


UNITED STATES
CENSUSES OF
POPULATION
AND
HOUSING
1960

**QUALITY CONTROL
OF THE
FIELD
ENUMERATION**

U. S. DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE



Reports on methodology and evaluation of the 18th Decennial Census

METHODOLOGY

General procedural report:

1960 Censuses of Population and Housing: Procedural History. (1966)

Interim procedural report:

Procedural Report on the 1960 Censuses of Population and Housing. Working Paper No. 16. (1963) Superseded by: 1960 Censuses of Population and Housing: Procedural History.

Facsimiles of questionnaires, with brief text:

United States Censuses of Population and Housing, 1960: Principal Data-Collection Forms and Procedures. (1961)

Survey of Components of Change and Residential Finance of the United States Census of Housing, 1960: Principal Data-Collection Forms and Procedures. (1962)

United States Census of Agriculture, 1959, and Related Surveys: Principal Data-Collection Forms and Procedures. (1962)

Evaluation and Research Program of the U.S. Censuses of Population and Housing, 1960: Background, Procedures, and Forms Series ER 60, No. 1. (1963)

Detailed reports on special phases of the censuses:

United States Censuses of Population and Housing, 1960:

Processing the Data. (1962)

Enumeration Time and Cost Study. (1963)

Quality Control of Preparatory Operations, Microfilming, and Coding. (1965)

Quality Control of the Field Enumeration. (1967)

Censuses of Population and Housing in Puerto Rico, 1960: Processing the Data. (1963)


EVALUATION

Evaluation and Research Program of the U.S. Censuses of Population and Housing, 1960. Series ER 60:

1. Background, Procedures, and Forms. (1963)
2. Record Check Studies of Population Coverage. (1964)
3. Accuracy of Data on Housing Characteristics. (1964)
4. Accuracy of Data on Population Characteristics as Measured by Reinterviews. (1964)
5. Accuracy of Data on Population Characteristics as Measured by CPS-Census Match. (1964)
6. The Employer Record Check. (1965)

**UNITED STATES
CENSUSES OF
POPULATION
AND
HOUSING
1960**

**QUALITY CONTROL
OF THE
FIELD
ENUMERATION**



U.S. DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
Alexander B. Trowbridge, Secretary
William H. Shaw, Asst. Secy., Economic Affairs

BUREAU OF THE CENSUS
A. Ross Eckler, Director



BUREAU OF THE CENSUS

A. Ross Eckler, Director
Robert F. Drury, Deputy Director

CONRAD TAEUBER, Assistant Director
MORRIS H. HANSEN, Assistant Director for Research and Development

Statistical Reports Division
Edwin D. Goldfield, Chief

Statistical Research Division
William N. Hurwitz, Chief

Field Division
Jefferson D. McPike, Chief

Issued September 1967

Library of Congress Card No. A67-7227

SUGGESTED CITATION

U.S. Bureau of the Census. *United States Censuses of Population and Housing, 1960: Quality Control of the Field Enumeration.*
Washington, D.C., 1967.

Acknowledgments

Many people contributed to the planning, execution, and analysis of quality control of the 1960 census field work. Morris H. Hansen, Assistant Director for Research and Development, and William N. Hurwitz, Chief of the Statistical Research Division, provided technical guidance. Leon Gilford and Harold Nisselson, both formerly of the Statistical Research Division, contributed the theoretical groundwork on joint probabilities on which some of the rejection criteria were based. William T. Rabin contributed to both the planning stage and the processing stage. The work was carried out under the direction of Robert B. Voight, former Chief of the Field Division, and Jefferson D. McPike, present Chief of the Field Division.

The primary responsibility for planning the program, supervising the preparation of forms and materials, and directing the work throughout the enumeration period rested with Jack Silver and Dean Weber of the Methods Research Branch of the Field Division. When the enumeration ended, responsibility for processing and analysis fell to Dean Weber, present chief of the same branch, who also wrote this report.

This is one of a series of methodological reports on the Eighteenth Decennial Census prepared under the guidance of Phyllis G. Carter, Census Historian, Statistical Reports Division.

Contents

Chapter	Page
I. Introduction	1
II. The Quality Control Plan	8
III. Evaluation of the Quality Control Program	13
IV. Activities before the Enumeration	20
V. Stage I Enumeration in Two-Stage Areas	24
VI. Stage II Enumeration in Two-Stage Areas	34
VII. Single-Stage Enumeration	41
VIII. The Cost of the Quality Control	43

TABLES

Table	Page	Table	Page
1. Estimated Number and Proportion of Enumeration Assignments (EA's) and Estimated Number of Enumeration Districts (ED's) by Type of Enumeration and Area	2	15. Number of Sections Failed in EA's Failing at Least One Section: Two-Stage Areas	25
2. Population and Housing Items: Sample Rates and Error Rates	3	16. Field Review Sections Failed: Stage I of Two-Stage Areas	25
3. Basic Data for Establishing Quality Control Acceptance Standards	10	17. Errors in Each Field Review Section on First Review: Stage I of Two-Stage Areas	26
4. Probabilities for the Various Actions That Could be Taken in Field Review: Stage I of Two-Stage Areas	12	18. Errors in Each Field Review Section on Final Review: Stage I of Two-Stage Areas	26
5. Cumulative Proportions of Work Completed by Enumeration Day and Type of Work	15	19. Error Rates of Listing Book Review: Stage I of Two-Stage Areas	26
6. Dates of District Office Receipt and Transmittal of EA's: Two-Stage Areas	17	20. Error Rates for Miscellaneous Items: Stage I of Two-Stage Areas	27
Preparatory Work		21. Correct Pattern of Action on Field Review According to Reviewers' Tallies: Stage I of Two-Stage Areas	27
7. Completion Dates for Preparatory Work	20	22. Action Taken in Field Review Compared with Correct Action: Stage I of Two-Stage Areas	27
8. EA's With Individual Questions on Map Problems Not Answered	20	23. Technical Officers' Evaluation of Field Review: Stage I of Two-Stage Areas	28
9. Map Problems	21	24. Comparison of Field Review Action on Regional Office Telegrams and Field Review Forms: Stage I of Two-Stage Areas	28
10. Crew Leader Prelisting	22	25. Proportions of Enumerators Released According to Regional Office Telegrams, Compared with Those Released and Quit According to Field Review Forms: Stage I of Two-Stage Areas	29
11. Comparison of Crew Leader's Estimate of Size of ED's with Enumerator's Count	22	26. Results of Closeout Review: Stage I of Two-Stage Areas	30
12. Crew Leader Estimates of Size of EA's and Recommendations for Splitting	22	27. Closeout Entries on Forms with Evidence of Closeout Review: Two-Stage and Single-Stage Areas	30
13. Technical Officers' Evaluation of Crew Leaders' Map Review and Preparatory Work	23		
Stage I of Two-Stage Areas			
14. Results of Coverage Check: Stage I of Two-Stage Areas	25		

Stage I of Two-Stage Areas—Con.

Stage II of Two-Stage Areas—Con.

Table	Page	Table	Page
28. Errors Per Population or Housing Line as Found in Office Quality Control: Stage I of Two-Stage Areas and 100 Percent Items for Single-Stage	30	40. Housing Units Enumerated by Closeout Procedure: Stage II of Two-Stage Areas . .	37
29. Nonresponse Rates for Population and Housing Items Found in Field and Office Reviews and in National Sample: Proportion of Work Rejected and Work Which Should Have Been Rejected	31	41. Technical Officers' Evaluation of Field Review: Stage II of Two-Stage Areas.	38
30. Transcription Verification Error Rates in Field Review: Stage I of Two-Stage Areas .	32	42. Errors Found in Sample Population Items: Two-Stage Areas	39
31. Errors Found in Office Transcription Verification: Stage I of Two-Stage Areas . .	32	43. Errors Found in Sample Housing Items: Two-Stage Areas	39
Stage II of Two-Stage Areas		44. Error Rates Found in Population and Housing Items in National Sample: Two-Stage Areas .	40
32. Errors in Each Field Review Section on First Review: Stage II of Two-Stage Areas.	34	45. Proportion of Total Errors Made by Worst Enumerators: Stage II of Two-Stage Areas .	40
33. Errors in Each Field Review Section on Final Review: Stage II of Two-Stage Areas.	35	46. Errors per Population or Housing Section as Found in Office Quality Control: Stage II of Two-Stage Areas	40
34. Field Review Sections Failed: Stage II of Two-Stage Areas	35	Single-Stage Areas	
35. Specified Enumeration Book Errors: Stage II of Two-Stage Areas	35	47. Comparison of Field Review Actions on Daily Reports and Field Review Forms: Single-Stage Areas	41
36. Action Taken in Field Review Compared to Correct Action: Stage II of Two-Stage Areas	35	48. Action Taken in Field Review Compared with Correct Action: Single-Stage Areas	41
37. Results of Closeout Review: Stage II of Two-Stage Areas	37	49. Results of Closeout Review: Single-Stage Areas.	42
38. EA's Marked for Rejection in Closeout Review: Stage II of Two-Stage Areas.	37	50. Proportion of Work Rejected During Office Operations: Single-Stage Areas	42
39. Error Rates For Sample Population and Housing Items by Closeout Status: All Areas.	37	Cost of Quality Control	
		51. Estimated Cost of Quality Control of Field Work	43

Appendixes

	Page
A. Quality Control Forms (Facsimiles).	45
B. Crew Leader's Manual, Stage I of Two Stage Areas (Extracts).	89
C. Crew Leader's Manual, Stage II of Two Stage Areas (Extracts).	105
D. Office Procedures Supplement, District Office Manual, Two Stage Areas (Extracts)	113
E. Quality Control Supplements, District Office Manual, Two Stage Areas (Extracts).	123