## CHAPTER 14.

# IRRIGATION AND IRRIGATED CROPS.

Introduction.—This chapter contains, in condensed form, the principal data regarding irrigation derived from the Thirteenth Decennial Census, taken in the year 1910.

An amendment to the Thirteenth Census act, approved February 25, 1910, contained the following clause relating to irrigation:

Inquiries shall also be made as to the location and character of irrigation enterprises, quantity of land irrigated in the arid region of the United States and in each state and county in that section under state and Federal laws; the price at which these lands, including water rights, are obtainable; the character and value of crops produced on irrigated lands, the amount of water used per acre for said irrigation and whether it was obtainable from national, state, or private works; the location of the various projects and methods of construction, with facts as to their physical condition; the amount of capital invested in such irrigation works.

As the Office of Experiment Stations of the United States Department of Agriculture employs a corps of state irrigation agents, an arrangement was made by which these state irrigation agents cooperated in the supervision in their respective states of the work of the special agents of the Bureau of the Census in collecting statistics of irrigation.

The information called for by this law which could be supplied by farm operators was obtained on supplemental schedules by the regular census enumerators as a part of the agricultural census. The remaining data, which were supplied by the owners or officials of irrigation enterprises, were obtained on special schedules by the special agents. The data relating to crops presented here were taken from the supplemental schedules filled out by the agricultural enumerators. With the exception of the statistics as to the number of farms irrigated, which were obtained as explained on the following page, all the other data presented here were taken from the special schedules.

The law relating to the special irrigation census, quoted above, provided that the inquiry should cover the "arid region of the United States." For the purposes of this report the "arid region" has been held to include all sections of the United States where irrigation is generally practiced in the growing of farm crops. As defined in this way, the "arid region" includes the western parts of the tier of states formed by

the Dakotas, Nebraska, Kansas, Oklahoma, and Texas, and all of the states between these and the Pacific Ocean. In parts of this great territory there is abundant rainfall; but in each of the states comprised in it there are considerable sections, and in some very extensive areas, where farming is largely dependent upon irrigation.

The special inquiry was also extended to the rice growing districts of Louisiana, Texas, and Arkansas, but the rice district has been treated separately in this report. (See p. 431.)

In accordance with the law, the enterprises have been classified primarily according to their legal status—that is, according to the state or Federal laws by virtue of which they were created, or according to other features of their legal and economic form. The types of enterprises distinguished are as follows:

United States Reclamation Service enterprises, established under the Federal law of June 17, 1902, providing for the construction of irrigation works with the receipts from the sale of public lands.

United States Indian Service enterprises, established under various acts of Congress providing for the construction by that service of works for the irrigation of land in Indian reservations.

Carey Act enterprises, established under the Federal law of August 18, 1894, granting to each of the states in the arid region 1,000,000 acres of land on condition that the state provide for its irrigation, and under amendments to that law granting additional areas to Idaho and Wyoming.

Irrigation districts, which are public corporations established under state laws and empowered to issue bonds and levy and collect taxes for the purchase or construction of irrigation works.

Cooperative enterprises, which are controlled by the water users combined in some organized form of cooperation under state laws. The most common form of organization is the stock company, the stock of which is owned by the water users. In Arizona and New Mexico many of the cooperative enterprises are operated under laws regulating "community" ditches.

Individual and partnership enterprises, which belong to individual farmers, or to groups of farmers associated without formal organization. It is not always possible to distinguish between partnership and cooperative enterprises; but as the difference is slight this is unimportant.

Commercial enterprises, incorporated or otherwise, which supply water for compensation to parties who own no interest in the works. Persons obtaining water from such enterprises are usually required to pay for the right to receive water and to pay, in addition, annual charges based in some instances on the acreage irrigated and in others on the quantity of water received.

## THE ARID REGION AS A WHOLE.

Summary.—Table 1 summarizes the principal data for the arid region as a whole as returned at the census of 1910, and includes corresponding data for the preceding census as far as available. Unless otherwise indicated the figures relate to the year in which the census was taken. In the reports of the censuses of 1900 and 1890 data relating to irrigation on Indian reservations were excluded from the totals for the arid region, but for the later census they are included. Since the acreage which was irrigated on Indian reservations in 1909 was only 172,912, or 1.3 per cent of the total acreage reported as irrigated, it has not been deemed advisable to eliminate the figures for Indian reservations in making comparisons between the different censuses. The general agricultural statistics given in the table for purposes of comparison cover the entire areas of the states included in the arid region, as defined on the preceding page, although in some of the states the territory which requires no irrigation vastly exceeds the irrigated territory.

The number of farms irrigated is the number of farms on which irrigation is practiced, regardless of the extent of such irrigation, and is equivalent to the term "number of irrigators" used in previous census reports. The number given for 1909 is made up of the number reported on the supplemental agricultural schedules by

the regular enumerators, together with an estimate of the number of farms served by enterprises which were reported by special agents but not by the regular enumerators. The reports of the special agents stated only the acreage supplied by such enterprises, and the number of farms was estimated on the basis of the average acreage irrigated per farm, as shown by the supplemental schedules.

The acreage irrigated in 1909 is that reported by the special agents from information secured from owners or officials of irrigation enterprises or, in some instances, from public records. This acreage is probably in some measure an overstatement. There is a natural tendency for the officials of irrigation enterprises to report as irrigated the entire areas of farms of which only a part is irrigated. Furthermore, some farms receive water from more than one enterprise, and may be reported as irrigated by each, which results in duplication. It is believed, however, that the acreage given is within 10 per cent of the correct figure. In addition to information as to the acreage irrigated in 1909 data were collected as to the acreage the enterprises were capable of supplying with water in 1910 and the total acreage which enterprises completed or under way in 1910 were designed to supply ultimately (designated as "acreage included in projects").

Table 1	CENSU	'S OF	INCREASE	
	1910	1900	Amount.	Per cent.
Number of farms <sup>1</sup>	1, 440, 822 1, 161, 385, 600	1, 095, 675 1, 161, 385, 600	345, 147	31. 5 11. 4
$ \begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	388, 606, 991 173, 433, 957	348, 780, 221 119, 709, 592	39, 826, 770 53, 724, 365	44. 9
Number of farms irrigated	<sup>2</sup> 158, 713 <sup>2</sup> 13, 738, 485 19, 334, 697 31, 111, 142	<sup>3</sup> 107, 489 <sup>3</sup> 7, 518, 527 ( <sup>4</sup> )	51, 224 6, 219, 958	47. 7 82. 7
Number of enterprises. Total length of ditchesmiles. Length of main ditchesmiles. Length of lateral ditchesmiles. Number of reservoirsacre-feet. Number of flowing wells Number of pumped wells. Number of pumping plants Capacity of power plantsnorsepower.	87, 529 38, 062 6, 812 12, 581, 129 5, 070			
Acreage irrigated with pumped water	\$307, 866, 369 6 \$15, 92	(4) (4) 5 \$66, 962, 275 7 \$8. 91 3 \$0. 38	\$240, 904, 094 ( <sup>8</sup> ) \$0. 69	359. 8 181. 6

<sup>1</sup> Figures relate to entire areas of states in the arid region, the figures for 1900 including Indian Territory.

5 Based on cost to July 1, 1910, and acreage enterprises were capable of irrigating in 1910.

5 Cost of systems operated in 1899.

7 Based on acreage irrigated in 1899.

8 In 1899.

8 Figures not comparable. (See explanation in text.)

The number of farms on which irrigation was practiced, for purposes other than rice growing, in 1909 in the states of the arid region was 158,713, or 11 per cent of the total number of farms in the same states.

While the total number of farms in this region, including the entire area of states in which irrigation is practiced in the western part, increased 31.5 per cent between 1900 and 1910, the number of farms on

which irrigation was practiced increased 47.7 per cent between 1899 and 1909, the irrigated farms forming a larger percentage of all farms in 1909 than in 1899. The acreage reported as irrigated in 1909 was 13,738,485, which constitutes 1.2 per cent of the total land area of the same states, 3.5 per cent of the total land in farms, and 7.9 per cent of the improved land in farms. There was an increase of 82.7 per cent in such acreage between 1899 and 1909, a rate of increase much higher than that in the number of farms irrigated, the average irrigated acreage per farm being greater for 1909 than for 1899.

The acreage to which enterprises were ready to supply water in 1910 was 19,334,697, or 5,596,212 acres in excess of the acreage irrigated in 1909, while the acreage included in all projects in 1910, whether completed or in process of development, was 31,111,142, or 17,372,657 acres greater than the acreage reported as irrigated in 1909.

The total length of ditches used for irrigation in 1910 was 125,591 miles. There were 6,812 reservoirs hav-

ing a combined capacity of 12,581,129 acre-feet, or nearly 1 acre-foot of reservoir capacity for each acre irrigated from any source in 1909. The number of pumping plants reported was 13,906 and the acreage supplied by them 477,625.

The total cost of irrigation enterprises to July 1, 1910, was \$307,866,369, or \$15.92 per acre of the land which these enterprises were capable of supplying with water in 1910. The increases in the items relating to cost are the most conspicuous shown. The total cost of irrigation enterprises increased between 1900 and 1910 by 359.8 per cent, and the average cost per acre covered increased also, although much less in degree. (As to the comparability of the figures for this item, however, see the discussion of this subject following Table 12.) The average cost of operation and maintenance per acre of land irrigated for the year 1909 shows also a large increase -181.6 per centover the cost shown for 1899. It is believed, however, that the cost shown for 1899 is not properly comparable with that for 1909.

#### FARMS AND ACREAGE IRRIGATED.

Number of farms irrigated.—Table 2 gives, by states, the number of farms irrigated in 1909, 1899, and 1889, together with the decennial rates of increase.

Table 2			FARMS IE	RIGATED.			
					Increase.		
STATE.	1909	1899	1889	1899-	1909	1889-1899	
				Number.	Per cent.	Per cent.	
Total	158,713	107, 489	54, 136	51, 224	47.7	98. 6	
Arizona	4,841 39,352 25,857 16,439 1,006	2,981 25,611 17,613 8,987 929	1,075 13,732 9,659 4,323 519	1,860 13,741 8,244 7,452 77	62.4 53.7 46.8 82.9 8.3	177.3 86.5 82.3 107.9 79.0	
Montana Nebraska Nevada New Mexico	8,970 1,852 2,406 12,795	8,043 1,932 1,906 7,884	3,706 214 1,167 3,085	927 80 500 4,911	11.5 -4.1 26.2 62.3	117. 0 802. 8 63. 3 155. 6	
North Dakota Oklahoma Oregon South Dakota	69 137 6,669 500	54 124 4,636 606	3,150 189	15 13 2,033 —106	(2) 10.5 43.9 -17.5	(2) 47. 2 220. 6	
Texas 3	4,150 19,709 7,664 6,297	1,252 17,924 3,286 3,721	623 9,724 1,046 1,917	2,898 1,785 4,378 2,576	231.5 10.0 133.2 69.2	101.0 84.3 214.1 94.1	

A minus sign (—) denotes decrease.
 Per cent not calculated when base is less than 100.
 Exclusive of farms irrigated for rice growing.

The total number of farms on which irrigation was practiced in 1909 was 158,713. California contained the largest number of such farms, having about one-fourth (24.8 per cent) of the total number, and Colorado the next largest number, nearly one-sixth (16.3 percent) of the total, while Utahranked third in this respect, with about one-eighth (12.4 percent) of the total.

The percentage of increase between 1889 and 1899 in the number of farms irrigated was more than double that during the succeeding decade, but the absolute

increases during the two decades were approximately equal. Nebraska showed the largest percentage of increase during the former period and Texas during the latter period, but in neither state is the actual number of irrigated farms large. In Nebraska and South Dakota there were decreases between 1899 and 1909. The largest absolute increase in both decades was in California. In the period 1899 to 1909 the next largest increase was in Colorado, and in the period 1889 to 1899 in Utah.

Acreage irrigated.—Table 3 gives, by states, the acreage irrigated in the arid region in 1909, 1899, and 1889, respectively, with the percentage of increase in each decade.

Table 3		AC	REAGE IRRI	GATED.			
				Ir	erease.		
STATE.	1909	1899 1889 1899-1900		1899-1909		1889- 1899	
				Amount.	Per cent.	Per cent.	
Total	13, 738, 485	7, 518, 527	3, 621, 381	6, 219, 958	82.7	107. 0	
Arizona. California. Colorado. Idaho. Kansas.	320, 051 2, 664, 104 2, 792, 032 1, 430, 848 37, 479	185,396 1,445,872 1,611,271 602,568 23,620	65,821 1,004,233 890,735 217,005 20,818	134,655 1,215,222 1,180,761 828,280 13,859	72.6 84.3 73.3 137.5 58.7	181.7 44.0 80.9 177.7 13.5	
Montana Nebraska Nevada New Mexico	1,679,084 255,950 701,833 461,718	951, 154 148, 538 504, 168 203, 893	350,582 11,744 224,403 91,745	727,930 107,412 197,665 257,825	76.5 72.3 39.2 126.5	171.3 1,164.8 124.7 122.2	
North Dakota Oklahoma Oregon South Dakota	10,248 4,388 686,129 63,248	4,872 2,759 388,310 43,676	177,944 15,717	5,376 1,629 297,819 19,572	110.3 59.0 76.7 44.8	994.3 118.2 177.9	
Texas <sup>1</sup>	164,283 999,410 334,378 1,133,302	40,952 629,293 126,307 605,878	18,241 263,473 48,799 229,676	123,331 370,117 208,071 527,424	301.2 58.8 164.7 87.1	124, 5 138, 8 158, 9 153, 9	

<sup>1</sup> Exclusive of land irrigated for rice growing.

The total acreage reported as irrigated in 1909 was 13,738,485, an increase of 6,219,958 acres, or 82.7 per cent, as compared with 1899. The increase in the preceding decade was 3,887,146 acres, or 107 per cent.

In total acreage irrigated California ranked first in 1889, Colorado second, and Montana third. In both 1899 and 1909 Colorado reported the largest irrigated acreage, while California and Montana were second and third, respectively. Idaho followed closely in 1909. From 1899 to 1909 California showed the largest absolute increase, followed by Colorado, Idaho,

and Montana in the order named. In percentage of increase for this decade, however, Texas ranked first, Washington second, Idaho third, and New Mexico fourth.

Acreage irrigated in 1909, acreage enterprises were capable of irrigating in 1910, and acreage included in projects.—In Table 4 data as to the acreage irrigated in 1909, the acreage enterprises were capable of irrigating in 1910, and the acreage included in projects are presented, with classification according to the type of enterprise.

Table 4	ALL CI	LASSES OF	ENTERPRI	SES.	u. s. rec	LAMATION	SERV	VICE.	υ	s. Indian	SERVICE.	CAREY	ACT ENTER	PRISES.
STATE-	Acreage irrigated in 1909.	Acreent prise we capa of irrigin 19	er- ses A re in ble in	cluded	Acreage irrigated in 1909.	Acreage enter- prises were capable of irri- gating in 1910.	incl	reage uded in jects.	Acres irriga in 19	ted   were	Acreage included in projects.		Acreage enter- prises were capable of irri- gating in 1910.	Acreage included in projects,
Total	13,738,48	5 19,33	4, 697 31	, 111, 142	395, 646	786, 190	1,97	3,016	172,	912 376, 5	76 879,068	288, 553	1,089,677	2, 573, 874
Arizona California Colorado Idaho Kansas	320,05 2,664,10 2,792,03 1,430,84 37,47	4 3,61 2 3,99 8 2,38	0,166   5	944,090 ,490,360 ,917,457 ,549,573 161,300	138, 364 400 16, 600 47, 500 6, 953	164,500 1,200 30,000 113,000	19 29	70,000 4,200 93,000 95,000 0,677	1,	386 20,9 490 3,4 020 2,0 426 21,5	90 3,800 20 20,020	485	6,085 742,618	59,480 1,098,661
Montana Nebraska Nevada New Mexico		4 2,20 0 42 3 84	5,155 3 9,225 0,962 1	, 515, 602 680, 133 , 232, 142 , 102, 297	14,077 30,536 30,000 13,398	85,245 66,241 90,185 21,467	10 21	13,744 07,520 16,185 30,267	67, 2, 24,	597   3,3	81   18,060		49,500	306,997 16,000
North Dakota Oklahoma Oregon South Dakota		8   9   83	1,917 6,397 0,526 8,481	38,173 8,528 ,527,208 201,625	1,610 22,000 5,613	12,096 45,319 47,568	18	24,480 35,000 01,967		429 4 50 4	39 879 50 100	24,750	65, 500	623,26
Texas <sup>1</sup> Utah Washington Wyoming	164,28 999,41 334,37 1,133,30	81 47	0,641 0,246 0,514 9,510 2	753,699 ,947,625 817,032 ,224,298	55,690 12,905	74,500 34,869	14 16	13,096 37,880	11, 35, 4,	520 86, 6 000 50, 0 270 48, 6	00 100,000		20,000	43,00 426,47
	IRRIGA	TION DIST	RICTS.	COOPER	RATIVE EN	TERPRISE	s.	INDI		L AND PÄR NTERPRISES		COMMER	CIAL ENTER	RPRISES.
STATE.	Acreage irrigated in 1909.	Acreage enter- prises were capable of irri- gating in 1910.	Acreage included in projects.	Acreage irrigated in 1909.	Acreagenter prises were capable of irrigatin in 1916	Acre inclu le in proje	ded	Acres irriga in 19	ted	Acreage enter- prises were capable of irri- gating in 1910.	Acreage included in projects.	Acreage irrigated in 1909.	Acreage enter- prises were capable of irri- gating in 1910.	Acreage included in projects.
Total	528, 642	800, 451	1, 581, 465	4, 643, 539	6, 191, 5	77 8,830	, 197	6, 257	, 387	7, 666, 110	10, 153, 545	1, 451, 806	2, 424, 116	5, 119, 97
Arizona California Colorado Idaho Kansas	173,793 115,304 140,930	294,108 207,570 177,900	606,351 487,370 329,796	101,025 779,020 1,273,141 628,102 27,372	984,5 1,870,4 782,6	70   1,388 47   2,436 603   993		961 1,226 403	,196 ,136 ,025 ,600 ,154	81,422 1,131,951 1,581,941 483,946 4,795	175,834 1,512,511 2,039,533 676,508 6,423	80 746, 265 159, 457 44, 872	200 1,204,059 292,103 67,352	1,60 1,965,06 681,68 104,32
Montana Nebraska Nevada New Mexico	412 76,448	6,640 77,228	6,640 91,076 16,400	333,926 78,605 78,966 251,911	5   168,2 3   88,2	60 240 55 129	,209 ,009 ,269 ,054	1,191 45 581		1,495,513 64,472 649,841 185,283	1,982,220 86,305 844,128 295,171	62, 544 24, 834 8, 864 28, 190	80,895 52,724 9,300 58,150	146,85 154,65 24,50 224,95
North DakotaOklahomaOregonSouth Dakota	1,500	1,500	5,980	2,000 149,985 13,601	5   169,9	144 399	,500 ,632 ,687	410	, 638 2, 388 3, 078 7, 684	9,821 3,397 454,074 55,820	13,693 5,028 619,986 69,971	77,387 6,300	93,750 6,800	692, 46 6, 90
Texas <sup>1</sup>	8,455	8,455 27,050	10,802	41,186 687,260 81,122 116,317	790,8	355   1,259 305   115	,795 ,351 ,410 ,894	222 95	, 657 , 448 , 655 , 823	65,286 257,266 117,145 1,024,137	104,044 376,502 192,310 1,153,378	73,440 64,727 66,911 87,935	200,344 87,070 138,064 133,305	502,86 151,97 266,21 195,96

<sup>1</sup> Exclusive of land irrigated for rice growing.

The enterprises were reported in 1910 as capable of irrigating 19,334,697 acres, which is 5,596,212 acres in excess of the acreage actually irrigated in 1909. This excess shows the extent to which the irrigated area can be enlarged without the construction of additional works. It does not, however, represent land available for settlement in the latter year, as much of the land that was under ditch in 1910 but not irri-

gated in 1909 was already taken up, being in farms not completely under cultivation. The excess acreage lies principally in Colorado, Idaho, California, Montana, and Wyoming, these states ranking in the order named in this respect.

The acreage included in projects which were either completed or under way July 1, 1910, as reported by the various enterprises—31,111,142—was 17,372,657

acres greater than the acreage irrigated in 1909. The figure would indicate the amount by which the irrigated acreage may be extended upon the completion of existing enterprises, were it not probable that the owners of these enterprises in some cases have overestimated what they can accomplish. It is certain, however, that much additional land will later be provided with a water supply by works that were in process of construction in 1910. The amount of excess of the acreage included in projects over that irrigated in 1909 is also greatest in the states named in the preceding paragraph and in Oregon.

Table 5 shows by percentages the relative importance of the several classes of enterprises as judged by acreage.

Table 5	PER CENT OF TOTAL FOR ARID REGION.				
CLASS OF ENTERPRISE.	Acreage irrigated in 1909.	Acreage enterprises were capable of irrigating in 1910.	Acreage included in projects.		
All classes. U. S. Reclamation Service. U. S. Indian Service Carey Act enterprises Irrigation districts. Cooperative enterprises Individual and partnership enterprises. Commercial enterprises.	2.1 3.8	100.0 4.1 1.9 5.6 4.1 32.0 39.6 12.5	100. 0 6. 3 2. 8 8. 3 5. 1 28. 4 32. 6 16. 5		

Nearly one-half (45.5 per cent) of the acreage irrigated in 1909 was served by individual and partnership enterprises, and about one-third (33.8 per cent) by

cooperative enterprises, which are controlled by the water users. Irrigation districts, which served 3.8 per cent, are also controlled by the water users. Thus about 83 per cent of the acreage irrigated in 1909 received a water supply from works controlled by the water users. United States Reclamation Service and Carey Act enterprises, which irrigated 2.9 per cent and 2.1 per cent, respectively, of this total acreage, are to be turned over to the water users when the rights are paid for, and many of the commercial enterprises are operating under a similar arrangement.

Acreage irrigated, classified by source of water supply.—In Table 6 the acreage irrigated in the arid region in 1909 is classified according to the source of the water supply. Where a supply is received from more than one source, the land is classified under the source from which the principal supply is derived. In the aggregate considerable areas are supplied with water from more than one source. Thus, in California, large areas receive water both by gravity diversion from streams and by pumping from wells, while in Texas some of the newer canals on the Rio Grande receive water by gravity when the river is high and by pumping when the river is low. In both instances most of this land is classed with the acreage that received water by gravity from streams. The only reservoirs which are treated as independent sources of supply are those filled by collecting storm water or from watercourses which are ordinarily dry. When reservoirs are filled from streams or wells, the primary source is considered the source of supply.

Table 6				ACRE	AGE IRRIGAT	red in 1909	•			
		Supplied from—								Total
STATE.	Total.	Strea	ms.	Wells.		_	Lakes.			irrigated with pumped
		By gravity.	By pumping.	Flowing.	By pumping.	Reser- voirs.	By gravity.	By pumping.	Springs.	water.
Total	13, 738, 485	12,763,797	157,775	144, 400	307,496	98, 193	58, 284	12, 354	196, 186	477,62
Total Arizona. California Colorado. Idaho Kansas	320, 051 2, 664, 104 2, 792, 032 1, 430, 848 37, 479	300, 067 2, 216, 757 2, 745, 035 1, 383, 718 35, 469	7,711 29,965 13,248 18,685 20	1, 489 74, 128 5, 171 1, 172 2	6,096 276,595 3,111 705 1,959	487 16, 410 16, 091 732 2	570 15,896 422 4,622	2, 574 634 1, 535	3,631 31,779 8,320 19,679 27	13,86 309,13 16,99 20,92 1,97
Montana Nebraska Nevada New Mexico	1,679,084 255,950 701,833 461,718	1,624,656 254,105 661,299 397,059	7,963 18 463 1,533	207 150 48,877	55 139 37 5,952	22,614 1,002 138 1,272	5, 617 500 862	5 406	17,967 686 38,840 6,163	8.02 15 90 7,48
North Dakota. Oklahoma Oregon. South Dakota.	10, 248 4, 388 686, 129 63, 248	7, 153 4, 205 643, 281 47, 122	1,614 50 3,585 540	655 1,448	1 69 805 8	1,280 20 3,279 13,535	28 22, 915 200		200 16 10,788 395	1,61 11 5,21 54
Texas¹ Utah Washington Wyoming	164, 283	75, 496 954, 800 301, 341 1, 112, 234	59, 196 2, 559 9, 085 1, 540	3,710 4,100 3,227 64	6,152 300 5,437 75	6,203 568 299 14,261	163 1, 671 4, 698 120		13,068 35,412 4,207 5,008	65,6- 2,8- 20,6- 1,6-

1 Exclusive of land irrigated for rice growing.

More than nine-tenths (92.9 per cent) of the acreage irrigated in 1909 was supplied with water by gravity diversion from streams, and, including cases where water was pumped, streams constituted the source of supply for 94.1 per cent of the total acreage irrigated. Wells supplied the next largest acreage, 3.3 per cent of the total, about one-third of this acreage being watered

by flowing wells. Springs furnished the supply for 1.4 per cent of the total acreage irrigated, and reservoirs and lakes each for less than 1 per cent. Of the total acreage irrigated from wells, California contained 77.6 per cent, and New Mexico 12.1 per cent. In the case of the other sources of supply the acreage irrigated was more generally distributed among the states.

### IRRIGATION WORKS.

Number of enterprises and number and length of ditches.—Table 7 shows the number of irrigation enterprises, and the number and length of main and lateral ditches, respectively, reported in 1910. It should be borne in mind that some lateral ditches are much larger than some main ditches, and that the distinction is more or less arbitrary.

Table 7			DITCHES.							
STATE.	Num- ber of enter-		Number.		Length (miles).					
	prises.	Total.	Main. ditches.	Lat- erals.	Total.	Main ditches.	Lat- erals.			
Total	54,700	81,837	45, 720	36, 117	125, 591	87, 529	38, 062			
Arizona	1,269 13,970 9,065 3,092 716	1,204 14,733 14,017 6,568 128	891 8,590 8,405 3,209 89	313 6, 143 5, 612 3, 359	2,597 21,129 22,570 12,759 316	1,727 12,620 17,564 7,662 274	870 8,509 5,006 5,097 42			
Montana. Nebraska. Nevada. New Mexico.	5,534 474 1,347 2,786	14,980 1,458 2,525 3,381	6,673 420 994 2,101	8,307 1,038 1,531 1,280	18, 934 2, 728 3, 151 5, 854	12, 990 1, 459 1, 938 4, 664	5, 944 1, 269 1, 213 1, 190			
North Dakota Oklahoma Oregon South Dakota	49 114 3,745 395	93 153 6,100 680	47 47 3,582 348	46 106 2,518 332	126 85 7,591 1,256	52 54 5,539 631	74 31 2,052 625			
Texas¹ Utah Washington Wyoming	2,161 2,472 1,934 5,577	1,252 3,852 2,780 7,933	636 2, 495 1, 600 5, 593	616 1,357 1,180 2,340	1,663 7,709 3,892 13,231	941 5,887 2,594 10,933	722 1, 822 1, 298 2, 298			

<sup>1</sup> Exclusive of enterprises supplying water for the irrigation of rice.

Reservoirs.—Table 8 gives, by states, the number and capacity of reservoirs used for irrigation in 1910. The acre-foot, used to express capacity, is the quantity of water required to cover 1 acre to the depth of 1 foot, or 43,560 cubic feet. Most of these reservoirs are filled from streams during flood season and in the winter, the stored water being used in the late summer on land which receives its earlier supply by gravity diversion from streams. Some, however, store storm water flowing in drainage channels which are ordinarily dry.

Table 8		RESE	RESERVOIRS.			
	STATE.		Capacity			
		Number.	(acre-feet).			
Total		6,812	12, 581, 129			
Arizona		402	1,349,938			
			743,269			
		1,084	2,646,59			
		. 243	1,742,30			
Kansas		. 42	31,024			
Montana		827	580, 261			
		. 44	2,098			
Nevada		109	325,953			
New Mexico		. 522	454, 162			
North Dakota			132,187			
Oklahoma		. 11	22			
Oregon		. 271	1,024,266			
South Dakota		314	216, 208			
Texas I		. 288	72,05			
		. 480	588, 317			
Washington		. 156	121,54			
		414	2,550,93			

<sup>1</sup> Exclusive of reservoirs supplying water for the irrigation of rice.

Wells.—Table 9 shows the number and capacity of flowing and pumped wells used for irrigation in 1910. The capacities reported are estimates made by the owners, and are often not very accurate, as few well owners have facilities for measuring the discharge of wells. In the case of pumped wells many of the statements of capacity are based on the estimated pump capacity, the capacity of the wells themselves never having been tested.

Table 9		WE	LLS.		
STATE.	Flo	owing.	Pumped.		
	Num- ber.	Capacity (gallons per minute).	Num- ber.	Capacity (gallons per minute).	
Total	5,070	1,345,596	14,558	5, 426, 130	
Arizona California Colorado Idaho Kansas	214 2,361 313 62 3	9,953 477,343 41,989 7,200 30	470 10,724 121 24 939	765,92 4,119,57 53,56 2,82 73,36	
Montana. Nebraska Nevada. New Mexico.	15 19 673	22,185 1,302 669,268	10 68 6 466	5,26 3,36 1,34 190,69	
North DakotaOklahoma. Oregon. South Dakota	51	3,035 14,382	1 65 92 4	1,79 20,88 2	
Texas <sup>1</sup>	122 1,138 55 2	36,939 42,794 18,926 250	1,412 27 128 3	121,63 4,82 60,22	

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Exclusive of wells supplying water for the irrigation of rice.

Pumping plants.—Table 10 gives the number of pumping plants used for irrigation in 1910, with the capacities of power plants and pumps. The capacities are given as reported by the owners, and in most cases represent the rated capacities claimed by the manufacturers of the apparatus, which are probably in excess of the capacities obtained in use under ordinary field conditions.

Table 10	Pτ	IMPING PLAN	rrs.
STATE.	Number.	Capacity of power plants (horse- power).	Capacity of pumps (gallons per minute).
Total	13,906	243,435	9,947,90
ArizonaCalifornia.ColoradoIdahoKansas.	9,297 206 58 698	37,258 128,143 7,969 7,065 1,517	851,873 5,276,298 296,933 278,566 128,270
Montana. Nebraska. Nevada. New Mexico.	125 75 18 413	3,511 140 693 14,226	281,104 5,36 24,29 216,35
North Dakota Oklahoma Oregon South Dakota	4 68 229 8	2,038 107 3,095 63	182,114 4,54 118,51 5,28
Texas <sup>1</sup> . Utah. Washington. Wyoming.	1,784 69 391 34	20,915 2,143 13,847 705	1,455,284 315,05 365,411 142,52

<sup>1</sup> Exclusive of plants supplying water for the irrigation of rice.

COST.

Table 11 gives, by states, the total cost of irrigation enterprises in the arid region as reported at the Eleventh, Twelfth, and Thirteenth Censuses, and also the estimated final cost of enterprises which were either completed or under way on July 1, 1910, the date of the census of irrigation of 1910.

Table 11	COST OF IERIGATION ENTERPRISES.							
			And a copies of the	:	Increase.			
STATE.	19	10	1899	1889	1899-19	1889-1899		
	Estimated final cost.	Cost to July 1.			Amount.	Per cent.	Per cent.	
Total	\$424,281,186	\$307,866,369	\$66,962,275	2 \$29,611,000	\$240, 904, 094	259.8	126. 1	
Arizona California Colorado Idaho Kansas	84,392,344 76,443,239 58,451,106	17, 677, 966 72, 580, 030 56, 636, 443 40, 977, 688 1, 365, 563	4, 438, 352 19, 181, 610 11, 758, 703 5, 120, 399 529, 755	465,000 13,005,900 6,369,000 1,029,000 (2)	13, 239, 614 53, 398, 420 44, 877, 740 35, 857, 289 835, 808	298.3 278.4 381.7 700.3 157.8	854.5 47.5 84.6 397.6	
Montana Nebraska Nevada New Mexico	9,485,231	22, 970, 958 7, 798, 310 6, 721, 924 9, 154, 897	4,683,073 1,310,698 1,537,559 4,165,312	1,623,006 (*) 1,251,000 512,000	18, 287, 885 6, 487, 612 5, 184, 365 4, 989, 585	290. 5 495. 0 237. 2 119. 8	188. 5 22. 5 713. 5	
North DakotaOklahomaOregonSouth Dakota	836, 482 47, 200 39, 216, 619 3, 800, 556	836,482 47,200 12,760,214 3,043,140	16,980 21,872 1,843,771 284,747	(3) 826,000 (3)	819, 502 25, 328 10, 916, 443 2, 758, 309	4,826.3 115.8 592.1 968.7		
Texas 4	22,322,856	7,346,708 14,028,717 16,219,149 17,700,980	705,608 5,865,302 1,525,369 3,973,165	2,780,000 197,000 1,281,000	6, 94, 100 8, 162, 415 14, 692, 780 13, 727, 815	941.2 139.2 963.2 345.5	111.4 674.1	

<sup>1</sup> Increase computed on the basis of the cost to July 1, 1910.
<sup>2</sup> Includes \$273,000 for Kansas, Nebraska, North Dakota, South Dakota, and Texas, which are not shown separately in the report of the census of 1890, these five states being grouped under the designation of "subhumid region."

Separate figures not available.

Exclusive of enterprises supplying water for the irrigation of rice.

The cost of irrigation enterprises up to July 1, 1910, as reported at the Thirteenth Census, includes the cost of construction, the cost of acquiring rights, and any added costs incident to construction, such as the purchase of land for rights of way, the building of structures for use in operation and maintenance, and engineering and legal expenses. For all of the larger enterprises the cost is that given by the owners, but it is probable that in many cases this is estimated rather than taken from actual accounts. For some of the smaller enterprises the cost was estimated by the special agents of the Census Bureau, and in the case of some schedules received by mail the cost has been estimated in the bureau on the basis of the average cost per acre for other enterprises of the same class in the same vicinity. Many of the smaller ditches were built a number of years ago by their owners without the expenditure of much, if any, money, and many of these have since changed hands. In such cases the cost given by the present owners is only a rough estimate. The data as to cost reported for 1899 and 1889 are probably somewhat less accurate than those for 1910. The figure for cost given in the Twelfth Census report is designated as the "cost of construction of systems operated in 1899." The figure for cost at the Eleventh Census is an estimate consisting of the sum of the amounts obtained by multiplying the acreage irrigated by the average first cost per acre of obtaining water, or of water rights, as given by the irrigators. Although not specifically stated in the reports for the

previous censuses, it is probable that the figures there given include the same items represented in the figure for cost in 1910.

The total cost of irrigation enterprises up to July 1, 1910, was reported as \$307,866,369, which represents an increase of \$240,904,094, or 359.8 per cent over the cost reported at the census of 1900. In no state in the arid region was the increase in cost for this period less than 100 per cent, the highest percentage of increase being in North Dakota and the lowest in Oklahoma. With respect to absolute increase California ranked first, Colorado second, Idaho third, and Montana fourth. The year 1910 was in the midst of a period of great activity in the construction of irrigation works, and on July 1, 1910, a large number of works were incomplete. The "estimated final cost" reported, \$424,281,186, is the sum of the cost up to July 1 and the estimated cost of completing these unfinished works.

Average cost per acre.—Table 12 gives the average cost of irrigation enterprises per acre. The averages for 1889 and 1899 are, with one exception, for the acreage actually irrigated in the respective years. These averages are probably considerably higher than if they had been calculated on the basis of the acreage the enterprises were capable of irrigating. At the Thirteenth Census the average cost per acre has been computed by dividing the cost to July 1, 1910, by the acreage which enterprises were capable of irrigating in 1910. Averages based on the acreage irrigated in 1909 and the cost

to July 1, 1910, are, however, also presented as a rough basis for comparison with the averages for the previous censuses. In addition, averages based on the estimated final cost of enterprises and the acreage which their owners expect finally to be able to supply with water are given. These latter averages would represent most accurately the true cost of providing works to supply water for irrigation, were it not for a more or less general tendency to underestimate cost and overestimate the acreage it will be possible to serve.

Table 12	AVERAGE	COST OF IR	RIGATION EN	TERPRISES	PER ACRE.
		1910			
. STATE.	Based on cost to July 1, 1910, and acreage enter-prises were capable of irrigating in 1910.	cost to July 1, 1910, and acreage enterrises were apable of irrigating in 1909.		1899	1889
Total	\$15.92	\$22.41	\$13.64	\$8.91	\$8.15
Arizona. California. Colorado Idaho Kansas.	17.15	55. 23 27. 24 20. 29 28. 64 36. 44	26.30 15.37 12.92 16.47 8.47	23. 94 13. 27 7. 30 1 3. 79 22. 43	7. 07 12. 95 7. 15 4. 74 (2)
Montana Nebraska. Nevada New Mexico.		13.68 30.47 9.58 19.83	9. 21 13. 95 9. 89 10. 56	4. 92 8. 82 3. 05 20. 43	4.63 (2) 7.58 5.58
North DakotaOklahomaOregonSouth Dakota	7.38	81.62 10.76 18.60 48.11	21. 91 5. 53 15. 52 18. 85	3. 49 7. 93 4. 75 6. 52	(2) 4.64 (2)
Texas 3. Utah. Washington. W yoming		44.72 14.04 48.51 15.62	11. 43 9. 16 27. 32 9. 18	17.23 9.32 12.08 6.6	(2) 10.55 4.03 3.62

<sup>1</sup> Based on acreage under ditch in 1899.
<sup>2</sup> Figures for Kansas, Nebraska, North Dakota, South Dakota, and Texas are not shown separately in the report of the census of 1890, these five states being grouped under the designation of "subhumid region." The average for the subhumid region was \$4.07.

<sup>8</sup> Exclusive of land irrigated for rice growing.

The average cost per acre based on the acreage irrigated in 1909 was \$22.41; that based on the acreage enterprises were capable of irrigating in 1910 was \$15.92: and that based on the estimated total cost and the acreage included in projects was \$13.64.

Between 1889 and 1899 there was no marked increase in the average cost of irrigation enterprises per acre of land irrigated, but in 1910 the average cost per acre was very much higher. The chief reason for this is the fact that, naturally, irrigation enterprises were first undertaken where water could be most easily secured and engineering difficulties were least serious. The enterprises undertaken during more recent years have been of necessity on a much larger scale than those built formerly, and, in most cases, of a better and more permanent type of construction. Indeed, much of the cost incurred between 1899 and 1910 was for the improvement of existing works, especially by the addition of reservoirs, which did not provide water for new lands, but rather provided a better supply for land already irrigated.

Average cost per acre, by type of enterprise.—Table 13 gives the average cost of irrigation enterprises per acre in 1910, computed in the three ways just shown, for each class of enterprises.

Table 13	AVERAGE ENTER	RIGATION ACRE.	
CLASS OF ENTERPRISE.	Based on cost to July 1, 1910, and acreage en- terprises were capa- ble of irri- gating in 1910.	Based on cost to July 1, 1910, and acreage irrigated in 1909.	
All classes U. S. Reclamation Service U. S. Indian Service Carey Act enterprises Irrigation districts. Cooperative enterprises Individual and partnership enterprises. Commercial enterprises.	67.52 12.78 30.53 27.37 12.89 7.09	\$22. 41 134. 17 27. 83 115. 30 41. 44 17. 19 8. 69 41. 71	\$13. 64 48. 14 13. 33 21. 75 20. 33 10, 07 5. 22 16. 79

The highest average cost per acre on each basis is shown for the United States Reclamation Service enterprises, and the next highest in each case for Carev Act enterprises. Irrigation districts ranked third and commercial enterprises fourth, except in one case where the order is reversed. These four classes comprise the large enterprises which are now engaged in developing new lands, and most of their work is of recent date. The works built by individuals or cooperative enterprises, which are smaller and were for the most part built at an earlier period, naturally utilized the sources from which water could be most readily diverted and transported to the land to be irrigated. The larger works of recent date serve land farther from the streams and involve better, more expensive, and more permanent construction, and as a result the average cost per acre is higher than that for the small works.

Average cost per acre, by size groups.—The average cost of irrigation works per acre for enterprises classified by size is shown in Table 14. The classification is based on the acreage intended ultimately to be irrigated.

It will be noted that in general the cost per acre irrigated increases with the size of enterprises. This condition is due at least in a considerable measure to the fact already noted that most of the larger enterprises, which are mainly of recent date, have had to seek water more difficult to obtain than that secured by the smaller enterprises, and that they represent a better type of work.

Table 14		ENTERPRISES CONTAINING					
	Total.	Less than 25,000 acres.	25,000 to 50,000 acres.	50,000 to 75,000 acres.	75,800 to 100,000 acres.	-190,000 acres and over.	
Number of enterprises	54,700	54,548	74	28	16	34	
Acreage: Irrigated in 1909 Enterprises were capable of irrigating in 1910 Included in projects	13,738,485 19,334,697 31,111,142	11, *95, 874 14, 789, 465 29, 632, 614	832,024 1,281,145 2,420,280	412, 685 728, 795 1, 623, 348	284,096 498,514 1,309,247	833, 806 2, 041, 778 5, 125, 644	
Cost: To July 1, 1910 Estimated final	\$307, 866, 369 \$424, 281, 186	\$175, 308, 121 \$207, 068, 121	\$23, 411, 977 \$33, 154, 836	\$19,524,778 \$33,537,574	\$14,420,824 \$21,368,421	\$75,200,669 \$129,152,284	
Average cost per acre based on:	\$22.41	\$15.38	\$28.14	\$47.31	\$54.60	\$90.19	
Average cost, per actic back on. Acreage irrigated in 1909 and cost to July 1, 1910. Acreage enterprises were capable of irrigating in 1910 and cost to July 1, 1910. Acreage included in projects and estimated final cost.	\$15.92 \$13.64	\$11.85 \$10.04	\$18.27 \$13.70	\$26.79 \$20.66	\$29. 22 \$16. 32	\$36. 83 \$25. 20	

Operation and maintenance.—Table 15 gives the average cost per acre for the operation and maintenance of irrigation enterprises in 1909. The inquiry as to this item was not extended to individual and partnership enterprises, for the reason that farmers owning their own ditches usually clean and repair them at odd times without keeping any record of the time or money expended. In the case of some enterprises of other classes, no reports were received. The statistics for cost of operation reported at the two previous censuses, for various reasons, are not fairly comparable with those for 1909, and consequently are not shown in the table.

For the arid region as a whole, the average cost of operation and maintenance per acre irrigated was \$1.07. The abnormal cost shown for North Dakota (\$28.40) relates almost entirely to a single large project which supplied water in 1909 to only a small part of the acreage which it is designed to serve. The lowest

average is for Oklahoma (\$0.51 per acre). The returns of crops grown on irrigated land, which

were made by the regular enumerators of population and agriculture, are somewhat incomplete, for the reason that, owing to the late date at which the provisions of law regarding the irrigation census were passed, the enumerators could not be as carefully instructed regarding the special irrigation schedules as regarding the regular agricultural schedules. On many of the schedules the agricultural enumerators reported land as irrigated but failed to return separately the crops grown on such land. The total acreage of crops reported as raised on irrigated land formed 52.7 per cent of the total acreage irrigated in 1909; and while part of the remainder was doubtless in pasture, it is evident that part was in crops not reported as grown under irrigation and a part was probably in crops not harvested. Although the totals are thus incomplete, the returns are sufficiently accurate to afford reliable averages of yields and values and to show the relative importance of the various crops.

Table 16 gives, by states, the total acreage and total value of crops reported as irrigated in 1909, with the average value per acre.

Table 15	Acreage irri- gated in 1909 by enterprises	reported cost of op- eration and mainte- nance in 1989.		
STATE.	for which cost of opera- tion and maintenance was reported.	Amount.	Average per acre for which cost was reported.	
Total	6,378,955	\$6,828,423	\$1.07	
Arizona California Colorado Idaho Kansas.	230, 429 1,368,247 1,491,676 883,698 34,255	214,358 2,199,431 1,046,268 560,632 54,595	0.98 1.54 0.75 0.63 1.59	
Montana Nebraska Nevada New Mexico	394,507 209,023 88,976 278,439	349, 662 227, 385 86, 119 377, 972	0.89 1.09 0.97 1.36	
North Dakota Oklahoma. Oragon South Dakota	1,989 263,855	45,718 1,000 198,111 16,288	28.49 0.51 0.75 0.76	
Texas <sup>1</sup>	176, 197	356, 260 451, 283 543, 312 190, 548	3.25 0.65 3.08 0.66	

<sup>1</sup> Exclusive of enterprises supplying water for the irrigation of rice.

#### CROPS.

Table 16	CEOPS IRRIGATED IN 1909.				
STATE.	Value.		1.		
	Acreage.	Total.	Average per acre.		
Total	7, 241, 561	\$181,617,396	\$25. 08		
Arizons California Colorado Idaho Kansas	171, 302 1, 196, 767 1, 656, 356 772, 684 22, 118	4,718,100 52,057,007 39,478,994 16,582,213 477,025	27.54 43.58 23.92 21.46 21.57		
Montana	906, 342 137, 211 356, 679 230, 034	14,535,960 1,973,860 5,339,475 5,705,922	15.99 14.39 15.00 24.80		
North DakotaOklahoma Oregon	3,273 2,806 368,911 38,438	56,215 51,995 7,489,255 505,684	17.18 18.53 20.30 13.16		
Texas 1 Utah Washington Wyoming	0401172	2, 645, 385 14, 642, 792 7, 994, 531 7, 362, 983	45. 43 25. 26 49. 52 12. 61		

<sup>1</sup> Exclusive of rice.

The table shows for all crops reported as irrigated an average value per acre of \$25.08.

The highest average value per acre for crops raised on irrigated land is that for Washington, \$49.82, which is followed by that for Texas, \$45.43 (exclusive of rice), and that for California, \$43.50. Wyoming showed the lowest average value per acre, \$12.61. As is to be expected, the average value per acre is highest in the states with large areas of fruits, vegetables, and other specialized crops raised by means of irrigation, while in those where forage crops and grains predominate the average is lower. Fruit crops comprised about 12 per cent of the total acreage of irrigated crops in Washington in 1909 and about 21 per cent of the total in California, and vegetables and other special crops about 21 per cent of the total acreage of irrigated crops in Texas, exclusive of rice. In Wyoming, on the other hand, more than 32 per cent of the total acreage of irrigated crops in 1909 was in wild grass, and irrigated fruit crops were insignificant.

Table 17 shows the reported acreage and value of each important irrigated crop in the arid region as a whole, with the percentage of the total represented by each.

Table 17	CROPS IRRIGATED IN 1909.					
CROP.	Acrea	ge.	Value.			
	Amount.	Per cent of total.	Amount.	Per cent of total.		
Total reported Alfalfa. Wild, salt, or prairie grasses. Oats. Wheat. Barley. Orchard fruits and grapes. Other tame or cultivated grasses. Grains cut green. Timothy alone Sugar beets. Timothy and clover mixed. Potatoes. Corn. Tropical and subtropical fruits All other.	2,216,628 1,530,669 739,632 548,173 240,117 236,385 219,701 209,363 202,817	100.0 30.6 21.1 10.2 7.6 3.3 3.3 2.9 2.8 2.5 2.5 2.3 1.4 4.6	\$181, 617, 396 50, 850, 533 11, 734, 258 14, 055, 424 12, 826, 982 4, 399, 445 18, 245, 182 2, 571, 297 2, 992, 570 3, 211, 651 10, 511, 467 3, 071, 935 10, 085, 692 2, 423, 507 15, 344, 375 19, 293, 078	100.6 6.6.1 7.7 7.1 2.2 10.6 1.6 1.8 1.5 5.8 1.2 5.6 1.8 1.0 6.0 1.0 1.0 1.0 1.0 1.0 1.0 1.0 1.0 1.0 1		

In acreage alfalfa ranked first, with 30.6 per cent of the total reported; "wild, salt, or prairie grasses" second, with 21.1 per cent; and oats third, with 10.2 per cent. Forage crops, taken together, occupied about 63 per cent of the total reported acreage, cereals about 23 per cent, sugar beets 2.5 per cent, potatoes 2.3 per cent, fruit crops about 5 per cent, and the crops such as vegetables, root forage, cotton, buckwheat, and others (grouped under the head "all other") 4.6 per cent.

In value also alfalfa was most important, representing 28 per cent of the total amount reported; but orchard fruits and grapes ranked second in this respect among the crops shown separately and tropical fruits third, notwithstanding the relatively small acreages in these crops.

Average yields per acre.—Table 18 shows for each of the leading crops grown on irrigated land the average yield per acre in comparison with the average yield of the same crop on unirrigated land in the United States as a whole. Yields for fruit crops are not given because of the large variety of units in which

these yields were expressed and because the general agricultural schedules do not show the acreage in these crops.

Table 18		E YIELD ACRE.	LERIGAT	ED LAND
CROP.	On irrigated land, arid region.	On unir- rigated land, entire United States.	Amount.	Per cent.
Cereals: Corn	36.8 25.6	25.9 28.5 15.3 22.3	-2.2 8.3 10.3 6.8	-8.5 29.1 67.3 30.5
Hay and forage: Alfalfatons. Timothy alonetons. Timothy and clover mixedtons. Other tame or cultivated grasses? tons. Wild, salt, or prairie grassestons. Grains cut greentons.	1.73 1.82 1.53 1.06	2.14 1.22 1.26 1.05 1.07 1.23	0.80 0.51 0.56 0.48 -0.01 0.23	
Sundry crops: Potatoes. bushels. Sugar beets. tons.	153.6 11.89	103.8 9.73	49.8 2.16	48. 0 22. 2

<sup>1</sup> A minus sign (—) indicates that the yield on irrigated land is less than that on unirrigated land.
<sup>2</sup> Includes millet or Hungarian grass.

For each of the crops presented in the table except corn and "wild, salt, or prairie grasses," the average yield on irrigated land exceeds that on unirrigated land, the percentages of excess ranging from 18.7 for grains cut green to 67.3 per cent for wheat. As climatic conditions in the arid region are not favorable to corn, it is not grown to a large extent there. In the case of "wild, salt, or prairie grasses" the average yields on irrigated and unirrigated land are practically equal. A large part of the unirrigated wild grass is cut on river bottom lands where the soil is likely to be wet, even without irrigation, and consequently a difference in favor of irrigated land is not to be expected.

A combined average for all the crops listed in Table 18, each being given a weight corresponding to its acreage, shows an excess yield of 28.6 per cent for the crops grown on irrigated land over those grown on unirrigated land. It is, of course, obvious that this difference in no way represents the advantage of irrigation over nonirrigation. In some sections where rainfall is plentiful irrigation would add little to the yield, but in arid sections often little or nothing can be raised without irrigation.

Average values per acre.—The average values per acre of the leading irrigated crops reported for the arid region are shown in Table 19 in comparison with averages for the same crops grown on unirrigated land for the United States as a whole, so far as acreage figures are available for these.

Among crops grown on irrigated land in 1909, tropical fruits led in average value per acre by a wide margin, orchard fruits and grapes ranking second. Potatoes followed the fruit crops, with an average value of \$60.03, and sugar beets were next of the

crops shown separately, the average value being \$57.29 per acre. Alfalfa, the most important irrigated crop, had an average value per acre of \$22.94. In comparing the average values per acre for different crops it should be borne in mind that the crops with higher average values often require more expensive methods of cultivation than those with lower average values.

Table 19	AVERAGE PER A		IRRIGATI OVER T	OF AVER- LUE FOR ED LAND HAT FOR IGATED
CEOF.	On unir- rigated, land, land, arid region. On unir- rigated, land, entire United States.		Amount.	Per cent.
Tropical and subtropical fruits Orchard fruits and grapes. Potatoes. Sugar beets. Wheat. Alfalfa. Oats. Barley. Corn. Timothy and clover mixed Timothy alone. Grains cut green. Other tame or cultivated grasses 2. Wild, salt, or prairie grasses.	77. 18 60. 03 57. 29 23. 40 22. 94 19. 00 18. 32 18. 13 16. 76 15. 84 14. 29 11. 70	(1) (1) (1) (1) (1) (2) (1) (1) (2) (1) (1) (1) (1) (1) (1) (1) (1) (1) (1	\$15.37 5.39 8.65 5.97 7.36 6.51 3.63 3.08 0.03 1.35 2.61	10.4 58.6 35.2 63.2 55.1 24.0 27.6 24.1

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Acreage not reported.

<sup>2</sup> Includes millet or Hungarian grass.

<sup>3</sup> Comparable figure not available,

Each of the crops shown in the table for which comparisons are made had a higher average value per acre for irrigated land than is shown for the same crop grown on unirrigated land for the United States. The excess in favor of the products raised on irrigated land, for the crops included in the comparison, ranged from 0.2 per cent for grains cut green to 63.2 per cent for oats. The average excess for irrigated crops for the crops for which comparative figures are given in the table, based on the total acreages and total values, is about 43 per cent. It should be noted that the comparison just made does not include the crops with the highest average values per acre, such as fruits and vegetables.

Comparison with preceding census.—According to the reports of the Twelfth Census the total acreage of irrigated crops in the arid and semiarid states was 5,932,557, while the acreage of such crops reported at the present census of irrigation was 7,241,561, which represents an increase of 22.1 per cent. The fact that this increase is much smaller than the increase in the acreage reported as irrigated (82.7 per cent) is a

further indication that the crop reports of the census of irrigation for 1910 are incomplete. Because of this incompleteness, the crop figures of the two censuses are not compared directly, but in Table 20 the percentage which the acreage in each irrigated crop formed of the total acreage reported in such crops is shown for the two censuses.

Table 20	ACREAGE OF IRRIGATED CROPS.						
CROP.	1909	Topics - comm	1890				
	Acreage.	Per cent of total.	Acresge.	Per cent of total			
Total reported Alfalfa Wild, salt, or prairie grasses Oats Wheat Barley Other tame or cultivated grasses Grains cut green Sugar beets Potatoes Corn Tropical and subtropical fruits Rye. Ali other	2,216,628 1,530,669 739,632 546,173 240,117 219,701 209,363 183,467 168,014 133,673 99,431 6,054	160.0 30.6 21.1 10.2 7.6 3.3 2.9 2.9 2.5 1.4 13.1	5, 882, 557 1, 517, 888 997, 438 332, 365 775, 991 172, 228 306, 298 200, 639 9, 974 90, 991 149, 799 87, 971 7, 996 1, 285, 679				

I Includes millet or Hungarian grass.

From Table 20 it appears that at both censuses alfalfa was the leading crop grown under irrigation, but that it occupied a considerably larger proportion of the total acreage reported for irrigated crops in 1909 than in 1899. The crop next in importance in respect to acreage in both years was "wild, salt, or prairie grasses," which likewise comprised a larger percentage of the total in 1909 than in 1899. Oats was third in acreage in 1909, followed by wheat, while in 1899 wheat ranked third and oats fourth. Oats covered a much larger percentage of the total acreage of irrigated crops in 1909 than in 1899 and wheat a much smaller percentage in the later than in the earlier year.

The most notable relative increase was for sugar beets, the growing of this crop in the irrigated region being largely a development of the last decade. Potatoes also showed a marked increase in relative importance. Tropical and subtropical fruits occupied about the same place in the two censuses. From a comparison of Table 20 with Table 19, it will be seen that, with the exception of "wild, salt, or prairie grasses," the irrigated crops which are increasing in acreage most rapidly are all among the crops with relatively high values per acre.

# IRRIGATION FOR RICE GROWING.

As previously stated, the special inquiry into irrigation for rice growing was confined to the rice growing districts of Louisiana, Texas, and Arkansas. The data collected, except those relating to crops, are summarized in Table 21.

The number of farms reporting irrigation for rice growing and the acreage irrigated, as reported at the census of 1910, cover the year 1909, while all other data for that census relate to the year 1910. The reports of the agricultural census of 1910 show that 95.5 per cent of the entire acreage of rice harvested in 1909 was in the three states included in the special irrigation inquiry, and that in all the other states a marked decrease occurred between 1899 and 1909

in the acreage in rice. The figures given in the table for the census of 1910 represent, therefore, in a fairly adequate measure, the extent of irrigation for rice growing in the United States.

The acreage reported on the special irrigation schedules as irrigated for rice growing in 1909 is greater than the total acreage of rice reported in that year on the agricultural schedules for the territory covered. This difference is due principally to the fact that the irrigation schedules show the total acreage watered, while the agricultural schedules show only the acreage harvested. A considerable acreage planted in rice in 1909 was not harvested because of poor stand, shortage of water, and damage by storms.

Table 21	Total for specified states.	Louisiana.	Texas.	Arkan- sas.
Number of farms reporting irrigation for rice growing	4 010	0.000	1 000	000
Acreage irrigated for rice growing	4,010 694,800			
Acreage enterprises were capable of irrigating in 1910	950, 706 1, 134, 322			
Number of enterprises	2,158 2,339 1,398	1,168	611 1,040 538	131
Length of lateral ditchesmiles Reservoirs:	941	439	502	
Number	144 21,795			19 3
Numbergals. per min Pumped wells:	1 80		1 80	
Number Capacity gals. per min	1,413 1,822,560	606 1,108,236		
Number Capacity of power plants, horse-	1,897	1,007	575	315
power. Capacity of pumps. gals, per min. Cost of irrigation enterprises to July	118,045 9,407,955	57, <b>4</b> 26 5, 064, 173		12, 440 436, 402
1, 1910	\$13,587,639 \$14.29	\$6,859,166 \$12.40	\$6,140,639 \$17.53	
terprises	\$13,667,639 \$12.05		\$6,140,639 \$12.29	\$612,834 \$11.59

<sup>Based on acreage enterprises were capable of irrigating in 1910.
Based on acreage included in projects.</sup> 

The total acreage irrigated for rice growing in the three states in 1909 was 694,800, of which 54.7 per cent was in Louisiana, 41.3 per cent in Texas, and 4 per cent in Arkansas. The enterprises which were completed or under way in 1910 were reported as capable of irrigating 950,706 acres in that year and of serving ultimately a total of 1,134,322 acres.

The total cost of irrigation enterprises to July 1. 1910, was \$13,587,639, or an average of \$14.29 per acre for the land to which they were capable of supplying water in 1910. Upon the basis of the acreage irrigated in 1909, the average cost per acre was \$19.56. The estimated total cost of enterprises completed or under way in 1910 was \$13,667,639, or \$12.05 per acre for the land included in these enterprises. From these figures it appears that the works for supplying water for rice irrigation which were under construction in 1910 were relatively insignificant.

In the report on irrigation for the Twelfth Census no information relating to the irrigation of rice in Arkansas is given, because the rice growing industry in that state was insignificant in 1900.

In Table 22 comparisons are made for Louisiana and Texas for the few items that were reported at both censuses. The figures for the Twelfth Census relate to the year 1899.

Table 22	ro	ouisiana.			TEXAS.	
	Censu	ıs of—	Per	Census	s of—	
	1910	1900	cent of in- crease. (1)	1910	1900	Per cent of increase.
Farms reporting irriga- tion for rice growing Acreage irrigated Enterprisesnumber Length of main ditches, (miles)	2,690 380,200 1,237	201, 685 596	107.6	286, 847 611	8,700 (³)	
Cost of irrigation enter- prises  Average cost per acre.		<b>\$</b> 2,529,319	171.2	538 \$6,140,639 \$17.53	4\$322,000	-,000

- <sup>1</sup> A minus sign (—) denotes decrease. <sup>2</sup> Per cent not calculated when base is less than 100.

5 Based on cost to July 1, 1910, and acreage enterprises were capable of irrigat. ing in 1910.

Based on cost of systems operated in 1899, and acreage irrigated in that year.
Figures not comparable. (See explanation in text.)

In Louisiana considerable increases have taken place since the census of 1900 in all the items shown in the table except number of farms. The large decrease in the number of farms reporting the irrigation of rice is probably due to the abandonment of rice growing on farms where only small acreages were planted, and an extension of the industry in sections where rice is grown on a larger scale. In Texas almost the entire development has taken place since

As the figures for average cost of irrigation enterprises per acre at the two censuses are not computed on the same basis, they are not comparable.

Although the crop returns for irrigated rice are not complete, they are sufficiently so to afford reliable averages of the yield and value per acre. These are shown in Table 23.

Table 23		RICE GROWN ON IRRIGATED LA IN 1909.		
STATE.		Average yield per acre (bushels).	Average value per acre.	
Louisiana Texas Arkansas		34.6 38.7 45.9	\$25.70 28.54 41.50	

Continuous cropping in rice exhausts the soil, and the districts of Louisiana, where the land has been used for a longer time than in other sections, show the lowest average yield, while Arkansas, where the growing of rice is of comparatively recent date, shows the highest average yield.