.6 41.9	11.1 48.6	15.3 37.4	26.9	20.2	31.3	1.7	3,1
o piped running water inside structure	44.3	40.1	47.1	65.2 7.9	71.5 8.3	61.1	21.1	28.5
ot reported	0.2	0.2	0.1	0.1	-	7.5 0.1	76.9 0.3	68.0 0.4
TOILET FACILITIES								0,1
Total	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	300.0	100.0
lush toilet inside structure, exclusive use	21.9	32.4	14.8	34.1			100.0	100.0
lush toilet inside structure, sharedther toilet facilities (including privy)	19.9	11.3	25.7	38.1	48.6 22.7	24.3 48.4	11.0	18.2
t reported. ?	57.8	55.9	59.0	27.4	28.4	26.8	3.6 84.9	1.3 80.1
*	0.5	0.4	0.5	0.4	0.3	0.5	0.5	0.4
BATHING FACILITIES			•				-	
Total	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	300.0	100.0
stalled bathtub or shower inside structure,					200.10	100.0	100.0	100.0
METALLEG Dathtub or shower incide at-	15.1	Sl.O	11.1	24.1	31.7	19.0	7.1	11.7
uidi eti	17.6	9.5	23.1	05.0				
t reported	66.2	68.1	64.9	35.0 40.2	19.3	45.5	2.1	0.9
	1.1	1.3	1.0	0.7	48.0	35.0 0.5	89.3 1.5	85.7
NUMBER OF PERSONS						•••	1.0	1.7
Total	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	700.0	300		
					100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0
person	12.2	10.71					20 01	10.1
person. persons. persons.	12.2	10.7	13.2	11.0	11.3	10.8	13.3	
person. persons. persons.	31.1	28.7	32.7 20.9	36.1	27.6	41.8	26.6	29.6
person. persons persons. persons.	31.1 21.1 14.0	28.7 21.4 15.1	32.7 20.9 13.2	36.1 21.7 14.9		41.8 21.2	26.6	29.6 20.6
person. persons. persons. persons. persons. persons.	31.1	28.7 21.4 15.1 9.6	32.7 20.9 13.2 8.5	36.1 21.7 14.9 7.4	27.6 22.4 15.4 9.8	41.8	26.6	29.6 20.6 14.9
persons persons persons persons persons persons persons	31.1 21.1 14.0 8.9 5.3 2.8	28.7 21.4 15.1	32.7 20.9 13.2 8.5 4.4	36.1 21.7 14.9 7.4 4.2	27.6 22.4 15.4 9.8 6.6	41.8 21.2 14.5 5.7 2.5	26.6 20.6 13.2 10.4 6.3	29.6 20.6 14.9 9.4 6.4
persons persons persons persons persons persons persons	31.1 21.1 14.0 8.9 5.3 2.8 1.8	28.7 21.4 15.1 9.6 6.5 2.9 2.1	32.7 20.9 13.2 8.5	36.1 21.7 14.9 7.4 4.2 1.8	27.6 22.4 15.4 9.8 6.6 2.1	41.8 21.2 14.5 5.7 2.5 1.6	26.6 20.6 13.2 10.4 6.3 3.8	29.6 20.6 14.9 9.4 6.4 3.6
person. persons persons persons persons persons persons persons persons persons or more.	31.1 21.1 14.0 8.9 5.3 2.8	28.7 21.4 15.1 9.6 6.5 2.9	32.7 20.9 13.2 8.5 4.4 2.8	36.1 21.7 14.9 7.4 4.2	27.6 22.4 15.4 9.8 6.6	41.8 21.2 14.5 5.7 2.5	26.6 20.6 13.2 10.4 6.3 3.8 1.9	29.6 20.6 14.9 9.4 6.4 3.6 1.2
persons persons persons persons persons persons persons persons persons persons NUMBER OF LODGERS	31.1 21.1 14.0 8.9 5.3 2.8 1.8	28.7 21.4 15.1 9.6 6.5 2.9 2.1	32.7 20.9 13.2 8.5 4.4 2.8 1.6	36.1 21.7 14.9 7.4 4.2 1.8	27.6 22.4 15.4 9.8 6.6 2.1 3.2	41.8 21.2 14.5 5.7 2.5 1.6 0.7	26.6 20.6 13.2 10.4 6.3 3.8	29.6 20.6 14.9 9.4 6.4 3.6
persons persons persons persons persons persons persons persons persons NUMBER OF LODGERS	31.1 21.1 14.0 8.9 5.3 2.8 1.8	28.7 21.4 15.1 9.6 6.5 2.9 2.1	32.7 20.9 13.2 8.5 4.4 2.8 1.6	36.1 21.7 14.9 7.4 4.2 1.8 1.7	27.6 22.4 15.4 9.8 6.6 2.1 3.2 1.5	41.8 21.2 14.5 5.7 2.5 1.6 0.7 1.2	26.6 20.6 13.2 10.4 6.3 3.8 1.9	29.6 20.6 14.9 9.4 6.4 3.6 1.2 4.4
person. persons. persons. persons. persons. persons. persons. persons. persons. persons or more. NUMBER OF LODGERS	31.1 21.1 14.0 8.9 5.3 2.8 1.8 2.8	28.7 21.4 15.1 9.6 6.5 2.9 2.1 3.0	32.7 20.9 13.2 8.5 4.4 2.8 1.6 2.6	36.1 21.7 14.9 7.4 4.2 1.8	27.6 22.4 15.4 9.8 6.6 2.1 3.2	41.8 21.2 14.5 5.7 2.5 1.6 0.7	26.6 20.6 13.2 10.4 6.3 3.8 1.9	29.6 20.6 14.9 9.4 6.4 3.6 1.2

Table 1.--STRUCTURAL AND OCCUPANCY CHARACTERISTICS, CONDITION, AND PLUMBING FACILITIES OF OCCUPIED SUBSTANDARD DWELLING UNITS, BY TENURE AND COLOR OF OCCUPANTS, FOR ATHENS, GEORGIA: 1950--Con.

⁽A substandard dwelling unit is defined by the Public Housing Administration as a unit which is either dilapidated or does not have all of the following plumbing facilities: flush toilet and bath inside the structure for the unit's exclusive use, and hot running water)

Characteristic		Total			White		N	onwhite	
onarae eristie	Total	Owner	Renter	Total	Owner	Renter	Total	Owner	Renter
CONDITION AND PLUMBING FACILITIES	,								
Total	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0
Not dilapidated: With private bath and private flush toilet, no hot running water With private flush toilet, no private bath With running water, no private flush toilet No running water inside the structure	9.2 5.9 24.6 13.4	15.1 10.4 21.9 17.1	5.2 2.3 26.4 10.9	16.3 9.5 44.6 3.8	25.7 17.2 36.4 4.4	10.1 4.3 50.0 3.4	2.9 2.6 6.7 22.0	5.0 4.4 9.2 28.2	0.8 1.5 5.1 17.7
Dilapidated: With private bath and private flush toilet, hot and cold running water	1.5	1.8 1.8 2.5 3.5 21.8	2.7 1.4 1.8 9.5 34.9	4.1 1.6 1.4 9.2 3.3	2.4 0.8 1.4 3.0 5.0	5.2 2.2 1.4 13.3 3.4	0.7 1.5 2.7 5.1 53.1	2.7 3.4 3.8 38.2	0.4 0.6 2.2 6.0 63.3
Not reporting condition or plumbing facilities	4.4	4.3	4.4	6.3	5.7	6.6	2.7	3.1	2.5
CONDITION BY NUMBER OF PLUMBING FACILITIES	}			(**)			<u> </u>		
Total	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0
Not dilapidated: Lacking 1 facility. Lacking 2 facilities. Lacking 3 facilities.	14.8	17.2 16.3 30.9	5.7 13.7 25.9	18.4 27.7 28.1	29.2 29.0 25.5	11.2 26.3 29.9	3.2	6.8 5.2 35.7	i .
Dilapidated: With all facilities. Lacking 1 facility. Lacking 2 facilities. Lacking 3 facilities.	2.3 1.6 3.3 35.3	3.2	2.7 1.4 3.4 42.6		0.8 2.0	14.9	1.6 3.9 56.9	41.1	0.7 3.6 67.7
Not reporting condition or plumbing facilities	4.4	4.3	4.4	6.3	5.7	6.6	2.7	3.1	2.5
NUMBER OF DWELLING UNITS IN STRUCTURE						100.0	300.0	100.0	100.0
Total	100.0	100.0	100.0	1		 	 		
1 dwelling unit	35.0	ii .	46.7	44.1	28.4	54.6	26.9	8.5	39.5

Table 2.--CONTRACT AND GROSS RENT OF RENTER-OCCUPIED SUBSTANDARD DWELLING UNITS, BY COLOR OF OCCUPANTS, FOR ATHEMS, CEORGIA: 1950

(See table 1 for the Public Housing Administration definition of substandard dwelling unit)

Monthly rent	Total	White	Nonwhite	Monthly rent	Total	White	Nonwhite
Total number renter-occupied substandard dwelling units	2,094	994	1,100	FURNITURE IN RENT	100.0	100.0	100.0
Percent of total MONTHLY CONTRACT RENT	100.0	47.5	52,5	Furniture included in contract rent. Furniture not included in contract rent	10.0 79.7 10.3	18.7 70.0 11.3	88.5 9.4
Total	100.0	100.0	100.0	MONTHLY GROSS RENT	100.0	100.0	100.
\$9 or less	45.4 21.3 9.2 8.1 6.1	14.5 24.7 15.0 14.5 12.4	73.4 18.3 4.0 2.3 0.4	\$9 or less \$10 to \$14 \$15 to \$19	15.3 23.4 19.0 11.3	4.2 12.8 15.3 13.4 17.0	25. 32. 22. 9.
\$30 to \$34	3.5 2.3 2.0 0.8 1.3	7.4 4.7 4.1 1.7	0.1	\$40 to \$49 \$50 or more	6.4 3.9 4.1 2.3	12.1 8.2 8.5 4.8	0.00

Table 3.--CONDITION AND PLUMBING FACILITIES OF RENTER-OCCUPIED SUBSTANDARD DWELLING UNITS, BY GROSS RENT, FOR ATHEMS, GEORGIA: 1950

					Monthly g	ross rent	;		
Condition and plumbing facilities	Total	\$19 or less	\$20 to \$24	\$25 to \$29	\$30 to \$34	\$35 to \$39	\$ 40 to \$ 49	\$50 or more	Not reported
Total number renter-occupied substandard dwelling units	2,094	1,206	237	216	135	82	85	49	84
Percent of total	100.0	57.6	11.3	10.3	6.4	3.9	4.1	2,3	4.0
				1					
Not dilapidated: With private bath and private flush toilet, no hot running water With private flush toilet, no private bath With running water, no private flush toilet No running water inside structure	5.2 2.8 26.4 10.9	1.0 0.8 8.9 9.0	0.5 0.6 2.7 0.8	0.9 0.5 4.6 0.3	0.7 0.4 3.5 0.3	0.6 - 2.4 -	0.8 0.1 2.5	0.5 0.2 1.0 0.1	0.3 0.1 0.8 0.4
Dilapidated: With private bath and private flush toilet, hot and cold running water	2.7	0.3	0.8	0.9	0.1	0.2	0.1	0.2	
no hot running water	1.4 1.8 9.5	0.3 1.1 5.0	2.0	0.3 0.5 1.0	0.2 - 0.4 0.3	0.2	0.1	0.1	0.1 0.2 0.4
Not reporting condition or plumbing facilities.	34.9 4.4	28.8	0.6	0.4	0.4	0.1	0.1	0.1	0,1

Table 3a.--CONDITION AND PLUMBING FACILITIES OF RENTER-OCCUPIED SUBSTANDARD DWELLING UNITS, BY GROSS RENT, FOR WHITE HOUSEHOLDS FOR ATHENS, GEORGIA: 1950

					Monthly a	gross ren	t		
Condition and plumbing facilities	Total	\$19 or less	\$20 to \$24	\$25 to \$29	\$30 to \$34	\$35 to \$39	\$40 to \$49	\$50 or more	Not reported
Total number renter-occupied substandard dwelling units	994	321	133	169	120	82	84	48	37
Percent of total	100.0	32.3	13.4	17.0	12.1	8.2	8.5	4.8	3,7
Not dilapidated: With private bath and private flush toilet,	, ,	_	_						
no hot running water	10.1	1.7 1.0	1.0	1.5 1.0	1.4	1.3	1.6	0.4	0.8
With running water, no private flush toilet No running water inside structure	50.0 3.4	14.4 2.3	5.2 0.3	9.2 0.2	7.2 0.1	5.0 0.1	5,2	2.1	1.6
Dilapidated:				'					
With private bath and private flush toilet, hot and cold running water	5.2	0.4	1.6	1.8	0.2	0.5	0.2	0.5	-
no hot running water	2.2	0.3	0.4	0.5	0.4	0.4	0.1	-	0.1
With private flush toilet, no private bath With running water, no private flush toilet No running water inside structure	1.4 13.3 3.4	0.5 5.7 2.6	3.0	0.3 1.7 0.1	0.3	0.6	0.2	0.2	0.1 0.5 0.4
Not reporting condition or plumbing facilities		3.3	0.9	0.7	0.8	0.3	0.2	. 0.2	0.0

Table 3b.--CONDITION AND PLUMBING FACILITIES OF RENTER-OCCUPIED SUBSTANDARD DWELLING UNITS, BY GROSS RENT, FOR NONWHITE HOUSEHOLDS, FOR ATHENS, GEORGIA: 1950

(See table 1 for the Public Housing Administration definition of substandard dwelling unit)

			· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		Monthly 6	ross ren	t		
Condition and plumbing facilities	Total	\$19 or less	\$20 to \$24	\$25 to \$29	\$30 to \$34	\$35 to \$39	\$40 to \$49	\$50, or more	Not reported
Total number renter-occupied substandard dwelling units	1,100	. 885	104	47	15	_	1	1	47
Percent of total	1.00.0	80.5	9,5	4.3	1.4	_	0.1	0.1	4.3
Not dilapidated: With private bath and private flush toilet, no hot running water With private flush toilet, no private bath With running water, no private flush toilet No running water inside structure	0.8 1.5 5.1 17.7	0.3 0.6 3.9 15.0	0.1 0.5 0.4 1.2	0.3 0.1 0.5 0.5	0.2 0.1 0.5	-	0.1		0.2
Dilapidated: With private bath and private flush toilet, hot and cold running water With private bath and private flush toilet, no hot running water With private flush toilet, no private bath With running water, no private flush toilet No running water inside structure	0.4 0.6 2.2 6.0 63.3	0.2 0.4 1.6 4.3 52.5	0.1 1.0 5.9	0.1 0.1 0.2 0.4 2.0	- - 045	-	-	-	0.1 0.2 0.3 0.4 2.4
Not reporting condition or plumbing facilities	2.5	1.7	0.3	0.2	0.1			0.1	0.1

Table 4.--CHARACTERISTICS OF FAMILIES IN SUBSTANDARD DWELLING UNITS, BY TENURE AND COLOR, FOR ATHENS, GEORGIA: 1950

		Total			White			Nonwhite	
Characteristic	Total	Owner	Renter	Total	Owner	Renter	Total	Owner	Renter
Total number of families	3,063	1,253	1,810	1,464	585	879	1,599	668	
Percent of total	100.0	40.9	59.1	47.8	19.1	28.7	52.2	21.8	30.4
TYPE OF FAMILY									-
Total	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0
Primary family	97.6 2.4	97.4 2.6	97.7 2.3	99.0 1.0	98.8 1.2	99.1 0.9	96.3 3.7	96.1 3.9	96.5 3.5
NUMBER OF PERSONS IN FAMILY								-	
Total	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0
2 persons	37.5 23.8 16.0 9.4 5.5 2.8 4.9	35.1 22.8 16.6 10.5 6.9 2.5 5.7	39.2 24.4 15.6 8.7 4.6 3.0 4.4	41.1 24.6 17.0 7.9 4.7 1.6 3.0	32.6 25.0 17.9 10.3 7.5 1.7 5.0	46.8 24.3 16.4 6.4 2.8 1.6	34.3 23.0 15.1 10.8 6.3 3.9 6.7	37.3 21.0 15.4 10.6 6.3 3.1 6.3	32.1 24.5 14.8 11.0 6.2 4.4 7.0
NUMBER OF PERSONS PER ROOM IN DWELLING UNIT									
Total	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0
0.50 or less. 0.51 to 0.75. 0.76 to 1.00. 1.01 to 1.50. 1.51 to 2.00. 2.01 or more. Not reported.	10.6 19.3 26.5 18.0 16.4 8.1	19.1 23.9 24.3 16.7 10.5 4.3	4.8 16.2 28.0 19.0 20.6 10.7 0.8	9.8 20.5 28.8 17.3 18.2 4.1	16.6 22.4 25.8 18.6 11.8 3.1	5.3 19.2 30.8 16.5 22.5 4.8 0.8	11.4 18.3 24.4 18.6 14.8 11.8	21.8 25.1 23.1 15.0 9.8 5.4	21.3
NUMBER OF MINORS IN FAMILY		(
Total	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0
No minors. 1 minor. 2 minors. 3 minors. 5 minors. 6 minors or more.	43.3 24.1 14.4 8,1 4.6 2.4 3.1	44.7 23.0 13.2 8.7 4.8 2.3	42.3 24.9 15.1 7.6 4.5 2.5	45.6 26.2 15.2 6.6 3.5 1.3	40.7 27.7 14.0 8,7 4.6 2.1 2.2	15.9 5.2 2.7 0.8	41.2 22.1 13.6 9.4 5.7 3.5 4.4	48.2 18.9 12.6 8.7 4.9 2.5	24.5 14.4 9.6 6.4

Table 4a.--INCOME IN 1949 OF PRIMARY FAMILIES IN SUBSTANDARD DWELLING UNITS, BY NUMBER OF MINORS, TENURE, AND COLOR, FOR ATHENS, GEORGIA: 1950

Family income by		Total			White			Nonwhite	
number of minors	Total	Owner	Renter	Total	Owner	Renter	Total	Owner	Renter
Total number of primary families	2,989	.1,220	1,769	1,449	578	871	1,540	642	. 898
Percent of total	100.0	40.8	59,2	48.5	19.3	29.1	51.5	21.5	30.0
Total	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	1.00.0	100.0
\$999 or less	27.9 10.9 9.0 6.0 5.7	28.8 13.2 5.9 5.3 5.3	27.3 9.3 11.1 6.6 5.9	13.8 5.5 6.1 4.1 5.7	13.9 6.9 4.0 3.5 5.2	13.8 4.6 7.4 4.6 6.0	41.1 16.0 11.7 7.9 5.6	42.2 18.9 7.6 7.0 5.4	40.4 13.8 14.6 8.5 5.8
\$2,000 to \$2,249 \$2,250 to \$2,499 \$2,500 to \$2,749 \$2,750 to \$2,999	5.8 4.9 4.5 3.3	5.6 5.5 5.2 3.8	5.9 4.5 4.0 3.0	8.1 7.5 8.4 6.4	7.5 8.1 9.8 7.5	8.5 7.1 7.4 5.7	3.6 2.5 0.9 0.4	3.8 3.2 1.1 0.5	3.5 1.9 0.8 0.4
\$3,000 to \$3,999 \$4,000 to \$4,999 \$5,000 or more Not reported	7.7 3.7 1.9 8.7	7.7 4.8 2.5 6.4	7.6 3.0 1.6 10.3	12.7 6.9 4.0 10.9	12.1 8.6 5.2 7.5	13.1 5.7 3.2 13.1	2.9 0.7 - 6.7	3.7 1.1 5.4	2.3 0.4 - 7.7
No minors	44.7	46.1	43.7	47.5	43.9	49.8	42.0	48.1	37.7
\$1,000 to \$1,249	13.2 5.1 3.7 2.3 2.9	15.5 6.8 2.3 1.7 3.1	11.5 4.0 4.6 2.7 2.8	6.8 2.4 2.8 2.6 2.9	7.5 2.9 1.2 1.7 2.9	6.4 2.1 3.9 3.2 2.8	19.1 7.6 4.5 2.0 2.9	22.7 10.3 3.2 1.6 3.2	16,5 5,8 5,4 2,3 2,7
\$2,000 to \$2,249	2.5 2.2 2.5 1.3	2.5 3.1 2.5 1.4	2.5 1.6 2.5 1.2	4.2 3.5 4.6 2.6	4.0 4.6 2.9	4.2 3.2 4.6 2.5	0.9 0.9 0.4 -	1.1 2.2 0.5	0.8
\$3,000 to \$3,999 \$4,000 to \$4,999 \$5,000 or more	. 3.0 1.5 0.4 4.2	2.2 2.2 0.3 2.8	3.5 1.0 0.5 5.2	5.4 3.1 0.9 5.6	4.0 4.6 0.6 2.9	6.4 2.1 1.1 7.4	0.7 - - 2.9	0.5 - - 2.7	0.8 - - 3.1
One minor	25.2	25.6	24.9	26.7	30.6	24.0	23.8	21.1	25,8
\$999 or less \$1,000 to \$1,249 \$1,250 to \$1,499 \$1,500 to \$1,749 \$1,750 to \$1,999	7.1 3.3 2.1 1.2 0.8	6.5 3.4 2.0 1.1 0.6	7.6 3.2 2.3 1.3 0.9	3.9 2.0 1.5 0.9 0.7	3.5 2.3 1.7 1.2 0.6	4.2 1.8 1.4 0.7	10.1 4.5 2.7 1.6 0.9	9.2 4.3 2.2 1.1 0.5	10.8 4.6 3.1 1.9 1.2
\$2,000 to \$2,249	1.6 1.4 0.7 1.0	2.0 0.8 0.8 0.8	1.4 1.8 0.5 1.0	2.0 2.2 1.1 2.0	1.7 1.2 1.7 1.7	2.1 2.8 0.7 2.1	1.4 0.7 0.2	2.2 0.5 -	0.8 0.8 0.4
\$3,000 to \$3,999. \$4,000 to \$4,999. \$5,000 or more. Not reported.	2.4 0.8 0.7 2.2	2.7 1.1 1.4 2.5	2.1 0.5 0.2 2.0	4.4 1.6 1.4 3.1	5.8 2.3 2.9 4.0	3.5 1.1 0.4 2.5	0.4 - - 1.3	1.1	0.8
Two minors	13.9	12.9	14.6	15.1	12.7	16.6	12.8	13.0	12,7
\$999 or less. \$1,000 to \$1,249. \$1,250 to \$1,499. \$1,500 to \$1,749. \$1,750 to \$1,999.	0.6	2.3 1.4 1.1 0.8 0.6	3.4 1.2 1.7 0.6 0.7	1.3 0.4 1.3 0.2 1.1	0.6 0.6 0.6 0.6	1.8 0.4 1.8 -	4.5 2.0 1.6 1.1 0.2	3.8 2.2 1.6 1.1 0.5	5.0 1.9 1.5 1.2
\$2,000 to \$2,249. \$2,250 to \$2,499. \$2,500 to \$2,749. \$2,750 to \$2,999.	0.4	0.6 0.5 0.8 0.5	1.3 0.4 0.9 0.7	1.1 0.7 1.8 1.1	0.6 1.2 1.7 1.2	1.4 0.4 1.8 1.1	0.9 0.2 - 0.2	0.5	1.2 0.4 -
\$3,000 to \$3,999. \$4,000 to \$4,999. \$5,000 or more. Not reported.	0.7	1.9 0.6 0.8 0.8	0.9 0.9 0.5 1.6	2.0 1.3 1.3	2.3 0.6 1.7	1.8 1.8 1.1	0.7 0.2 -	1.6 0.5	1.2

Table 4a.--INCOME IN 1949 OF PRIMARY FAMILIES IN SUBSTANDARD DWELLING UNITS, BY NUMBER OF MINORS, TENURE, AND COLOR, FOR ATHENS, GEORGIA: 1950--Con.

Family income by		Total			White			Nonwhite	
number of minors	Total	Owner	Renter	Total	Owner	Renter	Total	Owner	Renter
Three or four minors	11.5	11.5	11 =						
nuree or rour minors		11.0	. 11.5	8.6	10.4	7.4	14.2	12.4	15.4
\$999 or less	3.5	2.8	4.0	1.8	2.3	1.4	5.2	3.2	6.5
\$1,000 to \$1,249	8.0	1.1	0.6	-			1.6	2.1	1.2
\$1,250 to \$1,499	1.0	0.6	1.3	0.4	0.6	0.4	1.6	0.5	2.3
\$1,500 to \$1,749	1.0	1.1	0.9	0.4	-	0.7	1.6	2.2	1.2
\$1,750 to \$1,999	0.7	0.8	0.6	0.4	-0.6	0.4	0.9	1.1	0.8
\$2,000 to \$2,249	0.8	0.3	0.4	0.4	0.6	0.4	0.2	_	0.4
\$2,250 to \$2,499	0.8	1.1	0.5	1.1	1.7	0.7	0.4	0.5	0.4
\$2,500 to \$2,749	0.4	0.8	0.2	0.9	1.7	0.4	-	0.0	O # T
\$2,750 to \$2,999	0.5	1.1	-	0.7	1.7	-	0.2	0.5	_
\$3,000 to \$3,999	0.8	0.6	0.9	0.6	_	1.1	0.9	1.1	0.8
\$4,000 to \$4,999	0.6	0.8	0.4	0.7	1.2	0.4	0.4	0.5	0.4
\$5,000 or more	0.2	V.0	0.3	0.4	100	0.4	0.4	0.5	U•4
Not reported	0.9	0.3	1.3	0.6	_	1.1	1.1	0.5	1.5
·									
5 minors or more	4.8	3.9	5,3	2.2	2.3	2.1	7.2	5,4	8.5
\$ 999 or less	1.2	1.7	0.8	_	_	_	2.2	3.2	1.5
\$1,000 to \$1,249	0.4	0.5	0.4	0.7	1.2	0.4	0.2	_	0.4
\$1,250 to \$1,499	0.7	-	1.2		-	-	1.3	_	2,3
\$1,500 to \$1,749	0.8	0.6	1.0	_	-	_	1.6	1.1	1.9
\$1,750 to \$1,999	0.7	0.3	0.9	0.7	0.6	0.7	0.7	-	1.2
\$2,000 to \$2,249	0.3	0.3	0.4	0.4	0.6	0.4	0.2	_	0.4
\$2,250 to \$2,499	0.1	-	0.2	_	1	-	0.2	-	0.4
\$2,500 to \$2,749	0.1	0.3	_	- 1	-	-	0.2	0.5	-
\$2,750 to \$2,999	-	-	_	-	-	-	-	-	~
\$3,000 to \$3,999	0.2	0.3	0.2	0.2	_	0.4	0.2	0.5	_
\$4,000 to \$4,999	0.1		0.2	0.2		0.4	-	-	-
\$5,000 or more	1	i -	_	-	-	-	-	-	j -
Not reported	0.1	-	0.2		N -	-	0.2	-	0.4

Table 5.--RENT AS PERCENT OF INCOME BY FAMILY INCOME IN 1949 OF PRIMARY FAMILIES WITH NO SUBFAMILY OR SECONDARY FAMILY IN ENTER-OCCUPIED SUBSTANDARD DWELLING UNITS, BY PRESENCE OF MINORS AND COLOR, FOR ATHENS, GEORGIA: 1950

		Total			White			Nonwhite	
Gross rent as percent of income by family income	Total	No minors	With minors	Total	No minors	With minors	Total	No minors	With minor:
Number of families	1,580	756	824	834	431	403	7.0		
							746	325	
Percent of total	100.0	47.8	52,2	52.8	27.3	25.5	47.2	20.6	2
Total	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	(1)	10
9 percent or less	21.2	23.4	19.2	20.7	25.7	15.3	21.8		2
10 percent to 14 percent	19.7	19.4	20.0	22.9	22.9	22.9	16.2		ī
15 percent to 19 percent 20 percent to 24 percent	19.0 8.6	16.5	21.3	17.3	12.9	22.1	20,8		2
25 percent to 29 percent	5.8	6.6 7.0	10.4	6.3 5.5	4.3	8.4	11.1	l	1
30 percent to 34 percent	2.5	2.1	2.8	2.6	5.0 2.9	6.1	6.0	1	
35 percent or more	10.9	11.2	10.7	10.0	9.3	2.3	2.3 12.0		,
Not reported	12.4	13.9	11.0	14.8	17.1	12.2	9.7		1
\$1,499 or less	46.5	44.5	48.3	25.1	24.3	26.0	70.4		6
9 percent or less	6.9	6.2	7.4	, ,	0.7	7.5			
10 percent to 14 percent	6.4	7.4	7.4 5.4	1.8 2.6	2.1 5.0	1.5	12.5		1
15 percent to 19 percent	9.8	8.1	11.4	4.1	2.1	6.1	10.6		1
20 percent to 24 percent	7.2	5.7	8.5	3.7	2.9	4.6	16.2 11.1		1
25 percent to 29 percent 30 percent to 34 percent	4.2	5.3	3.2	2.6	2.1	3.1	6.0	1	1
35 percent or more	1.5	0.9	2.0	.0.7	0.7	0.8	2.3		
	10.5	10.8	10.3	9.6	9.3	9.9	11.6		1
\$1,500 to \$1,999	11,4	12.5	10.4	10.0	11.4	8.4	13.0		1
9 percent or less	2.8	3,1	2.5	, ,					
10 percent to 14 percent	2.9	3.4	2.5	1.1 1.8	1.4	8.0	4.6		
15 percent to 19 percent.	3.7	3.9	3.5	3.7	3.6 3.6	-	4.2		
20 percent to 24 percent	0.2	-	0.4	0.4	3,0	3.8	3.7		
25 percent to 29 percent 30 percent to 34 percent	0.6	0.8	0.4	1.1	1.4	0.8	-		
35 percent or more	0.8	0.8	0.7	1.5	1.4	1.5	-11		
	0.4	0.5	0.4	0.4		0.8	0.5		
\$2,000 to \$2,499	10.4	9.1	11.6	15.1	14.3	16.0	5.1		
9 percent or less	3.7	4.1	3.3						
10 percent to 14 percent.	3.1	2.9	3.4	3.7	6.4	8.0	3.7		
15 percent to 19 percent	2.4	1.3	3.4	5.5 3.7	5.0	6.1	0.5		
20 percent to 24 percent 25 percent to 29 percent	0.8	0.4	1.1	1.5	1.4	6.1	0.9		
30 percent to 34 percent	0.2	-	0.4	0.4	0.7	2.3	-		
35 percent or more	0.2	0.4		0.4	0.7	0.8	- 11		
	-	-	-	-	_	_ [-		
\$2,500 to \$2,999	7.6	8.6		_					
9 percent or less			6.8	13.7	14.3	13,0	0.9		
10 percent to 14 percent	1.0	1.6	0.4	1.8	2.9	0.8	_		
Dercent to 19 percent	2.2	2.9	3.4	5.2	4.3	6.1	0.9	-	
co percent to 24 nercent	0.4	2.9	1.9	4.4	5.0	3.8		j	
co percent to 29 nercent	0.8	0.4	0.4	0.7	0.7	0.8	_]	
O percent to 34 names +	_	-	0.7	1.5	1.4	1.5	-		
35 percent or more	-	-	-1	_	-	-		1	
\$3,000 or over	11.7	11.5			-	-	-		
percent or less			11.9	21.4	18.6	24.4	0.9		
O percent to 12 names 1	6.9	8.2	5.6	12.2	12.9	11.5	ا ۾ ۾	T	
Dercent to 10 names 1	4.1 0.8	2.9	5.2	7.7	5.0	10.7	0.9	ŀ	
O Delicelli to 24 papagent	0.8	0.4	1.1	1.5	0.7	2.3	~ {	1	
	- 1	-	- [-	_	~•~	=	1	
	- 1	-	-	-	-	_	-		
55 percent or more	-		-	-	-	-	-1		
Not reporting income or rent			-	-	-	-	-		
1 Percentage district	12.4	13.9	11.0	14.8	17.1	12.2	9.7		

¹ Percentage distribution is not shown where the number of cases in the sample is less than 100.

1950 CENSUS OF HOUSING

SPECIAL TABULATIONS FOR LOCAL HOUSING AUTHORITIES

November 17, 1950

Washington 25, D. C.

Series HC-6, No. 54

ROCK ISLAND. ILLINOIS: APRIL 1950

This report is based on a transcription and tabulation of data from the 1950 Census of Population and Housing. The statistics relate to dwelling units considered substandard by the Public Housing Administration, in accordance with the contract for these statistics between the Eureau of the Census and the Housing Authority of the City of Rock Island.

A dwelling unit is considered substandard by the Public Housing Administration if it is either dilapidated or does not have the following plumbing facilities: flush toilet and bath inside the structure for the unit's exclusive The Public Housing use, and hot running water. Administration considers the absence of hot running water to be evidence generally of poor quality of the dwelling unit, but there may be some localities in which the absence of hot running water is not deemed to be a sufficient indication by itself of poor quality. In such localities, a revised count of substandard units may be obtained from table 1 by subtracting from the total the units which lack hot water only. If it is desired to eliminate the units lacking hot water only from the total count of substandard units, the distributions shown in the tables will not be materially affected.

The criteria used to define substandard units in these tabulations are not identical with the housing characteristics included in the published reports from the 1940 Census. Therefore, any comparison of data in this report with the 1940 results must be made with extreme care. A direct comparison cannot be made with the 1940 results because information on hot running water was not collected at that time. Further, the 1940 data on condition were collected showing dwelling units "needing major repairs," whereas

in 1950 data on condition were collected showing units as "dilapidated." Because the definitions of these two terms differ significantly, the 1940 count of dwelling units needing major repairs and the 1950 count of dilapidated dwelling units are comparable only in a general way.

The data are presented in the series of attached tables. In table 1 the structural and occupancy characteristics are shown for all substandard dwelling units. Table 2 shows the rent paid for renter-occupied substandard units; table 3 shows the condition and plumbing facilities of these same units. Table 4 shows the characteristics of all families (both primary and secondary) living in the substandard units. Table 4a classifies the primary families by family income. Table 5 classifies primary families with no subfamily or secondary family present, by rent as a percent of family income.

In the contract rent tabulation, dwelling units occupied rent-free are tabulated in the "\$9 or less" category. In the gross rent tabulations, however, such units are tabulated as "not reporting," except when utilities were reported.

DEFINITIONS

The definitions used in this special tabulation are generally the same as those used in the 1950 Census of Population and Housing. Some of the more important definitions are given below.

Dwelling unit. -- In general, a dwelling unit is a group of rooms or a single room, occupied, or intended for occupancy, as separate living quarters by a family or other group of persons living together or by a person living alone.

Primary family. A primary family consists of two or more persons including the head of the household and all (one or more) persons in the household who are related to the head by blood, marriage, or adoption. It may include a subfamily consisting of a married couple with or without children, or one parent with one or more children under 18 years of age, living in the household and related to but not including the head of the household or his wife. The subfamily is considered a part of the primary family for all tabulation purposes.

Secondary family. -- A secondary family consists of two or more persons, such as a lodger or servant and his relatives, living in a household and related to each other but not related to the household head. The secondary family is considered a separate family for tabulation purposes.

Minor.-- minor is an unmarried person under 21 years of age other than the head of a family or his wife.

Dilapidation. -- A dwelling unit is considered to be dilapidated when it has serious deficiencies, is rundown or neglected, or is of inadequate original construction, so that it does not provide adequate shelter or protection against the elements or it endangers the safety of the occupants.

Plumbing facilities. -- The following are the three plumbing facilities tabulated:

- Flush toilet inside the structure for the unit's exclusive use;
- 2. Installed bathtub or shower inside the structure for the unit's exclusive use; and
- 3. Hot and cold running water inside the structure.

Exclusive use.~-Equipment is for "exclusive use" if it is used only by the occupants of one dwelling unit.

Shared .-- Equipment is "shared" when occupants of two or more units use the same equipment.

Family income .--Family income is the sum of the income received during 1949, by all members of the family. The following items were asked separately for the head of the family and for all other members of the family combined:

- 1. The amount of money wages or salary received in 1949;
- 2. The amount of net money income received from self-employment in 1949; and

3. The amount of other money income received in 1949, such as interest, dividenda veterans' allowances, pensions, or rents.

Gross rent. -- Monthly gross rent is contract rent plus the reported average monthly cost (water, electricity, gas, and other fuel pair for by the renter. If furniture is included if the contract rent, the reported estimated rent of the dwelling unit without furniture is use for the computation instead of the contract rent.

SOURCE AND RELIABILITY OF THE DATA

The data in tables 1 through 4 are based (complete counts of all dwelling units and families with the specified characteristics. For nonwhite families tables 4a and 5 also represent complete counts, but for white families the distributions involving income in these tables were prepared from data collected on a sample basis As part of the 1950 Census, family income date were obtained from about one-fifth of all families. For these tabulations, however, a subsequent field enumeration was made of all nor white families who were not in the original sample but were living in substandard dwelling units.

Although the figures shown in tables 1 throug 4 are based on the same data as the forthcomin 1950 Census tabulations of these items, they me differ from those to be published as part of the Census. The present tables were obtained that tabulating directly the data as transcribed if the field offices of the Census Bureau and the figures, therefore, do not include all of the refinements that result from a careful examination of the schedules.

In addition, the data are subject to othe biases due to errors of response or nonreporting which cannot be corrected in editing. Factor affecting the accuracy of enumeration include the respondent's knowledge of the facts and the ability of the enumerator to obtain accurate in formation on such items as income, rent, dilar idation and plumbing facilities. The regula 1950 tabulations are also subject to thes biases.

Reliability of Estimates in Tables 4a and 5

Because of sampling variability, percentag distributions shown in table 4a for total familie and for white families and all figures in tabl 5 for total families and for white families ma differ from those that would have been obtained from a complete count. (The absolute figures in table 4a and all data for nonwhite families in tables 4a and 5 represent complete counts and are not subject to sampling variations.) The reliability estimates which follow give approximate measures of the sampling errors to be expected in these sample data.

Reliability of the percentages in tables 4a and 5.--The allowance to be made for sampling variation in a percentage depends, in general, both on the value of the percentage and the size of the base on which it is determined. If two

percentages are of the same magnitude, the one based on a large number of cases in the sample is, in general, less variable than the one based on a small number of sample cases.

Estimates of reliability are shown in the following table for percentages with bases of white primary families of the specified types. The chances are about 19 out of 20 that the differences due to sampling variability between the percentages obtained from the sample and the percentages which would have been obtained from a complete census would be less than the sampling errors shown below.

	· .	Sampli	ng variabilit	y if the base i	s				
Percentage shown in table		e primary famil		subfamily	All white primary families with no subfamily or secondary family pre- sent, in substandard renter units				
	Total	Owner	Renter	Total	No minors	With minors			
0.5 1.0 2.0 3.0 4.0 5.0 10.0 15.0 20.0 25.0 30.0 40.0	0.5 0.8 1.1 1.3 1.5 1.7 2.3 2.8 3.1 3.4 3.5 8.8 8.9	1.1 1.5 2.1 2.6 3.0 3.3 4.5 5.4 6.0 6.5 6.9 7.4 7.5	0.6 0.9 1.3 1.5 1.8 2.0 2.7 2.2 3.6 3.9 4.1 4.4 4.5	0.6 0.9 1.3 1.5 1.8 2.0 2.7 3.2 3.6 3.9 4.2 4.4	0.9 1.8 2.2 2.5 2.8 3.6 5.1 5.9 6.3 6.4	0.9 1.3 1.8 2.2 2.5 2.8 3.9 4.6 5.2 5.6 5.9 6.3			

To illustrate, for a figure of 10 percent based on white primary families living in substandard renter dwelling units, the sampling variability is 2.7 percent; the chances are about 19 out of 20 that the percentage obtained by a complete census would have been between 7.3 percent and 12.7 percent.

The sampling variability of a specified percentage of total primary families with designated characteristics will vary according to the proportion of white families and nonwhite families making up this percentage. For example, consider the sampling variability of a figure of 10 percent based on total primary families. The maximum sampling error to be expected of such a figure would occur when the entire 10 percent includes only white primary families and the chances are about 19 out of 20 that this sampling error would not exceed 2.3 percent. entire 10 percent includes only nonwhite primary families no sampling error would be present. For other specific characteristics composed of 10 percent of total primary families the sampling variability may assume any value up to the maximum.

Reliability of absolute figures in table 5.—
The approximate sampling variability of the absolute figures in table 5 is shown below. The chances are 19 out of 20 that differences between the numbers shown in table 5 and the numbers that would have been obtained from a complete census would be less than the sampling errors which follow.

Classification	Sampling variability of absolute figures in table 5 for total families and white families
Total No minors With minors	20 83 83

Reliability of differences. The estimates of sampling variability shown in the above tables are not directly applicable to differences obtained by subtracting one figure from another. The sampling variability in an observed difference between two figures depends on the sampling variability of each of the figures and the correlation between them.

Table 1.--STRUCTURAL AND OCCUPANCY CHARACTERISTICS, CONDITION, AND PLUMBING FACILITIES OF OCCUPIED SUBSTANDARD DWELLING UNITS, BY TENURE AND COLOR OF OCCUPANTS, FOR ROCK ISLAND, ILLINOIS: 1950

(A substandard dwelling unit is defined by the Public Housing Administration as a unit which is either dilapidated or does not have all of the following plumbing facilities: flush toilet and bath inside the structure for the unit's exclusive use, and hot running water)

		Total			White			Nonwhite	
Characteristic	Total	Owner	Renter	Total	Owner	Renter	Total	Owner	Renter
Total number occupied substandard dwelling units	3,576	1,091	2.485	3,360	1.016	2.344	216	75	141
Percent of total	100.0	30,5	69.5	94.0	28.4	65.5	6.0	2.1	3.9
	200.0		-						
NUMBER OF ROOMS						_		(1)	
Total	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100:0	(1)	100.0
l room 2 rooms	9.0	3.7 8.7	11.4 31.2	8.8 25.1	3.8 9.4	11.0 31.9	12.5		18.4 19.9
3 rooms	23.0	16.7	25.8	23.5	17.1	26.3	14.8		17.0
4 rooms	18.7	24.6	16.1	18.5	24.8	15.7	21.8		22.0
6 rooms	14.5	25.7	9.7 3.3	14.0 5.7	24.7	9.4 3.2	22.7 8.3		14.2 4.3
7 rooms	2.2	5.0	1.0	2.1	4.8	0.9	3.7		1.4
8 rooms or more	1.2	3.0	0.4	1.1	3.0	0.3	3.2		2.8
	1.1	0.8	1.2	1.2	-0.9	1.3	-		-
CONDITION									
Total	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	(¹)	100.0
Not dilapidated	82.0 16.5	83.9 14.7	81.2	83.2	85.1	82.3	64.4		63.1
Not reported	1.5	1.5	17.3 1.4	15.5 1.3	13.5	16.4 1.3	31.9 3.7		32.6 4.3
WATER SUPPLY								}	
Total	300.0	300.0							
Hot and cold piped running water inside structure	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	(1)	100.0
UNITY COLD Dibed minning water incide structure	54.6 38.5	39,2 48,4	61.3 34.1	56.8 86.0	40.3 46.6	64.0	19.4		16.3
No piped running water inside structure	6.9	12.1	4.6	7.1	12.9	31.4 4.6	77.3 3.2		79.4 4.3
Not reported	0.1	0.3	-	0.1	0.8	-	-		
TOILET FACILITIES				:					
Total	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	(1)	100.0
Flush toilet inside structure, exclusive use	34.8	47.2	29.3	33.4	45.6	28.2			
Flush toilet inside structure, shared	50.9	27.1	61.4	52.0	27.8	62.5	56.0 34.3	İ	48.9 42.6
Not reported	14.2 0.1	25.5	9.3	14.5	26.5	9.3	9.7	İ	8,5
BATHING FACILITIES		0.2	0.1	0.1	0.2	-	-		-
								į.	
Total	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	(2)	100.0
Installed bathtub or shower inside structure, exclusive use	30.0		- 1						
Installed Dathtub or shower incide etaletime	12.3	18.6	9.5	12.1	17.8	9.6	15.3		7.8
sharedOther or none	50.0	28.2	59.6	51.7	29.2	61.5	23.1		27.7
Not reported	37.3 0.4	52.8 0.4	30.5 0.4	35.8 0.4	52.6	28.5	61.6	. [64.5
NUMBER OF PERSONS			0.1	0.4	0.4	0.4	-		
	ļ								
Total	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	(2)	100.0
l person	17.2	14.9	18.1	17.5	15.6	18.3	12.5		16.3
J persons	36.5 20.2	31.7 17.9	38.7 21.2	36.7	31.8	38.9	33.8	-	35.5
4 persons. 5 persons.	11.7	12.9	11.2	20.6	18.4 12.8	21.6	13.9		15.6
O Del Bolls	6.8 3.3	9.7	5.6	6.7	9.5	5.5	13.9		13.5 6.4
	1.6	5.7 2.5	2.3	3.2	5.7	2.1	5.1		5.0
8 persons or more	1.3	2.0	1.0	1.5	2.4 1.5	0.9	2.8 4.6		2.l 2.l
	1.3	2.7	0.7	1.0	2.3	0.5	5.1		3.1
NUMBER OF LODGERS		ļ							
Total	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	(1)	100.0
Nonel or more lodgers	92.6	90.7	93.4	93.7	91.9	94.5	74.5		100.0
Percentage distribution is not shown where the r	7.4	9.3	6.6		8.1	5.5	25.3		74.5 25.5
anot snown where the r	number of	cases is	less than	. 700	1	·	- 1	- 1	

Table 1.--STRUCTURAL AND OCCUPANCY CHARACTERISTICS, CONDITION, AND PLUMBING FACILITIES OF OCCUPIED SUBSTANDARD DWELLING UNITS, BY TENURE AND COLOR OF OCCUPANTS, FOR ROCK ISLAND, ILLINOIS: 1950-Con.

(A substandard dwelling unit is defined by the Public Housing Administration as a unit which is either dilapidated or does not have all of the following plumbing facilities: flush toilet and bath inside the structure for the unit's exclusive use, and hot running water)

Characteristic		Total			White		N	onwhite	
(Mat as ver 15 day	Total	Owner	Renter	Total	Owner	Renter	Total	Owner	Renter
CONDITION AND PLUMBING FACILITIES	. •								
Total	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	(1)	100.0
Not dilapidated: With private bath and private flush toilet, no hot running water With private flush toilet, no private bath With running water, no private flush toilet No running water inside the structure	6.8 20.0 50.3 4.6	11.2 26.8 36.4 9.4	4.9 17.0 56.5 2.5	6.6 19.7 51.8 4.8	10.7 26.7 37.6 10.0	4.8 16.7 57.9 2.6	9.7 24.5 28.2 1.9		5.7 22.7 32.6 2.1
Dilapidated: With private bath and private flush toilet, hot and cold running water With private bath and private flush toilet, no hot running water With private flush toilet, no private bath With running water, no private flush toilet No running water inside the structure	1	8.0 1.2 4.1 3.5 2.6	1.8 0.8 4.1 8.8 1.9	2.1 0.9 3.4 6.9 2.1	2.7 1.2 3.4 3.1 2.8	1.8 0.8 3.4 8.5 1.9	3.7 0.9 14.8 11.1 1.4		1.4 0.7 15.6 12.8 2.1
Not reporting condition or plumbing facilities	1.8	1.8	1.8	1.7	1.8	1.6	3.7		4.3
CONDITION BY NUMBER OF PLUMBING FACILITIES									
Total	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	(1)	100,0
Not dilapidated: Lacking 1 facility Lacking 2 facilities Lacking 3 facilities	54.1	22.1 41.2 20.5	11.1 59.8 10.0	14.5 55.7 12.7	22.0 41.8 21.2	11.2 61.7 9.0	13.9 29.2 21.3		9,2 27.7 26,2
Dilapidated: With all facilities Lacking 1 facility Lacking 2 facilities Lacking 3 facilities	1.4	3.0 1.8 5.1 4.4	1.8 1.2 8.8 5.6	2.1 1.3 7.2 4.8	2.7 1.9 4.4 4.2	1.8 1.1 8.4 5.0	3.7 1.9 14.8 11.6		1.4 2.1 14.9 14.2
Not reporting condition or plumbing facilities	1.8	1.8	1.8	1.7	1,8	1.6	3.7		4.3
NUMBER OF DWELLING UNITS IN STRUCTURE								(¹)	700.0
Total	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	1-1-1	100.0
1 dwelling unit	33.8 48.7 17.6	63.9 33.3 2.8	20.5 55.4 24.1	83.0 48.9 18.1	64.0 33.2 2.9	19.5 55.8 24.7	45.8 44.4 9.7		36.9 49.6 13.5

¹ Percentage distribution is not shown where the number of cases is less than 100.

Table 2.--CONTRACT AND GROSS RENT OF RENTER-OCCUPIED SUBSTANDARD DWELLING UNITS, BY COLOR OF OCCUPANTS, FOR ROCK ISLAND, ILLINOIS: 1950

Total number renter-occupied	tal	White	Nonwhite	Monthly rent	Total	White	Nonwhite
Bubb building dwelling miles with	.00.0	2,344 94.3	141 5.7	FURNITURE IN RENT Total Furniture included in contract rent Furniture not included in contract rent Not reported	48.9	100.0 41.9 47.6 10.5	100.0 23.4 70.2 6.4
Total10	.00.00	100.0	100,0	MONTHLY GROSS RENT		100,0	100.0
\$25 to \$29. \$30 to \$34. \$35 to \$39. \$40 to \$49.	4.1 2.7 6.8 10.5 8.8 11.0 10.9 23.2 20.8	4.1 2.6 6.1 10.1 8.4 11.2 10.8 23.7 21.7	2.8 4.3 18.4 17.0 15.6 8.5 12.1 15.6 5.7	\$9 or less \$10 to \$14. \$15 to \$19. \$20 to \$24. \$25 to \$29. \$30 to \$34. \$35 to \$39. \$40 to \$49. \$50 or more. Not reported.	0.8 1.6 2.7 6.9 9.2 13.6 16.0 25.9	0.9 1.6 2.6 6.7 8.8 13.6 15.1 26.2 19.8 3.9	2.1 4.3 9.9 16.3 14.2 13.5 22.0 16.3

Table 3.--CONDITION AND PLUMBING FACILITIES OF RENTER-OCCUPIED SUBSTANDARD DWELLING UNITS, BY GROSS RENT, FOR ROCK ISLAND, ILLINOIS: 1950

(See table 1 for the Public Housing Administration definition of substandard dwelling unit)

					Monthly a	gross ren	t		
Condition and plumbing facilities	Total	\$19 or less	\$20 to \$24	\$25 to \$29	\$30 to \$34	\$35 to \$39	\$40 to \$49	\$50 or more	n rep
Total number renter-occupied substandard dwelling units.	2,485	127	171	229	338	397	644	486	
Percent of total	100.0	5.1	6.9	9.2	13,6	16.0	25.9	19.6	├
Not dilapidated: With private bath and private flush toilet, no hot running water	4.9 17.0 56.5 2.5	(1) 0.2 2.3 0.6	0.2 0.8 3.3 0.5	(1) 1.6 5.1 • 0.2	0.4 2.9 6.6 0.2	0.8 3.3 8.5 0.4	1.5 4.6 15.9 0.3	1.6 2.6 12.9 0.2	
Dilapidated: With private bath and private flush toilet, hot and cold running water	1.8	-		(1)	0.2	0.4	0.5	0.6	
no hot running water	0.8 4.1 8.8 1.9	(1) 1.0 0.8	(1) 0.2 1.1 0.4	0.1 0.5 1.2 0.2	0.3 1.2 1.3 0.1	0.1 0.8 1.3 (1)	0.2 0.8 1.9 0.1	0.1 0.4 0.8	
Not reporting condition or plumbing facilities	1.8	0.1	0,2	0.2	0.5	0,3	0.2	0.2	

¹ Less than 0.05 percent.

Table 3a.--CONDITION AND PLUMBING FACILITIES OF RENTER-OCCUPIED SUBSTANDARD DWELLING UNITS, BY GROSS RENT, FOR WHITE HOUSEHOLDS, FOR ROCK ISLAND, ILLINOIS: 1950

(See table 1 for the Public Housing Administration definition of substandard dwelling unit)

					Monthly	gross ren	t '		
Condition and plumbing facilities	Total	\$19 or less	\$20 to \$24	\$25 to \$29	\$30 to \$34	\$35 to \$39	\$40 to \$49	\$50 or more	No repoi
Total number renter-occupied substandard dwelling units	2,344	118	157	206	318	378	613	463	
Percent of total	100.0	5,0	6.7	8.8	13.6	16.1	26.2	19.8	
Not dilapidated: With private bath and private flush toilet, no hot running water With private flush toilet, no private bath With running water, no private flush toilet No running water inside structure	4.8 16.7 57.9 2.6	(1) 0.2 2.3 0.6	0.2 0.8 3.3 0.6	0.1 1.6 4.9 0.3	0.4 2.9 6.9 0.1	- 0.8 3.2 8.8 0.4	1.5 4.5 16.4 0.3	1.6 2.4 13.4 0.2	
Dilapidated: With private bath and private flush toilet, hot and cold running water With private bath and private flush toilet, no hot running water With private flush toilet, no private bath With running water, no private flush toilet No running water inside structure	3.4 8.5	1.0	(1) 0.2 0.9 0.4	(1) 0.1 0.4 1.1 0.1	0.2 0.3 1.0 1.3 0.1	0.5 0.1 0.6 1.3 (1)	0.1 0.6 1.9	0.6 0.1 0.4 0.8	
Not reporting condition or plumbing facilities	1.6	0.1	0.2	0.2	0.4	0.3	0.2	0.2	

¹ Less than 0.05 percent.

Table 3b.--CONDITION AND PLUMBING FACILITIES OF RENTER-OCCUPIED SUBSTANDARD DWELLING UNITS, BY GROSS RENT, FOR NONWHITE HOUSEHOLDS, FOR ROCK ISLAND, ILLINOIS: 1950

					Monthly (gross ren	t		
Condition and plumbing facilities	Total	\$19 or less	\$20 to \$24	\$25 to \$29	\$30 to \$34	\$35 to \$39	\$40 to \$49	\$50 or more	Not reported
Total number renter-occupied substandard dwelling units	141	9	14	23	20	, 19	si.	23	2
Percent of total	1.00.0	6.4	9,9	16.3	14.2	13.5	22.0	16.3	1.4
Not dilapidated: With private bath and private flush toilet, no hot running water With private flush toilet, no private bath With running water, no private flush toilet No running water inside structure	5.7 22.7 32.6 2.1	0.7 1.4 0.7	1.4 8.5	1.4	0.7 2.1 2.8 0.7	3.5 3.5 0.7	2.l 6.4 7.8	2.8 5.7 4.3	1.4
Dilapidated: With private bath and private flush toilet, hot and cold running water With private bath and private flush toilet, no hot running water With private flush toilet, no private bath With running water, no private flush toilet No running water inside structure	1.4 0.7 15.6 12.8 2.1	0.7 2.1 0.7	0.7 8.5 0.7	- 1.4 2.8 0.7	4.3 1.4	 4.3 0.7	0.7 2.8 1.4	1.4 1.4 0.7	-
Not reporting condition or plumbing facilities	4.8	_		0.7	2,1	0.7	0.7	_	

Table 4.--CHARACTERISTICS OF FAMILIES IN SUBSTANDARD DWELLING UNITS, BY TENURE AND COLOR, FOR ROCK ISLAND, ILLINOIS: 1950

Characteristic		Total			White			Nonwhite	
Characteristic	Total	Owner	Renter	Total	Owner	Renter	Total	Owner	Renter
Total number of families	2,855	904	1,951	2,680	832	1,848	175	72	103
Percent of total	. 100.0	31.7	68.3	93.9	29.1	64.7	6.1	2.5	3.6
TYPE OF FAMILY					,				
Total	100.0	-100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	1.00.0	100.0	(¹)	100,0
Primary family	99.1 0.9	98.6 1.4	99.3 0.7	99.5 0.5	99.8 0.7	99.6 0.4	93.1 6.9	,	95 . 1 4 . 9
NUMBER OF PERSONS IN FAMILY									
Total	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	(1)	100.0
2 persons 3 persons 4 persons 5 persons 6 persons 7 persons 8 persons or more	45.4 24.2 14.2 7.8 3.8 1.7 2.9	40.3 20.1 15.5 10.0 6.4 2.4 5.3	47.8 26.0 13.6 6.8 2.6 1.3 1.8	45.3 24.6 14.4 7.8 3.8 1.6 2.5	39.9 21.0 15.4 10.3 6.6 2.3 4.4	47.8 26.2 13.9 6.7 2.5 1.2	46.3 17.1 11.4 7.4 4.0 3.4 10.3		47.6 22.3 7.8 8.7 3.9 2.9
NUMBER OF PERSONS PER ROOM. IN DWELLING UNIT						,	1:		1
Total	100.0	100.0	100.0	100,0	100.0	100.0	100.0	(¹)	100.0
0.50 or less. 0.51 to 0.75. 0.76 to 1.00. 1.01 to 1.50. 1.51 to 2.00. 2.01 or more. Not reported.	15.0 28.2 32.7 16.5 8.9 2.8 1.0	25.2 24.0 25.3 16.3 7.0 1.5 0.7	10.2 22.8 36.1 16.7 9,7 3.3 1.2	14.8 23.7 33.1 16.8 8.2 2.4 1.1	25.5 24.3 25.5 16.6 5.8 1.7 0.7	10.0 23.4 36.5 16.9 9.3 2.7 1.2	17.1 16.0 26.3 12.6 19.4 8.6		13.6 12.6 28.2 12.6 18.4 14.6
NUMBER OF MINORS IN FAMILY									
Total	100,0	100.0	100.0	100,0	100.0	100.0	100.0	(¹)	100.0
No minors. 1 minor. 2 minors. 3 minors. 4 minors. 5 minors. 6 minors or more.	49.3 22.9 14.3 7.1 2.7 1.6 2.1	49.2 17.0 14.3 9.2 4.3 2.4 3.5	49.4 25.6 14.2 6.2 1.9 1.3	49.2 23.4 14.3 7.2 2.6 1.5	49.0 17.9 13.8 9.7 4.2 2.2 3.1	49.2 25.9 14.6 6.0 1.9 1.2	51.4 14.9 13.1 6.3 3.4 3.4 7.4		51.5 20.4 8.7 8.7 1.9 1.9

 $^{^{\}mbox{\scriptsize 1}}$ Percentage distribution is not shown where the number of cases is less than 100.

Table 4a.--INCOME IN 1949 OF PRIMARY FAMILIES IN SUBSTANDARD DWELLING UNITS, BY NUMBER OF MINORS, TENURE, AND COLOR, FOR ROCK ISLAND, ILLINOIS: 1950

Family income by		Total			White			Nonwhite	
number of minors	Total	0wner	Renter	Total	Owner	Renter	Total	Owner	Renter
Total number of primary families	2,829	89].	1,938	2,666	826	1,840	163	65	98
Percent of total	100.0	31.5	68.5	94.2	29.2	65.0	5.8	2.3	3.5
Total	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	(1)	(1)
\$999 or less	7.3 2.2 2.2 1.7 2.7	5.6 1.5 3.8 2.1 2.4	8.1 2.5 1.5 1.5 2.8	7.2 2.0 2.3 1.7	5.5 1.4 4.1 2.1 2.1	8.0 2.3 1.5 1.5 2.6	8.6 4.9 0.6 1.8 6.7		
\$2,000 to \$2,249	7.6 3.8 6.1 6.6	7.1 3.2 7.4 6.5	7.9 4.1 5.5 6.6	7.2 3.2 5.9 6.4	6.2 2.8 7.6 6.2	7.7 3.3 5.1 6.4	14.1 14.7 9.2 9.8		
\$3,000 to \$3,999. \$4,000 to \$4,999. \$5,000 or more. Not reported.	30.4 14.4 10.6 4.4	26.2 17.2 14.8 2.1	32.3 13.1 8.6 5.5	31.0 15.0 11.1 4.5	26.2 17.9 15.9 2.1	33.2 13.6 9.0 5.7	20.2 5.5 1.2 2.5		
No minors	50.2	51.5	49.6	50.3	51.7	49.6	. 49.1		
\$999 or less \$1,000 to \$1,249 \$1,250 to \$1,499 \$1,500 to \$1,749 \$1,750 to \$1,999	1.3 1.1 1.0 2.2	3.4 1.5 2.6 1.5 2.3	5.6 1.2 0.5 0.8 2.2	5.0 1.1 1.2 1.0 2.1	3.4 1.4 2.8 1.4 2.1	5.7 1.0 0.5 0.8 2.1	3.7 3.7 - 1.8 4.3	,	
\$2,000 to \$2,249 \$2,250 to \$2,499 \$2,500 to \$2,749 \$2,750 to \$2,999	3.4 1.6 3.0 4.1	4.5 1.1 5.2 2.9	2.9 1.8 2.0 4.7	3.2 1.1 3.0 4.0	4.1 0.7 5.5 2.8	2.8 1.3 1.8 4.6	6.1 9.8 4.3 4.9		
\$3,000 to \$3,999 \$4,000 to \$4,999 \$5,000 or more Not reported	1	7.6 10.5 7.7 0.9	14.7 5.5 4.6 3.2	12.8 7.3 5.9 2.5	7.6 11.0 8.3 0.7	15.2 5.7 4.9 3.3	6.1 2.5 - 1.8		
One minor	22.0	17.7	24.0	22.4	18.6	24.2	15.3		
\$999 or less. \$1,000 to \$1,249. \$1,250 to \$1,499. \$1,500 to \$1,749. \$1,750 to \$1,999.		0.6 0.6 0.1	1.2 0.2 0.5 0.5 0.6	0.7 0.2 0.6 0.6 0.4	0.7 0.7	1.0 0.3 0.5 0.5 0.5	2.5		
\$2,000 to \$2,249	1.4 1.6 0.7	0.8 1.3 1.4 0.6	2.4 1.5 1.8 0.8	1.8 1.5 1.7 0.7	0.7 1.4 1.4 0.7	2.3 1.5 1.8 0.8	2.5 0.6 1.2 0.6		
\$3,000 to \$3,999 \$4,000 to \$4,999 \$5,000 or more Not reported	3.3 2.1 0.7	7.1 1.9 1.9 1.3	7.9 4.0 2.2 0.5	7.9 3.5 2.2 0.8	7.6 2.1 2.1 1.4	8.0 4.1 2.3 0.5	4.3 1.2 0.6		
Two minors		11.2	14.6	13.5	10.3	14.9	14.1		
\$1,000 to \$1,249. \$1,250 to \$1,499. \$1,500 to \$1,749. \$1,750 to \$1,999.	0.4 0.3 0.2		0.5 0.5 0.2	0.4 0.4 0.2	-	0.5 0.5 0.3	0.6	-	
\$2,000 to \$2,249. \$2,250 to \$2,499. \$2,500 to \$2,749. \$2,750 to \$2,999.	0.5 1.0 0.6	0.9 0.6 0.1 1.0	1.7 0.4 1.4 0.5	1.5 0.4 1.0 0.6] -	1.8 0.3 1.3 0.5	1.2 1.8 2.5 1.8		
\$3,000 to \$3,999 \$4,000 to \$4,999 \$5,000 or more Not reported	2.3	4.5 1.9 1.3	4.7 2.4 0.2 1.0	4.7 2.4 0.6 0.7	1.4	4.9 2.6 0.3 1.0	4.3		

 $^{^{\}mathbf{1}}$ Percentage distribution is not shown where the number of cases is less than 100.

Table 4a.--INCOME IN 1949 OF PHIMARY FAMILIES IN SUBSTANDARD DWELLING UNITS, BY NUMBER OF MINORS, TENURE, AND COLOR, FOR ROCK ISLAND, ILLINOIS: 1950---Con-

		Total.			White			Nonwhite	
Family income by number of minors	Total	Owner	Renter	Total	Owner	Renter	Total	Owner	Renter
	· -			10.7	14.5	9.0	10.4	1	
Three or four minors	10,7	14.1	9.1	100,			0.0		
999 or less	0.2	-	0.3	0.2	-	0.3 0.5	0.6		
51.000 to \$1.249	0.3	-	0.1	-	٠	-	0.6		
17.250 to \$1.499	(¹)	- 1	-	- 1	-	-	-		
1,500 to \$1,749	(¹)	_	0.1		-	-	0.6		
1,750 to \$1,999	. ` '					0.8	2.5		Ì
\$2,000 to \$2,249	0.8	0.9	0.8	0.7	0.7	0.0	1.2		
\$2,250 to \$2,499	0.1	0.1	0.1		0.7	0.3	0.6		
\$2.500 to \$2.749	0.4	0.6	0.3	0.4 0.8	2.1	0.3	1.8		ł
\$2,750 to \$2,999	0.9	2.0	0.8	0.0		1		}	
		5.2	4.3	4.7	5.5	4.4	1.8	į	
\$3,000 to \$3,999	4.6	2.7	1.0	1.6	2.8	1.0	. 0.6	1	
\$4,000 to \$4,999	1.5 1.5	2.6	1.0	1.6	2.8	1.0		ŀ	
\$5,000 or more	0.3	2.0	0.5	0.4	-	0.5	-		
Not reported	0.3				l		ļ	li	
]		l		4.8	2.3	11.0		
5 minors or more	3,6	5.5	2.7	3.1	4.5	2.0	22.0	,	
	0.4	1.3	_	0.4	1.4	-	-		
\$999 or less			0.1	-	-	_	0.6	1	1
\$1,000 to \$1,249		0.6	-	0.2	0.7	_	1 -	ll .	į
\$1,250 to \$1,499 \$1,500 to \$1,749			-	-	-	-	1 -		ļ
\$1,750 to \$1,999		-	-		-	_	_	11	
#T, 700 00 #T, 999		_		1	∥	_	1.8	}	
\$2,000 to \$2,249	0.1	0.1	0.1	0.2	11 -	0.3	1.2	ll .	
\$2.250 to \$2.499	. ا O _e 2	0.1	0.3	۵.0	_		0.6	1	
\$2.500 to \$2.749	. (*)	_	0.1	0.2	11	0.8	0.6	H	
\$2,750 to \$2,999	0.2	-	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		ll .				
	1	1.7	0.8	1.0	1.4		3.7		1
\$3,000 to \$3,999	1.1	0.2	0.2	0.2	-	0.3	1.2		
\$4,000 to \$4,999		1.4	0.5	0.8		0.5	0.6		1
\$5,000 or more	. 0.2	1	0.3	0.2	: -	0.3	0.6	<u> </u>	

¹ Less than 0.05 percent.

Table 5.--RENT AS PERCENT OF INCOME BY FAMILY INCOME IN 1949 OF PRIMARY FAMILIES WITH NO SUBFAMILY OR SECONDARY FAMILY IN RENTER-OCCUPIED SUBSTANDARD DWELLING UNITS, BY PRESENCE OF MINORS AND COLOR, FOR ROCK ISLAND, ILLINOIS: 1950

dross rent as percent of income by family income	Total			White			Nonwhite		
	Total	No minors	With minors	Total	No minors	With minors	Total	No minors	With minors
Number of families	1,904	959	945	1,812	913	899	92	46	46
Percent of total	1.00.0	50.4	49.6	95.2	48.0	47.2	4.8	2.4	2,4
					2000	*/ \$ D	7.0	N. **	211
Total	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	(1)	(1)	(1)
9 percent or less	14,4	15.5	13.2	14.9	16.1	13.7			
10 percent to 14 percent	27.0	26.1	27.9	26.9	25.9	27.9]	
15 percent to 19 percent 20 percent to 24 percent	22.8	23.9	21.6	* 22.7	23.8	21.6		1	
25 percent to 29 percent	10.7	8.6	12.8	10.4	8.3	12.6			
30 percent to 34 percent	4.3	3.9	4.8	4.2	3•6	4.7		l 7	
35 percent or more	1.8	1.1	2,6	1.8	1.0	2.6			
Not reported	9 .4 9.6	10.8 10.1	8.0 9.1	9.1 9.9	10.9 10.4	7.4 9.5			
\$1,499 or less	11.0	13.6	8.5	10.7	13.5	1.9			
9 percent or less	1.0		, _						
10 percent to 14 percent	1.8	2.1	1.5	1.8	2.1	1.6		[[
15 percent to 19 percent	0.1	0.1	- 1	-	-	- 1			
20 percent to 24 percent	_	_	-	_	_	-	-		
25 percent to 29 percent	0.5	0.6	0.5	0.5	0.5	0.5			
30 percent to 34 percent	0.8	1.0	0.6	0.8	1.0	0.5			
35 percent or more	7.8	9.8	5.9	7.6	9.8	5.3			
\$1,500 to \$1,999	4,3	5.9	2.7	4.2	5.7	2.6			
9 percent or less	0.2	0.5	-	0.3	٥۶				
10 percent to 14 percent	0.1	0.2		0.5	0,5	-		Į	
15 percent to 19 percent.	0.7	1.5	_ 1	0.8	1.6			(·	
20 percent to 24 percent	2.0	2.8	1.2	1.8	2.6	1.1		İ	
25 percent to 29 percent	-				2.0	1.1	Ì)	
30 percent to 34 percent	0.5		1.0	0.5		1.1		1	
35 percent or more	0.7	1.0	0.5	0.8	1.0	0.5			
\$2,000 to \$2,499	11.1	8.6	13.7	10.2	7.3	13.2			
9 percent or less	0.5	1.0	_	0.5	1.0	_			
10 percent to 14 percent	1.6	1.5	1.7	1.3	1.0	1.6			
15 percent to 19 percent	3.0	3.4	2.5	2.6	3.1	2.1			
20 percent to 24 percent	2.7	1.3	4.2	2.6	1.0	4.2			
25 percent to 29 percent	2.0	1.3	2.7	1.8	1.0	2.6)	
30 percent to 34 percent 35 percent or more	0.5	0.1	1.0	U . 5	-	1.1			
percent or more	0.7	-	1.5	0.8	-	1.6			
\$2,500 to \$2,999	11.7	12.9	10.6	11.2	12.4	10.0			····
9 percent or less	0.7	1.0	0.5	0.8	1.0	0.5	. 7	1	-
10 percent to 14 percent	2.6	2.8	2.4	2.3	2.6	2.1			
15 percent to 19 percent	4.2	5.1	3,3	3.9	4.7	3.2	1		
20 percent to 24 percent	3.1	3.1	3.1	3.1	3.1	3.2			
25 percent to 29 percent	1.0	1.0	1.1	1.0	1.0	1.1	ĺ.	ſ	
30 percent to 34 percent	<u>, </u>	-	<u> - </u>	- 1	_	-]	
parameter mode	0.1	-	0.1	-	-				
\$3,000 or over	52.2	49.0	55.4	53.8	50.8	56.8			سختی سخیان سیسی پاستان
percent or less	11.1	11.0	11.2	11.5	11.4	11.6		1	
LO percent to 14 percent	22.6	21.5	23.8	23.2	22.3	24.2	ľ	'	
5 percent to 19 percent	14.9	14.0	15.7	15.4	14.5	16.3	· 1	1	
20 percent to 24 percent	2.8	1.5	4.2	2.9	1.6	4.2	1	1	
25 percent to 29 percent 30 percent to 34 percent	0.7	1.0	0.5	0.8	1.0	0.5		1	
35 percent or more	-	-	-	-	-	-			
	_	-	-	-	-	•			
Not reporting income or rent	9.6	10.1	9.1	9.9	ι !	1	l l		

¹ Percentage distribution is not shown where the number of cases is less than 100.