# THE NEGRO FARMER IN THE UNITED STATES

# BY CHARLES E. HALL

#### Introduction

Due largely to the restriction of foreign immigration; to the call of industry for additional labor during the period of the World War and since; to the widespread rayages of the boll weevil; to a low and unstable cotton market, and possibly to other causes not properly withing the scope of this study, a decided shifting of the Negro rural-farm and rural-nonfarm population to the urban industrial centers of the South, North, and West has been in progress for nearly two decades, as indicated by the Fifteenth Decennial Census returns and the comparative figures for prior censuses. This change from an agricultural to an industrial environment, while resulting in a reduction in the number of Negro farmers, in the acreage under cultivation, and in relieving to a considerable extent an overcrowded agricultural labor condition in some of the States, has on the other hand contributed a few aggravating social problems to the highly organized industrial centers; problems that apparently are gradually growing less acute each year.

Of particular concern, it is believed, is the effect this migratory movement has had upon the agricultural status of the Negro race as a whole, and possibly upon agriculture as an industry; and it is to a brief presentation of agricultural rather than industrial or social statistics that this monograph is confined. Constituting 9.7 per cent of the total population of the United States in 1930; inhabiting 92.1 per cent of all the counties; carrying on as farm operators either as owners, managers, or tenants in every State and in 68.4 per cent of the counties; and forming 15.5 per cent of the rural-farm population, the farming activities of the Negro are generally listed as an asset to the agricultural resources and wealth of the Nation.

Negroes and Indians constitute the only racial groups that are still 50 per cent or more rural; however, if the present trend continues, Negroes will soon become largely urban. Only 42 years ago, at the census of 1890, slightly in excess of 80 per cent (80.2) of the Negro population was rural. In 1900 this rural percentage had fallen to 77.3; in 1910 to 72.7; in 1920 to 66; and in 1930 to 56.3 per cent, as compared with 40.3 per cent rural population for the whites. The Negro ruralfarm <sup>1</sup> population which is exclusive of the rural-nonfarm <sup>2</sup> population fell from 48.7 per cent of the total Negro population in 1920 to 39.4 per cent in 1930, while the rural-farm white population dropped from 27.5 of the total white population in 1920 to 22.9 per cent in 1930. But quite significant is the fact that although there was a distinct loss in both Negro and white rural-farm population as well as in the number of farmers in each racial group the land operated by Negroes decreased by 3,835,050 acres, approximately 5,992 square miles, an area slightly larger than the combined land areas of Connecticut and Rhode Island, as compared with a very substantial increase of 34,743,840 acres, or approximately 54,287 square miles for the white farm operators. The decrease in farm acreage operated by Negroes was mostly in the South, where there

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> The rural farm population as shown for 1930 comprises all persons living on farms in incorporated places of less than 2,500 inhabitants and in territory outside of incorporated places.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> The rural-nonfarm population, sometimes termed the "village" population, includes, in general, all persons living outside cities or other incorporated places having 2,500 inhabitants or more who do not live on farms. Б

## CENSUS OF AGRICULTURE

was also a decrease in the acreage operated by white farmers, though less marked than that for Negroes.

The extent to which this decrease in the Negro rural-farm population and in the number of farm operators has affected the participation of the Negro in agriculture in the North, the South, the West and in individual States is clearly indicated in the following tables which principally cover the decade 1920 to 1930, but also include several tables covering the 20 years from 1910 to 1930, the period of the greatest migration of Negroes from the rural-farm to the urban districts. The term "colored" is used to include Negroes, Indians, Chinese, Japanese and other nonwhite races. Figures for "colored" are given only where separate figures for Negroes are not available.

The 1930 census was taken as of April 1, 1930; the census of 1920 as of January I, and the census of 1910 as of April 15. These changes in the dates of enumeration have affected somewhat the comparability of the statistics.

# 6

#### SUMMARY

The statistics within, although but a brief presentation of the major activities of Negroes in agriculture, conclusively show that as farm owners, tenants, and managers they constitute an appreciable but diminishing national asset. The 1930 data indicate that while Negro farmers like those of most racial groups materially decreased in number during the decade 1920 to 1930, their operations have been extended to every State and to more than two-thirds of the 3,100 counties, many of which, however, are not adapted to agriculture. The figures further show that 20 years (1910 to 1930) of migration from the rural districts, particularly of the South, has not increased the number of Negro farmers in the North; has reduced the number in the South and increased only to a small extent the number in the West, and that as a result of present trends the census of 1920 will for years to come, if not permanently, stand out as the peak year for Negro farmers in the United States. As reported at that census there were 925,708 farmers operating 41,432,182 acres, with land and buildings valued at \$2,257,645,325, and a 23.6 per cent ownership consisting of 218,612 farms with 13,948,512 acres.

Possibly the most significant impairment was the acreage losses of Negro farm owners during the 10 years 1920 to 1930. The loss of owned land (2,749,619 acres) was equivalent to 4,296 square miles, or an area more than twice the size of the State of Delaware, a reduction in acreage to which farm owners in all States except Arizona, Delaware, District of Columbia, Nevada, New Mexico, New York, Vermont, and Wyoming contributed. Due to the fact, however, that slightly in excess of 28 per cent of the owners in the South are under 45 years of age, and 57 per cent under 55, and that in the selected Northern States approximately 21 per cent are under 45, and nearly 46 per cent are under 55 years of age, an expansion of acreage in Negro-owned farms and also an increase in the number of owners is a possibility, under favorable agricultural credit conditions accompanied by a restoration of higher market values for farm products. Negro owners being less inclined than tenants to respond to the call of industry for wage-workers. In this connection, it is also worthy of note that only 29.9 per cent of the colored fully owned farms in the South were mortgaged, as compared with 39.8 per cent of those operated by all racial groups throughout the United States, as a whole.

From the 1930 figures showing the distribution of automobiles on farms in the South, it is apparent that there was not a disproportionate number reported by colored operators in that territory. Taking the South as a whole, the average was 4.7 colored farmers, as compared with 2 white farmers per automobile on the farms reported by each group. In six States—Georgia, Florida, Mississippi, Louisiana, Arkansas, and Alabama—the averages ranged from 5.2 to 7.5 colored farmers per automobile, while the highest average for white farmers was in Arkansas with 3 farmers per automobile. With the distribution based on farm ownership in the South it appears that there were 4,499 more automobiles than colored farmers there were 66,837 more farm owners than automobiles.

The decrease in the number of alien nonwhite farmers, and the increase in the number of Negro farmers in the extreme West may or may not be significant of their future expansion in that territory.

## CENSUS OF AGRICULTURE

#### TEXT AND TABLES

Negro population.—At the census of 1930, as shown in Table 1, there were 11,891,143 Negro inhabitants in the United States which represented an increase of 1,428,012, or 13.6 per cent between January 1, 1920, and April 1, 1930. This element of the population constitutes 9.7 per cent of the total population in 1930 as compared with 9.9 per cent in 1920. Divided into geographic sections, 2,409,219, or 20.3 per cent were in the North as compared with 14.1 per cent in 1920; 9,361,577, or 78.7 per cent as compared with 85.2 per cent in 1920 were in the South; and 120,347, or 1 per cent as compared with less than 1 per cent in 1920 were in the West. During the 10 years the Negro population in the North increase of 5 per cent, and the West an increase of 53.1 per cent.

TABLE 1.-TOTAL NEGRO POPULATION, WITH PERCENTAGES, BY SECTIONS: 1930 AND 1920

	1000	1000	INCREASE, 1920-1980		
SECTION	1930	1920	Number	Per cent	
United States	11, 891, 143	10, 463, 131	1, 428, 012	13.6	
The South The North The West	9, 361, 577 2, 409, 219 120, 347	8, 912, 231 1, 472, 309 78, 591	449, 346 936, 910 41, 756	5.0 63.6 53.1	
		PER CENT DIST	RIBUTION		
United States	100. 0	100.0	100.0		
The South The North The West	78.7 20.3 1.0	85,2 14,1 0,8	31. 5 65. 6 2. 9		

Negro rural population.—Table 2 is introduced to show for the United States, by sections, the number of Negro inhabitants living in rural areas and incorporated places having less than 2,500 inhabitants; and especially to show the Negro rural-farm population for which a decrease of 419,440, or 8.2 per cent was reported for the 10 years. This decrease is attributed wholly to the South where there were 425,557 less Negroes on farms than 10 years previous. In the North there was an increase of 3,736, and in the West, 2,381 in the Negro rural-farm population. Negro inhabitants of the rural-nonfarm communities increased 213,012, or 11.8 per cent; the North reporting an increase of 34.4 per cent; the South 9.8 per cent; while the West reported a decrease of 10.2 per cent. Taking the Negro rural population as a whole (rural-farm, and rural-nonfarm) there was a decrease of 206,428, or 3 per cent during the 10 years. The South showed a loss of 266,010; the North an increase of 58,893, and the West a net gain of 689 due to the increase of the Negro rural-farm population.

SECTION	1930	1920	INCREASE, 1920-1930		
	1830	1920	Number	Per cent	
		RURAL POPU	LATION	****	
United States	6, 697, 230	6, 903, 658	-206, 428		
The South The North The West	6, 395, 252 280, 890 21, 088	6, 661, 262 221, 997 20, 399	-266, 010 58, 893 689	26.5	
		BURAL-FA	ARM		
United States	4, 680, 523	5, 099, 963	-419, 440	-8.2	
The South The North The West	4, 608, 786 65, 601 6, 136	5, 034, 343 61, 865 3, 755	-425, 557 3, 736 2, 381	6.0	
		RURAL-NON	IFARM		
United States	2, 016, 707	1, 803, 695	213, 012	11.8	
The South The North The West	1, 786, 466 215, 289 14, 952	1, 626, 919 160, 132 16, 644	159, 547 55, 157 1, 692	34.4	

TABLE 2.---NEGRO RURAL POPULATION, BY SECTIONS: 1930 AND 1920 [A minus sign (-) denotes decrease]

**Results of migration.**—The years between 1910 and 1930, as shown in Table 3, cover the time during which the migratory movement of the Negro population reached its greatest height since the Civil War period. During these 20 years with very small accretions from other countries the natural growth of the negro population in the United States as a whole was 21 per cent; the Negro urban population increased by 93.5 per cent, while the rural decreased by 6.2 per cent. Dividing the country into sections, the South showed a net gain of 612,150 Negro inhabitants, having lost 499,720 in the rural sections and gained 1,111,870 in the urban communities. The North reported both rural and urban increases to the number of 1,381,545 Negro inhabitants. In the West there was also an increase of both rural and urban Negro inhabitants, principally in California. In this section, which comprises the States constituting the Mountain and Pacific divisions, an increase of 10,234 was reported for the rural areas and one of 59,451 for the urban centers.

Some of the results of these 20-year losses and gains in the rural population are reflected in the farm operations of the Negro inhabitants, as shown in Tables 20 to 22.

130058-33-2

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> See paper "Recent Northward Migration of the Negro" by Dr. Joseph A. Hill, read before the American Sociological Society, Washington, D. C., Dec. 27, 1923, and reprinted from the Monthly Labor Review (March, 1924) of the Bureau of Labor Statistics, United States Department of Labor.

# TABLE 3.—NEGRO RURAL AND URBAN POPULATION, BY SECTIONS, DIVISIONS, AND STATES: 1930 AND 1910

[A minus sign (-) denotes decrease]

			NE	GRO POPUL	ATION			
	D,	Iral	TT-	h	In	crease,	, 19101930	
SECTION, DIVISION, AND STATE		11.61		ban	Rura	al	Urba	n
	1930	1910	1930	1910	Number	Per cent	Number	Per cent
United States	6, 697, 230	7, 142, 966	5, 193, 913	2, 684, 797	-445, 736	-6.2	2, 509, 116	93. 5
The South	6, 395, 252	6, 894, 972	2, 966, 325	1, 854, 455	-499, 720	-7.2	1, 111, 870	60.0
South Atlantic	2, 958, 484	3, 202, 968	1, 462, 904	909, 520	-244, 484	-7.6	553, 384	60.8
Delaware Maryland. District of Columbia. Virginia. West Virginia North Carolina South Carolina Georgia.	116, 725 436, 764 83, 669 672, 410 655, 327 754, 488	512, 878 48, 793 581, 868 734, 141	159, 654 132, 068 213, 401 31, 224 246, 237 138, 354	11, 157 99, 230 94, 446 158, 218 16, 380 115, 975 101, 702 224, 826	-2, 459 -16, 295 -76, 114 34, 876 90, 542 -78, 814 -197, 673	-12.3 -12.3 -14.8 71.5 15.6 -10.7 -20.8	37, 622 55, 183 15, 844 130, 262 36, 652	60.9 39.8 34.9 103.0 112.3 36.0
Florida East South Central	221, 536	952, 161 220, 083		88, 586	1,403	0.7	91, 811 121, 706	
Kentucky Tennessee Alabama Mississippi	109, 479 237, 478 676, 384 875, 731	2, 143, 416 155, 025 322, 582 751, 679 914, 130	759, 166 116, 561 240, 168 268, 450 133, 987	509, 097 106, 631 150, 506 156, 603 95, 357	-244, 344 -45, 546 -85, 104 -75, 295 -33, 399		250, 069 9, 930 89, 662 111, 847 38, 630	49.1 9.3 59.6 71.4 40.5
West South Central		1, 548, 588	744, 255	435, 838	-10, 892	-0.7	308, 417	70.8
Arkansas. Louisiana Oklaboma Texas.	389, 301 518, 863 104, 397 525, 135	383, 744 553, 029 100, 630 511, 185	89, 162 257, 463 67, 801 329, 829	59, 147 160, 845 36, 982 178, 864	5, 557 34, 166 3, 767 13, 950	1.4 -6.2 3.7 2.7	30, 015 96, 618 30, 819 150, 965	50.7 60.1 83.3 84.4
The North	280, 890	237, 140	2, 128, 329	790, 534	43, 750	18.4	1, 337, 795	169.2
New England	12, 643	9, 861	81, 443	56, 445	2, 782	28.2	24, 998	44.3
Maine. New Hampshire Vermont. Massachusetts Rhode Island. Connecticut.	393 196 355 6,042 834 4,823	571 208 1, 295 2, 812 474 4, 501	703 594 213 46, 323 9, 079 24, 531	792 356 326 35, 243 9, 055 10, 673	178 12 940 3, 230 360 322	-31.2 -5.8 -72.6 114.9 75.9 7.2	89 238 113 11,080 24 13,858	-11.2 66.9 -34.7 31.4 0.3 129.8
Middle Atlantic	113, 835	78, 624	939, 064	339, 246	35, 211	44.8	. 1	176.8
New York. New Jersey. Pennsylvania	22, 315 33, 843 57, 677	16, 705 24, 333 37, 586	390, 499 174, 985 373, 580	117, 486 65, 427 156, 333	5, 610 9, 510 20, 091	33.6 39.1 53.5	273, 013 109, 558 217, 247	232.4 167.5 139.0
East North Central	81, 823	70, 294	848, 627	230, 542	11, 529	16, 4	618, 085	268.1
Ohio Indiana Illinois Michigan Wisconsin	37, 332 8, 940 24, 936 9, 749 866	29, 170 11, 895 23, 511 4, 959 759	271, 972 103, 042 304, 036 159, 704 9, 873	82, 282 48, 425 85, 538 12, 156 2, 141	8, 162 -2, 955 1, 425 4, 790 107	28.0 -24.8 6.1 96.6 14.1	189, 690 54, 617 218, 498 147, 548 1 7, 732	230. 5 112. 8 255. 4 ,213.8 361. 1
West North Central	72, 589	78, 361	259, 195	164, 301		-7.4	94, 894	57.8
Minnesota Iowa Missouri North Dakota South Dakota Nebraska Kansas	335 2, 195 53, 886 161 309 640 15, 063	566 5, 187 52, 990 311 405 1, 068 17, 834	9, 110 15, 185 169, 954 216 337 13, 112 51, 281	6, 518 9, 786 104, 462 306 412 6, 621 36, 196	-231 - -2,992 - 896 -150 - -96 -	-40.8 -57.7 1.7 -48.2 -23.7	2,592 5,399 65,492 -90 -75 6,491 15,085	39. 8 55. 2 62. 7 -29. 4 -18. 2 98. 0 41. 7

10

.

			NEG	GRO POPUL	ATION			
	Ru	rol	Urt		Increase, 1910-1930			
SECTION, DIVISION, AND STATE					Rural		Urban	
	1930	1910	1930	1910	Number	Per cent	Number	Per cent
The West	21.088	10.854	99, 259	39, 808	10.234	94.3	59.451	149.3
Mountain	9, 193	6, 021	21,032	15, 446	3, 172	52.7	5, 586	36.2
Montana Idaho Voming Colorado New Mexico Arizona. Utah. Nevada	$\begin{array}{c} 229\\ 166\\ 391\\ 1,357\\ 1,132\\ 5,602\\ 164\\ 152\end{array}$	379 225 1, 194 2, 094 833 699 185 412	$1,027 \\ 502 \\ 859 \\ 10,471 \\ 1,718 \\ 5,147 \\ 944 \\ 364$	I, 455 426 1, 041 9, 359 795 1, 310 959 101	$ \begin{array}{r} -59 \\ -803 \\ -737 \\ 299 \\ 4,903 \\ -21 \\ \end{array} $		76 - 182 1, 112 923	-17.5 11.9 116.1 292.9 -1.6
Pacific	11, 895	4, 833	78, 227	24, 362	7,062	146. 1	53, 865	221, 1
Washington Oregon California	1, 022 344 10, 529	1, 359 228 3, 246	5, 818 1, 890 70, 519	4, 699 1, 264 18, 399	-337 116 7, 283	-24.8 50.9 224.4	1, 119 626 52, 120	49.5

TABLE 3.—NEGRO RURAL AND URBAN POPULATION, BY SECTIONS, DIVISIONS, AND STATES: 1930 AND 1910—Continued

**Racial class.**—Table 4 summarizes the figures for the total population and farm operators of the United States by color or race for 1920 and 1930. Negroes constituted 9.7 per cent of the total population and 14 per cent of the farm operators in 1930 as compared with a 9.9 per cent population and a 14.4 per cent farm operator proportion in 1920 while the white population showed increases of one-tenth of 1 per cent both in the proportion of their total population and farm operators. All of the other racial classes combined did not contribute as much as 1 per cent to the total number of inhabitants or farm operators either in 1920 or 1930.

TABLE 4.—POPULATION	AND	FARM	<b>Operators</b>	BY	RACIAL	CLASSES:	1930
		AN	<b>д</b> 1920				

	POPUL	ATION	FARM OPP	ERATORS
RACIAL CLASS	1930	1920	1930	1920
<del>an an a</del>		NUMBE	E	
All classes	122, 775, 046	105, 710, 820	6, 288, 648	6, 448, 343
Negro White <sup>1</sup> Indian Japanese Chinese All other nonwhite	$\begin{array}{c} 11, 891, 143\\ 110, 289, 870\\ 332, 397\\ 138, 834\\ 74, 954\\ 47, 848 \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 10,463,131\\ 94,823,422\\ 244,437\\ 111,010\\ 61,639\\ 6,981 \end{array}$	882, 850 5, 372, 578 26, 817 5, 840 476 87	925, 708 5, 498, 454 16, 680 6, 892 609
		PER CENT DI	STRIBUTION	
All classes	100.0	100. 0	100.0	100. 0
Negro White <sup>1</sup>	9.7 89.8 0.3 0.1 0.1 ( <sup>2</sup> )	9.9 89.7 0.2 0.1 0.1 (*)	14.0 85.4 0.4 0.1 ( <sup>2</sup> ) ( <sup>2</sup> )	14.4 85.3 0.3 0.1 ( <sup>2</sup> )

<sup>1</sup> Includes Mexicans and Hindus.

2 Less than one-tenth of 1 per cent.

Increase by racial class.—Table 5 shows an increased population of 17,064,426, but a decrease of 159,695 in the number of farm operators between 1920 and 1930. There was a loss of 125,876 white farmers whose ratio dropped from 58 to 49 operators per 1,000 white inhabitants during the 10-year period. Negro farmers decreased 42,858, or from 88 operators in 1920 per 1,000 Negro inhabitants to 74 in 1930. Japanese and Chinese farmers also decreased in number, while the Indian farmers increased by 10,137, or from 68 to 81 farm operators per 1,000 Indian inhabitants from 1920 to 1930.


The increase in the number of farms operated by Indians is due mainly to a change in the method of enumerating the farming operations on Indian reservations. In 1930 special efforts were made to obtain a schedule for each individual Indian engaged in agriculture, while in 1920 many reservation groups were enumerated as single farms. The figures shown in Tables 4 and 5, therefore, do not necessarily represent radical changes in the number of Indians actually engaged in farming.

TABLE 5.—INCREASE IN POPULATION AND NUMBER OF FARM OPERATORS, 1920 TO 1930, BY RACIAL CLASSES; AND NUMBER OF OPERATORS PER 1,000 POPULA-TION OF EACH CLASS: 1930 AND 1920

		INCREASE, 1920-1930							
RACIAL CLASS	Num	ber	Per	cent	POPULATION OF EACH CLASS				
	Population	tion Farm Popula- operators tion o		Farm operators	1930	1920			
All classes	17, 064, 426	—159, 695	16.1	-2.5	51	61			
Negro White Indian Japanese Chinese All other nonwhite	$\begin{array}{c} 1, 428, 012\\ 15, 466, 448\\ 87, 960\\ 27, 824\\ 13, 315\\ 40, 867\end{array}$	$\begin{array}{r} -42,858\\ -125,876\\ 10,137\\ -1,052\\ -133\\ 87\end{array}$	$13. \ 6 \\ 16. \ 3 \\ 36. \ 0 \\ 25. \ 1 \\ 21. \ 6 \\ 585. \ 4$	$\begin{array}{r} -4.6 \\ -2.3 \\ 60.8 \\ -15.3 \\ -21.8 \end{array}$	$74 \\ 49 \\ 81 \\ 42 \\ 6 \\ 2$	88 58 68 62 10			

[A minus sign (-) denotes decrease]

Negro farmers by counties.—Table 6 shows the number of counties by States, and the number reporting Negro inhabitants and Negro farm operators at the census of 1930. There are Negro inhabitants and Negro farmers in every State. Slightly in excess of 92 per cent (92.1) of the 3,100 counties reported Negro inhabitants, and more than 68 per cent (68.4) reported Negro farmers. In five States— Connecticut, Delaware, Louisiana, Mississippi, and South Carolina—Negro farmers operated in every county. Alabama, North Carolina, and Rhode Island reported 1 county each in which there were none; Florida and Maryland, 2 each; Georgia, Massachusetts, New Jersey, Tennessee, and Vermont, 3 each; while 5 each were reported for Arizona and New Hampshire; 6 for Kentucky, Ohio, and Oklahoma; and 8 for Maine. All other States reported more than 10 counties each in which there were no Negro farmers, the number of counties ranging from 12 in Arkansas to 65 in Texas with its 254 counties. The State of Utah with 29 counties, only two of which reported Negro farmers, has the lowest percentage of counties showing farmers of this racial class.



PERCENTAGE OF TOTAL NUMBER OF FARMS OPERATED BY NEGRO FARMERS, BY STATES, 1930

	NUMB	ER OF CO	UNTIES		NUMB	ER OF CO	UNTIES
STATE	Total	Re- port- ing Ne- gro in- habit- ants	Re- port- ing Ne- gro farm- ers	STATE	Total	Re- port- ing Ne- gro in- habit- ants	Re- port- ing Ne- gro farm- ers
United States	1 3, 100	2, 855	2, 119	Nebraska Nevada	93 17	65 15	21 3 5
Alabama Arizona Arkansas	67 14 75	67 13 72	66 9 63	New Hampshire New Jersey New Mexico	10 21 31	10 21 28	5 18 9
California Colorado	58 63	57 54	36 20	New York North Carolina		62 100	44 99
Connecticut Delaware District of Columbia	8 3 1	8 3 1	8 3 1	North Dakota Ohio Oklahoma	53 88 77	33 87 73	10 82 71
Florida Georgia	67 161	67 160	65 158	Oregon Pennsylvania	36 67	32 66	6 44
Idaho Illinois Indiana	44 102 92	30 96 86 87	9 60 60 32	Rhode Island South Carolina South Dakota	5 46 69	5 46 45	4 46 23
Iowa Kansas	99 105	99	78	Tennessee Texas	95 254	95 243	92 189
Kentucky Louisiana Maine	$120 \\ 64 \\ 16 \\ 04$	120 64 16	114 64 8	Utah Vermont Virginia	$29 \\ 14 \\ 124$	13 13 124	$\begin{array}{c}2\\11\\106\end{array}$
Maryland Massachusetts	24 14	24 14	22 11	Washington West Virginia	39 55	33 54	20 30
Michigan Minnesota Mississippi Missouri Montana	83 87 82 115 56	76 71 82 103 45	56 18 82 83 14	Wisconsin Wyoming	71 24	55 22	27 8
	00	10	14				

# TABLE 6.-NUMBER OF COUNTIES IN EACH STATE REPORTING NEGRO INHABI-TANTS AND NEGRO FARMERS, 1930

<sup>2</sup> Includes Baltimore city, St. Louis city, 24 independent cities in Virginia, and that part of Yellowstone National Park located in Wyoming.

Sectional changes.—The distribution of Negro and white farmers by sections and southern geographic divisions for 1920 and 1930 is shown in Table 7. Although noteworthy changes in the total number of farmers in both groups have occurred during the 10 years, but slight differences in the per cent distribution by sections are indicated for the two census periods.

Of the 925,708 Negro farmers reported in 1920 only 10,113, or 1.1 per cent lived outside of the South, as compared with 11,914, or 1.4 per cent in 1930. But while the number of Negro farmers decreased in the South and made slight gains in the North and West, white farmers increased in the South and West but decreased in the States forming the North. Of the 5,498,454 white farm operators reported at the 1920 census 2,283,750, or 41.5 per cent were in the South, as compared with 2,342,129, or 43.6 per cent at the census of 1930. With less than 2 per cent (1.4) of the negro farmers living in the North and West, slightly more than 56 per cent (56.4) of the white farmers operate in the Northern and Western States. In the South the increases in the number of Negro farmers in the East South Central and West South Central divisions were insufficient to cover the decreases in the South Atlantic division which includes several States, notably Georgia, South Carolina, and Virginia, in which both Negro inhabitants and Negro farmers decreased greatly during the 10 years 1920 to 1930.

		FARM OP	ERATORS					
	Neg	TO	Whit	e				
SECTION AND DIVISION	1930	1920	1930	1920				
	NUMBER							
United States	882, 850	925, 708	5, 372, 578	5, 498, 454				
The South South Atlantic East South Central West South Central The North	870, 936 295, 934 320, 600 254, 402 11, 104 810	915, 595 382, 278 307, 006 226, 311 9, 380 733	$\begin{array}{c} 2,342,129\\760,089\\741,255\\840,785\\2,545,829\\484,620\end{array}$	2, 283, 750 775, 144 744, 368 764, 238 2, 750, 203 464, 501				
		PER CENT D	ISTRIBUTION					
United States	100. 0	100. 0	100.0	100.0				
The South South Atlantic East South Central West South Central The North The West	98.7 33.5 36.3 28.8 1.3 0.1	98.9 41.3 33.2 24.4 1.0 0.1	43. 6 14. 1 13. 8 15. 6 47. 4 9. 0	41. 5 14. 1 13. 5 13. 9 50. 0 8. 4				

TABLE 7.—NUMBER OF NEGRO AND WHITE FARM OPERATORS, BY SECTIONS AND SOUTHERN DIVISIONS: 1930 AND 1920

Increases and decreases.—The extent of the increases and decreases in the number of Negro and white farm operators in the United States by sections and southern divisions, and the ratio of operators per 1,000 population of each are shown in Table 8. Between 1920 and 1930 Negro farmers decreased by 42,858, or at the rate of 4.6 per cent, as compared with a decrease of 125,876, or 2.3 per cent for the white farmers. The ratio of Negro farmers per 1,000 Negro population fell from 88 in 1920 to 74 in 1930 as against a decrease from 58 in 1920 to 49 in 1930 in the ratio for the white farmers. The West South Central division comprising the States of Arkansas, Louisiana, Oklahoma, and Texas is the only geographic division that reported an increased ratio of Negro farmers per 1,000 Negro population, and it was this group of Southwestern States that reported the largest increase of Negro farmers, each State in the group having reported increases over 1920, as is shown in Table 35.

# TABLE 8.—NEGRO AND WHITE FARM OPERATORS—INCREASE, 1920 to 1930, AND NUMBER OF OPERATORS PER 1,000 POPULATION, 1930 AND 1920, BY SECTIONS AND SOUTHERN DIVISIONS

	IN	CREASE, 1	920–1930		FARM OPERATORS PER 1,000 POPULATION			
SECTION AND DIVISION	Farm o	perators	Per cent		Negro		White	
	Negro	White	Negro	White	1930	1920	1930	1920
United States	-42, 858	—125, 876	-4.6	-2.3	74	88	49	58
The South South Atlantic East South Central West South Central The North The West	44, 659 86, 344 13, 594 28, 091 1, 724 77	58, 379 15, 055 3, 113 76, 547 -204, 374 20, 119	$ \begin{array}{r} -4.9 \\ -22.6 \\ 4.4 \\ 12.4 \\ 18.4 \\ 10.5 \end{array} $	$ \begin{array}{r} 2.6 \\ -1.9 \\ -0.4 \\ 10.0 \\ -7.4 \\ 4.3 \end{array} $	93 67 121 111 5 7	$     \begin{array}{r}       103 \\       88 \\       122 \\       110 \\       6 \\       9     \end{array} $	85 67 103 92 36 45	95 80 117 94 44 54

[A minus sign (-) denotes decrease]

Age of colored operators.—No separate tabulations were made of the two inquiries concerning the age and the term of occupancy of Negro farmers, who with the Indian, Japanese, Chinese, and other nonwhite farmers are included under the general classification "Colored farm operators." But as 98.8 per cent of all colored farmers in the South, and 98.5 per cent of those in the seven selected Northern States here presented are Negroes, and for the additional reason that nearly 85 per cent (84.7) of all Negro farmers in the North and West are located in these seven States, it is believed that the data on age and term of occupancy shown in Tables 9, 10, and 11 may be accepted as indicating definite age and occupancy trends among the Negro farmers of the Nation. The above explanation also applies to other tables (32 and 34) in which the classification colored instead of Negro is used.

According to age.—As shown in Table 9, nearly 97 per cent of the colored farmers under all tenures in the South and fully 98 per cent of those in the seven Northern States reported their ages. Contrary to the rather widespread belief that the operations on Negro farms are being conducted largely, or to an unusual extent, by so-called old men it appears that 76.9 per cent of these farms in the South and 66.8 per cent of those in the selected Northern States were operated by persons under 55 years of age, and that in the South 53 per cent of these operators as compared with 42 per cent in the North were under 45 years of age. This condition also obtains, but to a smaller degree, among the farm owners reported under this classification as shown in Table 10, in which it appears that 57.1 per cent of the farms occupied by owners in the South and 45.9 per cent of those in the selected Northern States are operated by persons under 55 years of age.

11		Total			NUMBE	REPORTI	NG AGE				PER CEN	r bistrii	BUTION 1	BY AGE	
30058	DIVISION AND STATE	colored farm operators	Та	tal	Under	25 to 34	35 to 44	45 to 54	55 years	Total	Under 25	25 to 34	35 to 44	45 to 54	55 years
33			Number	Per cent	25 years	years	years	years	and over		years	years	years	years	and over
	The South	881, 687	853, 486	96.8	98, 780	168, 371	185, 422	203, 995	196, 918	100. 0	11.6	19.7	21.7	23. 9	23. 1
ట	South Atlantic	298, 379	287, 435	96, 3	25, 316	48, 072	64, 848	73, 269	75, 930	100.0	8.8	16.7	22.6	25.5	26.4
	Delaware Maryland District of Columbia	807 5, 267 11	784 5,065 11	97.196.2100.0	$\begin{smallmatrix} 16\\108\end{smallmatrix}$	78 602	$179 \\ 1,105 \\ 1$	229 1, 448 5	282 1,802 5	100. 0 100. 0 100. 0	2.0 2.1	9.9 11.9	$22.8 \\ 21.8 \\ 9.1$	29.2 28.6 45.5	36.0 35.6 45.5
	Virginia West Virginia. North Carolina. South Carolina Georgia. Florida	39, 673 491 76, 873 77, 425 86, 789 11, 043	38, 407 473 73, 159 74, 804 84, 062 10, 610	97. 0 96. 3 95. 2 96. 6 96. 9 96. 9	$1,784 \\ 10 \\ 6,712 \\ 7,341 \\ 8,937 \\ 408$	$\begin{array}{r} 4,572\\ 46\\ 14,398\\ 12,988\\ 14,100\\ 1,288\end{array}$	$\begin{array}{r} 8, 634\\ 95\\ 16, 875\\ 18, 874\\ 16, 884\\ 2, 201\\ \end{array}$	10, 728 123 17, 838 18, 026 21, 860 3, 012	12, 749 199 17, 336 17, 575 22, 281 3, 701	100. 0 100. 0 100. 0 100. 0 100. 0 100. 0 100. 0	4.6 2.1 9.2 9.8 10.6 3.8	11. 9 9. 7 19. 7 17. 4 16. 8 12. 1	22. 4 20. 1 23. 1 25. 2 20. 1 20. 7	43. 3 27. 9 26. 0 24. 4 24. 1 26. 0 28. 4	$\begin{array}{c} 43. \ 3\\ 33. \ 1\\ 42. \ 1\\ 23. \ 7\\ 23. \ 5\\ 26. \ 5\\ 34. \ 9\end{array}$
	East South Central	320, 959	311, 923	97.2	40, 233	64, 488	63, 928	78, 292	69, 982	100. 0	12.9	20.7	20. 5	23. 5	22.4
	Kontucky Tennesseo Alabama Mississippi	9, 104 35, 138 93, 829 182, 888	8,732 33,945 91,768 177,478	95. 9 96. 6 97. 8 97. 0	566 4, 179 8, 822 26, 666	1, 253 6, 306 16, 137 40, 792	1, 870 6, 660 16, 604 38, 794	2, 075 7, 990 27, 151 36, 076	2, 968 8, 810 23, 054 35, 150	100. 0 100. 0 100. 0 100. 0	0, 5 12, 3 9, 6 15, 0	$     \begin{array}{r}       14.3 \\       18.6 \\       17.6 \\       23.0 \\     \end{array} $	21. 4 19. 6 18. 1 21. 9	$\begin{array}{c} 23.8\\ 23.5\\ 29.6\\ 20.3 \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 34.0\\ 26.0\\ 25.1\\ 19.8 \end{array}$
	West South Central	262, 349	254, 128	96. 9	33, 231	55, 811	56, 646	57, 434	51, 006	100.0	13. 1	22.0	22, 3	22.6	20.1
	Arkansas Louisiana Oklahoma Toxas	79, 579 73, 770 22, 937 86, 063	77, 284 71, 562 22, 223 83, 059	97. 1 97. 0 96. 9 96. 5	10, 942 8, 888 1, 970 11, 431	16, 880 15, 684 4, 751 18, 496	$16,492 \\ 16,654 \\ 5,034 \\ 18,466$	$18,572 \\ 15,790 \\ 5,338 \\ 17,734$	$14,308 \\ 14,546 \\ 5,130 \\ 16,932$	100. 0 100. 0 100. 0 100. 0	14. 2 12. 4 8. 9 13. 8	$21.8 \\ 21.9 \\ 21.4 \\ 22.3$	$21.3 \\ 23.3 \\ 22.7 \\ 22.2$	$\begin{array}{r} 24.0\\ 22.1\\ 24.0\\ 21.4 \end{array}$	$     18.6 \\     20.3 \\     23.1 \\     20.4   $
	Selected Northern States 1	10, 250	10,040	98.0	633	1, 394	2, 191	2, 490	3, 332	100.0	6.3	13.9	21.8	24.8	33.2
	New Jersey Pennsylvania Ohio Indiana Illinois Missouri. Kansas	1,237	371 354 1, 192 461 859 5, 781 1, 022	96, 6 97, 5 96, 4 97, 1 96, 1 98, 6 98, 6	3 7 11 7 12 559 34	46 28 95 33 64 1,017 111	80 71 229 85 165 1, 321 231	105 110 296 115 259 1, 348 257	$128 \\ 138 \\ 561 \\ 221 \\ 359 \\ 1,536 \\ 389$	$\begin{array}{c} 100.\ 0\\ 100.\ 0\\ 100.\ 0\\ 100.\ 0\\ 100.\ 0\\ 100.\ 0\\ 100.\ 0\\ 100.\ 0\\ 100.\ 0\\ \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 0.8 \\ 2.0 \\ 0.9 \\ 1.5 \\ 1.4 \\ 9.7 \\ 3.3 \end{array}$	12.4 7.9 8.0 7.2 7.5 17.6 10.9	$\begin{array}{c} 24.\ 0\\ 20.\ 1\\ 19.\ 2\\ 18.\ 4\\ 19.\ 2\\ 22.\ 9\\ 22.\ 6\end{array}$	$\begin{array}{r} 28.3\\ 31.1\\ 24.8\\ 24.9\\ 30.2\\ 23.3\\ 25.1 \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 34.5\\ 39.0\\ 47.1\\ 47.9\\ 41.8\\ 26.6\\ 38.1 \end{array}$

TABLE 9 .--- COLORED FARM OPERATORS, BY AGE, IN SOUTHERN DIVISIONS AND STATES, AND SELECTED NORTHERN STATES, 1930

<sup>1</sup> States having 200 or more Negro farmers who constitute 90 per cent or more of the colored farmers in the State.

7

Owners according to age.—Ages were generally reported by colored farm owners, as is shown by Table 10. In both the South and in the selected Northern States 97.6 per cent of the farm owners answered this inquiry. In the South, 1.9 per cent of these owners were under 25 years of age; 8.2 per cent between 25 and 34; 18.2 per cent between 35 and 44; 28.9 per cent between 45 and 54; 40.5 per cent, 55 years and over; while 2.4 per cent did not report their ages. In this section, as previously stated, 103,959, or 57.1 per cent of the colored owners were under 55 years of age.

In the seven Northern States taken as a whole, and where industrial activities are more pronounced than in the South, only one-half of 1 per cent of the owners were under 25 years of age; 5.1 per cent were between 25 and 34 years of age; 15.5 per cent between 35 and 44 years; 24.8 per cent between 45 and 54; 51.6 per cent, 55 years and over, while 2.4 per cent failed to report their ages. Only 45.9 per cent of the owners in these States were under 55 years of age. In this group of States colored owners 55 years of age and over were in the majority in Ohio, Indiana, Illinois, and Missouri. Those under 55 years of age constituted 50 per cent or more of the owners in New Jersey, Pennsylvania, and Kansas.

TABLE 10.—COLORED FARM OWNERS, BY AGE, IN SOUTHERN DIVISIONS AND STATES, AND SELECTED NORTHERN STATES, 1930

DIVISION AND STATE	Total colored farm owners	Under 25 years	25 to 34 years	35 to 44 years	45 to 54 years	55 years and over	Age not reported
The South	182, 019	3, 393	14, 915	33, 111	52, 540	73, 645	4, 415
South Atlantic	80, 503	1, 231	5, 968	15, 125	23, 409	32, 819	1, 951
Delaware Maryland District of Columbia	373 2, 941 8	3 16	19 183	67 541	101 815 4	177 1, 316 4	6 70
Virginia West Virginia North Carolina South Carolina	24, 448 373 19, 711 15, 992	309 7 311 337	1,636 31 1,615 1,402	4, 794 70 3, 748 3, 394	7, 183 89 5, 633 4, 717	9, 975 163 7, 843 5, 802	551 13 561 340
Georgia Florida	11, 081 5, 576	169 79	1,402 692 390	3, 394 1, 578 933	4, 717 3, 310 1, 557	5, 113 2, 426	219 191
East South Central	50, 588	919	3, 881	8, 256	14, 924	21, 497	1, 111
Kentucky Tennessee Alabama Mississippi	4, 175 7, 832 15, 931 22, 650	56 118 270 475	270 482 1, 086 2, 043	689 1, 150 2, 328 4, 089	1,0592,1635,4256,277	1, 971 3, 739 6, 519 9, 268	130 180 303 498
West South Central	50, 928	1, 243	5, 066	9, 730	14, 207	19, 329	1, 352
Arkansas Louisiana Oklahoma Texas	11, 455 10, 503 8, 334 20, 636	239 221 293 490	905 1, 001 1, 346 1, 814	2, 080 2, 160 1, 716 3, 774	3, 605 2, 949 2, 069 5, 584	4, 341 3, 940 2, 632 8, 416	285 232 278 558
Selected Northern States 1	3,776	19	191	587	938	1, 949	92
New Jersey Pennsylvania Ohio Indiana Illinois Missouri Kansas	240 233 783 283 460 1,170 607	1 3 3 2 6 4	19 12 39 8 16 57 40	43 41 128 37 76 155 107	68 75 172 65 124 276 158	105 99 419 162 224 650 290	$     \begin{array}{r}             4 \\             3 \\           $

<sup>1</sup> States having 200 or more Negro farmers who constitute 90 per cent or more of the colored farmers in the State.


Term of occupancy .-- In the South, 852,232, or 96.7 per cent, and in the seven selected Northern States 10,033, or 97.9 per cent of the colored farmers, as shown in Table 11, answered the inquiry concerning the number of years they had operated as owners, tenants, or managers of the farms reported by them to the enumerators in April, 1930. For the South as a whole where 79.3 per cent of the colored farmers are tenants, 23.6 per cent of those reporting occupancy had operated that particular farm less than one year, while 40.3 per cent reported an occupancy of less than two years. Arkansas was the only State in the South where 50 per cent or more of the colored farmers reported an occupancy of less than two years. Virginia, West Virginia, and the District of Columbia were the only States in the South or selected northern group in which 50 per cent or more reported an occupancy of 10 years or more. Of the seven Northern States, Missouri, where nearly 80 (79.7) per cent of the colored farm operators are tenants and cotton is extensively grown in the southeastern counties, was the only State that reported an occupancy of less than two years for over 50 per cent of its colored farmers. For the four States, Kansas, Indiana, Ohio, and Illinois an occupancy of 10 years and over was reported respectively for 45.1, 44.5, 43.8, and 40.9 per cent of the colored farm operators. Missouri, of course, showed the lowest percentage under the 10-year occupancy, a condition due largely to the high turnover among the tenant class of farmers in the cotton-growing counties of the State.

	Total	NUMBER REPORTING YEARS ON FARM PER CENT DISTRIBUTION BY TERM						IY TERM	M OF OCCUPANCY					
DIVISION AND STATE	colored farm opera- tors	To Number	tal Per cent of all farms	Less than 1 year	1 year	2 to 4 years	5 to 9 years	10 years and over	Total	Less than 1 year	1 year	2 to 4 years	5 to 9 years	10 years and over
The South	881, 687	852, 232	96, 7	201, 039	142, 526	188, 416	123, 066	197, 185	100.0	23. 6	16, 7	22.1	14.4	23. 1
South Atlantic	298, 379	288, 210	96, 6	62, 243	43, 823	58, 757	42, 902	80, 485	100.0	21.6	15. 2	20.4	14.9	27.9
Delaware Maryland District of Columbia	807 5, 267 11	777 5,026 10	96, 3 95, 4 90, 9	98 492	91 530	178 940 1	139 865 3	$271 \\ 2,199 \\ 6$	100, 0 100, 0 100, 0	12, 6 9, 8	11.7 10.5	$   \begin{array}{r}     22.9 \\     18.7 \\     10.0   \end{array} $	$17.9 \\ 17.2 \\ 30.0$	$     \begin{array}{r}       34.9 \\       43.8 \\       60.0     \end{array} $
Virginia West Virginia North Carolina South Carolina Georgia Florida	39, 673 491 76, 873 77, 425 86, 789 11, 043	38, 132 470 73, 723 75, 260 84, 329 10, 477	96, 1 96, 9 95, 9 97, 2 97, 2 94, 9	$\begin{array}{r} 3,224\\ 34\\ 17,947\\ 15,382\\ 23,643\\ 1,423\end{array}$	$\begin{array}{r} 3, 645 \\ 36 \\ 12, 045 \\ 11, 458 \\ 14, 810 \\ 1, 208 \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{r} 6,100\\ 60\\ 14,688\\ 16,815\\ 18,243\\ 1,732 \end{array}$	5, 897 91 10, 531 11, 876 11, 940 1, 560	$10, 266 \\ 255 \\ 18, 512 \\ 19, 729 \\ 15, 693 \\ 4, 554$	100, 0 100, 0 100, 0 100, 0 100, 0 100, 0	8.57.124.320.428.013.6	9, 67, 616, 315, 217, 611, 5	$ \begin{array}{r} 16.0\\ 12.6\\ 19.9\\ 22.3\\ 21.6\\ 16.5 \end{array} $	15.519.114.315.814.214.9	50.553.625.126.218.643.5
East South Central	320, 959	310, 752	96.8	71, 152	54, 091	74, 619	46, 586	64, 304	100.0	22.9	17.4	24.0	15.0	20.7
Kentucky Tennessee Alabama Mississippi	93, 829	8, 734 33, 485 91, 097 177, 436	95. 9 95. 3 97. 1 97. 0	$1,588 \\ 8,116 \\ 16,492 \\ 44,956$	$1, 345 \\ 6, 052 \\ 12, 881 \\ 33, 813$	1,6017,62821,41243,978	$1, 136 \\ 4, 358 \\ 16, 333 \\ 24, 759$	3, 064 7, 331 23, 979 29, 930	$   \begin{array}{r}     100.0 \\     100.0 \\     100.0 \\     100.0 \\     100.0 \\   \end{array} $	$     18.2 \\     24.2 \\     18.1 \\     25.3   $	$15. \ 4 \\ 18. \ 1 \\ 14. \ 1 \\ 19. \ 1$	18, 322, 823, 524, 8	13.0 13.0 17.9 14.0	$\begin{array}{r} 35.1 \\ 21.9 \\ 26.3 \\ 16.9 \end{array}$
West South Central	262, 349	253, 270	96.5	67, 644	44, 612	55, 040	33, 578	52, 396	100.0	26.7	17.6	21.7	13.3	20.7
Arkansas Louisiana Oklahoma Texas	22, 937	77, 355 70, 938 21, 889 83, 088	97, 2 96, 2 95, 4 96, 5	24, 312 15, 900 6, 251 21, 121	$16, 172 \\ 11, 891 \\ 3, 276 \\ 13, 273$	$16,277 \\ 16,767 \\ 4,116 \\ 17,880$	8, 691 10, 818 2, 873 11, 196	11, 903 15, 502 5, 373 19, 618	$   \begin{array}{r}     100.0 \\     100.0 \\     100.0 \\     100.0 \\     100.0 \\   \end{array} $	31.4 22.5 28.6 25.4	$20.9 \\ 16.8 \\ 15.0 \\ 16.0 \\ 16.0 \\ 16.0 \\ 10 \\ 10 \\ 10 \\ 10 \\ 10 \\ 10 \\ 10 \\ $	$21, 0 \\ 23, 6 \\ 18, 8 \\ 21, 5$	$11.2 \\ 15.2 \\ 13.1 \\ 13.5$	$     \begin{array}{r}       15.4 \\       21.9 \\       24.5 \\       23.6     \end{array} $
Selected Northern States 1	10, 250	10, 033	97.9	2, 835	1, 454	1, 760	1, 258	2, 726	100.0	28.3	14.5	17.5	12.5	27. 2
New Jersey Pennsylvania Ohio Indiana Illinois Miscouri Kansas	1,237	$\begin{array}{c} 370\\ 351\\ 1,200\\ 463\\ 856\\ 5,781\\ 1,012 \end{array}$	96. 4 96. 7 97. 0 97. 5 95. 7 98. 6 97. 7	30 39 173 60 101 2, 307 125	43 37 118 58 129 963 106	90 69 207 75 144 1,022 153	79 82 176 64 132 553 172	$128 \\ 124 \\ 526 \\ 206 \\ 350 \\ 936 \\ 456$	$\begin{array}{c} 100.\ 0\\ 100,\ 0\\ 100,\ 0\\ 100,\ 0\\ 100,\ 0\\ 100,\ 0\\ 100,\ 0\\ 100,\ 0\\ 100,\ 0\\ \end{array}$	8. 1 11. 1 14. 4 13. 0 11. 8 39. 0 12. 4	11. 6 10. 5 9. 8 12. 5 15. 1 16. 7 10. 5	24. 3 19. 7 17. 3 16. 2 16. 8 17. 7 15. 1	21. 423. 414. 713. 815. 49. 617. 0	34. 6 35. 3 43. 8 44. 5 40. 9 16. 2 45. 1

# TABLE 11.—Colored FARM OPERATORS, BY YEARS ON FARM, IN SOUTHERN DIVISIONS AND STATES, AND SELECTED NORTHERN STATES, 1930

20

1 States having 200 or more Negro farmers who constitute 00 per cent or more of the colored farmers in the State.



PERCENTAGE OF ALL LAND IN FARMS OPERATED BY NEGRO FARMERS, BY STATES, 1930

21

All farm land in the United States.—At the census of 1930, as shown by Table 12, there were 986,771,016 acres in farm land as compared with 955,883,715 in 1920, or an increase of 30,887,301 acres during the 10-year period in which as indicated in Table 5, there was a decrease of 159,695 farm operators. Farm acreage in 1930 constituted approximately 1,541,830 square miles as compared with 1,493,568 in 1920, an increase during the decade of 48,262 square miles. This increase was principally in the West, the Mountain and Pacific Coast States.

TABLE 12.—ALL LAND IN FARMS (ACRES), AND AREA IN SQUARE MILES, BY SECTIONS AND SOUTHERN DIVISIONS: 1930 AND 1920

	ACRES D	N FARMS	FARM AREA IN SQUARE MILES				
SECTION AND DIVISION	1930	1920	1930	1920-1920-1	Increase, 1920–1930		
United States	986, 771, 016	955, 883, 715	1, 541, 830	1, 493, 568	48, 26		
The South South Atlantic East South Central West South Central The North The West	343, 086, 418 86, 362, 715 72, 817, 357 183, 906, 346 425, 709, 428 217, 975, 170	350, 121, 833 97, 775, 243 78, 897, 463 173, 449, 127 432, 271, 951 173, 489, 931	536, 073 134, 942 113, 777 287, 354 665, 171 340, 586	547,065 152,774 123,277 271,014 675,425 271,078	$\begin{array}{r} -10,992 \\ -17,832 \\ -9,500 \\ 16,340 \\ -10,254 \\ 69,500 \end{array}$		

[A minus sign (--) denotes decrease]

Acres in farms.—Table 13 shows that Negroes in the United States operated farms having a total of 37,597,132 acres, or 58,745 square miles in 1930, an area slightly in excess of the combined land areas of Maryland, Massachusetts, New Jersey, Vermont, and West Virginia. The land in farms operated by them was approximately 2 per cent (1.97) of the total land area in the United States, as compared with 49.7 per cent for white farmers. In the South Negro farmers operated approximately 6.5 per cent of the land area of that section in 1930, and the white farmers 54.3 per cent. In 1920 as in 1930, less than 1,000,000 acres were operated by Negroes in the North and West. In 36 States, including the District of Columbia, Negro farmers decreased in number between the census periods 1920 and 1930, while the farm acreage diminished in 33 States and the District of Columbia. (See Tables 35 and 36.) The number of white farmers also decreased, but a substantial increase was reported in the number of acres in the farms they operated.

TABLE 13.—ACREAGE IN FARMS OPERATED BY NEGROES AND WHITES, 1930 AND 1920; AND AREA IN SQUARE MILES, 1930; BY SECTIONS AND SOUTHERN DIVISIONS

		ACRES IN FA	) BY		REA EN		
SECTION AND DIVISION	Neg	roes	Wh	ites	SQUARE MILES, 1930		
	1930	1920	1930	1920	Negroes	Whites	
United States	37, 597, 132	41, 432, 182	945, 683, 034	910, 939, 194	58, 745	1, 477, 630	
The South	36, 758, 484 14, 550, 451 11, 918, 057 10, 289, 976 720, 872 117, 776	40, 544, 241 18, 151, 071 12, 104, 977 10, 288, 193 767, 953 119, 988	$\begin{array}{c} 305, 280, 653\\ 71, 704, 181\\ 60, 886, 302\\ 172, 690, 170\\ 423, 963, 841\\ 216, 438, 540 \end{array}$	308, 803, 337 79, 550, 302 66, 783, 791 162, 469, 244 430, 365, 628 171, 770, 229	57, 435 22, 735 18, 622 16, 078 1, 126 184	477,001 112,038 95,135 269,828 662,444 338,185	

22

Land distribution.—In Table 14 the per cent distribution of all land in farms is shown for Negro and white farmers by sections and southern divisions for 1920 and 1930. Although the changes occurring in the South, North, and West, as sections, were inappreciable, in the distribution by geographic divisions composing the South it will be noted that there was a rather marked decrease in the percentage for the South Atlantic division formed by Delaware, Maryland, District of Columbia, Virginia, West Virginia, North Carolina, South Carolina, Georgia, and Florida, in each of which, except West Virginia, the land in farms operated by Negroes decreased during the 10 years. (See Table 36.)

In the State of South Carolina the farm acreage operated by Negroes was less by 1,211,971 acres in 1930 than it was in 1920, a decrease of 27.7 per cent. In Georgia there was a decrease of 1,966,491 acres, or 27.8 per cent. In other words, in these two States the farm acreage operated by Negroes in 1930 was less than three-fourths of what it was in 1920. This decrease in the farm acreage was accompanied by a decrease of 29.1 per cent in the number of Negro farm operators in South Carolina, and of 33.3 per cent in the number of Negro farm operators in Georgia as shown in Table 35. No other States show as marked declines in the amount of acreage and number of farms operated by Negroes, although the percentage of decrease was fairly large in Florida and Kentucky. There was some decrease of acreage in North Carolina in Alabama and in Arkansas, practically no change in Texas, and an increase in Mississippi and Louisiana.

It is interesting to note, in connection with the decrease reported for Negro farm operators in Georgia and South Carolina, that the Negro population in each of these States also decreased; Georgia reporting a loss of 135,240, and South Carolina 71,038. The Negro rural-farm population in each of these States showed even greater losses, a decrease of 199,211 in Georgia and one of 141,516 in South Carolina or a total decrease of 340,727 in the Negro rural-farm population of these two States. The urban Negro population of Georgia increased by only 43,601 as compared with an urban increase of 21,865 in South Carolina.

TABLE 14.—PER CENT DISTRIBUTION OF ACREAGE IN FARMS OPERATED BY NEGROES AND WHITES, BY SECTIONS AND SOUTHERN DIVISIONS: 1930 AND 1920

	ACRES IN FARMS						
SECTION AND DIVISION	Neg	roes	Whites				
	1930	1920	1930	1920			
United States	100. 0	100. 0	100. 0	100. 0			
The South	97. 8 38. 7 31. 7 27. 4 1. 9 0. 3	97. 9 43. 8 29. 2 24. 8 1. 9 0. 3	32. 3 7. 6 6. 4 18. 3 44. 8 22. 9	33.9 8.7 7.3 17.8 47.2 18.9			

Acreage increases and decreases.—Increases and decreases in the acreage of land in farms operated by Negroes and by whites in the South, North, and West, and by each geographic division in the South are shown in Table 15. The total decrease of 3,835,050 acres reported for Negro farmers was the result of a reduction of 3,785,757 acres in the South; 47,081 in the North, and 2,212 in the West. Due to increases in Louisiana and Oklahoma the West South Central division was able to show a slight increase of 1,783 acres. Of the 17 States forming the South, including the District of Columbia, four, Louisiana, Mississippi, Oklahoma, and West Virginia reported expansions in land operated by Negroes. Although 11 States in the North and West, including Illinois, Wisconsin, Minnesota, Iowa, Missouri, Idaho, Wyoming, New Mexico, Arizona, Nevada, and Washington showed increased acreages for Negro farmers, the remaining 21 States of the North and West reported an aggregate decrease too large to be offset by the increase of 93,938 acres in the 11 States named. (See Table 36.) The decrease between 1920 and 1930 of acres in land farmed by Negroes is equal to 5,992 square miles, or an area slightly larger than Connecticut, Rhode Island, and the District of Columbia combined. For white farmers the acreage decreases in 30 States were more than offset by increases in 19 States producing a net total increase of 34,743,840 acres, or 54,287 square miles, an area larger than the States of Alabama and Delaware combined.

TABLE 15.—INCREASE IN ACREAGE AND SQUARE MILES IN FARMS OPERATED BY NEGROES AND WHITES, 1920 TO 1930, BY SECTIONS AND SOUTHERN DIVISIONS

	INCREASE, 1920-1930								
SECTION AND DIVISION	Acres in	n farms	Area (squ	are miles)	Per cent				
	Negroes	Whites	Negroes	Whites	Negroes	Whites			
United States	-3, 835, 050	34, 743, 840	- 5, 992	54, 287	-9. 3	3. 8			
The South Atlantic	$\begin{array}{r} -3,785,757\\ -3,600,620\\ -186,920\\ 1,783\\ -47,081\\ -2,212\end{array}$	$\begin{array}{r} -3,522,684\\ -7,846,121\\ -5,897,489\\ 10,220,926\\ -6,401,787\\ 44,668,311\end{array}$	$ \begin{array}{c c} -5,915 \\ -5,626 \\ -292 \\ 3 \\ -74 \\ -3 \end{array} $	-5,504 -12,259 -9,215 15,970 -10,003 69,794	$ \begin{array}{c} -9.3 \\ -19.8 \\ -1.5 \\ () \\ -6.2 \\ -1.6 \end{array} $	$ \begin{array}{r} -1.1\\ -9.9\\ -8.8\\ 6.3\\ -1.5\\ 26.0\end{array} $			

[A minus sign (-) denotes decrease]

<sup>1</sup> Less than one-tenth of 1 per cent.

Average acreage per farm.—The average number of acres per farm, for Negro and white farms in 1930 and 1920, is shown in Table 16 by sections and for the Southern States, with the decrease in the average size of farms during the decade.

The average acreage per Negro farm in the United States decreased from 44.8 acres in 1920 to 42.6 acres in 1930, the decrease amounting in the average to 2.2 acres. During the 20 years from 1910 to 1930 the average decrease amounted to 4.7 acres. White farms increased in the average acreage from 165.7 in 1920 to 176 in 1930 giving an increase for the decade of 10.3 acres. From 1910 to 1930, a 20-year period, the average acreage of white farms increased 23 acres, a gain that is equal to more than one-half (54 per cent) of the average acreage in Negro farmers.

Taking the South as a whole the average acreage per farm of Negro farms decreased by 2.1 acres during the decade, but in the South Atlantic division where a decrease of 86,344 Negro farmers and 3,600,620 acres of land was reported, there was an increase of 1.7 acres in the average acreage of Negro farms as compared with a loss of 8.3 acres in the white farms. In the East South Central the average acreage in Negro farms was reduced during the decade by 2.2 acres, and in the West South Central by 5.1 acres. There were seven States in the South, led by Maryland and including Delaware, Georgia, Virginia, Kentucky, West Virginia, and South Carolina, in the order named, that reported increased average acreages for the 10-year period, although each of these States had fewer Negro farmers in 1930 than in 1920 and, with the exception of West Virginia, less acreage. In the North the average acreage was reduced by 17 acres, or from 81.9 in 1920 to 64.9 in 1930, as compared with a 10-acre average increase for white farmers. Similarly in the West the Negro farm average acreage decreased by 18.3 acres, or from 163.7 in 1920 to 145.4 in 1930 while the average acreage per farm of white farmers increased by 76.8 acres, or from 369.8 acres in 1920 to 446.6 acres in 1930.

TABLE 16.—AVERAGE ACREAGE PER FARM OF NEGRO AND WHITE OPERATORS, BY SECTIONS, AND SOUTHERN DIVISIONS AND STATES: 1930 AND 1920

	۸V	ERAGE'ACI	REAGE PER	FARM OPE	RATED BY	·	
SECTION, DIVISION, AND STATE		Negroes		Whites			
	1930	1920	Increase	1930	1920	Increase	
United States	42.6	44.8	-2.2	176.0	165. 7	10. 3	
The South	42.2	44.3	-2.1	130.3	135.2	-4.9	
South Atlantic East South Central West South Central The North The West	$\begin{array}{r} 49.2\\ 37.2\\ 40.4\\ 64.9\\ 145.4\end{array}$	$\begin{array}{r} 47.5\\ 39.4\\ 45.5\\ 81.9\\ 163.7\end{array}$	$\begin{array}{r} 1.7 \\ -2.2 \\ -5.1 \\ -17.0 \\ -18.3 \end{array}$	$94.3 \\82.1 \\205.4 \\166.5 \\446.6$	$102. \ 6 \\ 89. \ 7 \\ 212. \ 6 \\ 156. \ 5 \\ 369. \ 8$		
THE SOUTH							
SOUTH ATLANTIC; Delaware District of Columbia Virginia West Virginia North Carolina South Carolina Georgia Florida	65.6 8.6 51.5 57.2 44.2 41.0	62. 9 56. 6 14. 4 47. 3 54. 7 45. 0 40. 2 54. 3 49. 2	5.0 9.0 -5.8 4.2 2.5 -0.8 4.5 -2.5	95.1 106.2 32.0 112.1 106.8 72.3 89.7 100.6 94.1	96.0 105.7 29.2 117.7 110.0 85.7 96.2 101.7 131.8	$\begin{array}{r} -0.9\\ 0.5\\ 2.8\\ -5.6\\ -3.2\\ -13.4\\ -6.5\\ -1.1\\ -37.7\end{array}$	
EAST SOUTH CENTEAL: Kentucky Tennessee Alabama	37. 5 38. 6 44. 3	33. 8 39. 9 45. 7 36. 1	$\begin{array}{r} 3.7 \\ -1.3 \\ -1.4 \\ -2.9 \end{array}$	82. 5 79. 1 81. 9 86. 8	82.1 83.8 94.6 111.7	$\begin{array}{r} 0.4 \\ -4.7 \\ -12.7 \\ -24.9 \end{array}$	
Mississippi WEST SOUTH CENTRAL: Arkansas. Louisiana Oklahoma Texas	31. 2 31. 4	36. 3 35. 2 78. 0 56. 5	$ \begin{array}{c} -5.1 \\ -3.8 \\ -8.0 \\ -5.0 \end{array} $	83. 3 80. 3 175. 9 293. 7	92.5 106.8 174.5 306.7	$ \begin{array}{r} -9.2 \\ -26.5 \\ 1.4 \\ -13.0 \end{array} $	

[A minus sign (-) denotes decrease]

Farm property values.—Data relating to the value of land and buildings of farms operated by Negroes for 1920 and 1930, are presented in Table 17. The aggregate value of land and buildings by tenure of operators is shown for 1930 and 1920 by divisions and States in Table 37, and the value of implements and machinery for 1930 by divisions and States in Table 33.

For several years prior to the World War, and in 1920 during the early postwar period, the value of farm land and buildings was generally inflated throughout the entire country. Between 1920 and 1930 the average value of all land and buildings per farm decreased approximately \$2,670, or 26 per cent, while the average value of land and buildings per acre fell from \$69.38 in 1920, to \$48.52 in 1930, a decrease of \$20.86, or 30.1 per cent per acre. No separate tabulation was made in 1920 of the value of implements and machinery on Negro farms in the United States, but the value of land and buildings for each section and for the United States is reported for both years.

For all farms in the United States the value of land and buildings decreased \$18,436,164,244, or 27.8 per cent during the decade. The value of land and buildings of Negro-operated farms decreased \$854,699,526, or 37.9 per cent, and

the value reported for white operated and all other operated farms decreased by \$17,581,464,718, or 27.4 per cent. Negro farm property (land and buildings) in the South showed a decrease of \$835,823,975, or 38.1 per cent; in the North \$18,164,242, or 30.4 per cent, and in the West the value declined \$711,309, or at rate of 10.4 the per cent during the 10 years. This decrease in the values, in each section, of the farm property operated by each racial group was the result of a reduction in the farm acreage and the shrinkage of land values generally throughout the Nation.

TABLE 17.-VALUE OF LAND AND BUILDINGS OF ALL FARMS AND OF FARMS OPERATED BY NEGROES, BY SECTIONS: 1930 AND 1920

		VALUE O	F LAND AND BUIL	DINGS		
CLASS AND SECTION	1930	1920	Increase, 192	Per cent distri- bution		
	1000		Amount	Per cent	1930	1920
United States	847, 879, 838, 358	\$66, 316, 002, 602	- \$18, 436, 164, 244	-27.8	100. 0	100. 0
The South The North The West	12, 343, 593, 204 28, 253, 801, 121 7, 282, 444, 033	15, 156, 654, 907 43, 326, 743, 178 7, 832, 604, 517	-2, 813, 061, 703 -15, 072, 942, 057 -550, 160, 484	-18.6 -34.8 -7.0	25. 8 59. 0 15. 2	22.9 65.3 11.8
Negro	1, 402, 945, 799	2, 257, 645, 325	-854, 699, 526	-37.9	100.0	100.0
The South The North The West		2, 191, 005, 642 59, 832, 464 6, 807, 219	835, 823, 975 18, 164, 242 711, 309	$ \begin{array}{r} -38.1 \\ -30.4 \\ -10.4 \\ \end{array} $	96.6 3.0 0.4	97. 0 2. 7 0. 3
White <sup>1</sup>	46, 277, 070, 671	63, 786, 058, 855		-27.4	100. 0	100. 0
The South The North The West	28, 194, 670, 491	12, 928, 803, 290 43, 236, 157, 733 7, 621, 097, 832	-1, 978, 315, 027 -15, 041, 487, 242 -489, 185, 915	-15.3 -34.8 -6.4	23. 7 60. 9 15. 4	20.3 67.8 11.9
All other nonwhite	199, 821, 888	272, 298, 422	- 72, 476, 534	-26.6	100. 0	100. <b>O</b>
The South The North The West	37, 923, 274 17, 402, 408 144, 436, 206	36, 845, 975 30, 752, 981 204, 699, 466	$\begin{array}{r} 1,077,299\\-13,290,573\\-60,263,260\end{array}$	2.9 -43.2 -29.4	19.0 8.7 72.3	13. 5 11. 3 75. 2

[A minus sign (-) denotes decrease]

<sup>1</sup> Includes Mexicans and Hindus.

Rank of Southern States.—In Table 18 the number of farms and the value of land and buildings operated by Negroes in Southern States are given for 1930 and for 1920, with the increase for the decade and rank of the States at each census. In number of farms Mississippi ranked first among the States at each census. Alabama ranked second in 1930, having displaced Georgia which occupied second place, and passed South Carolina which stood in third rank in 1920. Texas advanced from fifth to fourth place in 1930; Arkansas from seventh to fifth; while South Carolina dropped from third rank to sixth; and North Carolina from sixth rank in 1920 to seventh in 1930. No changes occurred in the ranks of the remaining 9 States and the District of Columbia.

Ranked according to value of land and buildings, Mississippi continues in first place as in 1920, 1910, and 1900. Texas advanced from fourth rank in 1920 to second in 1930. North Carolina occupied third place, having displaced South Carolina. Arkansas advanced from sixth to fourth, Louisiana from eighth to sixth, and Maryland from thirteenth to twelfth rank. Georgia fell from second to fifth rank during the decade; South Carolina from third to seventh; Alabama from seventh to eighth and Kentucky from twelfth to thirteenth place. Each of the other States and the District of Columbia occupied the same rank as in 1920. With the single exception of Louisiana, each State showed a decrease in

26

the value of land and buildings operated by Negro farmers. Exclusive of the District of Columbia where the value of land and buildings is very small, the greatest decreases in amount, if not in percentage, were reported for Georgia, South Carolina, Mississippi, North Carolina, and Arkansas, in the order named.

TABLE 18.—NUMBER OF FARMS AND VALUE OF LAND AND BUILDINGS OF NEGRO OPERATORS IN THE SOUTHERN STATES WITH RANK OF THE STATES: 1930 AND 1920

	FA	RMS IN THE SO	UTH OPERATED	BY NEGROE	s	
STATE			Increase, 192	0-1930	Rank of	States
	1930	1920	Number	Per cent	1930	1920
		NUM	BEE OF FARMS			
The South	870, 936	915, 595	-44,659	-4.9		
Mississippi Alabama Georgia Texas Arkansas South Carolina North Carolina Uriginia Virginia Virginia Oklahoma Florida Kentucky Maryland Delaware West Virginia District of Columbia	85,940 79,556 77,331 74,638 73,734 39,598 35,123 15,172 11,010 9,104 5,264 807 490	161,001 95,200 130,176 76,597 72,275 109,005 74,849 62,036 47,690 38,181 13,403 12,954 12,624 6,208 872 504 20 VALUE OF I	21, 577 -1, 405 -43, 389 7, 343 7, 281 -31, 674 -31, 674 -31, 674 -31, 674 -33, 674 -33, 674 -34, 658 1, 769 -1, 944 -35, 509 -1, 944 -65 -14 -094 -0	$\begin{array}{c} -29.1 \\ -0.3 \\ 18.9 \\ -17.0 \\ -8.0 \\ 13.2 \\ -15.0 \\ -27.9 \\ -15.2 \\ -7.5 \\ -2.8 \\ -45.0 \end{array}$	4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 -14	7 3 6 8 9 10 11
The South	\$1, 355, 181, 667	\$2, 191, 005, 642	-\$835, 823, 975	-38.1		
Mississippi Tazas North Carolina Arkansas Georgia. Louisiana. South Carolina Alabama. Virginia. Tennessee. Oklahoma. Maryland Kentucky. Florida. Delaware. Delaware. District of Columbia.	249, 305, 432 179, 301, 988 153, 882, 635 118, 180, 961 114, 688, 530 105, 668, 518 105, 693, 220 104, 726, 209 71, 722, 401 56, 339, 610 37, 967, 113 18, 896, 822 16, 157, 593 15, 369, 957 2, 628, 580 1, 480, 628	402, 433, 836 224, 864, 322 223, 666, 166 182, 378, 759 322, 706, 709 107, 615, 718 290, 406, 115 116, 894, 012 100, 839, 641 90, 427, 645 48, 640, 707 21, 651, 121 36, 667, 878 17, 561, 378 2, 885, 461 1, 727, 890 233, 300	$\begin{array}{c c} -29, 117, 244 \\ -34, 088, 03 \\ -10, 673, 59 \\ -2, 754, 83 \\ -19, 910, 28 \\ -2, 191, 42 \\ -256, 85 \\ -247, 26 \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	4 5 8 7 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	10 11 13 12 14 15 16

[A minus sign (-) denotes decrease]

**Rank of Northern and Western States.**—Table 19 distributes the number of farms and the value of land and buildings operated by Negroes in the Northern and Western States for 1920 and 1930 with the increase for the decade, and also shows the rank of the States at each census.

Chiefly, if not entirely, ascribable to the interstate migratory movement during the decade an aggregate increase of 1,801 Negro farmers was reported at the census of 1930, 8 of the 32 States constituting this territory including, in the order named, Missouri, California, Arizona, New Mexico, Iowa, Wisconsin, Maine, and Illinois having contributed to the increase. Only two of these States had 1,000 or more Negro farmers, as compared with three States in 1920, and as in 1920 Missouri ranked in first place, followed by Ohio, Kansas, and Illinois. In actual numbers, Missouri, California, Arizona, and New Mexico had the largest gains. Ranked according to the value of land and buildings, Missouri, Kansas, Ohio, and Illinois occupied first, second, third, and fourth places each year.

TABLE 19.—NUMBER OF FARMS AND VALUE OF LAND AND BUILDINGS OF NEGRO OPERATORS IN THE NORTHERN AND WESTERN STATES, WITH RANK OF THE STATES: 1930 AND 1920

STATE	FARMS I	N THE NORTH A	IND WEST OPERA Increase, 19		1	f States
	1930	1920	Number	Per cent 1	1930	1920
		NUM	BER OF FARMS			
The North and West	11, 914	10, 113	1, 801	17.8		
Missouri Ohio Kansas Ildiois Michigan California New Jersey Pennsy Irania New York Jowa New York Jowa Arizona New Mexico Colorado Wachington Massachusetts Wisconsin South Dakota Nebraska Connecticut Minnesota Vermont Montana Idaho Maine Wroming North Dakota Oregon Rhode Island New Hampshire Utah	$\begin{array}{c} 5,844\\ 1,229\\ 941\\ 893\\ 427\\ 427\\ 427\\ 372\\ 358\\ 118\\ 87\\ 82\\ 78\\ 82\\ 82\\ 78\\ 82\\ 78\\ 82\\ 78\\ 82\\ 78\\ 82\\ 78\\ 82\\ 78\\ 82\\ 78\\ 82\\ 78\\ 82\\ 78\\ 82\\ 78\\ 82\\ 78\\ 82\\ 78\\ 82\\ 78\\ 82\\ 78\\ 82\\ 78\\ 82\\ 78\\ 82\\ 78\\ 82\\ 78\\ 82\\ 82\\ 78\\ 82\\ 78\\ 82\\ 82\\ 78\\ 82\\ 82\\ 78\\ 82\\ 82\\ 78\\ 82\\ 82\\ 78\\ 82\\ 82\\ 82\\ 82\\ 82\\ 82\\ 82\\ 82\\ 82\\ 8$	$\begin{array}{c} 2,824\\ 1,616\\ 1,135\\ 570\\ 570\\ 570\\ 299\\ 231\\ 451\\ 451\\ 109\\ 32\\ 32\\ 148\\ 79\\ 103\\ 32\\ 148\\ 79\\ 103\\ 32\\ 148\\ 32\\ 32\\ 148\\ 32\\ 32\\ 148\\ 32\\ 32\\ 13\\ 17\\ 65\\ 33\\ 31\\ 17\\ 16\\ 15\\ 19\\ 14\\ 61\\ 5\end{array}$	$         3,000 \\         -357 \\         -194 \\         -194 \\         -122 \\         -134 \\         -159 \\         -957 \\         -977 \\         -955 \\         -50 \\         -60 \\         -40 \\         -77 \\         -22 \\         -66 \\         -10 \\         -7 \\         -25 \\         -56 \\         -2         $	106.9 -23.9 -17.1 0.1 -19.1 -22.2 -29.9 -21.7 -39.6 8.3 	$1 \\ 2 \\ 3 \\ 4 \\ 5 \\ 6 \\ 7 \\ 8 \\ 9 \\ 9 \\ 10 \\ 111 \\ 12 \\ 13 \\ 14 \\ 15 \\ 16 \\ 17 \\ 18 \\ 9 \\ 20 \\ 21 \\ 22 \\ 23 \\ 24 \\ 25 \\ 27 \\ 28 \\ 29 \\ 30 \\ 31 \\ 31 \\ 31 \\ 31 \\ 31 \\ 31 \\ 31$	$\begin{array}{c} 1\\ 2\\ 2\\ 3\\ 4\\ 5\\ 6\\ 9\\ 7\\ 8\\ 10\\ 12\\ 20\\ 10\\ 12\\ 20\\ 20\\ 11\\ 11\\ 11\\ 18\\ 18\\ 18\\ 18\\ 18\\ 18\\ 16\\ 12\\ 22\\ 22\\ 22\\ 22\\ 22\\ 22\\ 22\\ 22\\ 22$
		VALUE OF	LAND AND BUIL	DINGS	9     1       9     1       9     2       1     3       1     4       2     6       2     7       9     8       7     9       6     10       3     11        12        13       3     14       5     16        18        20        22        23        25        27        28        29        29        23        26        27        28        29        29        29        30        31	
The North and West	\$47, 764, 132	\$66, 639, 683	-\$18, \$75, 551	-28.3		
Mistouri Kansas Ohio California Pennsylvania New Jersey Indiana Michigan Iowa New York Arizona Colorado	$\begin{matrix} 14, 498, 297\\ 6, 093, 760\\ 5, 434, 735\\ 3, 704, 618\\ 3, 696, 275\\ 2, 194, 280\\ 2, 070, 400\\ 1, 947, 915\\ 1, 874, 378\\ 875, 875\\ 751, 510\\ 751, 510\\ 445, 540 \end{matrix}$	$\begin{array}{c} 16, 524, 007\\ 9, 515, 735\\ 9, 126, 482\\ 6, 082, 673\\ 3, 625, 535\\ 3, 261, 060\\ 2, 117, 590\\ 4, 254, 030\\ 2, 566, 855\\ 1, 330, 690\\ 1, 558, 950\\ 277, 700\\ 944, 405\\ \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} -2,025,710\\ -3,421,975\\ -3,691,747\\ -2,375,057\\ -1,066,780\\ -47,190\\ -47,190\\ -2,306,115\\ -692,477\\ -452,366\\ -683,375\\ -453,3810\\ -498,865\end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} -12.3\\ -36.0\\ -40.5\\ -39.1\\ 1.2\\ -32.7\\ -2.2\\ -54.2\\ -27.0\\ -34.0\\ -43.8\\ 174.2\\ -52.8\end{array}$	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	1 2 3 4 6 7 7 9 5 8 11 10 21 13

[A minus sign (-) denotes decrease]

<sup>1</sup> Per cent not shown where base is less than 100,

TABLE 19 NUMBER OF FARMS AND V.	ALUE OF L.	AND AND	BUILDI	INGS OF	$\cdot N_{I}$	EGRO
OPERATORS IN THE NORTHERN AND	WESTERN	STATES,	WITH	Rank	$\mathbf{OF}$	THE
STATES: 1930 AND 1920-Continued						

	FARMS	IN THE NORTH	AND WEST OPERA	TED BY N	EGROES	
STATE	1930	1920	Increase, 19	Increase, 1920-1930		
	1950	1320	Number	Per cent	1930	1920
		VALUE OF LAND	AND BUILDINGS	-continued	1	
The North and West—Con. New Mexico. Massachusetts. Washington. Wisconsin. Connecticut. Nebraska. South Dakota. Minnesota. Wyoming. Vermont. Montana. Haho. North Dakota. Nerada. Maine. Rhode Island. Oregon. Utah. New Hampshire.	$\begin{array}{c} 343, 105\\ 314, 700\\ 308, 080\\ 285, 425\\ 187, 325\\ 139, 020\\ 118, 700\\ 115, 084\\ 107, 200\\ 62, 700\\ 44, 000\\ 41, 400\\ 40, 000\\ \end{array}$	\$124, 894 345, 800 538, 480 278, 700 496, 990 1, 095, 040 822, 515 134, 670 131, 410 116, 725 240, 310 134, 900 285, 740 19, 150 54, 400 31, 500 119, 400 651, 045 29, 400	$\begin{array}{c} \$276, 062\\ 30, 795\\ -181, 775\\ -64, 405\\ -184, 290\\ -786, 960\\ -338, 990\\ -52, 655\\ 7, 610\\ 1, 975\\ -125, 226\\ -27, 700\\ -233, 040\\ -233, 040\\ -233, 040\\ -233, 040\\ -85, 980\\ -626, 845\\ -11, 400\\ \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{r} -54.2\\ 39.1\\ 5.8\\ 1.7\\ -52.1\\ -20.5\\ -78.1\\ 129.8\\ -23.9\\ 27.0\\ \end{array}$	14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 26 26 27 28 29 30 31 32	26 18 20 17 12 25 28 22 23 22 23 22 23 30 29 30 27 14 31

**Rural Migrants Absorbed by Industry.**—That the migration, during the 20 years 1910 to 1930, of a large number of Negroes from the rural districts of the South, and the increase of the rural Negro population in the States comprising the North and West as indicated in Table 3 has not contributed to an increase of Negro farmers in the 21 States forming the North, and only to a very small extent in the 11 States composing the West is shown in Table 20.

From 1910 to 1930 there was a decrease of 10,520 Negro farmers in the United States, as compared with a decrease of 68,041 white farmers. Dividing the country into sections, the North lost 948 and the South 9,900 Negro farmers while the West gained 328. Every important agricultural State in the North except Wisconsin and Missouri, and all in the South except North Carolina, Mississippi, Arkansas, Louisiana, Oklahoma, and Texas reported decreases. In the West slight increases were reported for California, Arizona, New Mexico, and Idaho.

The peak of the number of Negro farmers in the United States, and in the South was reached in 1920. The North had more in 1910 than in 1920 or 1930. Out in the West small increases were reported at each census, particularly in California, New Mexico, and Idaho. The South registered a decrease of 9,900 for the 20 years from 1910 to 1930, also a loss of 44,659 in 1930 as compared with 1920. Although considerable increases during the 20 years were reported for North Carolina and Mississippi, the greater trend was toward the States of Louisiana, Arkansas, Oklahoma, and Texas whose combined increase of 52,980 Negro farmers was somewhat less, however, than the loss (55,208) sustained by the two States of Georgia and South Carolina.

That the requirement of industry for labor was the magnet that lured so many Negro farmers and rural-farm inhabitants to the urban centers is indicated by the occupational statistics which show that in the manufacturing and mechanical industries alone, there was an approximate increase of 368,750, or 56.2 per cent in the number of Negro workers during the 20 years from 1910 to 1930.

#### TABLE 20.—NUMBER OF NEGRO FARM OPERATORS, 1930 AND 1910, WITH IN-CREASE DURING THE 20-YEAR PERIOD, 1910 TO 1930, BY SECTIONS, DIVISIONS, AND STATES

[A	minus	sign	(-)	denotes	decrease]	
----	-------	------	-----	---------	-----------	--

	NEGR	O FARM TORS	OPERA-		NEGE	O FARM TORS	OPERA-
SECTION, DIVISION, AND STATE	1930	1910	In- crease, 1910- 1930, (num- ber)	SECTION, DIVISION, AND STATE	1930	1910	In- crease, 1910– 1930, (num- ber)
United States	882, 850	893, 370	- 10, 520	South Atlantic	295, 934	354, 530	- 58, 596
The North The South The West New England New Hampshire Vermont Massachusetts Rhode Island Connecticut Middle Atlantic New York New York New York Pennsylvania East North Central Ohio Indiana Michigan Wisconsin West North Central	570, 936 810 148 15 6 22 63 9 33 873 148 372 353 3, 065 1, 229 461 593 427 55 7, 018	880, 836 482 310 28 14 20 103 40 105 1, 310 295 472 543 4, 843 1, 948 785 1, 422 640 48 5, 589	$\begin{array}{r} 328\\ \hline -162\\ \hline -13\\ -8\\ 2\\ -40\\ -31\\ -72\\ -437\\ \hline -147\\ -100\\ -190\\ -190\\ \hline -1,778\\ \hline -719\\ -324\\ -529\\ -213\\ 7\\ 1,429\\ \end{array}$	Delaware. Maryland. District of Columbia . Virginia. North Carolina. South Carolina. Georgia. Florida. East South Central. Kentucky. Tennessee Alabama. Mississippi. West South Central. Arkansas. Louisiana. Oklahoma. Texas. Mountain. Montana. Idaho. W yoming. Colorado. New Mexico. Arizona.	5, 264 11 39, 598 490 74, 636 77, 331 86, 787 11, 010 320, 600 9, 104 35, 123 93, 795 182, 578 254, 402 79, 556 73, 734 15, 172 85, 940 304 21 16	6, 370) 48, 039 707 64, 456 96, 772 122, 554 14, 698 324, 884 11, 709 38, 300 110, 387 164, 488 201, 422 63, 578 54, 819 13, 209 69, 816 219 229 13	$\begin{array}{c} -115\\ -1,106\\ -1,006\\ -1,006\\ -1,016\\ -21,016\\ -21,016\\ -2,005\\ -3,688\\ -2,605\\ -3,676\\ -3,688\\ -2,605\\ -3,676\\ -3,688\\ -2,605\\ -3,676\\ -3,690\\ -15,978\\ -3,980\\ -15,978\\ -3,963\\ -3,14\\ -2,605\\ -3,16\\ -3,12\\ -3,12\\ -3,12\\ -3,12\\ -6,12\\ -3,12\\ -6,12\\ -3,12\\ -6,12\\ -3,12\\ -6,12\\ -3,12\\ -6,12\\ -3,12\\ -6,12\\ -3,12\\ -6,12\\ -3,12\\ -6,12\\ -3,12\\ -6,12\\ -3,12\\ -6,12\\ -3,12\\ -6,12\\ -3,12\\ -6,12\\ -3,12\\ -6,12\\ -3,12\\ -6,12\\ -3,12\\ -6,12\\ -3,12\\ -6,12\\ -3,12$
Minnesota Iowa	27 118	29 187	$-2 \\ -69 \\$	Nevada	3	6	-3
Missouri North Dakota	5, 844 10	3, 656 22	2,188 -12	Pacific Washington	<u>506</u> 73	$\frac{263}{77}$	243
South Dakota Nebraska Kansas	40 38 941	67 96 1, 532	$-27 \\ -58 \\ -591$	Oregon California	9 424		$-18^{-18}$ 265

Twenty years of acreage losses.—Twenty years ago at the census of 1910, as shown by Table 21, and prior to the requirement by industry of an enlarged source of labor supply within the United States, Negro farmers operated 42,279,510 acres, or approximately 66,062 square miles, an area greater than the combined land area (65,756) of Massachusetts, New Jersey, Maryland, and Virginia. By 1930 this Negro-operated farm land had been reduced by 4,682,378 acres, or 7,316 square miles, an area almost as large as the State of New Jersey, a decrease of 847,328 acres having been reported for the 10-year period 1910 to 1920, and one of 3,835,050 acres between 1920 and 1930, the three States (Alabama, Georgia, and South Carolina) having contributed nearly 79 per cent of the total decrease. Only 13 States reported farm acreage increases, Vermont, Wisconsin, Minnesota, Missouri, North Carolina, Louisiana, Texas, Montana, Idaho, Wyoming, New Mexico, Arizona, and Washington.

30

# THE NEGRO FARMER

# TABLE 21.—LAND IN FARMS OPERATED BY NEGROES, 1996 100, WITH INCREASE DURING THE 20-YEAR PERIOD, 1910 TO 1930, BY SECTIONS, DIVISIONS, AND STATES

	LAND IN FARMS (ACRES)							
SECTION, DIVISION, AND STATE			Increase, 1910-1930					
	1930	1910	Number	Per cent				
United States	87, 597, 132	42, 279, 510	-4, 682, 378	-11.1				
The North The South The West	720, 872 36, 758, 484 117, 776	868, 630 41, 284, 471 126, 409	-147,758 -4,525,987 -8,633	-17.0 -11.0 -6.8				
New England	9, 397	14,759	-5,362	-36.3				
Maine. New Hampshire Vermont Massachusetts. Rhode Island. Connecticut.	818 814 3, 812 2, 063 571 1, 319	1, 280 923 1, 917 3, 535 1, 664 5, 440	$\begin{array}{r}462 \\ -109 \\ 1,895 \\ -1,472 \\ -1,093 \\ -4,121 \end{array}$	-36.1 -11.8 95.9 -41.6 -65.7 -75.8				
Middle Atlantic	55, 808	74, 849	-19, 041	-25.4				
New York New Jersey Pennsylvania	14, 894 19, 298 21, 616	22, 552 22, 200 30, 097	-7, 658 -2, 902 -8, 481	$ \begin{array}{c c} -34.0 \\ -13.1 \\ -28.2 \end{array} $				
East North Central	214, 596	287, 513	~72, 917	-25.4				
Ohio. Indiana. Illinois. Michigan. Wisconsin.	92, 083 28, 454 57, 805 30, 959 5, 295	106,74243,62787,78445,3314,029	$\begin{array}{r} -14,659 \\ -15,173 \\ -29,979 \\ -14,372 \\ 1,266 \end{array}$	$ \begin{array}{c c} -13.7 \\ -34.8 \\ -34.2 \\ -31.7 \\ 31.4 \end{array} $				
West North Central	441, 071	491, 509	-50, 438	-10.3				
Minnesota. Iowa Missouri North Dakota South Dakota Nebraska Kansas	$\begin{array}{c} 2,692\\ 10,002\\ 255,623\\ 2,565\\ 14,228\\ 9,216\\ 146,745\end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 2,362\\ 13,617\\ 229,255\\ 5,484\\ 20,753\\ 36,585\\ 183,453\end{array}$	$\begin{array}{r} 330 \\ -3,615 \\ 26,368 \\ -2,919 \\ -6,525 \\ -27,369 \\ -36,708 \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{r} 14.0 \\ -26.5 \\ 11.5 \\ -53.2 \\ -31.4 \\ -74.8 \\ -20.0 \end{array}$				
South Atlantic	14, 550, 451	17, 605, 488	-3, 055, 037	-17.4				
Delaware Maryland District of Columbia	54, 756 345, 224 95	56, 973 358, 509 95	-2, 217 -13, 285	-3.9 -3.7				
Virginia West Virginia North Carolina South Carolina Georgia Florida	$\begin{array}{c} 2,039,656\\ 28,013\\ 3,296,445\\ 3,167,898\\ 5,104,452\\ 513,912 \end{array}$	2, 233, 883 34, 520 3, 121, 827 3, 939, 592 7, 091, 949 768, 140	$\begin{array}{r} -194,227\\ -6,507\\ 174,618\\ -771,694\\ -1,987,497\\ -254,228\end{array}$	$ \begin{array}{r} -8.7 \\ -18.8 \\ 5.6 \\ -19.6 \\ -28.0 \\ -33.1 \\ \end{array} $				
East South Central	11, 918, 057	13, 573, 980	-1, 655, 923	-12.2				
Kentucky Tennessee Alabama Misissippi	341, 833 1, 356, 683 • 4, 157, 051 6, 062, 490	439, 657 1, 605, 694 5, 083, 552 6, 445, 077	$\begin{array}{r} -97,824 \\ -249,011 \\ -926,501 \\ -382,587 \end{array}$	$ \begin{array}{r} -22.3 \\ -15.5 \\ -18.2 \\ -5.9 \\ \end{array} $				
West South Central	10, 289, 976	10, 105, 003	184, 973	1.8				
Arkansas Louisiana Oklahoma Texas	2, 485, 626 2, 313, 338 1, 061, 341 4, 429, 671	2, 652, 684 2, 121, 258 1, 066, 863 4, 264, 198	-167,058 192,080 -5,522 165,473	6.3 9.1 0.5 3.9				
Mountain	77,228	62, 807	14, 421	23.0				
Montana Idaho. Wyoming Colorado. New Mexico. Arizona. Utah. Nevada.	9, 804 2, 816 10, 925 25, 137 19, 883 7, 766 37 860	$\begin{array}{c} 7,918\\ 1,043\\ 6,202\\ 32,003\\ 11,633\\ 1,222\\ 506\\ 2,280\\ \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 1,886\\ 1,773\\ 4,723\\ -6,866\\ 8,250\\ 6,544\\ -469\\ -1,420\end{array}$	23.8 170.0 76.2 -21.5 70.9 535.5 -92.7 -62.3				
Pacific	40, 548	63, 602	- 23, 054	-36.2				
Washington Oregon California	9, 425 826 30, 297	7, 651 3, 021 52, 930	1, 774 -2, 195 -22, 633	23.2 72.7 42.8				

[A minus sign (-) denotes decrease]

a.

Value of land and buildings.—During the 20 years, 1910 to 1930, there was an increase of \$480,228,096, or 52 per cent, as shown by Table 22, in the value of land and buildings operated by Negro farmers. This increase reported for 1930 indicated that farm values were at higher levels than at the census of 1910, but below the inflated values of 1920, a condition that is further emphasized by the fact that during the same 20-year period, as shown in Tables 20 and 21, there was a reduction in the number of Negro farmers and also in the acres of land in farms operated by them. The North reported a decrease of \$3,588,166, or 7.9 per cent; the South an increase of \$481,599,257, or 55.1 per cent, and the West an increase of \$2,217,005, or 57.2 per cent.

Seven States in the North, including Maine, Vermont, Massachusetts, New Jersey, Wisconsin, Minnesota, and Missouri reported increases during the 20years, 1910 to 1930. In the South all except Georgia and the District of Columbia reported increases, and in the West the States of Colorado, Utah, Nevada, Washington, and Oregon reported lesser values, the total of which failed, however, to counterbalance the total increase of the seven other States composing the territory denominated as the West. In the North the greatest increase in amount, but not in the percentage increase, was made by Missouri. Of the States in the South, Mississippi followed by Texas reported the greatest increases in values but Louisiana and North Carolina showed the greatest percentage increases. In the West, California outstripped the other States in the amount of increased values for the 20 years covered by this table.

TABLE 22.--VALUE OF LAND AND BUILDINGS OF FARMS OPERATED BY NEGROES, 1930 AND 1910, WITH INCREASE DURING THE 20-YEAR PERIOD, 1910 TO 1930, BY SECTIONS, DIVISIONS, AND STATES

	VALUE OF LAND AND BUILDINGS						
SECTION, DIVISION, AND STATE	1930	1910	Increase, 1910-1930				
	1930	1550 1510		Per cent			
United States.	the second se	\$922, 717, 703	\$480, 228, 096	52. 0			
The North The South The West	1, 355, 181, 667 6, 095, 910	45, 256, 388 873, 582, 410 3, 878, 905	3, 588, 166 481, 599, 257 2, 217, 005	-7.9 55.1 57.2			
New England	909, 395	802, 360	107,035	13.3			
Maine. New Hampshire. Vermont. Massachusetts. Hhode Island. Connecticut.	18,000 118,700 376,595	$\begin{array}{r} 36,850\\ 61,300\\ 42,350\\ 258,065\\ 62,600\\ 341,195\end{array}$	$\begin{array}{r} 4,550 \\ -43,300 \\ 76,350 \\ 118,530 \\ -22,600 \\ -26,495 \end{array}$	$ \begin{array}{r}     12.3 \\     -70.6 \\     180.3 \\     45.9 \\     -36.1 \\     -7.8 \\ \end{array} $			
Middle Atlantic	5, 140, 255	5, 299, 347	-159,092	-3.0			
New York New Jersey Pennsylvanis	2, 070, 400 2, 194, 280	1, 234, 530 1, 689, 737 2, 375, 080	358, 955 380, 663 180, 800	-29.1 22.5 -7.6			
East North Central		17, 477, 649	-4, 172, 898	23.9			
Ohio Indiana Illinois Michigan Wisconsin	1, 947, 915 3, 704, 618	5, 989, 075 3, 317, 747 5, 972, 592 2, 024, 685 173, 550	$\begin{array}{r} -554,340 \\ -1,369,832 \\ -2,267,974 \\ -150,307 \\ 169,555 \end{array}$	9.3 41.3 38.0 7.4 97.7			

[A minus sign (-) denotes decrease]

### TABLE 22.—VALUE OF LAND AND BUILDINGS OF FARMS OPERATED BY NEGROES, 1930 AND 1910, WITH INCREASE DURING THE 20-YEAR PERIOD, 1910 TO 1930, BY SECTIONS, DIVISIONS, AND STATES—Continued

	VALUE OF LAND AND BUILDINGS							
SECTION, DIVISION, AND STATE	1930	1010	Increase, 1910-1930					
	1990	1910	Amount	Per cent				
West North Central	\$22, 313, 821	\$21, 677, 032	\$636, 789	2.9				
Minnesota Iowa Missouri North Dakota South Dakota Nebraska Kansas	$187, 325 \\ 878, 234 \\ 14, 498, 297 \\ 62, 700 \\ 285, 425 \\ 308, 080 \\ 6, 093, 760 \\ \end{cases}$	$123,915 \\ 1,054,495 \\ 12,006,443 \\ 154,100 \\ 507,405 \\ 513,360 \\ 7,317,314$	$\begin{array}{r} 63,410\\ -176,261\\ 2,491,854\\ -91,400\\ -221,950\\ -205,280\\ -1,223,554\end{array}$	$51.2 \\ -16.7 \\ 20.8 \\ -59.3 \\ -43.7 \\ -40.0 \\ -16.7$				
South Atlantic	484, 443, 943	365, 068, 245	119, 375, 698	32.7				
Delaware Maryland District of Columbia Virginia West Virginia North Carolina South Carolina Georgia Florida	$\begin{array}{c} 2, 628, 590\\ 18, 896, 282\\ 82, 000\\ 71, 722, 401\\ 1, 480, 628\\ 153, 882, 635\\ 105, 693, 220\\ 114, 688, 230\\ 153, 369, 957\end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 1, 981, 716\\ 10, 267, 284\\ 89, 400\\ 45, 143, 291\\ 1, 075, 204\\ 66, 793, 591\\ 98, 666, 444\\ 128, 877, 692\\ 11, 874, 283\\ \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{r} 646,874\\ 8,628,998\\ -7,400\\ 26,579,110\\ 405,424\\ 87,089,044\\ 6,729,776\\ -14,188,802\\ 3,495,674\end{array}$	$\begin{array}{r} 32.6\\ 84.0\\ -8.3\\ 58.9\\ 37.7\\ 130.4\\ 6.8\\ -11.0\\ 29.4\end{array}$				
East South Central	426, 528, 844	279, 431, 194	147, 097, 650	52.6				
Kentucky Tennessee. Alabama. Mississippi	16, 157, 593 56, 339, 610 104, 726, 209 249, 305, 432	$\begin{array}{c} 15,017,228\\ 42,183,226\\ 73,834,072\\ 148,396,668 \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 1,140,365\\ 14,156,384\\ 30,892,137\\ 100,908,764 \end{array}$	7.6 33.6 41.8 68.0				
West South Central	444, 208, 880	229, 082, 971	215, 125, 909	93. 9				
Arkansas. Louisiana. Oklahoma. Texas.	118, 180, 961 108, 668, 818 37, 967, 113 179, 391, 988	68, 978, 464 44, 891, 918 24, 552, 515 90, 660, 074	49, 202, 497 63, 776, 900 13, 414, 595 88, 731, 914	71. 3 142. 1 54. 6 97. 9				
Mountain	2, 037, 510	1, 155, 995	881, 515	76.3				
Montana Idaho Wyoming Colorado	115, 064 107, 200 139, 020 445, 540 400, 958 761, 510 24, 200 44, 000	$114, 680 \\ 54, 250 \\ 129, 200 \\ 477, 520 \\ 199, 245 \\ 30, 100 \\ 80, 500 \\ 70, 500 \\ \end{cases}$	$\begin{array}{r} 404\\ 52,950\\ 9,820\\ -31,980\\ 201,711\\ 731,410\\ -56,300\\ -26,500\end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 0.4\\ 97.6\\ -6.7\\ 101.2\\ 2,429.9\\ -69.9\\ -37.6\end{array}$				
Pacific	4, 058, 400	2, 722, 910	1, 335, 490	49.0				
Washington Oregon California	356, 705 32, 420 3, 669, 275	470, 625 151, 350 2, 100, 935	-113, 920 -118, 930 1, 568, 340	-24.2 -78.6 74.6				

**Tenure by racial class.**—Data on the number of farmers by tenure and racial class, and the per cent distribution by tenure of each of these groups are presented in Table 23. Of the 882,850 Negro farmers, 181,016, or 20.5 per cent, were owners as compared with 77 per cent of the Indian, 16.3 per cent of the other colored, and 62.6 per cent of the white farmers. The 700,911 Negro tenant farmers constituted 79.4 per cent of all farmers of that racial class as against a 22.7 per cent tenancy for Indians, a 50.4 for the other colored, and one of 36.4 per cent for the white farm operators. Managers numbered 55,889 of whom 923 were Negroes, 68 Indians, 2,131 other colored, and 52,767 white.

In the South, 176,130, or 20.2 per cent, of the Negroes, 5,850, or 55 per cent, of the Indians, and 1,233,656, or 52.7 per cent, of the whites owned the farms they were operating, while 694,001, or 79.7 per cent, of the Negroes, 4,766, or 44.8 per

130058-33----5

cent, of the Indians, and 1,091,944, or 46.6 per cent, of the white farmers were reported as tenants. Of the 17,358 farm managers in the South, 805 were Negroes, 21 Indians, and 16,529 white. Excluding Negroes and Indians there were only 114 nonwhite farm operators in the South.

In the North, where Negroes form only 3.3 per cent of the total population, only 1.2 per cent of the rural population and operate less than one-half of 1 per cent of the farms, tenure conditions are somewhat different than in the South. Due to a higher percentage of ownership for Negro, Indian, and white farm operators, tenancy falls to lower levels. Negroes owned 4,424, or 39.8 per cent, were tenants on 6,588, or 59.3 per cent, and managed slightly less than 1 per cent of the 11,104 farms operated by them in the North. Less than 100 nonwhite farmers, exclusive of Negroes and Indians, operated in the North.


Although few in number, Negro farmers in the West owned 57 per cent, operated as tenants 39.8 per cent, and were managers of 3.2 per cent of the 810 farms reported for them in that section of the country. The percentage ownership for Negro, Indian, and white farmers was greater in the West than in the South or North, and it is in this section that nearly 97 per cent (96.7) of the total number of colored farmers, other than Negroes and Indians, operate.

TABLE 23.--NUMBER OF FARM OPERATORS, BY COLOR AND TENURE, BY SECTIONS, 1930

				FARM	OPERATORS	_						
TENURE						Pe	er cent d	istributio	n			
	Total	Negro	Indian	Other 1 colored	White <sup>2</sup>	Negro	Indian	Other colored	White			
	UNITED STATES											
Total	6, 288, 648	882, 850	26, 817	6, 403	5, 372, 578	100.0	100.0	100.0	100. (			
Owners Tenants Managers	3, 568, 394 2, 664, 365 55, 889	181, 016 700, 911 923	20, 658 6, 091 68	1, 046 3, 226 2, 131	3, 365, 674 1, 954, 137 52, 767	20.5 79.4 0.1	77.0 22.7 0.3	16.3 50.4 33.3	62.6 36.4 1.0			
	THE SOUTH											
Total	8, 223, 816	870, 936	10, 637	114	2, 342, 129	100. 0	100. 0	100. 0	100. (			
Owners Tenants Managers	1, 415, 675 1, 790, 783 17, 358	176, 130 694, 001 805	5, 850 4, 766 21	39 72 3	1, 233, 656 1, 091, 944 16, 529	20.2 79.7 0.1	55.0 44.8 0.2	34. 2 63. 2 2. 6	52.7 46.6 0.7			
				THI	NORTH							
Total	2, 561, 785	11, 104	4, 753	<sup>'</sup> 99	2, 545, 829	100. 0	100.0	100.0	100. (			
Owners Tenants Managers	1, 768, 206 768, 486 25, 093	4, 424 6, 588 92	3, 929 807 17	35 64	1, 759, 818 761, 027 24, 984	39.8 59.3 0.8	82.7 17.0 0.4	35.4 64.6	69.1 29.9 1.0			
				TH	e west		-					
Total	503, 047	810	11, 427	6, 190	484, 620	100. 0	100. 0	100. 0	100. 0			
Owners Tenants Managers	384, 513 105, 096 13, 438	462 322 26	10, 879 518 30	972 3,090 2,128	372, 200 101, 166 11, 254	57.0 39.8 3.2	95.2 4.5 0.3	15.7 49.9 34.4	76.8 20.9 2.3			


<sup>1</sup> Includes 5,840 Japanese, 476 Chinese, 56 Filipinos, 30 Koreans, and 1 Hawaiian.

<sup>2</sup> Includes Mexicans and Hindus.



PERCENTAGE NEGRO OWNERS ARE OF ALL NEGRO FARM OPERATORS (SOUTHERN STATES ONLY), 1930

35



PERCENTAGE NEGRO TENANTS-ARE OF ALL NEGRO FARM OPERATORS, BY STATES, 1930

Changes in tenure.—In Table 24 statistics are given for the United States showing the changes by tenure of Negro and white farmers, and the changes in the South, the North, and the West for Negro farm operators as reported at the censuses of 1910, 1920, and 1930.

Between 1910 and 1920 there was a net increase of 32,338 Negro farm operators. There was a loss of 360 owners but an increase of 32,106 tenants and 592 managers. During the same 10 years white farm operators increased by 57,835, with a loss of 15,633 owners accompanied by an increase of 63,805 tenants and 9,663 managers. From 1920 to 1930 there was a decrease of 42,858 Negro farmers in the United States. The number of owners decreased 37,596; tenants 4,159 and managers 1,103. Eight States, in the order named, South Carolina, Virginia, Georgia, Arkansas, Texas, North Carolina, Tennessee, and Oklahoma having contributed 31,362, or 83.4 per cent (see Table 35) to the largest decrease in Negro farm ownership recorded since the first classification of Negro farm operators by tenure was made, at the census of 1900. The decrease in the number of Negro farm owners during the 10 years 1920 to 1930 amounted to 17.2 per cent as compared with a decrease of 8.8 per cent for white owners, but there was a large increase of white tenant farmers as compared with a loss of Negro tenant operators;

TABLE 24.—NUMBER OF NEGRO AND WHITE FARM OPERATORS IN THE UNITED STATES, BY TENURE, AND NUMBER OF NEGRO FARM OPERATORS, BY TENURE, BY SECTIONS: 1930, 1920, AND 1910

	NEGEO FARM OFERATORS									
-				Increase				Per cent distribu- tion		
TENURE	1930	1920	1910	1920-1	.930	1910-1	920			
			-	Num- ber	Per cent	Num- ber	Per cent	1930	1920	1910
	UNITED STATES									
Total	882, 850	925, 708	893, 370	-42, 858	-4.8	32, 338	3. 6	100. 0	100.0	
Owners Tenants Managers	181, 016 700, 911 923	218, 612 705, 070 2, 026	218, 972 672, 964 1, 434	-37,596 -4,159 -1,103	-0.6	32, 106	4.8		23.6 76.2 0.2	75.3
				THE S	OUTH					
Total	870, 936	915, 595	880, 836	-44, 659	-4.9	34, 759	3. 9			100.0
Owners Tenants Managers	176, 130 694, 001 805	212, 365 701, 471 1, 759	668, 559	-36, 235 -7, 470 -954	-17.1 -1.1 -54.2	32,912	4.9	79.7	76.6	75.9
	THE NOBTH									
Total	11, 104	9, 380	12, 052	1, 724	18.4				<u> </u>	100.0
Owners Tenants Managers	4, 424 6, 588 92	5, 749 3, 389 242	4, 330	3, 199	-23.0 94.4 -62.0	941	-21.7	59.3	36.1	35.9

[A minus sign (-) denotes decrease]

TABLE 24.—NUMBER OF NEGRO AND WHITE FARM OPERATORS IN THE UNITED STATES, BY TENURE, AND NUMBER OF NEGRO FARM OPERATORS, BY TENURE, BY SECTIONS: 1930, 1920, AND 1910—Continued

	NEGEO FARM OPERATORS-Continued										
TENURE					Incr	ease		Per o	ent dis tion	strib <b>u-</b>	
	1930	1920	1920 1910		1920-1930		1910-1920				
			Num- ber	Per cent	Num- ber	Per cent	1930	) 1920	1910		
		THE WEST									
Total	810	733	482	77	10. 5	251	52. 1	100.0	100.0	100. 0	
Owners Tenants Managers	462 322 26	498 210 25	387 75 20	-36 112 1	-7.2 53.3 4.0	111 135 5	28.7 180.0 25.0	57.0 39.8 3.2	67.9 28.6 3.4	80.3 15.6 4.1	
		WHI	TE FABM O	PERATORS	IN TH	E UNITE	D STAT	ES			
Total 1	5, 872, 578	5, 498, 454	5, 440, 819	-125, 876	-2. 3	57, 835	1. 1	100. 0	100.0	100. 0	
Owners. Tenants. Managers.	3, 365, 674 1, 954, 137 52, 767	3, 691, 868 1, 740, 363 66, 223	3, 707, 501 1, 676, 558 56, 560	213, 774	12.3	15, 633 63, 805 9, 663	-0.4 3.8 17.1	62.6 36.4 1.0	67.1 31.7 1.2	68.1 30.8 1.0	

<sup>1</sup> Includes Mexicans and Hindus.

Per cent distribution of Negro owner and tenant operators.—It will be apparent from the figures given in Table 25 that there was a steady decrease at each census from 1910 to 1930 in the percentage of ownership of farms operated by Negroes, and a corresponding increase in the percentage of tenant operators for the United States as a whole.

In the group of Southern States there were slight increases in the percentage of ownership between 1920 and 1930 for Delaware, Georgia, Florida, and Kentucky, while Delaware, Florida, and Alabama were the only States in the South where the percentage Negro ownership in 1930 was higher than at the census of 1910. As indicated in Table 35, Delaware was the only Southern State in which there was even a small increase in the number of Negro owners. In this same Table (35) it will be noted that the number of both owners and tenants decreased in Maryland, Virginia, South Carolina, Georgia, Florida, Kentucky, Tennessee, and Alabama and that the number of Negro tenants increased in only seven of the Southern States, namely—West Virginia, North Carolina, Mississippi, Arkansas, Louisiana, Oklahoma, and Texas.

		PEE CENT OF TOTAL REFORTED AS-							
SECTION AND STATE		Owners		Tenants					
	1930	1920	1910	1930	1920	1910			
United States	20.5	23.6	24.5	79.4	76.2	75.8			
The North The South The West	39.8 20.2 57.0	61.3 23.2 67.9	62.2 24.0 80.3	59.3 79.7 39.8	36. 1 76. 6 28. 6	35.9 75.9 15.6			
NEW ENGLAND: Maine	93. 3								
New Hampshire Vermont Massachusetts Rhode Island	93.3 83.3 77.3 93.7 88.9	100. 0 85. 7 75. 0 86. 4 68. 4	85.7 78.6 85.0 86.4 70.0	6.7 16.7 18.2 4.8	$7.1 \\ 14.3 \\ 6.8 \\ 26.3$	10.7 14.3 10.0 9.7 30.0			
Connecticut MIDDLE ATLANTIC: New York	75.8	67.7	67.6	15.2	24.6	21.0			
New York. New Jersey. Pennsylvania. EAST NORTH CENTRAL:	75.7 62.4 63.5	62.0 53.5 57.9	65.4 55.5 62.2	22. 3 33. 9 32. 6	29. 0 42. 9 32. 2	30. 5 38. 8 32. 0			
Ohio Indiana Illinois Michigan	63.5 59.7 51.4 78.9 74.5	65.2 53.5 59.8 73.4 87.2	67.3 58.1 55.3 78.4 81.3	35.6 39.9 47.9 19.9 25.5	32.6 44.0 39.2 25.3 12.8	31. 3 40. 0 43. 4 20. 2			
Wisconsin	79.4 56.8	72.7 67.9	55. 2 65. 2	20.5 29.6 43.2	12.8 27.3 31.2	16.7 41.4 33.7			
Missouri. North Dakota South Dakota Nebraska	19.9 50.0 65.0 42.1	58.2 42.3 70.2 49.2	57.5 81.8 85.1 78.1	79.8 50.0 32.5 57.9	40. 1 50. 0 27. 7 44. 4	41.3 18.2 14.9 21.9			
Kansas. South Atlantic:	57.4	62.5	63.8	41.6	36.0	34.9			
Delaware Maryland District of Columbia Virginia West Virginia North Carolina South Carolina Georgia Florida	46. 2 55. 8 72. 7 61. 6 75. 9 25. 4 20. 7 12. 8 50. 5	40.7 57.2 45.0 64.8 80.0 29.0 20.9 12.3 48.8	44.0 62.0 66.7 67.0 78.8 32.1 21.0 12.8 49.6	51.4 41.9 18.2 38.2 22.7 74.5 79.3 87.2 48.7	57.8 40.4 50.0 34.8 18.5 70.9 79.0 87.5 50.4	54. 2 36. 6 25. 0 32. 7 20. 2 67. 8 87. 8 87. 1 49. 7			
EAST SOUTH CENTRAL: Kentucky Tennessee Alabama Mississippi West South CENTRAL:	45.9 22.3 17.0 12.4	42.1 25.8 18.1 14.4	50. 5 27. 9 15. 4 15. 2	54.0 77.6 83.0 87.6	57.6 74.1 81.8 85.5	49. 1 71. 9 84. 5 84. 8			
Arkansas. Louisiana Oklahoma Texas.	14. 4 14. 2 22. 8 23. 9	21. 3 17. 7 37. 0 29. 9	23. 1 19. 5 36. 5 30. 3	85.6 85.7 77.1 76.0	78.6 82.2 62.4 69.9	76.9 80.4 63.4 69.5			
MOUNTAIN: Montana Idaho Wyoming Colorado New Mexico Arizona Utah.	71.4 43.8 66.7 69.2 64.6 46.0 80.0	83.9 69.6 100.0 77.7 75.0 59.4 31.1	75.9 100.0 89.5 71.6 85.4 83.3 100.0	28.6 43.8 33.3 29.5 35.4 50.6 20.0	12.9 30.4 18.9 25.0 31.3 67.2	17.2 5.3 27.2 6.3 16.7			
Nevada. PACIFIC: Washington Oregon California	66. 7 83. 6 88. 9 49. 5	60. 0 82. 3 73. 3 63. 1	66.7 83.1 81.5 78.6	33.3 13.7 11.1 46.2	40.0 17.7 13.3 32.4	16.7 14.3 14.8 16.4			

TABLE 25.—PER CENT DISTRIBUTION OF OWNED AND TENANT FARMS OPER-ATED BY NEGROES, BY SECTIONS AND STATES: 1930, 1920, AND 1910

Loss of owned land.—In Table 26 the land (acres) in farms operated by Negro owners, tenants, and managers in 1930 and 1920 is apportioned by sections with the increase or decrease, and the per cent distribution by tenure of all land. The land operated by owners decreased from 13,948,512 acres in 1920 to 11,198,893 in 1930 which represents a loss during the 10 years of 2,749,619 acres, or an area (4,296 square miles) more than twice the size of Delaware, and as shown by Table 36, Negro farm owners in all but eight States contributed to this economic loss. Possibly the most striking fact is the loss of 19.7 per cent in the acreage of owned farms, as compared with a decrease of only 3.4 per cent among tenant operators. The per cent distribution indicates that 29.8 per cent of the land in 1930 and 33.7 per cent in 1920 was operated by owners, although only 20.5 per cent of the farmers were owners in 1930, and but 23.6 per cent in 1920. (See Table 25.) Only in the West was 50 per cent or more of the acreage operated by owners.

#### TABLE 26.--NUMBER OF ACRES IN FARMS OPERATED BY NEGROES, WITH PER-CENTAGES, BY TENURE, BY SECTIONS: 1930 AND 1920

	ACRES IN FARMS								
TENCRE	1930	1920	Increase, 19	Per cent distri- bution					
	2000		Number	Per cent	1930	1920			
		τ	UNITED STATES						
Total	37, 597, 132	41, 432, 182		-9.3	100. 0	100. 0			
Owners Tenants Managers	11, 198, 893 26, 149, 167 249, 072	13, 948, 512 27, 077, 582 406, 088	-2,749,619 -928,415 -157,016	-19.7-3.4-38.7	29.8 69.6 0.7	33. 7 65. 4 1. 0			
	THE SOUTH								
Total	36, 758, 484	40, 544, 241	-3, 785, 757	-9.3	100.0	100.0			
Owners	10, 785, 312 25, 743, 234 229, 938	13, 434, 106 26, 754, 386 355, 749	$\begin{array}{r} -2,648,794 \\ -1,011,152 \\ -125,811 \end{array}$	-19.7 -3.8 -35.4	29.3 70.0 0.6	33. 1 66. 0 0. 9			
			THE NORTH		· ·				
Total	720, 872	767, 953	-47, 081	-6.1	100.0	100. 0			
Owners Tenants Managers	332, 520 373, 214 15, 138	435, 075 294, 543 38, 335	-102, 555 78, 671 -23, 197	-23.6 26.7 -60.5	46. 1 51. 8 2. 1	56. 7 38. 4 5. 0			
			THE WEST						
Total	117, 778	119, 988	-2, 212	-1.8	100.0	100. 0			
Owners Tenants Managers	81, 061 32, 719 3, 996	79, 331 28, 653 12, 004	1, 730 4, 066 8, 008	2.2 14.2 -66.7	68. 8 27. 8 3. 4	66. 1 23. 9 10. 0			

[A minus sign (-) denotes decrease]

Average values.—The general decline in the values of Negro-operated farms from the high levels of 1920 is plainly reflected in the greatly reduced average values shown in Table 27. The average value per farm of land and buildings decreased \$\$50, or 34.9 per cent, and the average value of land and buildings per acre of Negro-operated farms dropped from \$54.49 in 1920 to \$37.32 in 1930, a shrinkage of \$17.17 per acre during the 10 years. The downward trend resulted in a decrease for owners of \$687, or 27.1 per cent in the average value per farm of land and buildings, and a loss of \$9.87, or 24.8 per cent in the average value per acre of land and buildings. Both amounts and percentage decreases per farm and acre were higher for tenants in the United States as a whole.

#### THE NEGRO FARMER

In the South, Negro owners sustained a loss of \$676 in the average value per farm of land and buildings as compared with one of \$956 in the North and \$1,253 in the West. The average value per acre of land and buildings of Negro owners in the South decreased \$9.75; in the North, \$12.28; and in the West, \$11.21, while the per cent average value reductions for owners by sections were 25.1 for the South; 18.8 for the North; and 25.4 for the West.

TABLE 27.—AVERAGE VALUE OF LAND AND BUILDINGS OF FARMS OPERATED BY NEGROES, PER FARM AND PER ACRE, BY TENURE, BY SECTIONS AND SOUTHERN DIVISIONS: 1930 AND 1920

	AVERAGE VALUE OF LAND AND BUILDINGS								
		Pe	r farm			Pe	r acre		
SECTION, DIVISION, AND TENURE	1000	1920	Increase, 1920-1930		1930	1920	Increase,	1920-1930	
	1930		Amount	Per cent	1990	1820	Amount	Per cent	
United States	\$1, 589	\$2, 439	\$850	- 34. 9	\$37. 32	\$54. 49	-\$17.17	-\$1.5	
Owners Tenants Managers	1, 848 1, 503 16, 083	2, 535 2, 378 13, 411	-687 -875 2,672	-27.1 -36.8 19.9	29. 86 40. 29 59. 60	39.73 61.91 66.91	$\begin{array}{c} -9.87 \\ -21.62 \\ -7.31 \end{array}$	-24.8 -34.9 -10.9	
The South Owners Tenants Managers	1,556 1,783 1,482 15,789	2, 393 2, 459 2, 349 12, 155	837 676 867 3, 634	-35.0 -27.5 -36.9 29.9	36. 87 29. 12 39. 95 55. 27	54. 04 38. 87 61. 58 60. 10	$\begin{array}{r} -17.17\\ -9.75\\ -21.63\\ -4.83\end{array}$	-31.8 -25.1 -35.1 -8.0	
South Atlantic Owners Tenants Managers	1,637 1,703 1,585 14,250	2, 568 2, 323 2, 626 11, 662	$ \begin{array}{r} -931 \\ -620 \\ -1,041 \\ 2,588 \end{array} $	36.3 26.7 39.6 22.2	33, 29 32, 20 33, 43 62, 30	54, 08 43, 24 58, 57 76, 39	-20.79 -11.04 -25.14 -14.09	-38-4 -25-5 -42.9 -18-4	
East South Central Owners Tenants Managers	1, 330 1, 634 1, 263 22, 237	2, 104 2, 296 2, 046 11, 453	-774 -662 -783 10,784	-36.8 -28.8 -38.3 94.2	35. 79 23. 45 40. 83 62. 27	53, 35 32, 41 63, 52 54, 17	$ \begin{array}{c c} -17.56 \\ -8.96 \\ -22.69 \\ 8.10 \end{array} $	-32.9 -27.6 -35.7 15.0	
West South Central Owners Tenants Managers	1,746 2,087 1,659 14,890	2, 490 2, 876 2, 339 14, 059	-744 -789 -680 831	$\begin{array}{c c} -29.9 \\ -27.4 \\ -29.1 \\ 5.9 \end{array}$	43. 17 31. 41 48. 22 39. 52	54. 77 39. 22 65. 14 45. 02	-16.92	-19.9 -26.0 -12.2	
The North Owners Tenants Managers	3, 753 3, 998 3, 389 17, 997	6, 379 4, 954 7, 748 21, 042	$\begin{array}{c c} -2,626 \\ -956 \\ -4,359 \\ -3,045 \end{array}$	$ \begin{array}{c c} -41. 2 \\ -19. 3 \\ -56. 3 \\ -14. 5 \end{array} $	57. 80 53. 18 59. 82 109. 38	77. 91 65. 46 89. 15 132. 84	-12.28 -29.33 -23.46	-25.8 -18.8 -32.9 -17.7	
The West Owners Tenants Managers	5,771 9,164	9, 287 7, 024 12, 428 27, 972	-1,761 -1,253 -3,264 -9,541	$ \begin{array}{c} -19.0 \\ -17.8 \\ -26.3 \\ -34.1 \end{array} $	51.76 32.89 90.18 119.92	56.73 44.10 91.08 58.26	-11.21 -0.90		

[A minus sign (-) denotes decrease]

Land values.—For the country as a whole, the value of land in farms operated by Negroes in 1930 was \$1,062,536,439, as shown in Table 28. The per cent distribution of this aggregate value by sections indicates that 96.6 per cent was in the South; 2.9 per cent in the North, and one-half of 1 per cent in the West.

When distributed according to the tenure of operators, however, a slight difference appears for both owners and tenants, and also for managers. Owned farm land in the South had a reported value of \$214,907,952, or 94 per cent of the total value of all farm land operated by Negro owners. In the North their owned land had a value of \$11,611,190, or 5.1 per cent of the total, and in the West the value amounted to \$2,190,558, or 1 per cent of the total for the United States.

130058-33----6

	VALUE OF LAND ALONE							
SECTION AND DIVISION	Total	Owners	Tenants	Managers				
United States	\$1, 062, 536, 439	\$223, 709, 700	\$823, 005, 516	\$10, 321, 223				
The South	1, 026, 877, 643	214, 907, 952	802, 581, 553	9, 388, 138				
South Atlantic East South Central West South Central	$\begin{array}{r} 342,118,722\\ 323,130,648\\ 361,628,273\end{array}$	85, 227, 015 57, 360, 605 72, 320, 332	252, 300, 365 263, 328, 563 286, 952, 625	4, 591, 342 2, 441, 480 2, 355, 316				
The North	30, 366, 748	11, 611, 190	17, 726, 373	1, 029, 185				
New England Middle Atlantie East North Central West North Central	406, 290 2, 687, 260 9, 130, 656 18, 142, 542	302, 565 1, 095, 865 4, 265, 786 5, 946, 974	31,725 1,282,195 4,602,325 11,810,128	72,000 309,200 262,545 385,440				
The West	5, 292, 048	2, 190, 558	2, 697, 590	403, 900				
Mountain Pacific	1, 792, 685 3, 499, 363	802, 065 1, 388, 493	919, 620 1, 777, 970	71, 000 332, 900				
13		PER CENT DIS	TRIBUTION					
United States	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0				
The South The North The West	96.6 2.9 0.5	94.0 5.1 1.0	97.5 2.2 0.3	86.8 9.5 3.7				

TABLE 28.-VALUE OF LAND IN FARMS OPERATED BY NEGROES, BY TENURE, BY SECTIONS AND DIVISIONS, 1930

**Owner and tenant comparisons.**—The average size of farms operated by Negro owners and tenants in 1930 and the average value per farm and per acre of the land (alone) operated by them is shown in Table 29. For the United States as a whole; in each section and in all of the Southern States except Delaware, Maryland, Virginia, and West Virginia the average size of farms operated by Negro owners was larger than for tenants, but only in Delaware, Maryland, District of Columbia, and Florida was the average value of land per acre greater for owners than for tenants. Excepting the negligible District of Columbia, the State of Maryland reported the highest average value per acre for owners, while Georgia reported the lowest. The highest average value per acre for Negro tenant operators was reported by Arkansas and the lowest by Georgia which, as previously stated, reported the lowest average value per acre for owners.

		FARM	S OPERATE	D BY NEG	ROES	
	Average s	ize (acres)	Av	erage valu	e (land alo	ne)
SECTION AND STATE			Per	farm	Per acre	
	Owners	Tenants	Owners	Tenants	Owners	Tenants
United States	61. 9	37. 3	\$1, 263	\$1, 174	\$20.42	\$31. 47
The South	61.2	37.1	1, 220	1, 156	19.93	31.18
South Atlantic. East South Central West South Central	52. 9 69. 7 66. 4	47.4 30.9 34.4	1, 070 1, 136 1, 573	1, 169 975 1, 378	$\begin{array}{c} 20.22 \\ 16.31 \\ 23.68 \end{array}$	24. 67 31. 53 40. 05
The North The West	75.2 175.5	56.7 101.6	2, 625 4, 741	2, 691 8, 378	34.92 27.02	47.50 82.45
THE SOUTH						
SOUTH ATLANTIC: Delaware	3.5 48.4 49.7 49.7 49.3 79.7	90. 7 97. 8 55. 3 77. 2 42. 2 38. 5 55. 5 41. 7	1,031 1,224 3,466 948 1,380 1,300 945 1,066 1,077	$\begin{array}{c} 2,360\\ 3,225\\ 1,500\\ 1,273\\ 2,451\\ 1,575\\ 1,014\\ 931\\ 848 \end{array}$	27. 91 33. 41 990. 18 19. 60 27. 79 26. 15 19. 19 13. 38 21. 29	26. 02 32. 97 428. 57 22. 99 31. 74 37. 34 26. 33 16. 77 20. 32
EAST SUUTH CENTRAL: Kentucky Tennessee Alabama Mississippi WEST SOUTH CENTRAL:		31. 8 34. 2 37. 9 26. 9	1, 136 1, 248 1, 092 1, 129	1, 286 1, 186 764 1, 033	25.90 23.31 14.62 14.76	40. 48 34. 70 20. 14 38. 33
Arkansas Louisiana Oklahoma Texas	61. 5 57. 3 82. 2 71. 1	26. 1 26. 8 65. 9 44. 9	1, 417 1, 370 2, 275 1, 648	1, 143 1, 131 2, 068 1, 739	23. 03 23. 89 27. 68 23. 13	43. 87 42. 24 31. 38 38. 75

TABLE 29.—AVERAGE SIZE AND AVERAGE VALUE OF FARMS AND FARM ACREAGE OPERATED BY NEGRO OWNERS AND TENANTS BY SECTIONS, SOUTHERN DIVI-SIONS AND STATES, 1930

Value of all buildings.—The buildings on farms operated by Negroes had a value of \$340,409,360, as reported in Table 30. The South reported values to the amount of \$328,304,024, or 96.4 per cent of the total, the North reported \$11,301,474, or 3.3 per cent, and the West a value less than \$1,000,000, or \$803,862, which was less than one-half of 1 per cent of the total value for the United States.

As in the preceding table substantial differences appear in the per cent distribution of values by tenure of operators. In the South, farm buildings owned by Negroes were valued at \$99,192,480, or 93.8 per cent of the total, \$105,741.696, for the United States; in the North at \$6,073,789, or 5.7 per cent; and in the West at \$475,427, an amount equal to one-half of 1 per cent of the aggregate value. With 29,208 more owned farms in the South Atlantic division than in the East South Central (see Table 35) the value of owned farm buildings in the South Atlantic division exceeds by \$25,404,681 the value in the East South Central. The higher average value of land and buildings per owned farm and per acre in the South Atlantic division is shown in Table 27.

		VALUE OF ALL	BUILDINGS	
SECTION AND DIVISION	Total Owners		Tenants	Managers
United States	\$340, 409, 360	\$105, 741, 696	\$230, 644, 120	\$4, 023, 544
The South	328, 304, 024	99, 192, 480	225, 789, 875	3, 321, 669
South Atlantic East South Central West South Central	142, 325, 221 103, 398, 196 82, 580, 607	50, 497, 142 25, 092, 461 23, 602, 877	89, 622, 060 77, 634, 070 58, 533, 745	2, 206, 015 671, 663 443, 953
The North	11, 301, 474	6, 073, 789	4, 601, 110	626, 575
New England Middle Atlantic East North Central West North Central	503, 105 2, 452, 995 4, 174, 095 4, 171, 279	348, 760 1, 326, 810 2, 530, 750 1, 867, 469	35, 345 883, 685 1, 490, 320 2, 191, 760	119, 000 242, 505 153, 020 112, 050
The West	803, 862	475, 427	253, 135	55, 300
Mountain Pacific	244, 825 559, 037	141, 620 333, 807	93, 205 159, 930	10,000 65,300
		PER CENT DIST	RIBUTION	
United States	100.0	100. 0	100. 0	100. 0
The South The North The West	96.4 3.3 0.2	93. 8 5. 7 0. 4	97.9 2.0 0.1	82.6 15.6 1.9

TABLE 30.-VALUE OF ALL BUILDINGS ON FARMS OPERATED BY NEGROES, ET TENURE, BY SECTIONS AND DIVISIONS, 1930

Shrinkage of farm values, by tenure of operators.—A loss of \$854,699,526 in the value of land and buildings, due to a decrease of 42,858 in the number of Negro farmers, and also to the widespread decline of farm values since 1920, is shown in Table 31. For owners there was a decrease, during the 10 years, of \$219,706,607, or 39.6 per cent. Tenants reported a \$622,666,228, or 37.1 per cent reduction, and managers a shrinkage of \$12,326,691, or 45.4 per cent.

The reports of Negro owners in the South showed a loss of \$208,077,705, or 39.8 per cent; of those in the North, \$10,796,782, or 37.9 per cent, and of those in the West, \$832,120, or 23.8 per cent. The great decrease, between 1920 and 1930, in the value of farm land owned by Negroes results from two factors—a decrease in the acreage owned, as indicated in Table 26, and the decrease in the value of the acreage they still own. (See Table 27.) These figures show a loss of 2,749,619 acres formerly owned by Negro farm operators, and a decrease of \$687 per farm, or nearly \$10 per acre in the average value of owned farm land and buildings. The only sectional increase reported in the value of land and buildings of Negro farmers was for tenants in the West where there was an increase of \$340,911, or 13.1 per cent.

#### THE NEGRO FARMER

#### TABLE 31.—VALUE OF LAND AND BUILDINGS FOR FARMS OPERATED BY NEGROES, BY TENURE, BY SECTIONS: 1930 AND 1920

		VALUE OF D	LAND AND BUILD	INGS						
TENURE	1930	1920	Increase, 192	0-1930	Per cent dis- tribution					
			Amount	Per cent	1930	1920				
		די	NITED STATES							
Total	\$1, 402, 945, 799	\$2, 257, 645, 325	-\$854, 699, 526	-37. 9	100.0	100.0				
Owners. Tenants Managers	334, 451, 396 1, 053, 649, 636 14, 844, 767	554, 158, 003 1, 676, 315, 864 27, 171, 458	$\begin{array}{r} -219,706,607\\ -622,666,228\\ -12,326,691 \end{array}$	-39.6 -37.1 -45.4	23.8 75.1 1.1	24.5 74.3 1.2				
		THE SOUTH								
Total	\$1, 355, 181, 667	\$2, 191, 005, 642		-38.1	100.0	100.0				
Owners Tepants Managers	314, 100, 432 1, 028, 371, 428 12, 709, 807	522, 178, 137 1, 647, 447, 607 21, 379, 898	-208, 077, 705 -619, 076, 179 -8, 670, 091	-39.8 -37.6 -40.6	23. 2 75. 9 0. 9	23.8 75.2 1.0				
		<del></del>	THE NORTH							
Total	\$41, 668, 222	\$59, 832, 464	-\$18, 164, 242	-30.4	100. 0	100. 0				
Owners Tenants Managers		28, 481, 761 26, 258, 443 5, 092, 260	10, 796, 782 3, 930, 960 3, 436, 500	-37.9 -15.0 -67.5	42.4 53.6 4.0	47.6 43.9 8.5				
			THE WEST							
Total	\$6, 095, 910	\$6, 807, 219	-\$711, 309	-10.4	100.0	100.1				
Owners Tenants Managers		3, 498, 105 2, 609, 814 699, 300	832, 120 340, 911 220, 100	-23.8 13.1 -31.5	43.7 48.4 7.9	51.4 38.3 10.3				

[A minus sign (-) denotes decrease]

Mortgaged farms.—As indicated in Table 32, colored full owners throughout the South and in the seven selected Northern States, where 98.8 and 98.5 per cent, respectively, of all colored farmers are Negroes (see Table 9), quite generally reported their freedom from or their encumbrance with farm mortgages. In the South 60.4 per cent of the owners reported their farms free from mortgages; 29.9 per cent reported mortgages, and only 9.7 per cent failed to report. In the North, where values are generally higher than in the South (see Table 27), the selected States reported 49.1 per cent free; 45.5 per cent mortgaged, while no reports were received from 5.4 per cent of the owners.

A comparison of the States forming the South shows that West Virginia followed, in the order named, by Florida and Virginia, reported the highest percentages of wholly owned farms free from mortgage, and that exclusive of the almost negligible District of Columbia, the State of Mississippi reported the highest percentage of mortgaged farms, followed by Delaware and Arkansas. In the North, the State of Missouri closely followed by Ohio, reported the highest percentage of fully owned farms free from mortgage, while Pennsylvania followed by New Jersey reported the highest percentages of farms mortgaged. TABLE 32.—FARMS OPERATED BY COLORED FULL OWNERS, CLASSIFIED AC-CORDING TO MORTGAGE STATUS, BY SOUTHERN DIVISIONS AND STATES, AND SELECTED NORTHERN STATES, 1930

	FARMS OPERATED BY COLORED FULL OWNERS										
		1			Per e	ent distrib	ution				
DIVISION AND STATE	Total	Report- ed free from mortgage	Report- ed mort- gaged	Un- known (no report)	Report- ed free from mortgage	Report- ed mort- gaged	Un- known (no report)				
The South	140, 496	84, 861	41, 964	13, 671	60.4	29.9	9.7				
South Atlantic	60, 714	39,887	15,860	4,967	65.7	26.1	8.2				
Delaware Maryland District of Columbia Virginia North Carolina South Carolina Georgia Florida East South Central Kentucky Tennessee Alabama Mississippi West South Central	$\begin{array}{r} 309\\ 2,362\\ 7\\ 19,200\\ 328\\ 13,198\\ 11,937\\ 9,014\\ 4,359\\ 39,420\\ \hline 3,055\\ 5,687\\ 11,417\\ \end{array}$	162 1,449 2 14,077 7,671 7,745 5,311 3,212 20,659 1,896 3,456 6,140 9,165 24,315	124 814 3 4, 184 4, 258 3, 263 2, 541 625 15, 087 682 1, 639 4, 135 8, 631 11, 017	$\begin{array}{c} 23\\ 99\\ 2\\ 939\\ 22\\ 1, 269\\ 929\\ 1, 162\\ 522\\ 3, 674\\ 475\\ 592\\ 1, 142\\ 1, 465\\ 5, 030\\ \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 52.\ 4\\ 61.\ 3\\ 28.\ 6}\\ 73.\ 3\\ 78.\ 7\\ 58.\ 1\\ 64.\ 9\\ 73.\ 7\\ 58.\ 1\\ 64.\ 9\\ 73.\ 7\\ 52.\ 4\\ \hline 62.\ 1\\ 60.\ 8\\ 53.\ 8\\ 47.\ 6\\ 60.\ 2\\ \end{array}$	40. 1 34. 5 42. 9 21. 8 14. 6 32. 3 27. 3 28. 2 14. 3 38. 3 28. 3 28. 3 38. 3 28. 8 36. 2 44. 8 27. 3	7.4 4.2 28.6 4.9 6.7 9.6 7.8 12.9 12.0 9.3 15.5 10.4 10.0 7.6 12.5				
Arkansas Louisiana Oklahoma Teras	6,550	4,521 5,060 3,682 11,032	3, 496 2, 659 1, 604 3, 258	1,041 1,047 1,264 1,678	49. 9 57. 8 56. 2 69. 1	38. 6 30. 3 24. 5 20. 4	11.5 11.9 19.3 10.5				
Selected Northern States 1	2, 790	1,369	1, 270	151	49.1	45.5	5.4				
New Jersey. Pennsylvania. Ohio. Indiana Illinois. Missouri. Kansas.	221	103 91 324 95 150 416 190	107 121 265 97 140 370 170	7 9 51 15 19 29 21	47. 5 41. 2 50. 6 45. 9 48. 5 51. 0 49. 9	49.3 54.8 41.4 46.9 45.3 45.4 44.6	3.2 4.1 8.0 7.2 6.1 3.6 5.5				

[Figures relate only to farms wholly owned by their operators]

<sup>1</sup> States having 200 or more Negro farmers who constitute 90 per cent or more of the colored farm operators in the State.

Implements and machinery.—The value of farm implements and machinery is the combined value of automobiles, trucks, tractors, tools, wagons, harnesses, dairy equipment, cotton gins, threshing machines, combines, apparatus for making cider, grape juice, sirup, and for drying fruits, and all other machinery. The value of commercial mills and factories located on the farm was not included. The 1930 average value per farm of implements and machinery for the United States was \$525; for the North \$845; the South \$216, and the West \$874.

Increased use by Negro farmers.—Table 33 shows that the value of implements and machinery on farms operated by Negroes in 1930, amounted to \$60,327,856. This is an average of \$68 per farm as compared with \$38 in 1910 and \$25 in 1900 (no 1920 data available). Dividing the country into sections, the North reported an average value of \$178 in 1930 as compared with \$110 in 1910 and \$66 in 1900; the South gave an average value per farm of \$67 in 1930 as compared with \$37 in 1910 and \$24 in 1900, and in the West the average value was \$351 for 1930, \$208 in 1910 and \$107 at the census of 1900. For Negro farm owners the average value per farm of implements and machinery Was decidedly higher than the average of \$57 for farms operated by tenants. As indicated in Table 33, the average value for the United States was \$109, for the North \$236, for the South \$106, and for the West \$310. Nebraska with an average Value of \$1,324 ranked in first place, followed in the order named, by Idaho, Montana, and Minnesota, with average values of \$961, \$959, and \$920, respectively. Seven other States, including Nevada, New York, North Dakota, South Dakota, Vermont, Wisconsin, and Wyoming reported average values per farm ranging from \$500 in Nevada to \$882 in South Dakota. The highest average value of farm implements and machinery per owned farm in the South was \$270 for Delaware, and the lowest was \$71 for West Virginia.

TABLE 33.—VALUE OF IMPLEMENTS AND MACHINERY ON FARMS OPERATED BY NEGROES, BY TENURE, BY SECTIONS, DIVISIONS, AND STATES, 1930

	v	ALUE OF IMPLE	MENTS AND MA	CHINERY	
SECTION, DIVISION, AND STATE	Total	Owners	Tenants	Managers	Aver- age value per owned farm
United States	\$60, 327, 856	\$19, 784, 411	\$39, 920, 395	\$623, 050	\$109
The North The South The West	1, 971, 346 58, 072, 123 284, 387	1, 042, 391 18, 598, 940 143, 080	855, 340 38, 945, 123 119, 932	73, 615 528, 060 21, 375	236 106 310
New England	57, 730	44, 105	4,625	9,000	345
Maine. New Hampshire. Vermont. Massachusetts. Rhode Island. Connecticut.	6, 075 2, 050 18, 525 19, 320 2, 025 9, 735	4, 575 1, 800 12, 525 16, 645 825 7, 735	1, 500 250 1, 000 275 1, 600	5,000 2,400 1,200 400	327 360 737 223 108 309
Middle Atlantic	414, 576	235, 978	154, 140	24, 460	415
New York New Jersey Pennsylvania	95, 725 173, 223 145, 628	61, 065 88, 123 86, 788	30, 260 69, 750 54, 130	4, 400 15, 350 4, 710	545 380 387
East North Central	584, 661	348, 235	211, 791	24, 635	184
Ohio Indiana. Illinois. Michigan. Wisconsin	217, 013 77, 162 126, 810 137, 755 25, 921	118, 645 37, 982 63, 677 106, 595 21, 336	85, 743 38, 330 54, 683 28, 450 4, 585	12, 625 850 8, 450 2, 710	152 138 139 316 520
West North Central	914, 379	414, 075	484, 784	15, 520	226
Minnesota Iowa Missouri North Dakota Nebraska Kansas	18, 965 46, 001 493, 459 7, 875 32, 570 28, 434 287, 075	17,47520,271143,1013,17522,92021,178185,955	1, 490 25, 730 339, 083 4, 700 8, 650 7, 256 97, 875	11, 275 1, 000 3, 245	920 303 123 635 882 1, 324 344
South Atlantic	19, 328, 688	7, 388, 829	11, 648, 568	291, 291	93
Delaware Maryland District of Columbia Virginia West Virginia North Carolina South Carolina Georgia Florida	224,010 945,402 1,225 3,865,540 47,007 5,155,245 4,081 739 4,320,997 687,523	$\begin{array}{r} 100, 745\\ 423, 994\\ 100\\ 2, 586, 923\\ 26, 570\\ 1, 697, 834\\ 1, 262, 222\\ 880, 607\\ 409, 834\end{array}$	$107, 075 \\ 440, 104 \\ 125 \\ 1, 213, 240 \\ 15, 287 \\ 3, 439, 906 \\ 2, 791, 449 \\ 3, 392, 733 \\ 248, 649 \\ \end{cases}$	$\begin{array}{c} 16, 190\\ 81, 304\\ 1, 000\\ 65, 377\\ 5, 150\\ 17, 505\\ 28, 068\\ 47, 657\\ 29, 040\\ \end{array}$	270 144 13 106 71 89 79 79 79 79

	V.	ALUE OF IMPLE	MENTS AND MA	CHINERY	
SECTION, DIVISION, AND STATE	Total	Owners	Tenants	Managers	Aver- age value per owned iarm
East South Central	\$19, 776, 031	\$5, 560, 624	\$14, 087, 943	\$127, 464	\$110
Kentucky Tennessee Alabama Mississippi	605, 430 2, 978, 809 5, 091, 581 11, 100, 211	315, 392 941, 349 1, 549, 249 2, 754, 634	281, 988 2, 016, 755 3, 516, 133 8, 273, 067	8, 050 20, 705 26, 199 72, 510	76 120 97 122
West South Central	18, 967, 404	5, 649, 487	13, 208, 612	109, 305	123
Arkansas Louislana Oklahoma Texas	4, 769, 956 4, 870, 550 1, 595, 879 7, 731, 019	$\begin{array}{c} 1,298,051\\ 1,198,264\\ 569,728\\ 2,583,444 \end{array}$	3, 455, 510 3, 648, 111 1, 005, 601 5, 099, 390	16, 395 24, 175 20, 550 48, 185	113 114 165 126
Mountain	112, 654	63, 502	42, 252	6, 900	347
Montana Idaho Wyoming Colorado New Mexico Arizona Utah Nevada	18, 187 32, 175	14, 390 6, 725 6, 025 12, 835 9, 277 12, 945 305 1, 000	1, 200 2, 400 1, 900 10, 262 8, 910 17, 830 250	5,000	959 961 753 238 175 324 76 500
Pacific	171, 733	79, 578	77,680	14, 478	285
Washington Oregon California		15, 615 2, 617 61, 346	5, 125 50 72, 505	2,000 12,475	256 327 292

TABLE 33.-VALUE OF IMPLEMENTS AND MACHINERY ON FARMS OPERATED BY NEGROES, BY TENURE, BY SECTIONS, DIVISIONS, AND STATES, 1930-Con.

Machinery distribution in Southern and selected Northern States.---The distribution of specified farm machinery on farms of colored operators (see introduction to Table 9) is presented in Table 34 for the South and seven Northern States. An apportionment by States is made of 190,226 automobiles, 11,739 motor trucks, 2,936 tractors, 422 electric motors for farm work, and 2,040 stationary gas engines. Colored farmers in Mississippi had 33,359 automobiles; in North Carolina they had 23,859; and in Texas, 22,339. Mississippi also led in the number (1,591) of motor trucks while Virginia and Texas occupied second and third places. North Carolina reported the largest number of tractors, followed by Oklahoma and Oklahoma, Virginia, and Texas, in the order named, reported more Virginia. electric motors for farm work than any other States, and the colored farmers in Virginia occupied first rank in the number of stationary gas engines. In the North, Missouri ranked in first place in the number of automobiles on farms of colored operators; New Jersey in the number of motor trucks; Kansas in tractors; and New Jersey again, in electric motors for farm work and in stationary gas engines.

On the farms in the South there was an average of 4.7 colored farmers as compared with 2 white farmers per automobile. For motor trucks the averages were 79.7 colored and 10.4 white farmers per truck. In the seven selected Northern States the averages were somewhat lower, colored farmers averaging 2.8, and white farmers 1.1 per automobile; while for motor trucks there were 15.1 colored, and 5.5 white farmers per truck.

 
 TABLE 34.—FARM MACHINERY REPORTED BY COLORED FARM OPERATORS IN THE SOUTHERN DIVISIONS AND STATES, AND IN SELECTED NORTHERN STATES 1930

			NUMBE	r of—		
SECTION, DIVISION, AND STATE	Automo- biles	Motor trucks	Tractors	Electric motors for farm work	Stationary gas engines	Farmers per auto- mobile
The South	186, 518	11,062	2, 533	363	1, 681	4.7
South Atlantic	78, 764	5, 101	1, 221	137	1,008	3.8
Delaware Maryland District of Columbia	524 2, 629	81 413	36 157 1	$1 \\ 20$	35 118	1.5 2.0
Virginia. West Virginia North Carolina South Carolina. Georgia. Florida.	13, 870 149 23, 859 18, 901 16, 757 2, 075	1, 357 29 1, 072 641 865 643	291 6 373 100 182 75	30 2 22 28 20 14	396 9 131 93 163 63	2.9 3.3 3.2 4.1 5.2 5.3
East South Central	56, 039	2, 673	514	55	213	5.7
Kentucky Tennessee Alabama Mississippi	2, 384 7, 762 12, 534 33, 359	83 269 730 1, 591	53 94 124 243	1 13 25 16	29 41 66 77	3.8 4.5 7.5 5.5
West South Central	51, 715	3, 288	798	171	460	5, 1
Arkansas Louísiana Oklahoma Texas	11, 197 10, 933 7, 246 22, 339	618 684 669 1, 317	124 137 295 242	24 15 103 29	63 89 189 119	7.1 6.7 3.2 3.9
Selected Northern States 1	3, 708	677	403	59	359	2.8
New Jersey Pennsylvania Ohio Indiana Illinois Missouri Kansas	249 203 663 245 253 1, 461 634	173 68 127 50 62 81 116	41 33 96 42 31 60 100	15 6 12 4 6 3 13	67 46 66 29 29 57 65	$ \begin{array}{c} 1.5\\ 1.8\\ 1.9\\ 1.9\\ 3.5\\ 4.0\\ 1.6\end{array} $

 $^{\rm J}$  States having 200 or more Negro farmers who constitute 90 per cent or more of the colored farm operators in the State.

#### CENSUS OF AGRICULTURE

### TABLE 35.---NUMBER OF NEGRO FARM OPERATORS, BY [A minus sign (-)

			N	UMBER	OF NEGR	O FARM O	PERATORS		
	SECTION, DIVISION, AND	Т	otal	Ow	ners	Ten	ants	Mar	agers
	STATE	1930	1920	1930	1920	1930	1920	1930	1920
1	United States	882, 850	925, 708	181,016	218, 612	700, 911	705, 070	923	2, 026
2 3 4	The North The South The West	11, 104 870, 936 810	9, 380 915, 595 733	$4,424 \\176,130 \\462$	5, 749 212, 365 498	6, 588 694, 001 322	3, 389 701, 471 210	92 805 26	242 1,759 25
5	New England	148	242	128	192	14	33	6	17
6 7 9 10 11	Maine. New Hampshire Vermont Massachusetts Rhode Island Connecticut	15 6 22 63 9 83	13 14 28 103 19 65	14 5 17 59 8 25	13 12 21 89 13 44	1 1 4 3	1 4 7 5 16	1 1 1 3	1 3 7 1 5
12	Middle Atlantic	873	1, 227	568	697	274	444	31	80
13 14 15	New York New Jersey Pennsylvania	148 372 353	245 531 451	112 232 224	152 284 261	33 126 115	71 228 145	8 14 14	22 19 45
16	East North Central	8,065	3, 674	1,892	2, 335	1, 149	1, 273	24	66
17 18 19 20 21	Ohio Indiana Illinois Michigan Wisconsin	1, 229 461 893 427 55	1, 616 570 892 549 47	780 275 459 337 41	1, 053 305 533 403 41	438 184 428 85 14	527 251 350 139 6	11 2 6 5	86 14 9 7
22	West North Central	7,018	4, 237	1, 836	2, 525	5, 151	1, 639	31	73
23 24 25 26 27 28	Minnesota Iowa Missouri North Dakota South Dakota Nobergho	27 118 5,844 10 40	33 109 2, 824 26 47 63	19 67 1, 163 5 26 16	24 74 1, 643 11 33	8 51 4, 661 5 13 22	9 34 1, 133 13 13 28	20 1	1 48 2 1
28 29	Nebraska Kansas	- 38 941	1, 135	540	31 709	· 391	409 409	10	4 17
30	South Atlantic		382, 278	79, 683	102,056	215, 774	279, 266	477	956
31 32 33 34 35 36 37 38 39	Delaware. Maryland District of Columbia. Virginia Wost Virginia North Carolina. South Carolina. Georgia. Fiorida.	807 5, 264 11 89, 598 490 74, 636 77, 331 86, 787 11, 010	8726, 2082047, 69050474, 849109, 005130, 17612, 954	373 2, 938 8 24, 399 372 18, 978 15, 975 11, 080 5, 560	355 3, 548 9 30, 908 403 21, 714 22, 759 16, 040 6, 320	415 2, 206 2 15, 123 111 55, 635 61, 285 75, 635 5, 362	$504 \\ 2,509 \\ 10 \\ 16,585 \\ 93 \\ 53,040 \\ 86,063 \\ 113,929 \\ 6,533$	19 120 1 76 7 23 71 72 88	18 151 197 8 95 183 207 101
40	East South Central		307,006	50, 475	55, 488	269, 985	251, 112	140	406
41 42 43 44	Kentucky Tennessee Alabama Mississippi	9, 104 35, 123 93, 795 182, 578	12, 624 38, 181 95, 200 161, 001	4, 175 7, 828 15, 920 22, 552	5, 318 9, 839 17, 201 23, 130	4, 914 27, 261 77, 853 159, 957	7, 271 28, 289 77, 873 137, 679	$     \begin{array}{r}       15 \\       34 \\       22 \\       69     \end{array} $	85 53 126 192
45 46	West South Central		226, 311	45, 972	54,821	208, 242	171,093	188	397
47 48 49	Louisiana Oklahoma Texas	79, 556 73, 734 15, 172 85, 940	72, 275 62, 036 13, 403 78, 597	11, 452 10, 488 3, 454 20, 578	15, 369 10, 975 4, 958 23, 519	68, 081 63, 192 11, 694 65, 275	56, 811 50, 969 8, 368 54, 945	23 54 24 87	95 92 77 133
50 51	Mountain Montana	<u>304</u> 21	<u>349</u> 31	183 15	239 28	<u> </u>	100	. 6	10
52 53 54 55 56 57 58	Idaho Wyoming Colorado New Mexico Arizona Utah Nevada	16 12 78 82 87 5 3	23 17 148 32 82 61 5	7 8 54 53 40 4 2	16 17 115 24 19 19 3	0 7 4 23 29 44 1 1	*7 28 8 10 41 2	2	
59	Pacific	506	384	279	259	207	110	20	15
60 61 62	Washington Oregon California	73 9 424	79 15 290	61 8 210	65 11 183	10 1 196	14 2 94	2 18	2 13

<sup>1</sup> Less than one-tenth of 1 per cent decrease.

# TENURE, BY SECTIONS, DIVISIONS, AND STATES: 1930 AND 1920 denotes decrease]

NUMBER OF NEGRO FARM OPERATORS—continued												
			Increase, 1	920-1930								
Tot	al	Own	ers	Tena	nts	Mana	gers					
Number	Per cent	Number	Per cent	Number	Per cent	Number	Per cent					
-42,858	-4. 6	-37, 596	-17. 2	-4, 159	-0.6	-1,103	-54.4					
1, 724 -44, 659	18.4	-1,325 -36,235	-23.0 -17.1	3, 199 -7, 470	94.4 -1.1	-150 -954	-62.0					
77	10.5	-36	-7.2	132	53.3	11	4.0					
-94	-38.8	64	-33.3 7.7	-19	-57.6	-11	-64.7	-				
-8 -6	57.1	-7				-1	-100.0 -66.7	-				
-40	-21.4 -38.8	30	-19.0 -33.7	-4	57.1	-2	-85.7	1				
$-10 \\ -32$	-52.6	-5 -19	38.5 43.2	-5	100.0 68.8	-2	-40.0	-11				
-354	-28.9		18. 5	-170	38, 3	55	-64.0					
-97 -159	39.6 29.9	-40 -52	26.3 18.3	$-38 \\ -102$	53.5 44.7	-19 -5	86.4 26.3	11				
-98	-21.7	-37	-14.2	-30	20.7	-31	-68.9	i				
-609	-16, 6	443	-19.0	-124	-9.7	-42	-63.6	1				
-387 -109	-23.9 -19.1	273 30	25.9 9.8	89 67	-16.9 -26.7	-25 -12	-69.4 -85.7	1				
-122	-22.2	-74 -88	-13.9 -16.4	78 54	22.3 38.8		-33.3	-115				
8	17.0			8	133.3			-2				
2,781	65.6	689	$\frac{-27.3}{-20.8}$	3,512	214.3	-42	-57.5	-2				
9	8.3	$-5 \\ -7$	-9.5	17	50, 0	-1	-100.0					
3,020 	106.9	480 6	-29.2 -54.5	3,528 8	311.4 -61.5	-28 -2	-58.3	- 59				
-7 -25	14.9 39.7	-7 -15	21.2 48.4	6	-21.4		-100.0	- 19				
-194	-17.1	169	-23.8	-18	4.4	-4 -7	-41.2					
-86, 344	22.6	<u>-22, 373</u> 18	-21.9	-63, 492 -89		-479	-50.1 46.2	-3				
-944	-15.2	-610	-17.2		-12,1	31	-20.5	3				
-8,092	-45.0 -17.0	-6,509	-11.1 -21.1	-1,462	80.0 8.8	-121	-61.4	33				
-14 -213	-2.8 -0.3		-7.7 -12.6	18	19.4 4.9	-1 -72	-12.5 -75.8	2023				
-31,674 -43,389	-29.1 -33.3	-2,736 -6,784 -4,960	-29.8 -30.9	2, 595 24, 778 38, 294	-28.8 -33.6	-112 -135	-61.2 -65.2	3				
-1,944	-15.0	-760	-12.0	-1,171	-17.9	-13	-12.9	31				
13, 594	4.4	-5,013	-9.0	18,873	7.5	-266	-65.5	-				
-3, 520 -2, 058	-27.9 -8.0	-1, 143 -2, 011 -1, 281	-21.5 -20.4	-2,357 -1,028	-32.4	-20 -19	-57.1 -35.8	4				
-1,405 21,577	-1.5 13.4	-1,281 -578	-7.4 -2.5	-20 22,278	<sup>(1)</sup> 16, 2	-104 -123	-82.5 -64.1	444				
28, 091	12.4		16. 1	37, 149	21.7	-209	-52.6	4				
7,281	10.1	-3, 917	-25.5	11, 270 12, 223	19.8 24.0	$-72 \\ -38$	-75.8 -41.3	4				
11, 698 1, 769 7, 343	18.9 13.2	-487 -1,504	-4.4	3, 326	39.7	-53	-68.8	47				
7, 343	9.3 12.9	-2,941 -56	-12.5 -23.4	10, 330 15	18.8 15.0	-46 -4	-34.6 -40.0	49				
-10	-32.3	-11	-42.3		50.0	-1	-100.0	5C 51				
-7	30.4 29.4	-9 -9	-56.3	4		2		52				
-70	-47.3		-53.0	-5 21	-17.9	-4	-80.0	53 54				
50 55	156.3 171.9	29 21	120.8 110.5	34	262.5 340.0			55 5€				
56	-91.8 -40.0	-15	-78.9	-40 -1	97.6 50.0	-1	-100.0	57 58				
122	31. 8	20	7.7	97	88.2	5	33. 3	59				
-6 -6	-7.6	-4	-6.2 -27.3	-4	-28.6 -50.0	2		60 81				
134	46.2	27	14.8	102	108.5	5	38.5	62				

#### CENSUS OF AGRICULTURE

#### TABLE 36 .- ALL LAND IN FARMS OPERATED BY NEGROES, BY

[A minus sign (~)

	1			LAND	IN FARMS	(ACRES)			
	ŀ	Tot		Owi		Ten	nte	Man	
	SECTION, DIVISION, AND STATE	100	<u>ai</u>		1615			TATAT	igers
		1930	1920	1930	1920	1930	1920	1930	1920
1	United States	37, 597, 132	41, 432, 182	11, 198, 893	13, 948, 512	26, 149, 167	27, 077, 582	249, 072	406, 088
2	United States The North The South The West New England	720, 872	767,953	332, 520	435,075	373, 214	294, 543	15, 138	38, 335
3 4	The West	117, 776	119, 988	81,061	79, 331	32, 719	28, 653	3, 996	12,004
5							5, 221	1,075	3, 910
$\begin{bmatrix} 6\\7 \end{bmatrix}$	Maine New Hampshire	818 814	953 1, 476	738 574	953 1, 121	80 240	30		325
8	Vermont Massachusetts	3, 812 2, 063	4, 450 4, 815	3, 211 1, 791	3, 075 3, 003	251 122	961 601	350 150	$414 \\ 1, 211$
10 11	Rhode Island	571 1, 319	944 6, 614	121 862	630 1, 339		224 3, 405	450	90 1, 870
		55, 808	72, 897	26, 758	28, 516		34, 933		9, 448
12 13	Middle Atlantic	14,894	19,995	9, 625	9,366	4,473	7 174	796	3, 455
14	New York New Jersey Pennsylvania	19, 298 21, 616	25, 968 26, 934	7,276 9,857	8,963 10,187	10, 396 10, 005	15,175 12,584	1,626	1,830 4,163
15		214, 596	239, 783	114, 748					8, 427
16 17	East North Central Ohio	92, 083		45 446					4, 533
18	Indiana Illinois	28,454	100, 412 36, 668 57, 696	14, 325	17.284	44, 627 13, 734 29, 414	16,965 23,168	395	2,419
19 20	Michigan	57, 805 30, 959	40, 939	23,952	28, 372	0,028	12,169	479	398
21	Wisconsin	5, 295	4,068						
22	West North Central	441,071 2,692	436, 021	183, 717			101, 142		16, 550
$\frac{23}{24}$	Minnesota Iowa. Missouri		8,847	3.775	5, 140	6.227	3 457	1	250
$\frac{25}{26}$	Missouri North Dakota	255, 623 2, 565	190, 308	75,680 1,405 10,744	111, 946 4, 711 14, 200	170.380	67.366	3, 552	800
27 28	South Dakota	2, 565 14, 228 9, 216	19,599 27,117	10, 744 4, 454	14, 200 13, 550	5 2,974 4,762	5, 314	1 DIU	80 1,913
29	Kansas	146, 745	176, 657	86, 184	107, 114	58, 376	67, 092	2, 185	2, 450
30	South Atlantic					10, 226, 681			
$\frac{31}{32}$	Delaware Maryland	54, 750 345, 224			12, 512 119, 220	2 37,631 5 215,756	41,082	2 3, 344 21, 878	1, 294 22, 082
- 33	Dist. of Columbia	1 90	288	. 25	ม ว/	5 7	168	60	95
34 35	Virginia West Virginia	28,018	2, 257, 953	1, 179, 824 18, 470 943, 500	1, 371, 38 19, 05 1, 120, 75	2 8,574	855, 320 6, 97 2, 230, 59	22,833 2 969	[1, 520]
36 37	North Carolina	3,296,445	3.370.191	HI 786.893	SE L. 146, 390	512.359.043	1 3. 196. 370	2 6, 184 3 21, 362	12,846
38 39	Georgia Florida	3, 107, 898 5, 104, 452 513, 912	4, 379, 869 7, 070, 943 637, 868	883, 284 281, 28	1, 146, 390 1, 331, 270 356, 68	5 4, 197, 000	3, 196, 37 5, 710, 62 270, 50	7 23, 563 4 8, 914	29,038
40	East South Central			1					
40	Kentucky				3 239, 99	7 156, 178	182.06	8 2.50	4,709
42 43	Tennessee	1.356.683	1.523.786	SI 419.06	535.26	51 931, 482	979, 25	2 6, 132	9.271
44	Alabama Mississippi	6,062,490	4, 348, 018 5, 806, 397	1, 189, 358 1, 725, 068	1, 822, 52	1 2, 953, 534 7 4, 810, 231	3, 944, 08	8 14, 169 5 27, 191	82,069 39,785
45	West South Central.								
46		2,485,620	2, 623, 888	704,79 601,42	0 1,042,04 746,70	7 1, 774, 098	$ \begin{array}{c} 1,568,63\\ 1,419,71 \end{array} $	6,74	13, 211
47 48		1,061,34	2, 023, 888 2, 180, 883 1, 045, 043	283, 80	5  438,56	7 770, 503	2 2, 561, 90	7 20, 30 8 7, 03	5] 13.008
49			4, 408, 30	4 1, 40 <b>5,</b> 99	1				
50		77,22	77, 24	59,68					
51 52	Montana Idaho	- 9,80 - 2,81 - 10,92	10, 27 3 2, 72 5 6, 70	4 7, 52 8 32	6 1.52	3 1.610	ງ∐ 1΄20	5 88	320
53 54		- 10,92 - 25,13	71 36.51	bii 18.08	41 28, 26	71 6.13	5,98	9 32	2, 260
55 56	New Mexico	10,88 7,70	3 6,07 6 3,24	4 18,13 8 4,43	5 5.10	3 1,74	8 97 4 74	1	
57 58	Utan	- 3	7  11,45	6   3	31 1.80	4 .	4 2.33	2	
								3	
59 60			_		2 24,70 4 5,80				
61	Oregon	- - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - -	6 9 12	5 80	8 1,40		8 21	4	. 510
		-  30,29	1 83,79	9 15,86	17,55	3 12, 10	2 14,98	2 2,33	5 1, 264

<sup>1</sup> Less than one-tenth of 1 per cent. <sup>2</sup> Less than one-tenth of 1 per cent decrease.

## TENURE, BY SECTIONS, DIVISIONS, AND STATES: 1930 and 1920 denotes decrease]

			LAND IN FAR	MS (ACRES)			1
			Increase, 19	20-1930			
Tot	al	Own	ers	Tenar	nts	Manag	ers
Acres	Per cent	Acres	Per cent	Acres	Per cent	Acres	Per cent
-3, 835, 050	-9.3	-2, 749, 619		-928, 415	-3.4	-157,016	-38.7
-47,081 -3.785.757	-6.1 -9.3	-9 648 704	19. 7	78, 671 -1, 011, 152		-23, 197 -125, 811	-60.5 -35.4
-3, 785, 757 -2, 212		1,730	2.2	4,066	14.2	-8,008	-66.7
-9,855 -135	$\frac{-51.2}{-14.2}$	<u>-2,824</u> -215	-22.6	<u>-4, 196</u> 80	-80.4	-2, 835	-72.5
-662 -638	-44.9	-547	1	210 -710	700. 0 	-325 -64	-100.0 -15.5
-2, 752 -373	-14.3 -57.2 -39.5	-1,212	-40.4	$-479 \\ -224$	-73.9 -79.7 -100.0	-1,061 360	-87.6
-5, 295		-477	-35.6	-3, 073	-90.2	-1,745	
-17, 089				-10,059		-5, 272	
-5,101 -6,670		-1,687	-18.8	-4,779	-37.6 -31.5	-2,659 - 204	-11 1
-5, 318	-19.7			-2, 579			
	1			2,961		<u>-4, 787</u> -2, 522	-55.7
8, 329 8, 214	-22.4	-2,959	-17. 1	-3,231 6,246	19, 0	-2,02	-83.7 -29.8
109 9, 980 1, 227		-4,420	)	-5,641	-46.4	8	20.4
	1			-			-62.3
5, 050	14.2	-188	-11.3	523	74. 7		
1, 156 65, 255	13. 1 34. 3	-1,365	-26.6 -32.4	2,770 109,020	161. 5	-7,50	-100.0 -67.9
	-76.8 -27.4	-3,306	-70.2 -24.4	-4,405 -2,340	-79.2 -44.0		J -100.0
-17,90	-66.0	-9,102 -20,930	2 -67.1	-6,886	59. 1	-1,91	-100.0
-29,912							
-3,600,620		[]i	10. 1	-3,451	-8.4	2,05	158.4
-6,303 -195	-1.8 -67.0		3 12.0	-161	-95.8	-3	$\begin{array}{c c} 4 & -0.9 \\ 5 & -36.8 \end{array}$
-218, 29 46	7 -9.7	-191, 50	2 -3.1	1,602	-2.1 23.0	-55	1  -36.3
-73, 74 -1, 211, 97	-2.2 -27.7		5 -16.3	116.163	0.2	-15,73	3 - 42.4
-1,966,49	ų –27.8	-447,99	4 33.7	$ \begin{array}{c c} -836,735 \\ -1,513,022 \\ -46,793 \end{array} $	-26.5 -17.3	-5,47 -1,76	5 -18.9 5 -16.6
-123, 956		1			1		
-186, 92		-56, 84	-23.7	-25.890	-14.2	-2,20	2 -46.8 -33.9
-167, 10 -190, 96	511.0	-116, 19 -143, 26	6)	-47, 770 -29, 794	-1.0	-17,90	7 -55.8
256, 09		4 -97, 45	9 -0.3	1.	1		
1,78		-966, 43	$\frac{3 -24.0}{-32.4}$				0 -49.0
-138, 26 132, 45	5 6.1	-145, 27	8	271, 893	19.2	5,84 5.97	3 -45.9
16, 29 	8 1.0 80.5	$\begin{bmatrix} -154, 76\\ -329, 13 \end{bmatrix}$	6 -18.4				5 -55.9
-2	1 (2)	5, 11				-8,68	$\frac{0}{0}$ -84.8
-47		$ \begin{array}{c} -1,23 \\ -1,19 \end{array} $	5 -14.1 7 -78.6	404	33.6	-32 88	
4, 22	3 63. (	0 3, 15	3 47.0	1,070	2.4	-1,94	0 -85.8
-11,37 13,80	9 227.1	3 13,03	2 255.4	2, 24	7 80.0 4 303.2	2	6.1
4, 51 11, 41	8 139. 999.	7 -1,77	1 -98.2	-2,32			0 -100.0
60	9 242.				1		2 37.9
-2, 19		_	9 -18.9	3, 598	355.5	11	1
2,61 -1,29 -3,50	9 -61	1 -59	3 -42.3		-ar o	51 1,07	

# TABLE 37.-VALUE OF LAND AND BUILDINGS OF FARMS OPERATED BY [A minus sign (-)

			VAI	UE OF LAND	AND BUILDI	NGS	
		1	otal	Ow	ners	Ter	ants
	SECTION, DIVISION, AND STATE	1930	1920	1930	1920	1930	1920
1	United States_	\$1, 402, 945, 799	\$2, 257, 645, 325	\$354, 451, 596	\$554 158,003	\$1, 053, 649, 636	\$1,676,815,80
2	The North	41,668,222	59, 832, 464	17, 684, 979		22, 327, 483	26, 258, 44
3 4	The South The West	1,355,181,667 6,095,910	2, 191, 005, 642 6, 807, 219	314, 100, 432 2, 665, 985		1, 028, 371, 428 2, 950, 725	1, 647, 447, 6
5	New England	909, 395	1,076,815	651, 325	617, 165	67,070	2, 609, 8
6	Maine	41,400	54.400	28,400	54, 400	13,000	402, 1
78	N. Hampshire.	18,000	29,400	16,000	18,200	2,000	1, 2
9	Vermont. Massachusetts.	376.595	116,725 345,800	85, 700 342, 525	87, 125 243, 350	8,000 11,070	20, 7 20, 4
0	Rhode Island Connecticut	40,000 314,700	31, 500	32,000	16, 900		7,6
2	Mid. Atlantic	5, 140, 255	498, 990 6, 937, 600	146, 71\0 2, 422, 673	197, 190 2, 294, 225	33, 000 2, 165, 880	184, 8 2 854 7
3	New York			548, 095	619,600	230, 980	2, 854, 7
45	New Jersey	875, 575 2, 070, 400 2, 194, 280	1, 558, 950 2, 117, 590	998, 500	755, 715	755, 400	1, 107, 37
6	Pennsylvania E. N. Central	13, 304, 751	3, 261, 060	876,080	918, 910	1, 179, 500	1, 263, 6
7	Ohio	5, 434, 735	22, 308, 742 9, 126, 482	6, 796, 536	10, 433, 924	6,092,645	10, 528, 0
8	Indiana	L, 947, 915	4, 254, 030 6, 082, 675	2, 641, 920 949, 485	4, 173, 124 1, 831, 910	2, 649, 595 975, 555	4, 215, 99 2, 157, 98
0	Illinois Michigan	3, 704, 618 1, 874, 378	6, 082, 675 2, 566, 855	1, 562, 898 1, 401, 728	2, 541, 000 1, 643, 890	1, 972, 745 392, 150	3, 297, 0 822, 20
1	Wisconsin	343, 105	2, 566, 855 278, 700	240, 505	244,000	102, 600	34, 70
2	W. N. Central	22, 313, 821	29, 509, 307	7, 814, 443	15, 136, 447	14, 001, 888	12, 640, 9
34	Minnesota Iowa	187, 325 878, 234	134,670	141,675	75, 320 683, 250	45,650	59, 3
5	Missouri	14, 498, 297	$1, 330, 600 \\ 16, 524, 007 \\ 285, 740 \\ $	350, 814 3, 429, 544	8, 449, 9371	527, 420 10, 706, 493	596, 35 6, 797, 97
6 : 7 :	North Dakota. South Dakota.	62, 700 285, 425	285, 740 623, 515	3, 429, 544 40, 700	85, 140 357, 315	22,000	177,60
8	Nebraska	308, 080	1, 095, 040	163, 295 149, 530	440, 540]	101, 730 158, 550	242, 24 549, 50
0	Kansas S. Atlantic	6,093,760	9, 515, 735	3, 538, 885	5,039,945	2, 440, 045	4, 217, 99
1	Delaware	484, 443, 943	981, 677, 765	135, 724, 157	237, 088, 264	341, 922, 425	733, 440, 8
2	Maryland	18,896,282	2, 885, 445 21, 651, 121 233, 300	804, 240 6, 695, 319	681, 300 7, 959, 597	1, 509, 675 10, 442, 663	2, 060, 49 11, 354, 87
3	Dist. Columbia Virginia	82,000 71,722,401	233, 300 100, 839, 641	43, 500 41, 743, 427	40.300	8,500	87, 30
5	West Virginia	1, 480, 628	1, 727, 890	832, 553 36, 881, 352	57, 004, 473 956, 562	28, 629, 746 415, 575	41, 502, 11 547, 62
7 ]	N. Carolina	153, 882, 635 105, 693, 220	223, 666, 166 290, 406, 115	36, 881, 352 22, 788, 137	56, 113, 060 59, 839, 583	116, 568, 229	166, 525, 51 228, 355, 70
	Georgia Florida	114, 688, 230	322, 706, 709	17, 528, 122)	45, 465, 436 9, 027, 953	82, 319, 129 96, 253, 338	275, 484, 27
	E. S. Central	15, 369, 957 426, 528, 844	17, 561, 378 645, 828, 371	8,407,507		5, 775, 570	7, 522, 91
I	Kentucky	16, 157, 593	36, 067, 878	82, 453, 066	127, 401, 052	340, 962, 633	513, 777, 38
	Tennessee	56, 339, 610	90, 427, 645	7, 348, 683 14, 169, 523	16, 389, 797 25, 276, 745	8, 430, 710 41, 395, 987	18, 993, 53 64, 349, 20
	Alabama Mississippi	104, 726, 209 249, 305, 432	116, 894, 012 402, 438, 836	24, 945, 906 35, 988, 954	29, 021, 680 56, 712, 830	79, 029, 593	86, 821, 72
;	W. S. Central	444, 208, 880	563, 499, 506	95, 923, 209	157, 688, 821	212, 106, 343 345, 486, 370	343, 612, 89 400, 229, 43
	Arkansas	118, 180, 961	182, 378, 759	21, 790, 174	45, 536, 138	96, 062, 087	
	Louisiana Oklahoma	108, 668, 818 37, 967, 113	107, 615, 718 48, 640, 707	19, 567, 276 9, 954, 907	25, 438, 223	88, 375, 116 27, 725, 473	135, 655, 06 81, 324, 18
1	Texas	179, 391, 988	224, 864, 322	44, 610, 852	19, 227, 142 67, 487, 318	27, 725, 473	28, 681, 30 154, 568, 87
1	Mountain	2, 037, 510	2, 523, 814	943, 685	1, 273, 200	1, 012, 825	916, 21
	Montana Idabo	115,084	240, 310	84, 084	197, 350		39, 76
1	W yoming	107,200 139,020	134, 900 131, 410	41,700 119,660	83, 200 131, 410	31,000 47,500 19,360	51, 70
	Colorado New Mexico	445, 540 400, 956	944, 405	263, 910 182, 881	544, 115	19, 360 _ 178, 430	223, 09
1	Arizona	761, 510	944, 405 124, 894 277, 700	184,881	88, 380 117, 500	218, 075 506, 460	36, 51 86, 20
	Utah Nevada	24, 200 44, 000	651, 045 19, 150	195, 250 20, 200 36, 000	94, 245	4,000	476,80
1	Pacific	4, 058, 400	4, 283, 405	36, 000 1, 722, 300	17,000	8,000	2, 15
1	Washington	356, 705	538, 480	268, 155	2, 224, 905	1, 937, 900	1, 693, 60
	Oregon. California	32, 420 3, 669, 275	119,400	28, 420 1, 427, 725	57,400	77, 950 6, 000	159, 52 18, 00
1		a, 009, 213	3, 625, 525	1, 427, 725	1, 788, 545	1, 853, 950	1, 516, 08

Man	agers			Jner	ease. 1	920-1930			
		Total		Owners	5	Tenant	s	Managers	
1930	1920	Value	Per cent	Value	Per cent	Value	Per cent	Value	Per cent
	\$27,171,458	-\$854,699,526	-37. 9	-\$219,708,607	-39. 6	-\$622,666,228	-37.1	-\$12,326,691	-45.4
1,655,760 2,709,807	5, 092, 260	-18, 164, 242	-30.4 -38.1	-10, 796, 782	-37.9 -39.8	3,930,960	-15.0 -37.6	-3,346,500 -8,670,091	-67.5 -40.6
479,200	21, 379, 898 699, 300	-835, 823, 975 -711, 309	-30.1 -10.4	-208, 077, 705 -832, 120	-23.8	619, 076, 179 340, 911	13.1	-220,100	-30.0 -31.5
191,000	224, 900	-167, 420	-15.5	34, 160	5.5	-167, 680	-71.4	-33, 900	-15.1
		-13,000	-23.9	26,000	-47.8	13,000	66.7	10.000	-100.0
25,000	10,000 8,900	-11,400 1,975	38.8 1.7	-2,200 -1,425	-12.1 -1.6	-12,700	-61.4	-10,000 16,100	180.9
23,000	82,000	1, 975 30, 795	8.9 27.0	99, 175	40.8 89.3	-9,380	-45.9 -100.0	59, 000	-72.0 14.3
8,000 135,000	7,000 117,000	8, 500 	-36.9	15, 100 -50, 490				1, 000 18, 000	15.4
551, 700	f 1	-1, 797, 345	-25.9	128, 450	5.6	- 688, 830	-24.1	-1, 236, 965	-69.2
96, 500	455, 640	-683, 375	-43.8	-71, 505	-11.5	-252, 730	-52.2	-359, 140 62, 000	-78.8
316, 500 138, 700	254, 500	-47, 190 -1, 066, 780	-2.2 -32.7	-71, 505 242, 785 -42, 830	32.1 -4.7	-351,975 -84,125	-31.8 -6.7	62,000 939,825	24.4 
415, 570	1, 346, 800	-9,003,991	-40.4	-3, 637, 388		-4, 435, 373	-42.1	-931, 230	- 69. 1
143, 220	737, 360	-3, 691, 747	-40.5	-1, 531, 204	1	-1, 566, 403	-37.2	-594, 140	-80.6
22, 875 168, 975	264, 140	-2,306,115	-54.2	-882, 425	-48.2 -38.5	-1, 182, 425	-54.8 -40.2	-241,265	91.3
108,913	244, 600 100, 700	-2, 378, 057 -692, 477	-39.1 -27.0	-978, 102 -242, 162	-14.7	-430, 115	- 52. 5	-241, 265 -75, 625 -20, 200	-30.9 -20.1
		64, 405	23.1				195.7		
497, 490	1, 731, 895	-7, 195, 486	-24.4	-7, 322, 004			10.8	-1, 234, 405	-71.3
	51,000	52, 655 -452, 366	39. 1 -34. 0	66, 355 -332, 436	$     88.1 \\     -48.7 $	-13,700 -68,930	-23.1 -11.6	-51,000	-100.0
362, 260	1, 276, 095	-452, 366 -2, 025, 710	-12.3	5, 020, 393	- 59.4		57.5 	-913.835	-71.6
20, 400	23, 000 24, 000	-223, 040 -338, 090	-78.1 -54.2		- 52.2 - 54.3	-140.470	- 58.0	-23,000	-100.0 -15.0
	100,000	-786,960	-71.9	-296,010 -1,501,060	-66.4	-390, 950	-71.1 -42.2	-100,000	- 100. 0
114,830	1	-3, 421, 975	-36.0	-1, 501, 060		1	-42.2 -53.4		- 55, 5 - 39, 0
314, 675	11, 148, 682 143, 650	-497, 233, 822 -256, 855	$\frac{-50.7}{-8.9}$	122,940	·	-550 820		171, 025	119.1
-1.758,300	2 336 650	-2,754,839 -151,300	-12.7	-1,264,278	-15.9	-912, 211	-8.0	- 578, 350	-24.8
30,000 1, 349, 228 232, 500	105.700	-151,300 -20,117,240	-64.9 -28.9	3, 200 	-26.8	-78,800 -12,872,373	-90.3 -31.0	-75,700 -983,821	-71.6 -42.2
232, 500	2, 333, 049 223, 700 1, 027, 595	-29, 117, 240 -247, 262 -69, 783, 531	-14.3	-124,009	-13.0	-132,053	-24.1	8,800	-42.2
433, 054 585, 954	1, 027, 595 2, 210, 828	-69, 783, 531 -184, 712, 895	-31.2 -63.6	-19,231,708 -37,051,446	-34.3 -61.9	-49,957,282 -146,036,575	-30.0 -64.0	-594,541 -1,624,874	-57.9
906, 770	1, 757, 000	-208,018,479	-64.5	$\begin{vmatrix} -37,051,446\\ -27,937,314\\ -620,446\end{vmatrix}$	-61.4	-179, 230, 935	-65.1	- 850 230	-48, 4
1, 186, 880	1	-2, 191, 421	-12.5			1	-23.2 -33.6		17. 5 33. 1
3, 113, 145		-219, 299, 527	$\frac{-34.0}{55.0}$	-44,947,986			[		44. 8
378, 200 774, 100	684, 546 801, 700	-19,910,285 -34,088,035	-55.2 -37.7	-9,041,114 -11,107,222	-55.2 -43.9		-35.0	-27,600	-3.4
750,710	1,050,605	-12, 167, 803	-10.4 -38.1	-11, 107, 222 -4, 075, 774 -20, 723, 876	-14.0 -36.5	-22, 953, 213 -7, 792, 134 -131, 506, 553	9.0 38.3	-27,600 -299,895 -902,975	-28.8 -42.7
1, 210, 135 2, 799, 301	2, 113, 110 5, 581, 255	-153, 133, 404 -119, 290, 626	-38.1 -21.2	-20, 725, 870 -61, 765, 612					-49.8
		-64, 197, 798	-35.2	-23,745,964	1				-72.3
328, 700 726, 426	853, 310 732, 265	1,053,100	1.0	-5.870.947	1-23.1	7,050,931	8.7	-126,884	-14.8
286, 733 1, 457, 442	732, 265	-10, 673, 594 -45, 472, 334	-21.9 -20.2	-9,272,235 -22,876,466	-48.2	-900, 627	( ~- 3. 3	-445, 532	-60.8 -48,1
81,000	1 1	-486, 304	-19.3		1	4	10.5		-75.8
	3, 200	-125, 226	-52.1	-113, 266	-57.4	-8,760	-22.0	-3,200	-100.0
18,000		-27, 700	-20.5	-41,500 -11,750	-49.9	-4,200	-8.1	18,000	
3, 200	177, 200	7, 610 498, 865	-52.8	·	5151.5	i -44,660	-20.0	-174,000	98. 2
		276,062	221.0	94, 501 77, 750	106.9	181. 561	497.2		19. 2
59,800	80,000	483, 810 -626, 845	-96.3	-74,046	-78.6	-472, 800			-100.
	.	24, 850	129.8	19,000	111.8	5, 850	272.1		
398, 200		-225,005		-502, 605			14.4	1	9.1
10, 600	44,000	-181, 775 -86, 980	-33.8 -72.8		-29.2 -54.0		-51.1 -66.7	10,600	-100.0
387, 600	320,900	43, 750	1.2		-20.1	-12,000 337,870	22, 3	-44,000 66,700	20.8

#### Negroes, by Tenure, by Sections, Divisions, and States: 1930 and 1920 denotes decrease]

T

VALUE OF LAND AND BUILDINGS Value of Land in imple-Number STATE AND COUNTY farms ments and of farms (acres) Total Land alone Buildings machinery ALABAMA \$27, 204, 300 \$77. 521. 909 \$5,091,581 4, 157, 051 \$104, 726, 209 93, 795 Total 480, 930 84,461 1, 282, 270 77, 169 1,763,200 Autauga. Baldwin Barbour 1.924 480, 930 87, 520 794, 366 177, 955 35, 135 9,661 155,085 280, 150 2, 398, 743 703, 095 105, 860 192, 630 1, 604, 377 15,483 110,646 3202,262525, 140 70, 725 31,720 Bibb.... 668 24,606 6.349 4,115 Blount SB 134, 41174, 20728, 512103, 71131, 766 $\begin{array}{c} 1,980,007\\ 1,822,222 \end{array}$  $131,304\\82,713\\21,864\\145,408$ 1, 285, 947 1, 286, 131 694,060 2.473 Bullock..... 1,695 Butler Calhoun Chambers 536,091 173, 086 850, 112 89, 398 033, 055 2, 810, 492 511, 077 633,055 459, 969 461 2, 431 274 1,960,380 Cherokee..... 15.179 421.679 170, 945 498, 791 445, 385 145, 470 629, 481 1, 382, 777 1, 452, 771 27, 215 575 28.250458.536 Chilton.....  $1,611 \\ 1,772 \\ 359$ 883, 986 1, 007, 386 238, 084 55,903 57,909 15,720 79, 538 82, 377 Choctaw..... Clarke..... Clay Cleburne 19,391 383, 554 67 3.175 67.192 49,682 17, 510 4, 133 73243,997 1,256,612 978, 217 278, 395 37,067 Coffee..... 2, 752, 667 1, 659, 735 666, 912 797, 315 37, 433 66, 149 59, 560 25, 032 2, 447, 924 1, 046, 575 304, 743 613, 160 249, 827 Colbert. Conecuh 931 85, 114 71, 196 32, 344 1,476417, 085 537, 750 Coosa. Covington..... 677 520 259, 565 40, 210 1, 526, 495 137, 575 919, 210  $1, 134, 275 \\104, 130 \\756, 360$ 392, 220 33, 445 162, 850 1, 577, 955 Crenshaw..... 1,030 54,909 48,040 76 515 Cullman 4, 575 31, 546 5, 550 23, 921 Dale\_\_\_\_\_ 210, 683 Dallas De Kalb 6,405 335, 482 5,048,579 3, 470, 624 24,000 58 2.748 102,430 78,430 4,811 1,837 684, 906 208, 405 57, 575 88, 055 75, 609 25, 260 13, 435 20, 493 76.5022,635,4261,950,520 Elmore Escambla 404 152 15,919 680,920 472, 515 190, 857 6,602 22,205 248, 432 337, 670 Etowah..... Fayette..... Franklin 249,615 138,995 327113 5,495 190, 320 51, 325 13, 745 116, 335 Geneva. 30216,889 687,926 571, 591 17,321 Greene. Hale 2, 557, 493 4, 363, 062 1, 912, 930 3, 415, 927 1, 903, 030 1, 767, 810 3, 168 121,010 644, 563 947, 135 490, 980 126, 117249, 2603,873 128, 902 84, 132 1, 331 2,394,010 2,177,345 83, 329 77, 246 Henry..... Houston 971 49,275 409, 535 12.265 105,985171,415123,255383,627Jackson..... 281 530, 730 424, 745 911, 090 21, 514 Jefferson Lamar 327 8,823 32,371 16, 699 31, 017 1,082,505 410 427, 502 2, 034, 431 2, 463, 289 304, 247 Lauderdale..... Lawrence..... 959 39.046 1,650,804 113, 898 1.393 2,056,784 52,458 406, 505 98,778 Lee. Limestone Lowndes Macon 2, 377, 692 2, 979, 006 2, 923, 121 3, 417, 057 1.992 138, 258 1,636,312 741, 380 106, 634 2, 459, 830 2, 034, 590 2, 385, 340 1,9293,52765, 315 519, 176 153, 918 124,653 888, 531 1, 031, 717 188, 567 203, 260 3.114 145, 173 Madison 2,772 106, 212 4, 345, 764 3, 552, 389 793, 375 193, 445 Marengo..... 3, 918, 964 5.314 158,890 2, 791, 210 1, 127, 754 272, 583 Marion Marshall 5, 439 3, 764 5, 224 65, 735 151, 670 268, 247 70 42, 745 131, 262 22,990 20,408 4,422 6,108 102 Mobile. Monroe 197,650 1,285,809 140 70, 597 11, 546 2,091 93, 129 1,951,440 665, 631 118.304 4, 056, 209 1, 225, 220 2, 976, 587 2, 096, 577 2, 164, 001 120, 675 23, 452 128, 949 103, 188 3, 096, 339 1, 005, 380 2, 349, 690 1, 403, 036 1, 668, 776 3,178 Montgomery. 157, 403 69, 399 152, 797 105, 753 63, 859 959, 870 219, 840 Morgan Perry Pickens Pike 659 3, 435 626, 897 2.119 693, 541 1, 506 90, 462 495, 225 Randolph. Russell. St. Clair. 45, 800 135, 972 23, 201 16, 802 819, 633 2, 037, 429 542, 060 554, 803 1, 452, 191 418, 525 282, 495 2, 307, 911 791 37, 498 123, 278 27, 136 25, 532 203, 069 264,830 2, 243 348 585, 238 123, 555 Shelby..... 392456,065 173, 570 872, 191 456,065 Sumter..... 3, 812 150,050

(Counties for which less than five Negro farm operators were reported are included with "Other counties"]

#### THE NEGRO FARMER

TABLE 38.—FARMS OPERATED BY NEGROES—NUMBER, ACREACE, AND VALUE OF SPECIFIED CLASSES OF FARM PROPERTY, BY STATES AND COUNTIES, 1930— Continued

	Number	Land in	VALUE OF	LAND AND BUI	LDINGS	Value of imple-			
STATE AND COUNTY	of farms	farms (acres)	Total	Land alone	Buildings	ments and machinery			
			ALABAMA-	-continued					
Talladega Tallapoosa Tuscaloosa Walker Washington Wilcox	1,9121,1611,545926763,620	$\begin{array}{c} 102,239\\74,812\\69,635\\2,557\\32,126\\102,713\end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} \$2, 346, 943\\ 1, 432, 890\\ 1, 904, 740\\ 63, 020\\ 523, 834\\ 1, 899, 916\end{array}$			\$125, 346 62, 264 57, 748 2, 956 30, 398 138, 756			
			ARI	ZONA					
Total	87	7, 766	\$761, 510	\$698, 930	\$62, 580	\$32, 175			
Graham Maricopa Yuma Other counties	5 51 16 15	600 2, 709 1, 580 2, 877	$\begin{array}{c} 13,000\\ 552,010\\ 159,900\\ 36,600\end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 11,565\\515,475\\146,000\\25,890\end{array}$	1, 435 36, 535 13, 900 10, 710	715 19,025 8,250 4,185			
		ARKANSAS							
Total	79, 556	2, 485, 626	\$118, 180, 961	\$94, 312, 157	\$23, 868, 804	\$4, 769, 956			
Arkansas Ashley Bradley Calhoun Chicot	2, 189 606	29, 289 60, 827 28, 302 27, 030 71, 870	611, 710 3, 106, 415 610, 324 505, 370 4, 449, 538	458, 879 2, 500, 212 421, 688 401, 532 3, 365, 065	$\begin{array}{r} 152,831\\ 606,203\\ 188,636\\ 103,838\\ 1,084,473\end{array}$	$\begin{array}{r} 44,250\\161,457\\31,539\\22,906\\227,909\end{array}$			
Clark Cleveland Columbia Conway Craighead	835 650 2, 374 964 68	39, 865 31, 065 118, 641 52, 035 2, 242	992, 096 524, 600 3, 160, 206 1, 401, 760 162, 885	811, 319 350, 950 2, 420, 997 1, 081, 616 140, 202	$\begin{array}{c} 180,777\\ 173,650\\ 739,209\\ 320,144\\ 22,683\end{array}$	132, 908 50, 143			
Crawford Crittenden Cross Dallas Desha	54 7,003 2,416 452	2, 966 162, 667 62, 344 24, 280 67, 542	89, 250 12, 038, 354 4, 446, 282 452, 725 3, 491, 636	$\begin{array}{r} 68,145\\9,789,480\\3,683,670\\323,860\\2,669,410\end{array}$	21, 105 2, 248, 874 762, 612 128, 865 822, 226	332, 587			
Drew Faulkner Franklin Garland Grant	- 33 21	67, 674 25, 633 1, 827 1, 067 7, 971	2, 282, 423 736, 300 62, 850 31, 850 115, 250	$\begin{array}{c}1, 669, 668\\578, 365\\47, 250\\24, 505\\91, 196\end{array}$	612, 755 157, 935 15, 600 7, 345 24, 054	617			
Hempstead Hot Spring Howard Independence Izard	_ 62	93, 393 2, 081 22, 879 2, 173 1, 951	2, 466, 348 100, 100 929, 000 84, 757 30, 550	$\begin{array}{c} 1,981,608\\ 60,050\\ 739,120\\ 66,737\\ 19,500 \end{array}$	484, 740 40, 050 189, 880 18, 020 11, 050	5,355       33,511       3,560       4,045			
Jackson Jefferson Johnson Lafayette Lawrence	694	27,275160,18652652,062823	1, 621, 960 9, 606, 268 12, 978 2, 176, 897 31, 450	1, 311, 100 7, 843, 807 9, 778 1, 785, 892 25, 730	1, 762, 46	$\begin{array}{cccc} 1 & 352, 167 \\ 0 & 180 \\ 5 & 81, 927 \end{array}$			
Lee Lincoln Little River Logan Lonoke	4, 134 3, 598 1, 298 65	121, 122 84, 332 43, 067 2, 626 59, 678	5, 773, 548 4, 372, 286 1, 581, 660 171, 700 3, 569, 851	4, 277, 534 3, 525, 336 1, 336, 843 150, 175 2, 987, 894	1, 496, 01 846, 95 244, 81 21, 52 581, 95	0 220,975 7 57,163 5 2,892 7 118,507			
Miller Mississippi Monroe Montgomery Nevada	1,407	72,779	2, 338, 470 10, 445, 541 3, 611, 123 27, 950 1, 180, 920	2,830,635	1. 555. 05	7 194,913 8 170,476 0 875			

-198

TABLE 38.—FARMS OPERATED BY NEGROES—NUMBER, ACREAGE, AND VALUE OF Specified Classes of FARM Property, by States and Counties, 1930— Continued

STATE AND COUNTY	Number	Land in farms	VALUE OF	LAND AND BU	ILDINGS	Value of imple-
	of farms	(acres)	Total	Land alone	Buildings	ments and machinery
			ARKANSAS	continued	,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,	. <u></u>
Ouachita Perry Phillips Pike Poinsett	1, 375 55 4, 920 115 726	\$6, 670 3, 732 128, 693 4, 795 17, 705	1, 891, 087 120, 600 6, 237, 448 144, 630 1, 412, 550		\$491, 262 23, 525 1, 202, 204 27, 580 178, 976	\$94, 96 5, 17 267, 82 7, 92 54, 13
Pope Prairie Pulaski Randelph St. Francis	151 511 2, 040 35 5, 083	6, 482 18, 960 54, 109 1, 561 142, 473	$\begin{array}{r} 253,005\\ 645,195\\ 3,824,281\\ 80,880\\ 7,622,035\end{array}$	199,015489,4903,183,82169,9555,740,512	53, 990 155, 705 640, 460 10, 925 1, 881, 523	7, 84 30, 91 146, 37 2, 10 250, 69
Saline Sebastian Sevier Sharp Union	32 40 247 6 1, 493	1, 212 1, 437 13, 018 457 75, 370	$\begin{array}{r} 46,140\\ 51,070\\ 312,615\\ 4,700\\ 2,027,262\end{array}$	33, 470 41, 870 244, 545 3, 800 1, 552, 764	12, 670 9, 200 68, 070 900 474, 498	1, 69 1, 25 11, 13 25 116, 24
Van Buren Washington. White Woodruff. Yell. Other counties	19 16 110 1,831 124 7	1,4155626,59064,6574,5861,010	$\begin{array}{r} 16,850\\ 15,710\\ 193,850\\ 3,657,912\\ 211,260\\ 6,700 \end{array}$	$11,675 \\ 12,950 \\ 143,150 \\ 2,944,285 \\ 167,170 \\ 5,710 \\ 12,944,285 \\ 10,170 \\ 12,944,285 \\ 10,170 \\ 12,100 $	5, 175 2, 760 50, 700 713, 627 44, 090 990	97 1, 28 9, 55 193, 03 5, 51, 23
			CALIF	DENIA	1	
Total	424	30, 297	\$3, 669, 275	\$3, 218, 125	\$451, 150	\$146, 326
Fresno Imperial Kern. Kings. Los Angeles.	38 80 39 11 14	1,234 7,050 2,029 702 407	185, 475 729, 760 446, 150 91, 500 179, 850	153, 975 699, 665 417, 150 76, 550 166, 500	31, 500 30, 095 29, 000 14, 950 13, 350	7, 51( 26, 12( 16, 155 3, 765 3, 625
Madera Merced Drange Riverside Sacramento	17 23 15 42 11	1, 325 1, 118 1, 433 1, 899 170	150, 400 106, 750 120, 100 256, 640 51, 200	142, 925 85, 790 107, 550 233, 495 25, 800	7, 475 20, 960 12, 550 23, 145 25, 400	6, 850 6, 230 2, 075 19, 850 4, 520
an Bernardino an Joaquin Inlare Tulare Yolo Yther counties	22 6 9 42 8 47	1, 082 2, 848 1, 435 2, 890 1, 059 3, 616	148,000 197,300 61,850 421,300 68,450 454,550	119, 625 188, 400 44, 900 366, 200 50, 550 339, 050	28, 375 8, 900 16, 950 55, 100 17, 900 115, 500	2, 505 4, 200 2, 000 13, 335 9, 775 17, 811
		· · · ·	COLOI	LADO	1	
Total	78	25, 137	8445, 540	\$371, 890	873, 650	\$23, 097
rapahoe l Paso as Animas tero ashington	5 9 13 5	2, 646 2, 649 2, 125 6, 566 1, 200	47, 350 36, 880 35, 700 99, 380 9, 920	42, 850 27, 480 26, 550 92, 080 8, 520	4,500 9,400 9,150 7,300 1,400	2, 300 2, 500 1, 160 3, 800 567
eldther counties	14 27	3, 419 6, 532	76,600 139,710	65, 050 109, 360	11, 550 30, 350	2, 120 10, 650
			CONNEC	TICUT	<u>II</u>	
Total	33	1, 319	\$314, 700	\$116, 365	\$198, 335	\$9, 735
irfield artford her counties	6 9 18	187 251 881	160, 000 65, 300 89, 400	50, 000 31, 065 35, 300	110,000 34,235 54,100	2, 300 4, 335 3, 100

#### TABLE 38.—FARMS OPERATED BY NEGROES—NUMBER, ACREAGE, AND VALUE OF Specified Classes of FARM Property, by States and Counties, 1930— Continued

						1
STATE AND COUNTY	Number of farms	Land in farms	VALUE OF	LAND AND BUI	LDINGS	Value of imple- ments and
		(acres)	Total	Land alone	Buildings	machinery
			DELA	WARE		
Total	807	54, 756	\$2, 628, 590	\$1, 553, 810	\$1, 074, 780	\$224, 010
Kent New Castle Sussex	328 75 404	23, 294 4, 970 26, 492	1, 101, 365404, 9251, 122, 300	702, 955 203, 580 647, 275	398, 410 201, 345 475, 025	87, 040 17, 720 119, 250
			DISTRICT O	F COLUMBIA		
Total	11	95	\$82, 000	\$55, 725	<b>\$26</b> , 275	\$1, 225
			FLO	EIDA		
Total	11,010	518, 912	\$15, 369, 957	\$11, 515, 243	\$3, 854, 714	\$687, 523
Alachua. Baker. Bay. Bradford. Brevard.	986 36 28 161 36	45, 665 1, 118 676 3, 868 703	$\begin{array}{r} 1, 245, 017 \\ 34, 950 \\ 45, 680 \\ 199, 650 \\ 434, 250 \end{array}$	812, 092 26, 050 29, 655 138, 633 405, 080	432, 925 8, 900 16, 025 61, 017 29, 170	2, 330 9, 085
Broward Calhoun Citrus Clay Columbia	403 50 18 28 427	2,254 2,351 1,298 1,137 36,226	234, 130 39, 555 22, 850 42, 325 523, 265	228, 910 28, 425 16, 690 31, 010 395, 577	5, 220 11, 130 6, 160 11, 315 127, 688	1, 385 3, 535 2, 315
Dade Dixie Duval Escambia Gadsden	- 69 - 8 - 128	692 196 2, 407 2, 576 29, 764	115, 525 4, 050 236, 840 124, 800 738, 090	103, 575 2, 250 177, 265 87, 745 445, 240	11, 950 1, 800 59, 575 37, 055 292, 850	205
Gilchrist Gulf. Hamilton Hendry Hernando	7 15 220	705 579 18, 571 99 2, 206	12, 740 12, 105 255, 816 9, 900 239, 075	8, 490 8, 525 178, 401 9, 850 200, 065	4, 250 3, 580 77, 412 50 39, 010	9,824
Hillsborough Holmes Indian RiverJackson Jefferson	81 21 16 1,566	$1,771 \\ 1,412 \\ 245 \\ 96,875 \\ 38,778$	370, 195 27, 220 40, 600 1, 781, 425 882, 612	37.100	7,350	1,017 1,017 350 1 82,947
Lafayette Lake Lee Leon Levy	7 109 9 1,259	307 2, 449 123 52, 349 8, 626	6, 600 379, 135 16, 300 1, 381, 957 153, 976	4, 500	2, 10 65, 21 2, 25 314, 75 38, 03	3 5,498
Liberty Madison Manatee Marion Martin		5, 908 35, 611 322 35, 238 337	77, 925 673, 865 149, 200 1, 235, 326 47, 340	54, 940 448, 805	22, 98 225, 06	0 34,840 0 2,310 7 75,384
Martin Massau Okaloosa Orange Osceola	9 43 63	907 1, 594 3, 412 1, 365	96, 700 46, 900 105, 100	86, 800 23, 125 82, 595 386, 345	23, 77 22, 50 93, 55	0 8,310
Palm Beach Pasco Polk Putnam St. Johns	177 20 42	1, 625 361 647 5, 903	128, 680 447, 721	) 168, 920 36, 565 108, 880 7 294, 840 83, 673	5 5,28 19,80 152,88	5 1, 160 2, 250 17 18, 470

TABLE 38FA	RMS OPERA	TED BY	NEGROES	NUMBER,	ACREA	GE, AND	VALUE
OF SPECIFIED	CLASSES OF	FARM	PROPERTY,	BY STATES	AND CO	OUNTIES,	1930
Continued							

	Number	Land in	VALUE OF	ULDIN GS	Value of imple-				
STATE AND COUNTY	of farms	farms (acres)	Total	Land alone	Buildings	ments and machinery			
			FLORIDA-	continued					
Santa Rosa	28	1, 868	\$73, 300	\$60, 590	\$12, 710	\$1, 567			
Seminole	39	617	134, 500	103, 700	30, 800	4, 935			
Sumter	121	4, 609	163, 195	116, 300	46, 895	14, 995			
Suwannee	394	81, 322	453, 455	331, 510	121, 945	14, 967			
Taylor	14	857	32, 800	26, 400	6, 400	1, 770			
Union	78	4, 297	98, 700	63, 490	35, 210	2, 409			
Volusia	49	1, 318	309, 500	348, 638	50, 862	8, 569			
Wakulla	126	5, 624	115, 296	76, 611	38, 685	3, 710			
Walton	109	4, 508	101, 570	63, 030	38, 540	7, 631			
Washington	160	8, 037	183, 480	121, 460	62, 620	9, 442			
Other counties	25	575	158, 250	143, 050	15, 200	3, 755			
	GEORGIA								
Total	86, 787	5, 104, 452	\$114, 688, 230	\$82, 893, 996	\$31, 794, 234	\$4, 320, 997			
Appling	191	12, 740	$\begin{array}{c} 256, 125\\ 107, 765\\ 84, 077\\ 820, 940\\ 546, 172 \end{array}$	193, 495	02, 630	7, 427			
Atkinson	89	6, 493		77, 865	29, 900	3, 440			
Bacon	50	3, 983		58, 817	25, 260	2, 614			
Baker	758	49, 230		630, 655	190, 285	47, 651			
Baldwin	589	36, 705		396, 622	149, 550	29, 036			
Banks	148	7, 934	184, 925	133, 410	51, 515	5, 223			
Barrow	269	11, 731	363, 420	256, 545	106, 875	15, 410			
Bartow	375	16, 210	659, 292	518, 667	140, 625	48, 009			
Ben Hill	289	24, 761	559, 025	402, 640	156, 385	25, 195			
Berrien	114	8, 330	167, 530	129, 670	37, 800	5, 009			
Bibb	370	18, 122	658, 566	465, 857	$\begin{array}{c} 192,709\\75,200\\7,600\\321,516\\46,225\end{array}$	19, 134			
Bleckley	221	12, 466	283, 035	207, 775		10, 657			
Brantley	34	914	19, 375	11, 685		676			
Brooks	1,095	56, 784	1, 385, 609	1, 064, 003		58, 400			
Bryan	152	12, 649	160, 062	113, 837		6, 303			
Bulloch Burke Butts Calhoun Camden	996 3,036 608 1,227 225	57, 449 152, 756 33, 331 56, 608 10, 532	$\begin{array}{c} 1,763,781\\ 3,542,106\\ 666,844\\ 1,670,852\\ 267,720 \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 1,309,826\\ 2,517,837\\ 452,010\\ 1,246,227\\ 195,505 \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{r} 453,955\\ 1,024,269\\ 214,834\\ 430,625\\ 72,155\end{array}$	52, 228 134, 802 27, 386 82, 636 8, 874			
Campbell Candler Carroll Catoosa Charlton	362 344 1,080 44 19	23, 681 20, 720 47, 355 3, 481 1, 266	557, 035 509, 020 1, 618, 202 105, 515 18, 500	$\begin{array}{r} 371,030\\ 385,950\\ 1,147,522\\ 83,465\\ 12,475\end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 186,005\\ 123,970\\ 470,770\\ 22,050\\ 6,025 \end{array}$	20, 245 9, 840 50, 587 2, 762 946			
Chatham	82	6, 027	231,060	196, 270	34, 790	4, 203			
Chattahoochee	172	21, 788	154,836	113, 681	41, 155	9, 330			
Chattooga	249	18, 616	309,976	306, 010	93, 966	24, 400			
Cherokee	72	3, 432	96,695	61, 655	35, 940	3, 085			
Clarke	543	21, 701	1,008,096	714, 691	293, 405	82, 953			
Clay	645	37, 849	837, 305	003, 570	$\begin{array}{c} 233,735\\ 1.00,615\\ 17,805\\ 237,635\\ 131,995 \end{array}$	34,006			
Clayton	365	16, 355	634, 285	467, 670		15,234			
Clinch	45	2, 638	46, 100	28, 295		2,260			
Cobb	536	22, 533	930, 835	693, 200		26,993			
Coffee	306	23, 951	445, 785	313, 790		19,844			
Colquitt Columbia Cook Coweta Crawford	434 801 200 1, 134 477	$\begin{array}{c} 24,012\\ 41,072\\ 13,593\\ 74,205\\ 44,250\end{array}$	1,070,899751,727381,1101,457,382539,519	872,004 464,942 289,696 1,000,745 364,904	207, 835 286, 785 91, 420 396, 637 174, 615	23, 977 29, 929 13, 104 58, 161 20, 258			
Crisp	605	38, 020	$1,083,827 \\ 13,080 \\ 784,895 \\ 1,144,910 \\ 1,327,555$	853, 252	230, 575	32, 634			
Dade	10	536		11, 105	2, 575	460			
Decatur	636	41, 469		584, 525	200, 370	31, 939			
De Kalb	511	19, 959		953, 445	191, 465	15, 268			
Dodge	790	50, 074		957, 445	370, 110	54, 177			

#### THE NEGRO FARMER

	1					
STATE AND COUNTY	Number of farms	Land in farms	VALUE OF	LAND AND BU	ILDINGS	Value of imple-
		(acres)	Total	Land alone	Buildings	ments and machinery
			GEORGIA-	-continued		
Dooly Dougherty Douglas Early Echols	1,351 459 302 1,624 17	72, 572 26, 026 18, 795 79, 056 1, 196	$\begin{array}{c} \$1, 906, 413\\ 698, 185\\ 435, 696\\ 2, 141, 161\\ 15, 650 \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} \$1,478,783\\543,060\\288,576\\1,503,828\\10,825\end{array}$	\$427, 630 155, 125 147, 120 637, 333 4, 825	\$75, 564 34, 316 17, 289 93, 209 935
Effingham Elbert Emanuel Evans Fayette	257 997 1,064 210 502	9, 271 52, 999 66, 783 13, 699 24, 577	$\begin{array}{c} 205,986\\ 1,090,225\\ 1,815,766\\ 350,165\\ 544,285\end{array}$	$150, 546 \\ 741, 908 \\ 1, 376, 172 \\ 244, 365 \\ 385, 635$	55, 440 348, 317 439, 594 105, 800 158, 650	5, 379 56, 188 59, 212 9, 438 22, 449
Floyd Franklin Fulton Glascock Glynn	183 65	$\begin{array}{c} 28,130\\ 13,794\\ 5,906\\ 11,922\\ 4,621 \end{array}$	690, 081 466, 140 802, 125 213, 410 106, 880	521, 966 332, 675 693, 040 148, 260 75, 430	168, 115 133, 465 109, 085 65, 150 31, 450	33, 381 9, 994 15, 130 10, 032 5, 445
Gordon Grady Greene Gwinnett Habersham	99 435 942 316 31	5, 526 28, 265 56, 633 16, 956 2, 121	199, 040 684, 160 780, 613 394, 655 58, 300	$\begin{array}{c} 163,825\\513,625\\454,357\\286,655\\40,435\end{array}$	35, 215 170, 535 326, 256 108, 000 17, 865	9,050 21,359 38,724 13,515 1,990
Hall Hancock Haralson Harris Hart		12, 021 58, 474 9, 332 93, 074 26, 469	$\begin{array}{r} 259,400\\ 808,464\\ 178,300\\ 1,078,035\\ 817,722 \end{array}$	186, 045 550, 617 121, 205 695, 595 579, 962	73, 355 257, 847 57, 095 382, 440 237, 760	7, 297 35, 294 7, 078 36, 727 24, 866
Heard Henry Houston Irwin Jackson	411 1, 213 772 471 596	30, 800 58, 713 61, 681 32, 846 25, 587	444, 064 1, 345, 854 1, 176, 557 871, 135 759, 552	237, 289 926, 179 908, 634 699, 045 517, 526	$\begin{array}{c} 206,775\\ 419,675\\ 267,923\\ 172,090\\ 242,026 \end{array}$	20, 561 46, 945 34, 864 32, 935 22, 165
Jasper Jeff Davis Jefferson Jenkins Johnson	622 125 1,497 958 584	54, 179 7, 631 93, 831 43, 600 29, 524	790, 505 158, 718 1, 689, 193 1, 100, 080 622, 533	489, 360 103, 393 1, 205, 557 829, 253 447, 378	301, 145 55, 325 483, 636 270, 827 175, 155	40, 034 8, 954 70, 315 45, 378 18, 075
Jones Lamar Lanier Laurens Lee	472 455 116 1, 558 935	45, 417 30, 199 9, 910 82, 690 57, 702	516, 208 773, 980 199, 110 1, 824, 855 1, 153, 570	362, 468 504, 290 130, 790 1, 345, 275 848, 668	$\begin{array}{c} 153,740\\ 269,690\\ 68,320\\ 479,580\\ 304,902 \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 11,775\\ 30,348\\ 12,430\\ 65,493\\ 64,352 \end{array}$
Liberty Lincoln Long Lowndes Lumpkin	834 777 152 739 18	33, 196 35, 037 9, 186 39, 070 1, 154	826, 394 652, 229 158, 130 1, 268, 469 17, 090	461, 963 429, 674 94, 955 988, 158 14, 090	$\begin{array}{r} 364, 431 \\ 222, 555 \\ 63, 175 \\ 280, 311 \\ 3, 000 \end{array}$	43, 168 35, 574 9, 419 30, 889 400
McDuffie McIntosh Macon Madison Marion	626 181 1, 245 398	30, 858 5, 600 79, 002 19, 035 49, 738	470, 397 154, 600 2, 054, 503 479, 225 573, 220	305, 847 89, 690 1, 672, 418 346, 235 339, 265	164, 550 64, 910 382, 055 132, 990 233, 955	21, 643 7, 453 63, 569 14, 052 17, 937
Meriwether Miller Milton Mitchell Monroe	434	$101, 264 \\ 21, 794 \\ 2, 509 \\ 68, 154 \\ 62, 282$	$\begin{array}{c} \textbf{1, 844, 780}\\ \textbf{543, 140}\\ \textbf{100, 467}\\ \textbf{2, 124, 455}\\ \textbf{758, 956} \end{array}$	1, 193, 600 387, 655 76, 137 1, 567, 112 514, 638	651, 180 155, 485 24, 330 557, 343 244, 318	69, 938 23, 647 2, 140 72, 582 29, 938
Montgomery Morgan Murray Muscogee Newton	531	29, 810 51, 353 2, 029 22, 004 44, 114	889, 285 1, 039, 573 74, 314 479, 330 1, 011, 166	712, 610 627, 983 52, 864 360, 880 682, 806	176,675411,59021,450118,450328,360	$\begin{array}{c} 20, 593 \\ 53, 425 \\ 4, 620 \\ 18, 430 \\ 44, 591 \end{array}$

	Number	Land in	VALUE OF	LAND AND BUI	LDINGS	Value of imple-
STATE AND COUNTY	of farms	farms (acres)	Total	Land alone	Buildings	ments and machinery
			GEORGIA-	continued		
Deonee Jglethorpe Paulding Peach Pickens	933 1	22, 478 62, 025 9, 790 19, 027 549	\$452, 473 1, 032, 493 186, 815 770, 019 20, 250	\$300, 923 678, 417 114, 790 623, 099 16, 375	\$151, 550 354, 076 72, 025 146, 920 3, 875	\$22, 190 46, 283 7, 805 20, 292 222
Pierce. Pike. Polk Pulaski Putnam	191	$\begin{array}{c} 12,152\\ 38,767\\ 18,448\\ 31,725\\ 48,569\end{array}$	330, 630 1, 007, 015 499, 884 781, 100 717, 121	225, 975 669, 794 376, 484 593, 060 469, 871	104, 655 337, 221 123, 400 188, 040 247, 250	$\begin{array}{c} 10,235\\35,908\\26,267\\33,181\\24,559\end{array}$
luitman tahun tandolph tichmond tockriale	326 11	$26, 123 \\ 234 \\ 91, 991 \\ 26, 956 \\ 18, 896$	404, 875 20, 800 2, 249, 739 580, 415 303, 940	277, 780 9, 400 1, 743, 362 409, 850 200, 898	$\begin{array}{c} 127,095\\ 11,400\\ 506,377\\ 170,565\\ 103,042 \end{array}$	21, 55 35 89, 69 28, 60 13, 03
ichley Screven Seminole Spalding Stephens	419 1, 561 319 517	31, 594 67, 050 18, 823 28, 965 7, 040	$567, 902 \\ 1, 509, 535 \\ 482, 085 \\ 737, 514 \\ 235, 890$	429, 057 1, 103, 306 345, 925 458, 768 179, 330	$\begin{array}{c} 138,845\\ 406,229\\ 136,160\\ 278,746\\ 56,560\end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 21, 93\\ 37, 11\\ 20, 88\\ 29, 23\\ 5, 52\end{array}$
Stewart Sumter Falbot Taliaferro Tattnall	874 1,419 641 626	96, 080 75, 449 54, 626 38, 892 17, 446	$\begin{array}{r} 1,208,350\\ 2,137,388\\ 707,280\\ 477,980\\ 443,391 \end{array}$	897, 865 1, 656, 123 437, 305 317, 130 324, 781	310, 485 481, 265 269, 975 160, 850 118, 610	58, 86 56, 26 30, 90 17, 13 12, 96
Taylor Telfair Terrell Thomas Tift	. 579 405	36, 090 26, 402 79, 502 57, 029 12, 976	$\begin{array}{r} 628,878\\565,281\\3,696,058\\1,365,472\\483,893\end{array}$	457, 708 427, 546 2, 907, 542 1, 014, 167 382, 648	171, 170 137, 735 788, 516 351, 305 101, 245	17, 30 20, 2 117, 9 34, 2 10, 9
Toombs. Treutlen Troup. Turner. Twiggs.	256	23, 057 16, 820 78, 133 21, 719 30, 610	767, 877 478, 924 1, 449, 970 627, 224 437, 359	626, 957 344, 724 971, 417 507, 769 294, 727	$\begin{array}{c} 140,920\\ 134,200\\ 478,553\\ 119,455\\ 142,632 \end{array}$	19, 34 18, 13 60, 59 25, 11 27, 43
Upson Walker Walton Ware Warren	457 217 907 78	48, 129 8, 625 46, 837 4, 037 60, 955	707, 275 306, 075 1, 141, 465 174, 325 1, 015, 372	461, 530 230, 105 791, 475 111, 375 736, 187	245, 745 75, 970 349, 990 62, 950 279, 185	62, 0 7, 7
Washington Wayne Webster Wheeler White	- 76 - 421 - 323	88, 655 6, 678 27, 845 20, 458 1, 979	1, 578, 000 106, 815 363, 480 464, 375 42, 060	1, 039, 308 80, 380 223, 932 359, 279 34, 435	538, 692 26, 435 139, 548 105, 096 7, 625	3,7 27,7 14,5
Whitfield Wilcox Wilkes Wilkinson Worth Other counties	690 1,465 478 1,175	2, 980 47, 088 77, 367 39, 142 59, 818 398	93, 800 1, 018, 675 1, 148, 596 414, 895 1, 722, 150 6, 592	70, 710 780, 710 783, 345 317, 625 1, 268, 750 4, 407	23, 090 237, 965 415, 251 97, 270 453, 400 2, 185	35, 7 13, 2 79, 1
	, <u> </u>	<u>R</u> .	I	OHAO	1	
Total		2, 816	\$107, 200	\$79, 550	\$27, 650	814, 1

#### THE NEGRO FARMER

TABLE 38.—FARMS OPERATED BY NEGROES—NUMBER, ACREAGE, AND VALUE OF SPECIFIED CLASSES OF FARM PROPERTY, BY STATES AND COUNTIES, 1930— Continued

STATE AND COUNTY	Number	Land in farms	VALUE OF	LAND AND BU	TLDINGS	Value of imple-
STATE AND COUNT	of farms	(acres)	Total	Land alone	Buildings	ments and machinery
		·	ILLI	INOIS		<u></u>
Total	893	57, 805	\$3, 704, 618	\$2, 926, 618	\$778,000	\$126, 810
Alexander Bond Henderson Jackson Jefferson	138 5 5 22 7	6, 871 566 672 1, 658 485	377, 192 12, 495 44, 200 68, 050 12, 150	323, 572 9, 095 39, 300 49, 900 6, 500	53, 620 3, 400 4, 900 18, 150 5, 650	9, 322 525 2, 500 2, 610 512
Johnson Kankakee Lawrence Madison Marion	8 9 14 45 5	911 1,911 1,203 2,394 117	13,000 76,100 52,700 181,865 8,900	8, 600 59, 900 38, 600 91, 350 3, 540	4, 400 16, 200 14, 100 90, 515 5, 360	650 1,525 1,925 8,520 145
Massac Perry Pope Pulaski Randolph	79 9 23 306 28	4, 804 962 1, 750 14, 277 1, 944	$183,960\\38,520\\40,435\\565,586\\76,920$	$\begin{array}{r} 143,095\\24,720\\31,500\\429,761\\53,420\end{array}$	40, 865 13, 800 8, 935 135, 825 23, 500	7,065 1,602 1,265 25,890 2,215
St. Clair Saline Sangamon Vermilion	23	942 2, 857 403 456	208, 600 145, 440 76, 675 56, 600	196, 225 110, 215 49, 375 44, 345	12, 375 35, 225 27, 300 12, 255	2,232 6,822 1,220 1,475
White Williamson Other counties	12 8 83	1, 294 601 10, 727	71, 500 13, 000 1, 380, 730	61, 900 8, 575 1, 143, 130	9,600 4,425 237,600	2, 445 660 45, 685
		r	IND	DIANA		
Total	461	28, 454	\$1, 947, 915	\$1, 358, 595	\$589, 320	\$77, 162
Bartholomew Clark Daviess Dearborn Delaware	. 11	$253 \\ 767 \\ 670 \\ 470 \\ 282$	15, 910 78, 725 60, 450 32, 900 38, 200	$\begin{array}{r} 14,710\\ 50,900\\ 46,950\\ 22,750\\ 33,150\end{array}$	1,200 27,825 13,500 10,150 5,050	387 2,815 1,410 1,215 325
Floyd Gibson Grant Hamilton Harrison	14 63 20 18 10	678 5, 126 1, 141 1, 335 852	31, 800 249, 940 124, 890 112, 750 19, 100	15, 450 191, 840 66, 690 68, 500 13, 550	16,350 58,100 58,200 44,250 5,550	768 10, 565 2, 770 3, 548 1, 057
Henry Jefferson Jennings Marion Randolph		483 378 705 1, 020 S21	42, 200 16, 540 27, 200 201, 800 46, 195	29, 600 9, 040 16, 325 166, 345 26, 545	12,600 7,500 10,875 35,455 19,650	1,475 390 1,600 6,570 1,730
Rush Shelby Spencer Sullivan Vanderburg	9 7 25 10	$\begin{array}{r} 663 \\ 463 \\ 1, 120 \\ 536 \\ 377 \end{array}$	57, 620 43, 050 52, 470 21, 635 32, 950	47, 220 30, 050 40, 295 15, 060 30, 250	10, 400 13, 000 12, 175 6, 575 2, 700	2, 460 2, 670 1, 550 515 500
Vigo Warrick Wayne Other counties	33	1, 674 315 2, 288 6, 037	89, 120 15, 600 194, 615 342, 255	53, 820 7, 900 121, 415 240, 240	35, 300 7, 700 73, 200 102, 015	2,460 1,175 10,565 18,642
			I	DWA		
Total	118	10, 002	\$878, 234	\$660, 745	\$217, 489	\$46,001
Fayette Lee Monroe Page Polk Other counties	6	1, 895 1, 926 846 379 219 4, 737	117, 69086, 84584, 15050, 50055, 839483, 210	90,090 63,920 62,475 43,600 43,900 356,760	27,600 22,925 21,675 6,900 11,939 126,450	7,700 4,207 5,310 1,725 919 26,140

#### 63

TABLE 38.—FARMS OPERATED BY NEGROES—NUMBER, ACREAGE, AND VALUE OF SPECIFIED CLASSES OF FARM PROPERTY, BY STATES AND COUNTIES, 1930—Continued

1978 1 8971 1 1 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 1	Number	Land in	VALUE OF	Y LAND AND BU	TILDINGS	Value of imple-
STATE AND COUNTY	of farms	farms (acres)	Total	Land alone	Buildings	ments and machinery
			KA	NSAS		
Total	941	146, 745	\$6, 093, 760	\$5, 090, 775	\$1, 002, 985	\$287, 075
Andersen Atchison Barten Bourbon Chautauqua	5 34 13 10 10	599 3, 500 2, 491 577 701	$\begin{array}{r} 34,100\\ 247,210\\ 147,600\\ 36,400\\ 28,550\end{array}$	$\begin{array}{r} 27,600\\ 199,085\\ 131,825\\ 29,400\\ 25,650\end{array}$	6, 500 48, 125 15, 775 7, 000 2, 900	1, 570 7, 240 5, 300 560 325
Cherokee Coffey Cowley Crawford Doniphan	22 5 5 9 25	2, 074 191 364 353 2, 347	96, 615 10, 640 16, 700 11, 650 203, 550	74, 890 8, 240 13, 750 8, 090 170, 050	21, 725 1, 800 2, 950 3, 560 33, 500	5, 755 315 1, 225 305 5, 240
Douglas Finney Franklin Geary Gove	60 5 11 5 8	5, 113 2, 255 775 427 4, 059	440, S10 70, 300 59, 610 28, 000 125, 070	348, 800 56, 900 52, 110 22, 850 108, 570	92, 010 13, 400 7, 500 5, 150 16, 500	17, 500 5, 850 770 2, 425 7, 775
Graham Hodgeman Jackson Jefferson Johnson	77 11 12 25 22	$18, 198 \\ 1, 878 \\ 1, 514 \\ 3, 265 \\ 1, 403$	420, 105 53, 425 70, 200 174, 435 149, 600	366, 230 47, 375 58, 225 142, 735 129, 805	53, 875 6, 050 11, 975 31, 700 20, 095	29, 015 1, 930 2, 650 7, 055 1, 990
Labette Leavenworth Linn Logan Lyon	11 37 6 31 16	700 2,645 325 22,460 1,117	$\begin{array}{r} 34,830\\ 152,345\\ 13,900\\ 236,430\\ 84,490 \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{r} 26,840\\ 132,770\\ 11,400\\ 211,555\\ 62,140 \end{array}$	7, 990 19, 575 2, 500 24, 875 22, 350	1,430 2,810 60 13,780 5,555
Marshall. Meade. Miami. Montgomery. Morris.	9 6 7 105 14	2,075 4,960 710 6,661 890	$114, 430 \\ 148, 600 \\ 41, 800 \\ 259, 510 \\ 31, 850$	95, 530 136, 400 33, 200 198, 020 21, 800	18, 900 12, 200 8, 600 61, 490 10, 050	2, 590 19, 850 675 10, 200 1, 545
Nemaha Neosho. Osage Pratt. Reno.	7 6 11 13 8	986 1, 232 890 3, 270 572	77, 850 50, 560 36, 550 209, 600 27, 600	68, 600 41, 260 25, 600 191, 650 22, 150	9, 250 9, 300 10, 950 17, 950 5, 450	3, 480 3, 400 1, 770 16, 950 1, 210
Rooks Sedgwick Shawnee Stafford Stevens	5 10 40 8 20	1, 140 1, 073 2, 975 1, 730 7, 688	$\begin{array}{c} 27,900\\ 90,200\\ 361,430\\ 114,730\\ 143,760 \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 24,200\\ 85,230\\ 288,980\\ 100,200\\ 125,010 \end{array}$	3, 700 4, 970 72, 450 14, 530 18, 750	4, 250 2, 225 8, 605 8, 565 9, 600
Sumner Trezo. Wabaunsee. Wyandotte Other counties	5 5 41 73 70	1, 088 7, 450 5, 347 1, 976 15, 018	$\begin{array}{c} 84,500\\ 51,650\\ 271,360\\ 458,100\\ 545,515\end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 77,600\\ 46,300\\ 206,410\\ 371,320\\ 464,430 \end{array}$	6, 900 5, 350 64, 950 86, 780 81, 085	3, 500 1, 125 12, 750 9, 140 37, 215
			EENT	UCEY	1	
Total	9, 104	341, 833	\$16, 157, 593	\$11, 325, 769	\$4, 831, 824	\$605,430
Adair Allen Anderson Ballard Barren	115 53 8 131 324	5, 282 2, 021 123 5, 361 10, 897	97, 585 42, 945 9, 415 158, 600 468, 360	68, 164 27, 530 6, 645 116, 120 326, 140	29,421 15,415 2,770 42,480 142,220	3, 656 985 714 8, 133 15, 612
Bath Bell Boone Bourbon Boyle	53 5 41 171 92	1, 125 71 3, 901 2, 334 1, 623	109, 410 7, 600 203, 750 365, 795 110, 715	66, 110 2, 650 138, 225 247, 610 77, 490	43, 300 4, 950 65, 525 ₩ 118, 185 33, 225	3, 490 250 6, 540 16, 988 3, 290

# THE NEGRO FARMER 65 LABLE 38.—FARMS OPERATED BY NEGROES—NUMBER, ACREAGE; AND VALUE, OF Specified Classes of FARM PROPERTY, BY STATES AND CONTINUES, 1930— Continued

	Number	Land in	VALUE OF	VALUE OF LAND AND BUILDINGS					
STATE AND COUNTY	of farms	farms (acres)	Total	Land alone	Buildings	imple- ments and machinery			
		kentucky-continued							
Bracken. Breathitt. Breckinridge. Bullitt. Butler	7 8 47 36 28	$197 \\ 214 \\ 3,751 \\ 2,444 \\ 1,559$	\$21,000 9,000 67,950 91,538 18,925			\$735 235 1,743 1,953 896			
Caldwell Calloway Carlisle Carroll Casey	80 39 9 18 22	4, 363 2, 486 421 523 659	100, 170 55, 635 13, 850 38, 850 13, 550	72, 895 37, 985 9, 185 28, 250 10, 000	27,275 17,650 4,665 10,600 3,550	2,990 1,695 308 1,010 1,025			
Christian Clark Clay Clinton Crittenden	677 84 39 14 14	35, 485 1, 916 1, 288 601 425	$\begin{array}{r} \mathbf{1, 127, 555} \\ \mathbf{123, 405} \\ \mathbf{31, 950} \\ \mathbf{6, 615} \\ \mathbf{9, 400} \end{array}$	807, 115 77, 510 19, 955 5, 815 6, 010	320, 440 45, 895 11, 995 800 3, 390	53,033 4,835 741 260 420			
Cumberland Daviess Edmonson Fayette Fleming	98 204 30 175 41	3, 437 6, 484 1, 948 4, 950 807	$120, 500 \\ 342, 485 \\ 38, 450 \\ 1, 038, 735 \\ 70, 590 $	89, 785 240, 820 28, 645 744, 105 50, 605	30, 715 101, 665 9, 805 294, 630 19, 985	4, 170 9, 827 1, 730 32, 170 1, 918			
Floyd Franklin Fulton Gallatin Garrard	13 20 313 18 208	564 651 10, 722 708 4, 526	18,000 43,115 953,915 27,140 346,995	12, 425 32, 810 797, 095 16, 765 228, 110	$5,575 \\10,305 \\156,820 \\10,375 \\118,885$	90 1,575 29,455 140 15,113			
Grant Graves Green Greenup Hancock		52 6,087 6,473 148 344	6, 500 219, 475 185, 405 9, 400 35, 055	5, 360 162, 095 137, 660 7, 400 26, 355	1, 140 57, 380 47, 745 2, 000 8, 700	50 6,703 9,203 117 3,033			
Hardin Harlan Harrison Hart Henderson	48 7 58 190 312	2, 373 417 2, 250 5, 590 13, 052	87, 675 10, 400 164, 039 221, 605 610, 475	56, 445 8, 250 112, 389 149, 450 446, 000	31, 230 2, 150 51, 650 72, 155 164, 475	3, 365 43 8, 103 10, 955 21, 095			
Henry Hickman Hopkins Jefferson Jessamine	79 87 70 60 124	1, 650 4, 139 2, 448 1, 720 3, 167	175, 790 133, 270 115, 645 249, 900 365, 640	101, 615 101, 395 78, 695 167, 700 243, 940	74, 175 31, 875 36, 950 82, 200 121, 700	$\begin{array}{c} 4, 120 \\ 4, 700 \\ 4, 650 \\ 5, 841 \\ 14, 670 \end{array}$			
Kenton. Knott. Knox. Larue. Laurel	13 17 27 31 16	630 580 578 1, 323 217	37, 200 18, 060 36, 300 44, 465 21, 975	$\begin{array}{c} 24,600\\ 12,810\\ 23,550\\ 23,565\\ 16,485\end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 12,600\\ 5,250\\ 12,750\\ 20,900\\ 5,490\end{array}$	100 433 2,090 284			
Lee. Lewis Lincoln Livingston Logan	148 20 373	228 927 3,023 1,360 13,682	7,070 10,250 148,005 23,070 573,808	$\begin{array}{r} 4,420\\ 5,500\\ 104,819\\ 17,320\\ 375,063\end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 2,650\\ 4,750\\ 43,186\\ 5,750\\ 198,745\end{array}$	5,80 1,77 19,13			
Lyon. McCracken. McLean. Madison. Magoffin.		4, 148 5, 133 1, 211 12, 020 408	70, 827 444, 061 43, 810 797, 765 10, 200	53, 906 281, 875 35, 685 577, 550 8, 900	16,921 162,186 8,125 220,215 1,300	25,550 3,060 26,501 140			
Marion Mason Meade Mercer Metcalfe	90 85 55 53 102	3, 927 2, 770 6, 213 1, 805 3, 637	176, 250 245, 360 102, 553 127, 400 91, 375	120, 810 176, 260 69, 643 79, 600 60, 550	55,440 69,100 32,910 47,800 30,825	11,260 5,660 3,691 4,583			
Monroe Montgomery Muhlenberg Nelson Nicholas	110	1,955 1,967 3,247 4,372 693	41, 765 186, 795 63, 775 254, 205 60, 690	$\begin{array}{c} 28,215\\129,745\\41,560\\165,100\\36,390\end{array}$	13, 550 57, 050 22, 215 89, 105 24, 300	6, 140 3, 11 9, 600			

130058-33----4

TABLE 38 FARMS OPERATED BY NEGROES-NUMBER, ACRE	AGE, AND VALUE
OF SPECIFIED CLASSES OF FARM PROPERTY, BY STATES AND (	COUNTIES, 1930-
Continued	

COLUE LUE CONSTRU	Number	Land in	VALUE OF	F LAND AND BU	UILDINGS	Value of imple-
STATE AND COUNTY	of farms	farms (acres)	Total	Land alone	Buildings	ments and machinery
	KENTUCKY-continued					
Ohio	35	2, 263	\$39, 410	\$26, 560	\$12, 850	\$1, 315
Oldham	15	831	52, 200	30, 725	21, 475	2, 785
Owen	88	3, 055	141, 294	97, 474	43, 820	4, 226
Owsley	6	276	4, 650	3, 775	875	27
Pendleton	17	669	25, 840	20, 755	5, 085	625
Perry	44	$1,790 \\ 1,157 \\ 1,354 \\ 1,093 \\ 322$	55, 365	21, 415	33, 950	1, 420
Pike	6		21, 600	16, 725	4, 875	90
Powell	27		39, 350	23, 675	15, 675	1, 350
Pulaski	38		42, 075	24, 925	17, 150	1, 462
Robertson	5		18, 300	15, 000	3, 300	525
Russell	119	1, 856	24, 375	19, 330	5, 045	450
Scott		2, 276	282, 060	187, 515	94, 545	12, 612
Shelby		2, 917	255, 016	158, 536	96, 480	9, 321
Simpson		8, 325	294, 625	209, 505	85, 120	13, 025
Spencer		1, 312	84, 955	57, 880	27, 075	3, 844
Taylor	122	4, 444	153, 035	113, 390	39, 645	6, 585
Todd	369	15, 099	480, 380	368, 550	111, 830	23, 155
Trigg	270	16, 472	349, 272	214, 182	135, 090	19, 496
Trimble	6	522	22, 300	16, 800	5, 500	990
Union	42	$1,271 \\ 10,238 \\ 4,850 \\ 1,953$	52, 425	36, 980	15, 445	2, 320
Warren	308		474, 370	356, 820	117, 550	14, 867
Washington	155		261, 655	189, 130	72, 525	5, 695
Wayne	54		50, 300	35, 185	15, 115	1, 336
Webster	87	4, 226	135, 830	90, 330	45, 500	4, 947
Whitley	10	281	8, 175	5, 575	2, 600	110
Woodford	111	2, 067	232, 135	158, 900	73, 235	5, 237
Other counties	23	1, 083	25, 800	20, 340	5, 460	1, 005
		<u></u>	LOUISIAN	A (PARISH)	<u>.</u>	·
Total	78, 734	2, 313, 338	\$108, 668, 818	\$86, 432, 377	\$22, 236, 441	\$4, 870, 550
Acadia	494	14, 778	852, 951	688, 661	164, 290	51, 342
Allen	116	3, 801	130, 120	90, 395	39, 725	7, 038
Ascension	306	6, 840	348, 307	233, 822	114, 485	12, 969
Assumption	20	416	30, 055	17, 890	12, 165	729
Avoyelles	1, 840	35, 691	2, 555, 854	2, 052, 032	503, 822	74, 923
Beauregard	111	3, 870	165, 704	$125,579 \\ 1,625,550 \\ 5,628,283 \\ 10,519,859 \\ 519,425$	40, 125	7, 650
Bienville	1,858	86, 692	2, 279, 860		654, 310	135, 049
Bossier	3,874	111, 838	6, 492, 333		864, 050	202, 927
Caddo	6,154	177, 438	12, 104, 127		1, 584, 268	438, 906
Calcasieu	300	14, 796	667, 345		147, 920	39, 361
Caldwell	319	9, 471	483, 448	388, 448	95, 000	19, 136
Cameron	85	3, 220	160, 950	106, 380	54, 570	3, 958
Catahoula	914	22, 359	1, 517, 720	1, 242, 715	275, 005	58, 890
Claiborne	3, 233	185, 500	3, 793, 476	2, 882, 712	910, 764	172, 903
Concordia	1, 543	26, 992	1, 574, 111	1, 215, 211	358, 900	53, 944
De Soto East Baton Rouge East Carroll East Feliciana Evangeline	3, 752 1, 367 2, 881 1, 933 886	141, 115 34, 622 53, 369 67, 666 27, 755	$\begin{array}{c} 2,981,837\\ 2,054,075\\ 3,957,712\\ 1,322,635\\ 1,304,560 \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 2,227,624\\ 1,592,630\\ 3,119,372\\ 926,843\\ 866,790 \end{array}$	754, 213 461, 445 838, 340 395, 792 437, 770	171,26473,616229,597103,76281,011
Franklin Grant Deria berville ackson	2, 521 574 333 250 511	59, 374 12, 331 17, 393 7, 566 28, 817	$\begin{array}{r} 3, 946, 870\\ 654, 783\\ 1, 110, 131\\ 362, 788\\ 473, 061 \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} \textbf{3, 304, 010} \\ \textbf{535, 088} \\ \textbf{909, 706} \\ \textbf{264, 158} \\ \textbf{331, 243} \end{array}$	642, 860 119, 695 200, 425 98, 630 141, 818	$180, 211 \\ 25, 400 \\ 33, 013 \\ 16, 217 \\ 26, 087$
lefferson lefferson Davis Lafayette Lafourche La Salle	15 317 1, 026 17 61	199 11, 873 40, 468 779 2, 094	$\begin{array}{r} 29,080\\ 505,365\\ 3,410,150\\ 44,025\\ 67,900\end{array}$		5, 875 128, 085 392, 503 11, 305 36, 700	1, 880 33, 051 87, 256 1, 971 2, 736

STATE AND COUNTY	Number	Land in farms	VALUE OF	LAND AND BU	ILDINGS	Value of imple-
	of farms	(acres)	Total	Land alone	Buildings	ments and machinery
		:	LOUISIANA (PARIS	n)-continued		
Lincoln Livingston Madison Morehouse Natchitoches	1, 541 297 1, 795 2, 815 3, 362	83, 557 4, 202 35, 245 75, 662 92, 553	$\begin{array}{c} \$2,405,633\\ 241,015\\ 2,821,293\\ 4,235,395\\ 4,993,742 \end{array}$	\$1, 833, 210 180, 265 1, 637, 043 3, 319, 801 4, 088, 022	\$572, 423 60, 750 684, 250 915, 594 905, 720	\$97, 149 14, 590 180, 281 216, 708 208, 409
Orleans. Ouachita. Plaquemines. Pointe Coupee. Rapides.	12 1, 384 127 1, 679 1, 802	07 43, 134 4, 424 43, 371 40, 511	$18,820 \\ 2,371,191 \\ 314,725 \\ 2,236,209 \\ 3,423,726$	$11,820 \\1,955,558 \\241,780 \\1,640,952 \\2,860,046$	7, 000 415, 633 72, 945 595, 257 563, 680	800 98, 408 13, 606 117, 630 114, 561
Red River Richland Sabine. St. Bernard. St. Charles	1, 750 2, 934 507 24 48	45, 192 73, 235 21, 550 415 2, 048	2, 343, 831 4, 392, 893 362, 080 30, 900 110, 340	$\begin{array}{c} 1,896,055\\ 3,678,598\\ 263,602\\ 21,500\\ 93,905 \end{array}$	447, 776 714, 295 98, 478 9, 400 16, 435	92, 768 270, 455 22, 693 3, 207 9, 245
St. Helena St. James St. John the Baptist St. Landry St. Martin	41	29, 849 5, 627 2, 432 118, 446 31, 688	685, 220 243, 825 138, 825 7, 429, 622 2, 309, 777	381, 370 192, 745 95, 375 5, 905, 922 1, 922, 690	303, 850 51, 080 43, 450 1, 523, 700 387, 087	56, 140 5, 497 7, 730 277, 264 75, 884
St. Mary St. Tammany Tangipahoa Tensas Terrebonne	170 233 1, 167 2, 492 101	5, 916 16, 529 22, 023 44, 984 3, 971	$\begin{array}{r} 286, 267\\ 656, 465\\ 1, 355, 371\\ 2, 638, 666\\ 164, 169\end{array}$	214, 530 425, 940 865, 306 2, 031, 424 117, 609	71, 737 230, 525 490, 065 607, 242 46, 560	14, 374 28, 952 63, 163 97, 088 9, 297
Union Vermilion Vernon Washington Webster	1,082 275 93 743 1,904	57, 360 14, 223 2, 960 31, 350 83, 264	1, 146, 396 736, 510 66, 215 835, 352 2, 429, 646	864, 775 643, 845 38, 090 539, 819 1, 811, 882	281, 621 92, 665 28, 125 295, 533 617, 764	58, 219 38, 280 4, 119 59, 795 124, 827
West Baton Rouge West Carroll West Feliciana Winn.	596	5, 843 13, 807 33, 605 15, 172	317, 274 950, 780 798, 597 296, 715	228, 199 796, 752 540, 109 197, 360	89, 075 154, 028 258, 488 99, 355	17, 444 44, 140 57, 809 23, 221
			М	AINE		
Total	. 15	818	\$41, 400	\$15, 700	\$25, 700	\$6, 075
Penobscot Other counties	6 9	402 416	14, 700 26, 700	4,000 11,700	10, 700 15, 000	2, 900 3, 175
		<u>11</u>	. MAR	YLAND	1	
Total	5, 264	345, 224	\$18, 896, 282	\$11, 746, 786	\$7, 149, 496	\$945, 402
Anne Arundel Baltimore Calvert Caroline Carroll	- 63 - 437 - 317	20, 992 1, 950 34, 352 18, 042 1, 847	$\begin{array}{c} 1,502,030\\ 292,780\\ 1,237,554\\ 817,700\\ 109,910 \end{array}$	966, 474 154, 889 726, 449 471, 435 70, 585	535, 550 137, 900 511, 105 346, 265 39, 325	62, 631 13, 968 58, 512 65, 844 5, 625
Cecil. Charles Dorchostor Frederick Harford	- 34 - 555 - 327 - 76 - 98	$\begin{array}{r} 3, 115 \\ 50, 137 \\ 27, 337 \\ 4, 235 \\ 4, 188 \end{array}$	$184,765 \\1,550,785 \\1,331,175 \\246,525 \\429,000$	97, 380 916, 245 989, 335 110, 695 175, 800	87, 385 640, 540 341, 840 135, 830 253, 200	85, 074 88, 015 19, 362
Howard Kent Montgomery Prince Georges	- 68 - 141 - 195		290, 450 399, 220 1, 610, 505 2, 873, 359	108, 225 250, 745 1, 248, 930 1, 799, 889	367,575	18, 519

#### CENSUS OF AGRICULTURE

STATE AND COUNTY	Number	Land in farms	VALUE OF	LAND AND BU	UILDINGS	Value of imple-	
STALL AND COUNTY	of farms	(acres)	Total	Land alone	Buildings	ments and machinery	
	MARYLAND—continued						
Queen Annes St. Marys Somerset Talbot	313 305 458 196	19, 491 22, 245 12, 973 13, 096	\$946, 780 993, 899 889, 390 1, 146, 045	\$529, 235 575, 914 458, 510 752, 995	\$417, 545 417, 985 430, 880 393, 050	\$63, 809 46, 192 67, 063 64, 450	
Washington Wicomico Worcester Other counties	20 268 441 2	1, 277 11, 503 30, 822 800	85, 600 770, 666 1, 161, 935 8, 200	43, 525 508, 891 784, 040 6, 600	$\begin{array}{r} 42,075\\261,775\\377,895\\1,600\end{array}$	2, 495 42, 997 60, 708 250	
		in de la complete de	MASSAC	HUSETTS			
Total	63	2, 063	\$376, 595	\$201, 400	\$175, 195	\$19, 320	
Barnstable Bristol Hampden Middlesex Plymouth Other counties	17 15 5 7 14	183 366 262 167 392 693	$\begin{array}{c} 72,370\\ 53,700\\ 15,625\\ 43,800\\ 126,900\\ 64,200 \end{array}$	26, 950 25, 700 8, 875 15, 800 105, 700 18, 375	$\begin{array}{r} 45, 420\\ 28, 000\\ 6, 750\\ 28, 000\\ 21, 200\\ 45, 825\end{array}$	530 2,440 400 1,050 8,625 6,275	
	MICHIGAN						
Total	427	30, 959	\$1, 874, 378	\$1, 045, 563	\$828, 815	\$137, 755	
Allegan. Berrien Calhoun Cass. Eaton.	16 27 7 105 5	941 716 392 9, 321 231	48,000 106,800 28,000 471,800 13,700	22, 200 50, 375 12, 170 265, 700 5, 950	25,800 56,425 15,830 206,100 7,750	6, 490 7, 075 830 35, 330 1, 225	
Genesee Gratiot Isabella Kalamazoo Kent	5 8 15 9 8	181 795 1,654 328 507	$\begin{array}{c} 15,900\\ 55,800\\ 47,500\\ 33,600\\ 40,300 \end{array}$	7, 600 36, 400 27, 800 9, 400 20, 100	8, 300 19, 400 19, 700 24, 200 20, 200	1, 200 2, 850 4, 427 2, 945 1, 500	
Lake Manistee Mecosta Midland Monroe	13 5 32 11 8	925 274 2, 628 833 510	$\begin{array}{r} 24,348\\8,100\\104,500\\21,850\\48,800\end{array}$	16, 148 4, 500 65, 670 13, 150 27, 900	8, 200 3, 600 38, 830 8, 700 20, 900	2, 930 450 7, 355 1, 450 3, 050	
Montcalm St. Clair. Van Buren. Washtenaw. Wayne. Other counties	8 55 22 5 58	666 430 3, 270 1, 405 269 4, 683	$\begin{array}{c} 28,300\\ 27,850\\ 295,530\\ 203,000\\ 45,000\\ 205,700 \end{array}$	14,900 16,250 164,530 120,300 28,800 115,720	$\begin{array}{c} 13,400\\ 11,600\\ 131,000\\ 82,700\\ 16,200\\ 89,980 \end{array}$	2, 050 960 22, 483 8, 320 3, 350 21, 485	
			MINN	ESOTA			
Total	27	2, 692	\$187, 325	\$107, 625	\$79, 700	\$18, 965	
Hennepin Other counties	5 22	65 2, 627	45, 100 142, 225	26, 600 81, 025	18, 500 61, 200	8, 590 10, 375	
8	1		MISSI	ISSIPPI			
Total	182, 578	6, 062, 490	\$249, 305, 432	\$191, 685, 807	\$57, 619, 625	\$11, 100, 211	
Adams. Alcorn Amite. Attala Benton	1, 476 427 1, 888 1, 952 1, 053	41, 702 16, 306 92, 491 93, 640 65, 252	1, 509, 799 518, 565 1, 471, 677 1, 672, 852 900, 965	982, 440 376, 680 930, 677 1, 196, 902 634, 550	527, 359 141, 885 541, 000 475, 950 266, 415	70, 281 26, 064 120, 375 124, 915 75, 563	

STATE AND COUNTY	Number	Land in farms	VALUE OF	LAND AND BUI	LDINGS	Value of imple-
SIATE AND OUCHTI	of farms	(acres)	Total	Land alone	Buildings	ments and machinery
			MISSISSIPPI	-continued		
olivar alboun arroll blicksaw bloctaw	13, 236 651 2, 652 1, 751 567	262, 850 24, 253 109, 287 82, 282 35, 344	\$25, 134, 819 598, 764 1, 998, 118 1, 754, 970 359, 707	20, 479, 564 424, 512 1, 468, 900 1, 265, 742 239, 539	\$4, 655, 255 174, 252 529, 218 489, 228 120, 168	\$500, 23 71, 11 170, 74 159, 44 30, 85
laiborne larke lay ophoma opiah	1, 643 898 2, 006 8, 440 2, 521	78, 563 42, 395 72, 179 170, 885 88, 654	1, 478, 178 649, 979 1, 846, 210 18, 029, 568 1, 914, 999	948, 648 425, 014 1, 340, 043 14, 716, 657 1, 204, 005	529, 530 224, 965 506, 167 3, 312, 911 710, 994	171, 14 39, 30 110, 88 209, 84 127, 91
ovington De Soto Orrest Tranklin Heorge		37, 967 155, 850 10, 420 27, 598 4, 546	873, 167 6, 080, 320 308, 641 466, 578 86, 275	634, 642 4, 655, 908 209, 370 292, 273 55, 585	$\begin{array}{c} 238,525\\ {\rm I},424,412\\ 99,271\\ 174,305\\ 30,690\end{array}$	11
łreene łrenada łancock łarrison linds		6, 781   80, 694 3, 602 1, 630 181, 797	$\begin{array}{c} 112, 618\\ 1, 309, 845\\ 102, 125\\ 94, 225\\ 6, 783, 114 \end{array}$	58, 248 917, 424 73, 000 68, 080 4, 914, 146	$54, 370 \\ 392, 421 \\ 29, 125 \\ 26, 145 \\ 1, 868, 968 \\$	6,8
Iolmes Iumphreys ssaquena tawamba ackson		197, 793 91, 813 27, 259 8, 908 2, 210	6, 717, 183 7, 993, 998 1, 585, 829 161, 770 54, 200	4, 973, 813 6, 525, 609 1, 086, 784 128, 865 31, 090	1, 743, 370 1, 468, 389 499, 045 32, 905 23, 110	334, 9 104, 2 10, 0 3, 7
fasper fefferson lefferson Davis ones Kemper	1,418 2,068	79, 825 86, 359 81, 925 26, 468 115, 666	$\begin{array}{c} 1,065,723\\ 1,581,853\\ 1,997,567\\ 689,820\\ 1,786,594 \end{array}$	$749,400 \\1,037,450 \\1,473,612 \\462,725 \\1,216,964$	316, 323 544, 403 523, 955 227, 095 569, 630	176,2 94, 37,
Lafayette Lamar Lauderdale Lawrence Leake	1, 510	88, 576 4, 019 81, 179 42, 774 65, 232	1, 301, 023 99, 655 1, 694, 398 791, 008 1, 335, 622	924,018 66,085 1,160,786 557,122 962,797	377, 003 33, 570 533, 612 233, 856 372, 824	11 5.1
Lee Leflore Lincoln Lowndes Madison		54, 864 197, 740 50, 178 90, 764 196, 853	2, 821, 340 18, 398, 663 741, 180 2, 683, 049 6, 782, 988	14, 990, 257 483, 327	568, 314 3, 408, 409 257, 85 727, 199 1, 867, 99	5 656, 3 31,
Marion Marshall Monroe Montgomery Neshoba	985 3, 704 2, 842 1, 073	38, 197 233, 802 109, 563 53, 073 32, 979	864, 285 3, 714, 479 3, 804, 340 1, 190, 338 847, 574	2, 654, 016 2, 970, 974 884, 972	833, 30 305, 36	3 236, 6 242,
Newton Noxnbee Oktibbeha Panola Pearl River	1,323	63, 487 122, 611 87, 479 144, 301 4, 731	1, 427, 310 3, 386, 762 1, 797, 460 3, 597, 786 159, 475	1, 066, 896 2, 375, 432 1, 161, 855 2, 579, 658 90, 405	1, 011, 33 635, 60 1, 018, 12	0 258, 5 147, 8 280, 0 7,
Petry Pike Pontotoe Quitman		17, 330 85, 322 33, 388 14, 023	311, 627 1, 763, 714 879, 642 565, 163 7, 502, 70	1, 190, 418	573, 29 211, 98 106, 92 1, 361, 58	6 93, 0 55, 5 32, 8 162,
Rankin Scott		49, 093 52, 557 49, 947	941, 65 4, 692, 86 1, 079, 85	7 752, 538	975,11 3 327,31	$   \begin{array}{ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$

#### CENSUS OF AGRICULTURE

		Land in	VALUE OF	'LAND AND BU	VILDINGS	Value of
STATE AND COUNTY	Number of farms	farms (acres)	Total	Land alone	Buildings	imple- ments and machinery
<b>*</b>		·	MISSISSIPP	I-continued		
Stone	127	5, 421	\$122, 375	\$83, 622	\$38, 753	\$6, 399
Sunflower	11, 644	243, 010	24, 226, 094	20, 251, 897	3, 974, 197	925, 693
Tallahatchie	5, 523	129, 626	9, 888, 853	7, 900, 567	1, 988, 286	170, 624
Tate	2, 687	98, 427	2, 293, 151	1, 600, 246	692, 905	158, 521
Tippah	526	24, 150	498, 110	357, 105	141, 005	32, 317
Tishomingo	128	6, 954	122, 175	93, 885	28, 290	6, 880
Tunica	4, 942	106, 259	9, 213, 651	7, 267, 067	1, 946, 584	116, 605
Union	677	26, 405	949, 015	714, 512	234, 503	53, 036
Walthall	1, 188	48, 959	1, 157, 160	860, 242	296, 918	70, 415
Warren	1,642	52, 881	$1,544,430 \\12,929,156 \\551,728 \\322,810$	1, 085, 787	458, 643	121, 322
Washington	7,652	148, 225		10, 133, 603	2, 795, 553	498, 799
Wayne	593	30, 236		367, 893	183, 835	32, 556
Webster	412	21, 728		230, 355	92, 455	26, 289
Wilkinson	1, 578	55, 328	883, 448	551, 544	331, 904	75, 798
Winston	1, 319	66, 263	1, 009, 151	641, 703	367, 448	86, 429
Yalobusha	1, 420	77, 762	1, 169, 570	838, 315	331, 255	92, 054
Yazoo	5, 388	150, 184	5, 541, 830	3, 941, 596	1, 600, 234	323, 929
	1		MISS	OURI		<u>L</u>
Total	5, 844	255, 623	814, 498, 297	\$11, 769, 862	\$2, 728, 435	\$493, 459
Audrain	25	2, 101	81, 340	51, 090	30, 250	4, 345
Benton	14	836	29, 900	24, 000	5, 900	1, 530
Boone	71	5, 717	288, 700	214, 815	73, 885	7, 475
Butler	97	5, 094	140, 500	105, 010	35, 490	7, 626
Callaway	182	13, 510	326, 981	215, 981	111, 000	15, 598
Cape Girardeau	40	2, 945	100, 810	69, 890	30, 920	4, 245
Carroll	18	2, 304	153, 850	141, 900	11, 950	6, 205
Cass	5	73	12, 200	7, 950	4, 250	257
Chariton	74	5, 986	282, 605	215, 280	67, 325	21, 645
Clay	6	174	25, 100	13, 700	11, 400	60
Clinton	18	886	49, 980	38, 780	$\begin{array}{c} 11,200\\ 11,870\\ 87,960\\ 4,650\\ 5,300 \end{array}$	1, 870
Cole	11	1,035	75, 940	64, 070		1, 032
Cooper	105	7,302	379, 900	291, 940		11, 529
Dade	9	362	14, 850	10, 200		690
De Kalb	5	295	15, 325	10, 025		740
Dunklin	46	1, 336	69, 880	53, 745	16, 135	2, 500
Franklin	42	3, 180	129, 930	99, 035	30, 895	3, 795
Gasconade	6	1, 002	20, 500	10, 893	9, 607	1, 830
Greene	46	1, 905	219, 100	154, 275	64, 825	6, 312
Henry	15	1, 520	59, 230	49, 905	9, 325	2, 185
Howard	71	7, 199	317, 130	228, 210	88, 920	9, 475
Howell	5	440	8, 700	6, 350	2, 350	315
Iron	5	233	10, 450	5, 550	4, 900	855
Jackson	15	761	184, 800	152, 760	32, 040	8, 945
Jefferson	7	660	32, 000	22, 950	9, 050	990
Johnson	46	3, 237	140, 860	114, 945	25, 915	4, 735
Laclede	7	927	19, 400	13, 900	5, 500	580
Lafayette	38	2, 580	196, 865	166, 005	30, 860	4, 170
Lawrence	7	749	32, 190	26, 190	6, 000	1, 850
Lewis	14	2, 585	119, 440	90, 899	28, 541	6, 172
Lincoln	57	4, 179	155, 495	119, 800	35, 695	6, 502
Linn	5	356	20, 860	17, 060	3, 800	550
Livingston	8	449	30, 970	17, 670	13, 300	465
Macon	15	855	32, 255	22, 362	9, 893	870
Marion	30	2, 449	117, 534	94, 184	23, 350	3, 780
Miller	$10 \\ 651 \\ 6 \\ 27 \\ 33$	670	14, 640	10, 840	3, 800	277
Mississippi		19, 286	1, 499, 865	1, 296, 525	203, 340	50, 877
Moniteau		1, 175	35, 000	26, 750	8, 250	3, 000
Monroe		2, 614	98, 850	64, 100	34, 750	3, 630
Montgomery		3, 282	93, 900	65, 610	28, 290	4, 945

TABLE 38.—FARMS OPERATED BY NEGROES—NUMBER, ACREAGE, AND VALUE OF SPECIFIED CLASSES OF FARM PROPERTY, BY STATES AND COUNTIES, 1930— Continued

	Number	Land in	VALUE OF	LAND AND BU	ILDINGS	Value of
STATE AND COUNTY	of farms	farms (acres)	Total	Land alone	Buildings	imple- ments and machinery
			MISSOURI-	-continued		13
Morgan New Madrid Newton Pemiscot Perry	13 998 17 1, 891 7	$1,057 \\30,283 \\1,034 \\43,026 \\356$	\$38,740 1,727,722 47,820 3,357,153 10,945	\$29, 330 1, 483, 022 39, 370 2, 828, 611 7, 145	\$9,410 244,700 8,450 528,542 3,800	\$1,765 60,165 1,890 97,490 300
Pettis Pike Platte Ralls Randolph	61 151 6 29 54	$\begin{array}{r} 3, 126 \\ 11, 217 \\ 725 \\ 2, 644 \\ 3, 297 \end{array}$	218, 365 387, 169 48, 150 116, 390 145, 930	$157, 215 \\ 271, 637 \\ 40, 850 \\ 93, 265 \\ 102, 105$	61, 150 115, 532 7, 300 23, 125 43, 825	6, 430 15, 097 4, 310 4, 035 3, 840
Ray St. Charles St. Clair St. Louis Ste. Genevieve	11 44 6 21 9	1, 068 2, 244 328 766 785	60, 200 117, 040 7, 300 337, 900 26, 400	51, 140 87, 055 4, 850 297, 050 15, 025	9,060 29,985 2,450 40,850 11,375	1, 320 3, 598 355 3, 626 1, 755
Saline Scott Shelby Stoddard	96 150 21 268	8, 836 6, 738 1, 665 14, 819	675, 990 348, 990 55, 635 860, 133	581, 550 286, 245 40, 635 739, 688	94, 440 62, 745 15, 000 120, 445	$26,414 \\10,747 \\1,900 \\21,216$
Warren Washington Wright Other counties	15 7 37 40	1,4284253,8743,633	26, 940 7, 850 77, 350 160, 360	15, 565 4, 925 61, 225 127, 215	11, 375 2, 925 16, 125 33, 145	1, 525 300 4, 745 6, 154
			MOR	NTANA		
Total	21	9, 804	8115, 084	\$96, 699	\$18, 385	\$15, 590
			NEB	BASKA		
Total	38	9, 216	\$308, 080	\$241, 190	\$66, 890	828, 434
Box Butte Cherry Other counties	. 7 5 26	3, 241 2, 022 3, 953	139, 500 17, 670 150, 910	110, 150 13, 420 117, 620	29, 350 4, 250 33, 290	17, 575 2, 200 8, 659
			NE	VADA		
Total	3	860	<b>844, 000</b>	\$36, 500	\$7, 500	81, 250
			NEW H	AMPSHIEE		
Total	8	814	\$18,000	\$5, 950	\$12, 050	\$2, 050
		<u>.</u>	NEW	JERSEY		
Total	372	19, 298	\$2, 070, 400	\$1, 142, 690	\$927, 710	\$173, 223
Atlantic Burlington Camden Cape May Cumberland		497 1,021 252 437 7,167	168, 800 153, 900 101, 800 39, 200 442, 400	85, 750 81, 800 67, 400 25, 800 232, 900	\$3, 050 72, 100 34, 400 13, 400 209, 500	8, 640 15, 300 3, 675 1, 450 46, 555
Gloucester Mercer Monmouth Salem Somerset Other counties	- 74 6	2, 521 926 509 4, 794 410 764	279, 500 137, 200 114, 400 268, 100 193, 000 172, 100	160, 750 99, 700 62, 390 129, 300 113, 500 83, 400	118, 750 37, 500 52, 010 138, 800 79, 500 88, 700	32, 835 6, 200 12, 700 31, 995 5, 500 8, 373

STATE AND COUNTY	Number	Land in farms	VALUE OF	LAND AND BU	ILDINGS	Value of imple-	
STATE AND COUNT	of farms	(acres)	Total	Land alone	Buildings	ments and machinery	
			NEW	MEXICO			
Total	82	19, 883	\$400, 956	\$365, 146	\$35, 810	\$18, 187	
Dona Ana Eddy Other counties	61 8 13	15, 714 244 3, 925	332, 226 41, 000 27, 730	304, 516 38, 550 22, 080	27, 710 2, 450 5, 650	13, 417 1, 985 2, 785	
			NEW	YORK			
Total	148	14, 894	\$875, 575	\$399, 675	\$475, 900	\$95, 725	
Cayuga Chenango Delaware Dutchess Livingston	5 5 20 8	524 599 969 2, 841 933	24, 300 22, 100 28, 400 180, 580 45, 120	6, 000 6, 100 11, 500 80, 280 23, 720	18, 300 16, 000 16, 900 100, 300 21, 400	3, 300 5, 475 2, 100 20, 000 10, 700	
Orange Oswego Suffolk Tompkins Other counties	5 5 6 6 78	448 214 674 120 486 7, 086	24, 800 6, 775 10, 200 88, 500 19, 400 425, 400	14,000 2,575 3,700 71,900 9,100 170,800	$\begin{array}{c} 10,800\\ 4,200\\ 6,500\\ 16,600\\ 10,300\\ 254,600 \end{array}$	1, 910 1, 000 805 2, 700 3, 200 44, 535	
		NOETH CAEOLINA					
Total	74, 636	3, 296, 445	<b>\$153, 882, 635</b>	\$112, 647, 194	\$41, 235, 441	\$5, 155, 245	
A lamance. A lexander A lleghany Anson Ashe	681 92 43 1,635 84	36, 272 3, 927 1, 467 95, 441 2, 830	$\begin{array}{r} 1, 194, 238 \\ 132, 005 \\ 64, 280 \\ 2, 523, 532 \\ 95, 214 \end{array}$	803, 368 89, 800 44, 355 1, 782, 992 69, 764	390, 870 42, 205 19, 925 740, 540 25, 450	50, 344 4, 979 2, 242 83, 332 2, 675	
Avery Beaufort Bertie Bladen Brunswick	18 865 1, 914 1, 070 404	434 22, 568 110, 552 37, 652 19, 072	33, 850 1, 335, 891 4, 572, 460 1, 134, 455 385, 975	22, 850 1, 005, 256 3, 298, 389 736, 243 246, 340	11,000330,6351,274,071398,212139,635	615 42, 313 157, 506 55, 203 13, 672	
Buncombe Burke Cabarrus Caldwell Camden	90 176 609 105 231	3, 049 6, 139 32, 805 3, 421 13, 122	216, 400 219, 908 1, 484, 668 108, 310 791, 150	156, 300 144, 303 1, 133, 353 68, 385 592, 525	$\begin{array}{c} 60,100\ 75,605\ 351,315\ 39,925\ 198,625 \end{array}$	3, 912 6, 022 56, 786 2, 621 33, 715	
Carteret Caswell Catawba Chatham Cherokee	41 1, 265 304 937 9	2, 085 85, 461 12, 318 59, 369 110	71, 714 2, 293, 312 498, 723 1, 123, 331 3, 750	56, 664 1, 644, 812 357, 095 713, 026 2, 000	$\begin{array}{c} 15,050\\ 648,500\\ 141,628\\ 410,305\\ 1,750\end{array}$	2, 190 86, 072 15, 736 42, 227 30	
Chowan Clay Cleveland Columbus Craven	411 11 1, 389 966 715	13, 572 493 48, 381 32, 138 28, 129	851, 237 15, 200 3, 620, 761 1, 387, 890 1, 327, 195	596, 082 11, 575 3, 016, 190 970, 635 974, 385	255, 1553, 625604, 571417, 255352, 810	23, 222 625 88, 897 45, 503 43, 387	
Cumberland Currituck Davidson Davie Duplin	1, 180 173 190 260 1, 509	42, 659 11, 396 8, 533 13, 469 45, 160	$\begin{array}{r} 1,952,144\\ 489,750\\ 364,322\\ 546,819\\ 2,250,145 \end{array}$	1, 365, 244 363, 510 265, 272 404, 844 1, 502, 996	586, 900 126, 240 99, 050 141, 975 747, 149	70, 672 15, 174 9, 023 17, 240 66, 033	
Durham Edgecombe Forsyth Franklin Gaston	523 2, 282 314 1, 616 693	29, 957 108, 768 11, 864 75, 402 28, 201	1, 126, 508 5, 541, 853 989, 818 2, 581, 810 1, 931, 338	801, 393 4, 047, 402 797, 654 1, 774, 310 1, 498, 958	905 115	30, 879 251, 543 28, 821 115, 220 53, 525	

	Number	Land in farms	VALUE OF	LAND AND BUI	LDINGS	Value of imple-
STATE AND COUNTY	of farms	(acres)	Total	Land alone	Buildings	ments and machinery
			NORTH CAROL	INA-continued		
Gates Granville Greene Guilford Halifax	538 1, 575 1, 473 554 3, 305	27, 215 91, 393 42, 193 24, 984 181, 827	\$950, 737 2, 663, 593 3, 544, 346 1, 408, 282 7, 006, 530	\$663, 027 1, 775, 848 2, 657, 088 1, 013, 792 5, 117, 396	\$287, 710 887, 745 887, 258 394, 490 1, 889, 134	
Harnett. Haywood Henderson Hertford Hoke	910 9 59 1, 205 1, 145	32, 730 344 2, 020 75, 393 46, 087	1, 848, 032 20, 700 116, 661 3, 192, 490 3, 078, 680	1, 337, 312 14, 218 87, 711 2, 436, 220 2, 432, 255	510, 720 6, 482 28, 950 756, 270 646, 425	$\begin{array}{c} 65,930\ 1,450\ 4,114\ 93,706\ 77,547\end{array}$
Hyde Iredell Jackson Johnston Jones	336 762 52 1,252 610	$\begin{array}{c} 10,989\\ 34,203\\ 1,530\\ 51,101\\ 29,296 \end{array}$	574, 953 1, 417, 050 66, 460 2, 938, 317 1, 076, 105	$\begin{array}{r} 484,319\\ 1,086,322\\ 44,905\\ 2,162,488\\ 763,620\end{array}$	90, 639 330, 728 21, 555 775, 829 312, 485	20, 580 40, 679 1, 307 101, 285 46, 311
Lee Lenoir Lincoln M cDowell Macon	450 1, 559 399 63	$18,458 \\ 56,158 \\ 14,322 \\ 3,344 \\ 1,334$	702, 935 4, 117, 110 698, 088 84, 270 41, 550	488, 225 3, 083, 195 550, 768 57, 385 30, 050	214, 710 -1, 033, 915 147, 320 26, 885 11, 500	28, 011 124, 166 17, 537 2, 960 1, 046
Madison Martin Mecklenburg Mitchell Montgomery	22 1, 043 1, 360 6	239 46, 057 61, 657 185 15, 247	16, 500 2, 289, 920 4, 482, 941 4, 250 386, 366	11, 225 1, 697, 265 3, 825, 819 3, 130 272, 039	5, 275 592, 655 657, 122 1, 120 114, 327	235 65, 675 67, 785 84 12, 450
Moore Nash New Hanover Northampton Onslow	433 2, 575 48 2, 442	21, 566 96, 797 1, 933 110, 452 20, 976	729, 804 5, 746, 500 138, 825 5, 642, 535 813, 631	477, 689 4, 153, 515 109, 040 4, 369, 398 589, 651	252, 115 1, 592, 985 29, 785 1, 273, 137 223, 980	24, 636 199, 274 5, 650 222, 250 24, 464
Orange Pamlico Pasquotank Pender Perquimans	491 203 427	33, 790 6, 534 16, 732 24, 086 22, 601	782, 220 285, 983 1, 125, 800 865, 579 1, 078, 805	498, 899 225, 453 909, 860 494, 105 788, 160	283, 321 60, 535 215, 940 371, 474 290, 645	38, 367 5, 842 29, 526 41, 872 32, 011
Person Pitt Polk Randolph Richmond	1, 173 2, 985 137 324	60, 688 92, 120 8, 615 17, 695 50, 076	1, 751, 626 7, 383, 278 318, 096 446, 675 1, 593, 843	$\begin{array}{c} 1,174,554\\ 5,181,388\\ 251,191\\ 269,040\\ 1,130,243 \end{array}$	$577,072 \\ 2,201,890 \\ 66,905 \\ 177,635 \\ 463,600$	59, 317 290, 221 5, 823 23, 121 44, 621
Robeson Rockingham Rowan Rutherford Sampson	- 834 - 614 - 492	92, 954 44, 251 27, 819 25, 418 70, 233	$\begin{array}{c} 5,576,296\\ 1,462,317\\ 1,455,850\\ 1,049,377\\ 2,832,626\end{array}$	4, 211, 756 1, 069, 587 1, 069, 030 759, 309 2, 010, 579	1, 364, 540 392, 730 386, 820 290, 068 822, 047	145, 954 43, 520 48, 578 36, 893 81, 947
Scotland Stanly Stokes Surry Swain	1 011	54, 185 8, 359 14, 684 8, 247 664	3, 410, 910 245, 400 498, 312 401, 288 11, 900	$\begin{array}{c} 2,753,235\\182,575\\345,657\\286,023\\8,650\end{array}$	657, 675 62, 825 152, 655 115, 265 3, 250	64, 109 5, 623 16, 102 10, 463 300
Tyrrell Union Vance Wake Warren	97 1, 178 1, 153 2, 244	4, 335 49, 888 56, 559 98, 638 90, 973	160, 951 1, 865, 417 2, 102, 099 4, 517, 850 2, 560, 040	122, 841 1, 319, 489 1, 428, 819 2, 987, 160	$\begin{array}{r} 38,110\\ 545,928\\ 673,280\\ 1,530,690\\ 865,037\end{array}$	4, 894 45, 987 95, 549 137, 650 147, 697
Washington Watauga Wayne Wilkes	296 12	11, 484 620 66, 248 9, 712	5, 268, 914	4, 025, 414 241, 751	140, 370 3, 625 1, 243, 500 111, 955	128, 492 11, 592
Wilson Yadkin Yancey. Other counties	1,725 114 16	328	167, 67	5    124,715	$1, 170, 707 \\ 42, 960 \\ 4, 325 \\ 3, 125$	7,712

#### CENSUS OF AGRICULTURE

TABLE 38.—FARMS OPERATED BY NEGROES—NUMBER, ACREAGE, AND VALUE OF SPECIFIED CLASSES OF FARM PROPERTY, BY STATES AND COUNTIES, 1930— Continued

		Land in	VALUE OF	LAND AND BU	ILDINGS	Value of	
STATE AND COUNTY	Number of farms	farms (acres)	Total	Land alone	Buildings	imple- ments and machinery	
	NORTH DAKOTA						
Total	10	2, 565	\$62, 700	\$47, 300	\$15, 400	\$7, 875.	
			0	HIO			
Total	1, 229	92, 083	\$5, 434, 735	\$3, 571, 445	\$1, 863, 290	\$217, 013	
Adams	6	552	$18,700 \\ 27,900 \\ 26,100 \\ 57,100 \\ 75,700$	12, 700	6,000	935	
Allen	5	257		19, 600	8;300	470	
Ashtabula	7	155		9, 900	16,200	1, 225	
Athens	25	1, 860		28, 430	28,670	2, 333	
Belmont	27	1, 360		43, 100	32,600	2, 963	
Brown	68	$\begin{array}{c} 3,206\\ 1,584\\ 4,714\\ 2,486\\ 2,427\end{array}$	162, 030	96, 220	65, 810	4, 780 <sup>,</sup>	
Butler	10		195, 600	123, 250	72, 350	5, 270 <sup>,</sup>	
Champaign	40		296, 860	195, 460	101, 400	11, 670 <sup>,</sup>	
Clark	35		198, 670	131, 745	66, 925	4, 205	
Clermont	45		177, 580	102, 830	74, 750	3, 600 <sup>,</sup>	
Clinton	18	1, 418	81, 080	45, 680	35, 400	3, 665	
Columbiana	10	697	36, 610	16, 960	19, 650	805-	
Darke	34	2, 347	138, 860	82, 560	56, 300	7, 945-	
Delaware	12	1, 124	82, 650	50, 850	31, 800	1, 260ª	
Fayette	7	1, 053	63, 150	48, 400	14, 750	1, 840*	
Franklin	27	1, 090	285, 340	214, 860	70, 480	6, 5401	
Fulton	5	101	22, 300	8, 500	13, 800	9004	
Gallia	84	4, 427	103, 220	62, 095	41, 125	6, 620	
Greene	58	3, 495	357, 235	210, 015	147, 220	23, 305	
Guernsey	. 6	466	20, 000	15, 450	4, 550	775	
Hamilton	8	319	53, 190	41, 890	11, 300	1, 642	
Hardin	11	941	56, 100	35, 525	20, 575	1, 760	
Harrison	18	1, 384	37, 195	19, 495	17, 700	1, 560	
Highland	17	1, 188	61, 400	39, 875	21, 525	3, 160	
Hocking	5	747	27, 150	23, 300	3, 850	1, 270	
Jackson	36	2, 745	86, 775	53, 525	33, 250	5, 210	
Jefferson	19	1, 580	67, 800	44, 075	23, 725	1, 890	
Lawrence	33	1, 228	85, 800	55, 200	30, 600	2, 370	
Logan	21	1, 304	81, 950	43, 625	38, 325	2, 380	
Lorain	9	203	33, 050	14, 850	18, 200	950	
Madison	30	4, 569	248, 300	199, 560	48, 740	7, 785	
Mahoning	5	359	34, 850	17, 700	17, 150	2, 740	
Meigs	29	749	38, 090	21, 930	16, 160	1, 540	
Mercer	5	312	21, 100	16, 400	4, 700	1, 100	
Miami	9	395	32, 560	18, 910	13, 650	2, 385	
Monroe	5	187	6, 120	4, 970	1, 150	0	
Montgomery	8	339	45, 000	24, 300	20, 700	1, 290	
Morgan	32	1, 989	50, 975	30, 845	20, 130	1, 735	
Muskingum	14	889	63, 700	52, 500	11, 200	775	
Paulding	26	3, 270	225, 450	159, 050	66, 400	12, 300	
Pickaway	16	3, 065	192, 360	156, 660	35, 700	8, 330	
Pike	49	5, 021	144, 400	116, 735	27, 665	7, 060	
Preble	8	736	41, 410	26, 210	15, 200	1, 945	
Ross	60	5, 767	320, 530	255, 655	64, 875	14, 220	
Shelby	6	412	19, 100	13, 900	5, 200	650	
Stark	7	256	34, 500	22, 200	12, 300	1,900	
Union	6	420	29, 180	12, 980	16, 200	1,450	
Van Wert	5	325	25, 400	15, 100	10, 300	550	
Vinton	8	375	7, 200	4, 700	2, 500	175	
Warren	28	2, 137	151, 480	100, 380	51, 100	6, 335	
Washington	100	9, 396	246, 515	154, 675	91, 840	13, 705	
Other counties	67	4, 657	439, 420	256, 120	183, 300	15, 665	

3

			VALUE OF	LAND AND BU	TLDINGS	Value of
STATE AND COUNTY	Number of farms	Land in farms (acres)	Total	Land alone	Buildings	imple- ments and machinery
			OKLA	HOMA		<u>II</u>
Total	15, 172	1, 061, 341	\$37, 967, 113	\$32, 279, 927	\$5, 687, 186	\$1, 595, 879
Atoka Beckham Blaine Bryan Caddo	$     117 \\     47 \\     232 \\     182 \\     158     $	7, 685 4, 164 23, 036 12, 171 11, 767	163, 530 180, 305 842, 380 315, 695 476, 692	$\begin{array}{c} 125, 320 \\ 166, 285 \\ 738, 215 \\ 269, 430 \\ 429, 942 \end{array}$	38, 210 14, 020 104, 165 46, 265 46, 750	8, 833 10, 635 60, 700 14, 211 17, 154
Canadian Carter Cherokee Choctaw Cleveland	71 230 89 800 25	$\begin{array}{r} 4,565\\ 16,547\\ 5,744\\ 41,266\\ 4,997 \end{array}$	242, 500 399, 163 122, 335 1, 106, 544 65, 710	217, 925 319, 360 96, 395 929, 048 58, 910	24, 575 79, 803 25, 940 177, 496 6, 800	8, 555 23, 565 3, 967 53, 384 2, 490
Coal Comanche Cotton Craig Creek	64 43 44 60 773	7, 085 4, 227 3, 309 6, 273 63, 971	121, 305 105, 312 108, 390 133, 430 1, 849, 270	93, 755 90, 890 95, 625 111, 715 1, 578, 335	27, 550 14, 422 12, 765 21, 715 270, 935	7, 590 6, 015 6, 995 3, 948 82, 306
Custer Dewey Garfield Garvin Grady	31 5 20 157 77	2, 480 685 2, 375 12, 252 4, 598	$104,575 \\ 16,300 \\ 108,750 \\ 326,570 \\ 264,325$	93, 685 14, 100 95, 625 276, 310 242, 565	10, 890 2, 200 13, 125 50, 260 21, 760	7, 550 500 5, 685 11, 913 14, <b>42</b> 5
Greer Harmon Haskell Hughes Jackson	65	4, 194 731 1, 812 15, 861 2, 033	212, 980 33, 740 36, 050 620, 785 126, 050	191, 739 30, 050 28, 000 540, 580 117, 650	21, 241 3, 690 8, 050 80, 205 8, 400	$11, 120 \\ 1, 235 \\ 1, 380 \\ 24, 257 \\ 6, 555$
Jefferson Johnston Kingfisher Kiowa Latimer	7 53 293	660 3, 493 31, 183 9, 031 913	22, 400 62, 625 1, 128, 700 516, 600 19, 650	20, 300 50, 275 961, 855 484, 400 13, 615	2, 100 12, 350 166, 845 32, 200 6, 035	425 3, 045 59, 635 30, 015 2, 155
Le Flore Lincoln Logan Love McClain	158	7, 686 42, 386 86, 141 5, 678 4, 158	286, 522 1, 399, 940 3, 365, 642 117, 890 186, 492	228, 575 1, 193, 710 2, 838, 017 96, 580 151, 232	57, 947 206, 230 527, 625 21, 310 35, 260	8, 315 51, 440 110, 454 6, 512 11, 049
McCurtain McIntosh Major Marshall Mayes	1, 290	48, 004 46, 407 2, 354 285 6, 057	$\begin{array}{c} 2,091,559\\ 1,150,868\\ .75,000\\ 5,609\\ 261,250\end{array}$	1, 745, 875 927, 873 66, 700 4, 409 216, 175	345, 684 222, 995 8, 300 1, 200 45, 075	90, 771 47, 728 6, 780 220 7, 887
Murray Muskogee Noble Nowata Okfuskee	17 1, 349 76 199	$1, 341 \\ 86, 220 \\ 7, 708 \\ 14, 564 \\ 83, 327$	$\begin{array}{r} 28,190\\ 3,579,411\\ 309,295\\ 364,990\\ 3,281,373\end{array}$	25, 440 2, 937, 386 272, 195 309, 400 2, 729, 653	2, 750 642, 025 37, 100 55, 590 551, 720	1, 305 154, 617 11, 238 15, 994 146, 296
Oklahoma Okmulgee Osage Pawnee Payne	381	39, 708 88, 788 2, 007 6, 582 15, 098	$\begin{array}{c}1,566,690\\2,805,310\\69,835\\199,135\\612,030\end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 1, 341, 095\\ 2, 474, 017\\ 51, 385\\ 174, 285\\ 547, 126\end{array}$	225, 595 331, 293 18, 450 24, 850 64, 904	54, 512 103, 139 3, 100 7, 995 15, 075
Pittsburg Pontotoc Pottawatomie Pushmataha Roger Mills	- 154 - 72 - 94	8, 339 4, 010 8, 130 2, 308 1, 505	$\begin{array}{c} 230,454\\ 108,810\\ 231,060\\ 31,530\\ 33,450 \end{array}$	171, 127 89, 965 184, 210 21, 760 31, 275	59, 327 18, 845 46, 850 9, 770 2, 175	7, 258 4, 615 10, 373 2, 023 1, 976
Rogers Seminole Sequoyah Stephens Tillman		3, 266 32, 154 10, 385 1, 170 7, 133	$133,185 \\1,363,723 \\454,441 \\28,900 \\421,125$	$\begin{array}{c} 112,795\\ 1,157,633\\ 378,496\\ 25,890\\ 382,650\end{array}$	20, 390 206, 090 75, 945 3, 010 38, 475	4, 763 67, 483 11, 491 1, 550 26, 650

		T	VALUE O	F LAND AND BI	UILDINGS	Value of
STATE AND COUNTY	Number of farms	Land in farms (acres)	Total	Land alone	Buildings	imple- ments and machinery
<b></b>		<u>11</u>	OKLAHOM	a—continued	I	11
Tulsa Wagoner Washington Washita Other counties	166 1, 146 7 20 9	10, 127 65, 975 552 799 1, 881	\$748, 660 2, 457, 083 30, 100 52, 600 52, 300	$\begin{array}{c} \$082, 030\\ 2, 110, 494\\ 21, 950\\ 49, 575\\ 46, 450\\ \end{array}$	\$66, 030 346, 589 8, 150 3, 025 5, 850	\$13, 150 91, 812 1, 325 3, 545 3, 195
		<u>II</u>	OR	EGON	1	<u>  </u>
Total	9	826	\$32, 420	\$22, 670	\$9, 750	\$2, 667
			PENNS	YLVANIA		
Total	353	21, 616	\$2, 194, 280	\$1, 144, 895	\$1, 049, 385	\$145, 628
Adams Allegheny Beaver Berks Bradford	5 9 10 6 8	$364 \\ 628 \\ 1,206 \\ 285 \\ 620$	14, 200 128, 200 43, 520 18, 900 22, 000	5,000 104,425 23,200 9,700 7,600	9, 200 23, 775 20, 320 9, 200 14, 400	1, 430 1, 850 4, 060 330 2, 725
Bucks Chester Crawford Dauphin Delaware	18 79 10 10 15	701 3, 585 472 647 665	131,800530,10042,70042,000295,800	42, 100 228, 665 23, 900 15, 700 218, 750	89, 700 301, 435 18, 800 26, 300 77, 050	5, 200 45, 300 1, 520 3, 345 2, 835
Erie Fayette Franklin Juniata	5 20 6 9	333 1, 494 450 790	. 19,800 66,490 22,240 36,700	9,000 37,390 8,990 15,300	10, 800 29, 100 13, 250 21, 400	975 4, 550 5, 025 6, 800
Lancaster Lawrence Mercer Montgomery	16 9 12 10	731 450 570 382	60, 300 44, 400 35, 400 147, 300	14, 825 19, 900 14, 720 85, 700	45, 475 24, 500 20, 680 61, 600	5, 248 4, 700 4, 110 2, 050
Washington Westmoreland York Other counties	27 5 25 39	1, 734 339 1, 778 3, 392	162, 440 27, 200 93, 400 209, 390	88, 240 14, 400 41, 600 115, 790	74, 200 12, 800 51, 800 93, 600	10, 375 1, 575 9, 975 21, 650
•	RHODE ISLAND					
Total	9	571	\$40,000	\$12,000	\$28, 000	\$2, 025
	SOUTH CAROLINA					
Total	77, 331	3, 167, 898	\$105, 693, 220	\$77, 646, 049	\$28, 047, 171	\$4, 081, 739
A bbeville Aiken	1,714 1,826 695 2,957 1,282 1,416 1,800 1,521 1,683	81, 210 106, 134 40, 373 106, 992 71, 678 72, 075 29, 624 40, 180 51, 848	1, 514, 875 2, 507, 773 853, 081 4, 554, 077 1, 975, 417 1, 910, 670 1, 694, 201 1, 095, 668 1, 401, 402	$\begin{array}{c} 1,020,550\\ 1,693,503\\ 646,726\\ 3,498,557\\ 1,462,259\\ 1,362,704\\ 898,272\\ 711,508\\ 1,077,076\\ 1,072,076\\$	$\begin{array}{r} 494, 325\\ 814, 270\\ 206, 355\\ 1, 055, 520\\ 513, 158\\ 547, 966\\ 795, 929\\ 383, 500\\ 324, 326\\ 905\\ 795, 929\\ 324, 326\\ 905\\ 795\\ 795\\ 795\\ 795\\ 795\\ 795\\ 795\\ 79$	57, 636 151, 976 47, 681 124, 214 89, 167 116, 291 110, 024 48, 919 80, 486
Charleston Cherokee Chester Chester field Clarendon Colleton	1, 465 1, 006 2, 077 1, 030 3, 118 1, 515	22, 920 45, 018 94, 471 56, 230 86, 458 54, 771	1, 469, 812 1, 825, 206 2, 145, 619 1, 779, 880 2, 709, 039 1, 356, 169	1,039,029 1,358,058 1,455,090 1,252,437 1,952,892 910,340	430, 783 467, 148 690, 529 527, 443 756, 147 445, 829	60, 480 79, 749 63, 367 75, 118 57, 665 154, 602 46, 747

#### THE NEGRO FARMER

		1	l			~~ .
STATE AND COUNTY	of farms farm	Land in farms	VALUE OF	LAND AND BU	JILDINGS	Value of imple-
		(acres)	Total	Land alone	Buildings	ments and machinery
			SOUTH CAROL	INA-continued	1	
Darlington Dillon Dorchester Edgefield Fairfield	1, 748 1, 466 1, 028 1, 749 1, 724	76, 424 55, 407 40, 082 87, 900 92, 075	\$3, 297, 461 3, 539, 624 904, 645 2, 358, 808 1, 755, 720	\$2, 483, 816 2, 737, 649 580, 330 1, 687, 055 1, 093, 850	\$813, 645 801, 975 324, 315 671, 753 661, 870	\$72, 899 66, 509 30, 146 115, 077 74, 085
Florence Georgetown Greenville Greenwood Hampton	2, 207 535 1, 988 1, 917 708	84, 328 19, 577 71, 907 106, 386 26, 980	2, 939, 970 388, 755 4, 842, 477 2, 587, 380 575, 908	2, 065, 663 204, 484 3, 785, 828 1, 778, 996 390, 438	874, 307 184, 271 1, 056, 649 808, 384 185, 470	109, 167 11, 044 149, 854 111, 270 39, 604
Horry Jasper Kershaw Lancaster Laurens	947 650 1, 575 1, 253 2, 282	25, 376 22, 773 77, 828 65, 283 121, 317	$1, 177, 547 \\535, 403 \\1, 780, 105 \\1, 473, 526 \\3, 033, 503$	853, 157 344, 683 1, 238, 440 1, 034, 256 2, 234, 458	324, 390 190, 720 541, 665 439, 270 799, 045	34, 587 48, 614 88, 296 50, 414 147, 362
Lee Lexington McCormick Marion Mariboro	1, 654 754 1, 274 1, 252 2, 147	72, 758 37, 583 86, 448 40, 521 90, 774	2, 870, 004 1, 264, 439 1, 441, 344 2, 384, 782 5, 976, 932	2, 264, 383 932, 191 983, 783 1, 882, 625 4, 996, 868	605, 621 332, 248 457, 561 502, 157 980, 064	103, 312 45, 777 66, 442 79, 122 100, 838
Newberry Oconee Orangeburg Pickens Richland	1,7847024,6934481,561	91, 920 28, 724 158, 298 17, 478 52, 632	$\begin{array}{c} 2, 125, 877\\ 1, 201, 511\\ 5, 042, 511\\ 793, 660\\ 1, 770, 505 \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 1,519,197\\ 862,966\\ 3,834,766\\ 607,825\\ 1,286,187 \end{array}$	606, 680 338, 545 1, 207, 745 185, 835 484, 318	86, 124 47, 569 235, 162 21, 753 71, 430
Saluda Spartanburg Sumter Union Williamsburg York	1, 332 2, 623 2, 865 1, 441 3, 043 2, 876	67, 239 101, 861 95, 762 79, 787 88, 473 124, 015	$\begin{array}{c} 2,069,540\\ 6,154,434\\ 3,878,995\\ 2,027,325\\ 2,261,713\\ 4,446,527\end{array}$	1, 537, 440 4, 804, 808 2, 927, 486 1, 469, 859 1, 517, 619 3, 365, 882	$532, 100 \\1, 349, 626 \\951, 509 \\557, 466 \\744, 094 \\1, 080, 645$	82, 737 231, 007 150, 941 90, 681 91, 775 124, 499
			SOUTH	DAKOTA		
Total	40	14, 228	\$285, 425	\$225, 045	\$60, 380	\$32, 570
Sully Other counties	10 30	4,709 9,519	72, 420 213, 005	62, 690 162, 355	9, 730 50, 650	10, 050 22, 520
	II II II TENNESSEE					
Total	35, 123	1, 356, 683	\$56, 339, 610	\$42, 597, 163	813, 742, 447	\$2, 978, 809
Anderson Bedford Benton Bledsoe Blount	21 294 21 15 48	$1,034 \\ 15,202 \\ 1,277 \\ 866 \\ 2,406$	24, 675 533, 555 17, 750 16, 000 136, 450	13, 315 385, 660 12, 475 10, 550 89, 375	11, 360 147, 895 5, 275 5, 450 47, 075	2, 487 31, 424 1, 605 875 12, 845
Bradley Campbell Cannon Carroll Carter	52 6 33 670 22	2, 931 271 1, 141 31, 613 575	90, 875 8, 900 39, 030 792, 225 45, 630	67, 075 5, 900 29, 205 594, 961 27, 055	23, 800 3, 000 9, 825 197, 264 18, 575	6, 430 455 2, 997 60, 495 1, 726
Cheatham Chester Claiborne Clay Cocke	90 311 41 13 52	3,935 13,721 1,834 829 1,764	136, 195 416, 628 59, 775 11, 750 73, 160	100, 370 322, 343 42, 090 8, 550 53, 085	35, 825 94, 285 17, 685 3, 200 20, 075	7, 991 31, 055 1, 738 345 2, 339
Coffee Crockett Davidson Decatur De Kalb	$51 \\ 565 \\ 264 \\ 96 \\ 42$	1,93318,1108,9834,2251,075	$\begin{array}{r} 35,530\\ 909,587\\ 1,060,146\\ 82,075\\ 48,455\end{array}$	23, 850 699, 312 753, 561 61, 650 42, 330	$\begin{array}{c c}11, 680\\210, 275\\306, 585\\20, 425\\6, 125\end{array}$	2, 293 44, 380 37, 689 4, 506 1, 232

#### CENSUS OF AGRICULTURE

	<u> </u>		VALUE OF	LAND AND BU	ILDINGS	Value of			
STATE AND COUNTY	Number of farms	Land in farms (acres)	Total	Land alone	Buildings	imple- ments and machinery			
	l	TENNESSEE—continued							
Dickson	202	7, 703	\$141, 260	\$92, 985	\$48, 275	\$7, 733			
Dyer	314	9, 410	583, 600	479, 370	104, 230	34, 960			
Fayette	4,432	209, 739	5, 325, 794	4, 016, 274	1, 309, 520	401, 615			
Franklin	160	5, 901	238, 390	161, 925	76, 465	13, 035			
Gibson	1,088	34, 828	1, 899, 111	1, 438, 676	460, 435	120, 042			
Giles Grainger Greene Hamblen Hamilton	1.061	43, 690 1, 114 1, 599 2, 384 5, 837	1, 514, 233 25, 730 110, 126 150, 220 381, 580	$1,095,248\\18,445\\81,076\\90,595\\282,280$	418, 985 7, 285 29, 050 59, 625 99, 300	77, 184 1, 050 7, 105 9, 320 11, 819			
Hancock Hardeman Hardin Hawkins Haywood	27 1,446	843 86, 636 7, 757 2, 732 127, 037	$\begin{array}{r} 22,260\\ 1,502,521\\ 179,040\\ 106,325\\ 4,938,844\end{array}$	16, 810 1, 059, 275 134, 390 72, 815 3, 456, 154	5, 450 443, 246 44, 650 33, 510 1, 482, 690	1,010 112,815 12,325 5,989 337,514			
Henderson Henry Hickman Houston Humphreys	232 418 57 46 27	$\begin{array}{c} 14,543\\ 24,052\\ 3,228\\ 2,081\\ 1,622 \end{array}$	242, 310 548, 693 72, 855 32, 805 51, 290	$\begin{array}{c} 162,035\\ 387,853\\ 59,675\\ 21,955\\ 44,800 \end{array}$	80, 275 160, 840 13, 180 10, 850 6, 490	19, 562 29, 522 4, 458 914 2, 195			
Jackson	9	323	13, 600	10, 500	3, 100	335			
Jefferson	70	2, 946	180, 575	128, 325	52, 250	7, 475			
Johnson	20	408	39, 925	14, 475	25, 450	450			
Knox	105	3, 882	334, 440	216, 190	118, 250	8, 933			
Lake	276	8, 500	737, 815	646, 473	91, 342	23, 438			
Lauderdale	1, 618	47, 316	2, 568, 265	2, 025, 452	542, 813	115, 527			
Lawrence	53	2, 375	48, 900	32, 065	16, 835	2, 542			
Lewis	9	471	6, 700	5, 370	1, 330	490			
Lincoln	476	19, 943	821, 505	590, 685	230, 820	41, 113			
Loudon	54	2, 595	75, 070	55, 445	19, 625	2, 470			
McMinn	109	4,602	158, 380	97, 085	61, 295	10, 234			
McNairy	184	11,244	214, 885	164, 150	50, 735	12, 905			
Macon	53	1,867	40, 900	26, 955	13, 945	2, 542			
Madison	1, 997	84,264	3, 464, 388	2, 757, 063	707, 325	153, 909			
Marion	35	1,342	43, 410	30, 075	13, 335	2, 550			
Marshall	199	9, 750	332, 689	232, 859	99, 830	$\begin{array}{r} 16,929\\ 47,742\\ 4,538\\ 7,488\\ 50,364 \end{array}$			
Maury	602	23, 337	937, 170	665, 380	271, 790				
Meigs	47	4, 573	79, 385	66, 545	12, 840				
Monroe	67	2, 165	87, 505	58, 445	29, 060				
Montgomery	1, 022	39, 844	1, 303, 945	814, 030	489, 915				
Moore	60	2, 272	71, 880	55, 195	16, 685	4, 365			
Obion	118	5, 542	370, 330	283, 805	86, 525	15, 690			
Overton	15	448	9, 875	7, 105	2, 770	395			
Perry	25	1, 374	38, 150	27, 800	10, 350	3, 193			
Polk	16	940	28, 800	20, 725	8, 075	755			
Putnam	59	1, 908	87, 575	61, 970	25, 605	3, 741			
Rhea	27	1, 034	40, 645	32, 995	7, 650	1, 800			
Roane	25	1, 259	21, 225	11, 625	9, 600	1, 113			
Robertson	789	23, 839	1, 209, 596	869, 966	339, 630	57, 180			
Rutherford	979	34, 376	1, 356, 430	1, 048, 977	307, 453	76, 335			
Sevier	15	688	49, 750	37, 795	$11, 955 \\ 2, 351, 753 \\ 28, 315 \\ 19, 205 \\ 5, 760$	2, 805			
Shelby	5, 548	157, 088	12, 657, 124	10, 305, 371		485, 572			
Smith	79	2, 708	97, 350	69, 035		3, 365			
Stewart	65	3, 001	64, 696	45, 491		2, 320			
Sullivan	9	131	15, 500	9, 740		1, 300			
Sumner Tipton Trousdale Van Buren Warren Washington	397 2, 144 138 7 100 22	13, 084 70, 017 4, 539 627 5, 464 610	$\begin{array}{r} 644, 215\\ 3, 265, 051\\ 201, 850\\ 14, 000\\ 122, 695\\ 44, 485\end{array}$	437, 730 2, 521, 680 140, 985 9, 300 85, 190 31, 795	206, 485 743, 371 60, 865 4, 700 37, 505 12, 690	$\begin{array}{c} 28,537\\ 213,973\\ 9,030\\ 580\\ 6,211\\ 2,385 \end{array}$			

-			1			11			
STATE AND COUNTY	Number	Land in farms	VALUE OF	Value of imple-					
	of farms	(acres)	Total	Land alone	Buildings	ments and machinery			
		TENNESSEE—continued							
Wayne Weakley White Williamson Wilson Other counties	21 203 50 394 498 8	795 7, 184 2, 729 19, 491 16, 977 350	\$14, 475 277, 800 91, 995 775, 715 665, 973 7, 600	\$11,000 192,815 62,845 582,935 476,178 4,700	\$3, 475 84, 985 29, 650 192, 780 189, 795 2, 900	\$1, 055 11, 896 4, 901 34, 049 28, 785 430			
			TE	XAS					
Total	85, 940	4, 429, 671	\$179, 391, 988	\$148, 603, 812	\$30, 788, 176	\$7, 731, 019			
Anderson Angelina Atascosa Austin Bastrop	1,765 160 20 847 1,139	$\begin{array}{r} 97,801\\ 6,476\\ 9,619\\ 36,815\\ 76,477\end{array}$	2, 227, 388 225, 650 169, 310 2, 067, 995 2, 725, 734	1, 605, 275 167, 495 160, 190 1, 673, 035 2, 312, 818	622, 113 58, 155 9, 120 394, 960 412, 916	$\begin{array}{c} 149, 119\\ 11, 595\\ 2, 645\\ 96, 052\\ 112, 575\end{array}$			
Bee Bell Bexar Blanco Bosque	26 403 94 26 47	$\begin{array}{c} 2,456\\ 18,284\\ 5,942\\ 6,004\\ 4,566\end{array}$	$120, 165 \\ 1, 695, 115 \\ 496, 920 \\ 64, 850 \\ 177, 925$	$106, 140 \\ 1, 484, 710 \\ 424, 461 \\ 52, 499 \\ 151, 560$	14, 025 210, 405 72, 459 12, 351 26, 365	3, 154 55, 521 9, 865 1, 569 8, 685			
Bowie Brazoria Brazos Briscoe Burleson	1, 962 981 1, 237 5 1, 313	72, 671 38, 800 65, 486 251 69, 684	3, 267, 850 2, 006, 959 2, 428, 173 18, 225 3, 432, 422	2, 616, 636 1, 611, 693 1, 962, 651 16, 575 2, 992, 889	651, 214 395, 266 465, 522 1, 650 439, 533	137, 271 103, 890 145, 072 1, 250 123, 794			
Burnet. Caldwell. Calhoun. Cameron. Cameron.	7 546 24 14 618	593 34, 796 1, 755 530 29, 800	23, 250 1, 767, 000 112, 770 131, 525 670, 155	17, 050 1, 524, 175 102, 770 122, 640 474, 173	6, 200 242, 825 10, 000 8, 885 195, 982	1, 880 81, 218 6, 200 5, 515 35, 108			
Cass Chambers Cherokee Childress Clay	2,073 155 1,862 17 21	109, 161 28, 878 90, 658 1, 676 771	$\begin{array}{c} 2,219,951\\ 519,109\\ 2,690,724\\ 121,560\\ 68,260 \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{r} 1,597,274\\ 456,884\\ 2,044,268\\ 99,960\\ 60,560 \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 622,\ 677\\ 62,\ 225\\ 646,\ 456\\ 21,\ 600\\ 7,\ 700 \end{array}$	132, 666 19, 030 181, 160 3, 455 5, 950			
Coleman Collin Collingsworth Colorado Comal	16 310 59 776 9	842 12, 755 3, 592 37, 918 1, 111	$\begin{array}{r} 68, 180 \\ 1, 126, 610 \\ 208, 700 \\ 1, 644, 627 \\ 43, 500 \end{array}$	65, 355 1, 016, 775 198, 255 1, 367, 697 38, 150	2, 825 109, 835 10, 445 276, 930 5, 350	2, 355 28, 945 5, 460 91, 556 2, 150			
Concho Cooke Coryell Cottle Crosby	15 65 15 12 31	1, 024 3, 322 1, 382 1, 113 2, 253	61, 040 156, 472 89, 455 54, 360 118, 625	55, 490 132, 038 82, 555 46, 260 111, 050	5, 550 24, 434 6, 900 8, 100 7, 575	3, 225 5, 931 2, 700 3, 500 2, 225			
Dallas Dawson Delta Denton De Witt	657 30 159 186 397	27, 118 1, 557 4, 674 12, 597 35, 888	$\begin{array}{r} 3,504,665\\82,600\\359,710\\583,635\\1,647,105\end{array}$	3, 179, 350 73, 470 316, 435 503, 685 1, 355, 138	325, 315 9, 130 43, 275 79, 950 291, 967	61, 832 2, 065 12, 785 15, 019 77, 701			
Dickens Donley Ellis. El Paso Erath	27 7 1, 174 9 12	2, 814 98 46, 853 268 892	112, 2706, 4504, 560, 06869, 65028, 750	$106, 370 \\ 6, 100 \\ 4, 106, 718 \\ 56, 350 \\ 20, 425$	5, 900 350 453, 350 13, 300 8, 325	2, 550 500 108, 550 4, 825 3, 365			
Falls Fannin Fayette Fisher Floyd	1,885 441 1,045 48 8	82, 273 23, 101 59, 300 4, 350 385	$5, 390, 450 \\1, 013, 626 \\2, 612, 361 \\226, 915 \\15, 400$	4, 727, 325 844, 026 2, 178, 206 207, 090 13, 800	663, 125 169, 600 434, 155 19, 825 1, 600	175, 270 39, 400 108, 887 9, 075 1, 550			

		Land in	VALUE OF	LAND AND BU	ULDINGS	Value of imple-
STATE AND COUNTY	Number of farms	farms (acres)	Total	Land alone	Buildings	ments and machinery
			TEXAS-0	continued		
Fort Bend Franklin Freestone Galveston	1, 824 84 1, 689 10 60	86, 629 4, 109 109, 501 2, 430 1, 199	\$6, 059, 733 113, 550 2, 853, 628 59, 935 159, 100		\$834, 028 20, 045 597, 180 10, 500 45, 075	\$239, 252 4, 466 120, 310 2, 250 7, 452
Garza Gillespie Goliad Gonzales Grayson	6 8 103 1,065 251	123 463 6, 367 68, 523 11, 797	$\begin{array}{r} 3,880\\ 23,000\\ 233,865\\ 2,460,289\\ 624,300\end{array}$	3, 580 19, 450 171, 780 2, 105, 136 518, 215	300 3, 550 62, 085 355, 153 106, 085	125 1, 540 18, 578 94, 828 16, 903
Gregg Grimes Guadalupe Hall Hardeman	1, 220 1, 471 609 86 35	62, 996 73, 659 53, 036 2, 562 2, 787	$\begin{array}{r} 1,800,293\\ 2,461,360\\ 2,546,540\\ 183,110\\ 150,175 \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 1, 388, 198 \\ 1, 876, 458 \\ 2, 252, 106 \\ 164, 850 \\ 135, 975 \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 412,095\\ 584,902\\ 294,434\\ 18,260\\ 14,200\\ \end{array}$	97, 136 124, 938 73, 118 7, 908 5, 655
Hardin Harris Harrison Haskell Hays	36 651 4, 990 23 69	762 32, 323 220, 764 2, 981 3, 874	49, 630 5, 405, 136 5, 873, 158 130, 280 263, 895	35, 990 4, 945, 226 4, 183, 117 118, 380 213, 470	$13, 640 \\ 459, 910 \\ 1, 690, 041 \\ 11, 900 \\ 50, 425$	2, 011 80, 873 305, 470 6, 125 11, 203
Henderson Hidalgo Hill Hockley Hood	850 7 575 6 8	47, 083 520 23, 075 272 405	$\begin{array}{r} \textbf{1, 311, 540} \\ \textbf{109, 300} \\ \textbf{1, 687, 175} \\ \textbf{13, 350} \\ \textbf{14, 100} \end{array}$	$1,023,430 \\ 107,025 \\ 1,484,065 \\ 11,950 \\ 11,$	288, 1102, 275203, 1101, 4002, 150	58, 220 1, 500 58, 184 250 260
Hopkins Houston Hunt Jackson Jasper	323 2, 627 426 277 397	19, 171 114, 704 17, 578 22, 455 15, 424	544,8712,924,3111,050,005740,375400,620	413, 381 2, 301, 281 907, 031 596, 647 281, 050	131, 490 623, 030 142, 974 143, 728 119, 570	22, 715 220, 059 37, 140 39, 507 23, 159
Jefferson Jim Wells Johnson Jones Karnes	103 9 87 20 95	6,718 3,936 4,741 1,894 10,532	534, 670 140, 645 347, 485 124, 050 446, 795	455, 950 131, 945 308, 885 116, 660 393, 680	78, 720 8, 700 38, 600 7, 390 53, 115	15,500 1,555 9,920 3,165 21,035
Kaufman Kent Kleberg Knox Lamar	1, 403 6 5 11 1, 098	71, 028 585 307 463 49, 982	3, 927, 205 20, 150 18, 265 27, 060 2, 653, 045	$\begin{array}{r} \textbf{3, 373, 865} \\ \textbf{19, 150} \\ \textbf{16, 090} \\ \textbf{25, 410} \\ \textbf{2, 249, 201} \end{array}$	$553, 340 \\ 1, 000 \\ 2, 175 \\ 1, 650 \\ 403, 844$	97, 413 675- 835- 1, 350 80, 484
Lamb Lampasas Lavaca Lee Leon	32 6 439 660 2, 028	1, 539 737 30, 742 62, 129 103, 154	85,030 25,600 1,186,427 1,356,455 2,215,561	79,655 20,500 972,767 1,141,713 1,641,438	5, 375 5, 100 213, 660 214, 742 574, 123	4, 625 1, 150 34, 017 56, 158 151, 366
Liberty Limestone Lubbock Lynn McCulloch	506 1, 740 35 26 14	19,443 80,899 2,565 1,606 1,445	745, 325 4, 813, 143 277, 390 71, 540 62, 100	570, 573 4, 142, 066 253, 395 66, 115 56, 750	174,752671,07723,9955,4255,350	57, 352 185, 707 6, 630 2, 670 3, 020
McLennan Madison Marion Matagorda Medina	$1, 422 \\ 747 \\ 1, 085 \\ 604 \\ 22$	66, 882 46, 458 60, 221 25, 827 1, 878	5, 361, 406 968, 062 1, 115, 469 980, 874 63, 950	4, 787, 551 785, 215 741, 984 780, 235 53, 350	573, 855 182, 847 373, 485 200, 639 10, 600	175, 648 52, 144 73, 313 69, 612 2, 080
Milam Mitchell Montgomery Morris Motley	1,307 52 684 633 18	71, 863 6, 738 31, 164 34, 305 1, 048	$\begin{array}{c} 3, 669, 120 \\ 263, 230 \\ 684, 008 \\ 721, 957 \\ 52, 660 \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 3,131,378\\ 236,080\\ 481,025\\ 551,614\\ 49,060 \end{array}$	537,742 27,150 202,983 170,343 3,600	130, 938 7, 695 49, 142 32, 352 1, 940

	Number	Land in	VALUE OF	LAND AND BU	ILDINGS	Value of imple-
STATE AND COUNTY	of farms	farms (acres)	Total	Land alone	Buildings	ments and machinery
			TEXAS-	continued		
Nacogdoches Navarro Newton Nolan Nueces	994 1, 661 467 8 16	50,474 85,144 19,164 939 1,445	\$1, 339, 008 5, 725, 335 434, 408 38, 910 130, 675	\$1,017,078 5,063,602 307,427 33,360 119,750	\$321, 930 661, 733 126, 981 5, 550 10, 925	\$87, 421 212, 339 25, 914 1, 400 8, 300
Orange Panola Parker Polk Rains	8 2, 117 10 782 153	$\begin{array}{r} 325\\98,215\\1,031\\29,983\\6,382\end{array}$	$\begin{array}{r} 14,900\\ 2,409,300\\ 35,775\\ 1,067,848\\ 175,320\end{array}$	$12,050 \\ 1,883,011 \\ 28,075 \\ 826,374 \\ 126,770$	2, 850 586, 289 7, 700 241, 474 48, 550	878 192, 277 1, 520 57, 985 15, 705
Red River Refugio Robertson Rockwall Runnels	${ \begin{smallmatrix} 1, \ 297 \\ 35 \\ 1, \ 869 \\ 250 \\ 21 \end{smallmatrix} }$	58, 040 1, 900 95, 266 7, 356 2, 956	$\begin{array}{c} 2,077,627\\ 79,474\\ 4,365,407\\ 612,351\\ 178,950 \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{r} 1, 698, 103 \\ 66, 349 \\ 3, 670, 594 \\ 549, 786 \\ 164, 250 \end{array}$	379, 524 13, 125 694, 813 62, 565 14, 700	71, 081 3, 827 137, 804 24, 590 2, 160
Rusk Sabine San Augustine San Jacinto San Patricio	2, 784 288 508 962 18	157, 454 13, 150 27, 400 35, 984 720	3, 856, 117 380, 980 695, 036 946, 680 66, 975	$\begin{array}{c} 2,942,914\\ 276,320\\ 554,896\\ 726,046\\ 59,600 \end{array}$	913, 203 104, 660 140, 140 220, 634 7, 375	292, 783 25, 695 30, 920 51, 421 3, 310
Shelby Smith Stonewall Tarrant Taylor	1, 196 3, 120 18 106 15	47, 644 166, 337 1, 786 5, 191 1, 335	$\begin{array}{c} 1,329,163\\ 4,834,466\\ 76,550\\ 411,095\\ 66,400 \end{array}$	988, 866 3, 757, 056 68, 025 366, 690 60, 550	$\begin{array}{r} 340, 297 \\ 1, 077, 410 \\ 8, 525 \\ 44, 405 \\ 5, 850 \end{array}$	61, 895 250, 007 1, 785 8, 075 2, 175
Terry. Titus. Tom Green Travis. Trinity	10 315 8 820 308	$\begin{array}{r} 381 \\ 15,701 \\ 525 \\ 46,814 \\ 11,763 \end{array}$	12, 990 435, 318 33, 375 3, 098, 557 312, 790	$\begin{array}{r} 12,490\\ 337,028\\ 30,925\\ 2,740,304\\ 242,900\end{array}$	500 98, 285 2, 450 358, 253 69, 890	19, 241 625 120, 924 17, 542
Tyler. Upshur. Van Zandt Victoria Walker	129 1, 326 377 259 1, 266	6, 570 63, 804 22, 666 24, 168 59, 569	155,4751,383,963791,4251,088,4081,399,985	103, 390 991, 673 637, 400 957, 720 973, 168	52, 085 392, 290 154, 025 130, 688 426, 817	9, 058 72, 420 37, 447 40, 128 90, 910
Waller Ward Washington Wharton	735 5 1, 573 1, 394	35, 376 76 81, 313 58, 506	1, 301, 487 10, 100 3, 492, 667 3, 627, 718	1, 057, 907 7, 475 2, 964, 309 3, 095, 321	243, 580 2, 625 528, 358 532, 397	45, 779 250 136, 941 178, 155
Wheeler Wichita Wilbarger Williamson	12 16 113 450	695 966 5, 332 27, 344	28, 175 87, 275 403, 950 2, 187, 971	25, 825 78, 275 375, 620 1, 977, 012	2, 350 9, 000 28, 330 210, 959	1,070 1,655 17,485 71,960
Wilson Wise Wood Other counties	76 10 533 64	6, 518 606 32, 170 27, 356	221, 900 33, 540 933, 427 499, 357	189, 510 28, 490 699, 918 420, 957	32, 390 5, 050 233, 509 78, 400	8, 220 1, 098 42, 734 29, 824
		£	ע	ГАН		
Total	5	37	\$24, 200	\$20, 100	\$4, 100	\$305
			VER	MONT		
Total	22	3, 812	\$118, 700	\$54, 875	\$63, 825	\$18, 525

81

	Number	Land in	VALUE OF	LAND AND BU	JILDINGS	Value of imple-			
STATE AND COUNTY	of farms	farms (acres)	Total	Land alone	Buildings	ments and machinery			
		VIRGINIA							
Total	39, 598	2, 039, 656	871, 722, 401	\$43, 154, 526	\$28, 567, 875	\$3, 865, 540			
Accomac Albemarle Alleghany Amelia Amherst	962 465 5 726 620	43, 581 16, 576 172 37, 713 28, 261	5, 214, 000 1, 030, 177 28, 300 1, 228, 209 877, 505	$\begin{array}{r} 3,735,410\\ 502,792\\ 18,200\\ 683,159\\ 509,502 \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 1,478,590\\527,385\\10,100\\545,050\\368,003 \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 288,696\\ 40,328\\ 1,002\\ 73,132\\ 32,721 \end{array}$			
Appomattox Augusta Bath Bedford Bland	320 99 25 709 11	$18,291 \\ 4,788 \\ 1,222 \\ 36,538 \\ 1,094$	$\begin{array}{r} 388,100\\ 352,975\\ 48,300\\ 1,198,164\\ 16,700 \end{array}$	210, 985 203, 025 31, 625 769, 271 11, 600	177, 115149, 95016, 675428, 8935, 100	$\begin{array}{c} 20,539\\ 14,950\\ 5,145\\ 45,247\\ 885\end{array}$			
Botetourt.	90	3, 291	140, 290	78, 940	61, 350	3, 173			
Brunswick.	1, 329	83, 911	1, 835, 393	987, 809	847, 584	102, 498			
Buckingham.	664	39, 370	851, 939	493, 239	358, 700	46, 500			
Campbell	713	36, 377	1, 018, 050	548, 765	469, 285	47, 610			
Caroline.	964	53, 606	1, 619, 985	910, 560	709, 425	88, 060			
Carroll Charles City Charlotte Chesterfield Clarke	15 372 841 235 26	720 13, 287 46, 177 9, 753 3, 018	$\begin{array}{r} 39,100\\ 515,270\\ 1,277,668\\ 597,175\\ 226,960\end{array}$	29, 575 330, 580 681, 313 307, 850 160, 760	$\begin{array}{c} 0, 525 \\ 184, 690 \\ 596, 355 \\ 289, 325 \\ 66, 200 \end{array}$	2, 120 43, 225 63, 033 35, 104 9, 300			
Culpeper	286	17, 462	714, 290	306, 245	318, 045	53, 765			
Cumberland	553	33, 140	708, 040	377, 278	330, 762	43, 672			
Dinwiddie	933	63, 054	1, 381, 040	837, 256	543, 784	84, 859			
Elizabeth City	56	1, 236	154, 765	95, 553	59, 212	9, 209			
Essex	633	30, 574	1, 062, 090	561, 373	500, 717	96, 089			
Fairfax	85	2, 363	361, 880	214, 055	147, 825	$13, 350 \\ 35, 337 \\ 5, 908 \\ 35, 049 \\ 28, 261 \\ \\ \\ \\ 28, 261 \\ \\ \\ \\ \\ \\ \\ \\ \\ \\ \\ \\ \\ \\ \\ \\ \\ \\ \\$			
Fauquier	810	19, 886	980, 616	554, 091	426, 525				
Floyd	74	3, 835	132, 546	97, 821	34, 725				
Fluvanna	353	14, 089	482, 651	237, 981	244, 670				
Franklin	536	38, 190	830, 760	523, 343	307, 417				
Frederick	21	1, 972	191, 900	142, 800	49, 100	3, 995			
Giles	28	784	37, 130	24, 745	12, 385	2, 212			
Gloucester	514	12, 918	801, 730	397, 480	404, 250	64, 285			
Goochland	493	22, 365	549, 220	290, 745	258, 475	23, 700			
Grayson	78	2, 092	191, 390	135, 455	55, 935	4, 982			
Greene	107	3, 098	173, 100	98, 045	75, 055	8, 712			
Greensville	788	50, 505	1, 292, 010	782, 260	509, 750	87, 447			
Halifax	2, 380	127, 457	3, 446, 898	2, 153, 268	1, 293, 630	134, 371			
Hanover	559	28, 681	1, 027, 030	530, 495	496, 535	89, 333			
Henrico	168	4, 878	414, 195	276, 635	137, 560	21, 122			
Henry	469	28, 309	696, 685	354, 535	342, 150	36, 125			
Highland	11	1, 715	25, 800	18, 225	7, 575	4, 911,			
Isle of Wight	469	27, 857	900, 083	643, 188	256, 895	21, 761			
James City	182	5, 762	336, 500	171, 711	164, 789	17, 941			
King and Queen	606	30, 059	794, 779	395, 780	398, 999	66, 103			
King George	260	12,731	482, 948	254, 678	228, 270	38, 757			
King William	414	20,289	540, 125	271, 355	268, 770	34, 312			
Lancaster	415	9,069	418, 355	205, 210	213, 145	58, 925			
Lee	29	1,262	83, 125	60, 725	22, 400	1, 072			
Loudoun	77	6,017	401, 345	237, 090	164, 255	17, 725			
Louisa	740	39, 442	$\begin{array}{c} \textbf{1, 042, 839} \\ \textbf{1, 244, 230} \\ \textbf{488, 034} \\ \textbf{273, 330} \\ \textbf{3, 816, 692} \end{array}$	552, 769	490, 070	57, 497			
Lunenburg	843	48, 993		692, 025	552, 205	61, 510			
Madison	276	12, 584		266, 289	221, 745	26, 192			
Mathews	214	2, 263		105, 735	167, 595	21, 546			
Mecklenburg	2, 275	110, 539		2, 263, 607	1, 553, 085	183, 058			
Middlesex	485	11, 978	637, 390	312, 445	824, 945	63, 455			
Montgomery	98	3, 469	237, 900	133, 855	104, 045	5, 973			
Nansemond	918	40, 117	1, 865, 160	1, 263, 473	601, 687	67, 244			
Velson	406	17, 669	710, 077	459, 552	250, 525	27, 326			
Vew Kent	147	8, 139	222, 500	145, 240	77, 260	13, 101			

#### THE NEGRO FARMER

		Land in	VALUE OF	VALUE OF LAND AND BUILDINGS					
STATE AND COUNTY	Number of farms	farms (acres)	Total	Land alone	Buildings	imple- ments and machinery			
	virginia-continued								
Norfolk	302	11,776	\$674, 680	\$476, 730	\$197, 950	\$28, 802			
Northampton	392	13,342	2, 075, 320	1, 531, 710	543, 610	114, 465			
Northumberland	509	16,590	752, 861	383, 356	369, 505	52, 653			
Nottoway	711	30,619	989, 310	506, 055	483, 255	60, 994			
Orange	335	14,025	645, 430	329, 864	315, 566	39, 186			
Page	42	1, 655	89, 250	54, 425	$\begin{array}{r} 34,825\\67,215\\1,145,525\\370,135\\541,500\end{array}$	1, 900			
Patrick	195	9, 559	217, 770	150, 555		7, 206			
Pittsylvania	2, 162	117, 977	3, 309, 367	2, 163, 842		144, 078			
Powhatan	373	23, 689	763, 570	393, 435		67, 249			
Prince Edward	830	45, 002	1, 227, 430	685, 930		56, 150			
Prince George	374	23, 701	598, 921	367, 680	$\begin{array}{c} 231,241\\119,950\\351,515\\48,330\\127,400\end{array}$	31, 907			
Prince William	112	7, 690	313, 630	193, 680		11, 890			
Princess Anne	380	12, 720	1, 081, 330	729, 815		41, 488			
Pulaski	65	1, 100	109, 150	60, 820		5, 009			
Rappahannock	154	6, 903	282, 243	154, 843		18, 944			
Richmond	847	14, 110	454, 955	$\begin{array}{c} 256,525\\ 141,200\\ 54,485\\ 49,530\\ 38,285\end{array}$	198, 430	45, 747			
Roanoke	69	2, 796	240, 900		99, 700	5, 611			
Rockbridge	45	2, 188	94, 960		40, 475	4, 740			
Rockingham	22	1, 354	69, 950		20, 420	2, 425			
Russell	29	1, 212	55, 010		16, 725	905			
Scott	11	403	21, 300	$\begin{array}{r} 16,050\\ 27,625\\ 2,680,009\\ 357,161\\ 111,575\end{array}$	5,250	180			
Smyth	18	893	35, 000		7,375	540			
Southampton	1,909	125, 082	3, 903, 659		1,223,650	193, 950			
Spotsylvania	463	25, 017	689, 536		382,375	54, 288			
Stafford	90	6, 160	222, 025		110,450	10, 741			
Surry	500	28, 345	$\begin{array}{r} 811,553\\ 1,350,415\\ 67,275\\ 6,400\end{array}$	487, 698	323, 855	47, 440			
Sussex	766	66, 824		803, 676	546, 739	77, 812			
Tazewell	19	1, 384		44, 425	22, 850	755			
Warren	8	136		3, 350	3, 050	155			
Warwick	75	1, 416	156, 323	$\begin{array}{c} 102,799\\76,350\\586,475\\7,150\end{array}$	53, 524	6, 009			
Washington	37	1, 178	105, 000		28, 650	3, 012			
Westmoreland	442	24, 079	966, 720		380, 245	56, 675			
Wise	5	69	8, 900		1, 750	25			
Wythe York Norfolk city Other counties and	64 235 7	1, 235 4, 144 142	101, 570 405, 450 37, 200	66, 322 213, 395 32, 800	35, 248 192, 055 4, 400	2, 997 28, 255 847			
independent cities	21	553	132, 600	75, 530	57,070	7, 050			
	WASHINGTON								
Total	73	9, 425	\$356, 705	\$258, 568	\$98, 137	\$22, 740			
Douglas	5	- 3, 892	17,90517,60033,250138,450149,500	15, 580	2, 325	1, 650			
King	7	61		10, 900	6, 700	1, 480			
Lewis	10	285		18, 100	15, 150	3, 900			
Yakima	21	1, 310		105, 418	33, 032	6, 005			
Other counties	30	3, 877		108, 570	40, 930	9, 705			
	WEST VIRGINIA								
Total	490	28, 013	\$1, 480, 628	\$905, 393	\$575, 235	\$47,007			
Barbour	15	2, 768	95, 300	75, 658	19, 642	2, 345			
Berkeley		733	83, 400	52, 600	30, 800	2, 110			
Boone		231	17, 900	7, 800	10, 100	525			
Braxton		660	14, 500	10, 600	3, 900	345			
Cabell		486	63, 500	36, 650	26, 850	3, 257			

#### CENSUS OF AGRICULTURE

TABLE 38.—FARMS OPERATED BY NEGROES—NUMBER, ACREAGE, AND VALUE OF SPECIFIED CLASSES OF FARM PROPERTY, BY STATES AND COUNTIES, 1930— Continued

					and the second se	
STATE AND COUNTY	Number	Land in farms	VALUE OF	LAND AND B	UILDINGS	Value of imple-
STATE AND COUNTY	of farms	(acres)	Total	Land alone	Buildings	ments and machinery
		WES	T VIRGINIA—cont	inued		
Fayette Grant	33	873 837	\$98, 300 6, 700	\$46, 200 5, 050	\$52, 100 1, 650	\$3, 485
Greenbrier	24	1, 518	64,700	43, 275	21, 425	300 1,670
Hampshire Jefferson	5 37	234	5,000	2,925	2, 075	100
Jenerson	37	3, 389	383, 130	207, 530	175, 600	10, 435
Kanawha	31	1, 190	122, 573	84, 295	38, 278	3,644
McDowell Marion	8	1, 013 426	25, 550 11, 800	15,500	10, 050	493
Mercer.	23	677	39,700	9, 300 25, 525	2,500 14,175	275
Monongalia	6	123	10, 400	6,850	3, 550	1,021 210
Monroe	43	2, 192	67,100	42, 375	24, 725	0 (0*
Pendleton	8	438	12,800	9, 165	3,635	2, 435 383
Pocahontas	17	1, 282	51,600	31,650	19,950	985
Raleigh Summers	6 37	512 2,453	11,500 81,800	7,900 44,925	3,600	1,610
		.		,	16, 875	2, 160
Taylor Wirt	43 6	2, 702 434	100, 125	63, 200	36, 925	3, 291
Wyoming	6	182	8,800 8,800	4,850 6,225	3, 950 2, 575	120
Other counties	29	2, 660	115, 650	65, 345	50, 305	152 5,656
-						-,
ł.	WISCONSIN					
Total	55	5, 295	\$343, 105	\$228, 435	\$114, 670	\$25, 921
Vernon.	18	1,899	126,400	90, 155	36, 245	9,750
Other counties	37	3, 396	216, 705	138, 280	78, 425	16, 171
-	WYOMING					
Total	12	10, 925	\$139, 020	\$123, 870	\$15, 150	\$7,925

 $\cap$