

1960
Census
of
Population

PRELIMINARY REPORTS

POPULATION SUMMARIES

August 1960

Series PC(P)-1

POPULATION OF CONGRESSIONAL DISTRICTS

(This report is based on preliminary counts of the population compiled in the 1960 Census field offices)

The population of approximately three out of every four Congressional Districts in the United States increased between April 1, 1950, and the same date in 1960, according to preliminary counts of the 1960 Census of Population. About 10 percent of the Districts showing gains had increases of 60 percent or more.

The apportionment that determines the number of Representatives each State is entitled to have is based on the final statistics which will be submitted to the President in November. The apportionment on which the present Congress is based was determined by the final statistics of the 1950 Census of Population. The total membership of the House of Representatives at that time was 435. This number was temporarily increased to 437 by the admission of Alaska and Hawaii as States. According to present law, when final population counts from the 1960 Census are made available, the total membership will be reduced to 435 and allocated amongst the 50 States.

Of the temporary total membership of 437 Representatives, 426 are elected from Congressional Districts, and 11 are elected at large. The two Representatives from New Mexico and from North Dakota are elected at large, since no separate Congressional Districts have been

established in these States. The Representatives also are elected at large in each of the States which have one Representative. These include Alaska, Delaware, Hawaii, Nevada, Vermont, and Wyoming. Connecticut, although districted, also elects one Representative at large.

The 426 Congressional Districts ranged in population from the Twelfth District of Michigan, which had 175,968 inhabitants on April 1, 1960, to the Twenty-eighth District of California which had 1,007,140 inhabitants. The latter District experienced the greatest absolute and percentage change, increasing from 346,544 inhabitants on April 1, 1950, for a gain of 660,596, or 190.4 percent. Approximately 4 out of every 10 Congressional Districts had between 300,000 and 400,000 inhabitants on April 1, 1960, and 8 out of every 10 had between 200,000 and 500,000 inhabitants. Eighty-one Districts, or about 1 out of every 5, had 500,000 or more inhabitants.

Of these Congressional Districts which lost population between 1950 and 1960, two-fifths showed losses of 10 percent or more. The greatest percentage decrease occurred in the First District of Illinois which decreased by 28.8 percent in the intercensal period.



U.S. DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE, Frederick H. Mueller, Secretary
BUREAU OF THE CENSUS, Robert W. Burgess, Director

For sale by the Bureau of the Census, Washington 25, D.C., and U.S. Department of Commerce Field Offices, 10 cents. Complete set of reports, 30 cents.



POPULATION OF CONGRESSIONAL DISTRICTS: 1960 AND 1950

(Minus sign (-) denotes decrease)

| State and Congressional District | 1960 (preliminary) | 1950 | Percent increase, 1950 to 1960 | State and Congressional District | 1960 (preliminary) | 1950 | Percent increase, 1950 to 1960 |
|-----------------------------------------|--------------------|------------|--------------------------------|-------------------------------------------|--------------------|-----------|--------------------------------|
| ALABAMA..... | 3,245,806 | 3,061,743 | 6.0 | DELAWARE (1 Representative at large)..... | 442,891 | 318,085 | 39.2 |
| First..... | 439,465 | 371,119 | 18.4 | FLORIDA..... | 4,886,016 | 2,771,305 | 76.3 |
| Second..... | 384,305 | 370,389 | 3.8 | First..... | 807,182 | 436,365 | 85.0 |
| Third..... | 308,832 | 303,587 | 1.7 | Second..... | 467,724 | 318,352 | 46.9 |
| Fourth..... | 312,963 | 301,665 | 3.7 | Third..... | 560,691 | 404,824 | 38.5 |
| Fifth..... | 303,746 | 310,683 | -2.2 | Fourth..... | 966,251 | 525,041 | 84.0 |
| Sixth..... | 250,634 | 250,726 | ... | Fifth..... | 728,789 | 371,454 | 96.2 |
| Seventh..... | 234,915 | 273,187 | -14.0 | Sixth..... | 733,367 | 284,362 | 157.9 |
| Eighth..... | 381,698 | 321,459 | 18.7 | Seventh..... | 381,963 | 220,479 | 73.2 |
| Ninth..... | 629,248 | 558,928 | 12.6 | Eighth..... | 240,049 | 210,428 | 14.1 |
| ALASKA (1 Representative at large)..... | 224,094 | 128,643 | 74.2 | GEORGIA..... | 3,910,817 | 3,444,578 | 13.5 |
| ARIZONA..... | 1,288,433 | 749,587 | 71.9 | First..... | 377,386 | 350,052 | 7.8 |
| First..... | 657,688 | 331,770 | 98.2 | Second..... | 299,062 | 285,665 | 4.7 |
| Second..... | 630,745 | 417,817 | 51.0 | Third..... | 419,223 | 383,148 | 9.4 |
| ARKANSAS..... | 1,771,343 | 1,909,511 | -7.2 | Fourth..... | 321,904 | 298,251 | 7.9 |
| First..... | 358,185 | 407,480 | -12.1 | Fifth..... | 818,582 | 618,431 | 32.4 |
| Second..... | 181,257 | 224,278 | -19.2 | Sixth..... | 318,217 | 307,951 | 3.3 |
| Third..... | 298,035 | 324,123 | -8.0 | Seventh..... | 450,073 | 367,598 | 22.4 |
| Fourth..... | 299,803 | 337,181 | -11.1 | Eighth..... | 289,339 | 267,014 | 8.4 |
| Fifth..... | 316,886 | 283,437 | 11.8 | Ninth..... | 271,647 | 246,227 | 10.3 |
| Sixth..... | 317,177 | 333,012 | -4.8 | Tenth..... | 345,384 | 320,241 | 7.9 |
| CALIFORNIA..... | 15,506,974 | 10,586,223 | 46.5 | HAWAII (1 Representative at large)..... | 620,346 | 499,794 | 24.1 |
| First..... | 542,584 | 365,281 | 48.5 | IDAHO..... | 662,856 | 588,637 | 12.6 |
| Second..... | 403,518 | 329,060 | 22.6 | First..... | 255,467 | 243,977 | 4.7 |
| Third..... | 662,047 | 395,538 | 67.4 | Second..... | 407,389 | 344,660 | 18.2 |
| Fourth..... | 366,755 | 362,808 | 1.1 | ILLINOIS..... | 10,005,955 | 8,712,176 | 14.9 |
| Fifth..... | 349,521 | 412,549 | -15.3 | First..... | 230,222 | 323,354 | -28.8 |
| Sixth..... | 539,174 | 403,817 | 33.5 | Second..... | 334,550 | 354,611 | -5.7 |
| Seventh..... | 286,304 | 326,643 | -12.3 | Third..... | 418,313 | 349,091 | 19.8 |
| Eighth..... | 599,275 | 413,672 | 44.9 | Fourth..... | 728,704 | 441,887 | 64.9 |
| Ninth..... | 439,267 | 235,659 | 86.4 | Fifth..... | 369,119 | 331,972 | 11.2 |
| Tenth..... | 734,945 | 371,451 | 97.9 | Sixth..... | 341,882 | 336,762 | 1.5 |
| Eleventh..... | 404,813 | 327,981 | 23.4 | Seventh..... | 338,662 | 398,296 | -15.0 |
| Twelfth..... | 490,879 | 383,259 | 28.1 | Eighth..... | 274,163 | 306,202 | -10.5 |
| Thirteenth..... | 643,141 | 394,782 | 62.9 | Ninth..... | 301,282 | 338,701 | -11.0 |
| Fourteenth..... | 503,439 | 424,341 | 18.6 | Tenth..... | 431,376 | 371,859 | 16.0 |
| Fifteenth..... | 382,916 | 360,139 | 6.3 | Eleventh..... | 349,618 | 315,025 | 11.0 |
| Sixteenth..... | 282,594 | 223,703 | 26.3 | Twelfth..... | 359,388 | 354,065 | 1.5 |
| Seventeenth..... | 765,529 | 431,254 | 77.5 | Thirteenth..... | 899,983 | 466,064 | 93.1 |
| Eighteenth..... | 402,927 | 286,505 | 40.6 | Fourteenth..... | 603,823 | 355,643 | 69.8 |
| Nineteenth..... | 642,909 | 453,942 | 41.6 | Fifteenth..... | 412,062 | 324,129 | 27.1 |
| Twentieth..... | 244,122 | 226,679 | 7.7 | Sixteenth..... | 432,004 | 353,631 | 22.2 |
| Twenty-first..... | 716,020 | 390,824 | 83.2 | Seventeenth..... | 383,670 | 344,573 | 11.3 |
| Twenty-second..... | 435,441 | 219,018 | 98.8 | Eighteenth..... | 350,325 | 314,715 | 11.3 |
| Twenty-third..... | 523,345 | 421,623 | 24.1 | Nineteenth..... | 347,070 | 325,903 | 6.5 |
| Twenty-fourth..... | 263,779 | 287,325 | -8.2 | Twentieth..... | 279,557 | 281,468 | -0.7 |
| Twenty-fifth..... | 805,578 | 369,848 | 117.8 | Twenty-first..... | 353,571 | 345,287 | 2.4 |
| Twenty-sixth..... | 505,318 | 480,827 | 5.1 | Twenty-second..... | 389,493 | 336,693 | 15.7 |
| Twenty-seventh..... | 498,402 | 281,642 | 77.0 | Twenty-third..... | 296,621 | 318,549 | -6.9 |
| Twenty-eighth..... | 1,007,140 | 346,844 | 190.4 | Twenty-fourth..... | 480,826 | 388,302 | 23.8 |
| Twenty-ninth..... | 373,079 | 233,021 | 60.1 | Twenty-fifth..... | 299,671 | 335,394 | -10.7 |
| Thirtieth..... | 692,213 | 426,188 | 62.4 | INDIANA..... | 4,633,395 | 3,934,224 | 17.8 |
| COLORADO..... | 1,743,516 | 1,325,089 | 31.6 | First..... | 511,575 | 368,152 | 39.0 |
| First..... | 490,969 | 415,786 | 18.1 | Second..... | 355,395 | 304,235 | 16.8 |
| Second..... | 651,703 | 401,112 | 62.5 | Third..... | 470,773 | 395,846 | 18.9 |
| Third..... | 407,062 | 334,893 | 21.5 | Fourth..... | 387,823 | 328,039 | 18.2 |
| Fourth..... | 193,782 | 173,298 | 11.8 | Fifth..... | 457,333 | 391,696 | 16.8 |
| CONNECTICUT ¹ | 2,516,799 | 2,007,280 | 25.4 | Sixth..... | 331,577 | 296,078 | 12.0 |
| First..... | 686,075 | 539,661 | 27.1 | Seventh..... | 327,830 | 298,798 | 9.7 |
| Second..... | 407,885 | 318,621 | 28.0 | Eighth..... | 419,727 | 393,520 | 6.7 |
| Third..... | 458,599 | 370,356 | 23.8 | Ninth..... | 289,308 | 258,441 | 11.9 |
| Fourth..... | 648,582 | 504,342 | 28.6 | Tenth..... | 391,892 | 347,642 | 12.7 |
| Fifth..... | 315,658 | 274,300 | 15.1 | Eleventh..... | 690,162 | 551,777 | 25.1 |

¹ One representative elected at large.

POPULATION OF CONGRESSIONAL DISTRICTS: 1960 AND 1950--Con.
(Minus sign (-) denotes decrease)

| State and Congressional District | 1960 (preliminary) | 1950 | Percent increase, 1950 to 1960 | State and Congressional District | 1960 (preliminary) | 1950 | Percent increase, 1950 to 1960 |
|----------------------------------|--------------------|-----------|--------------------------------|----------------------------------------------|--------------------|-----------|--------------------------------|
| IOWA..... | 2,742,753 | 2,621,073 | 4.6 | MICHIGAN..... | 7,778,220 | 6,371,766 | 22.1 |
| First..... | 401,034 | 372,574 | 7.6 | First..... | 280,459 | 380,670 | -26.3 |
| Second..... | 462,739 | 414,421 | 11.7 | Second..... | 481,245 | 382,826 | 25.7 |
| Third..... | 392,694 | 367,137 | 7.0 | Third..... | 426,864 | 349,661 | 22.1 |
| Fourth..... | 235,500 | 252,926 | -6.9 | Fourth..... | 364,667 | 291,818 | 25.0 |
| Fifth..... | 396,756 | 350,784 | 13.1 | Fifth..... | 458,936 | 362,043 | 26.8 |
| Sixth..... | 296,120 | 300,341 | -1.4 | Sixth..... | 619,836 | 470,629 | 31.7 |
| Seventh..... | 259,435 | 266,253 | -2.6 | Seventh..... | 662,703 | 414,598 | 59.8 |
| Eighth..... | 298,475 | 296,637 | 0.6 | Eighth..... | 395,461 | 333,277 | 18.7 |
| KANSAS..... | 2,177,822 | 1,905,299 | 14.3 | Ninth..... | 310,037 | 275,109 | 12.7 |
| First..... | 356,854 | 319,297 | 11.8 | Tenth..... | 306,023 | 254,508 | 20.2 |
| Second..... | 461,439 | 359,473 | 28.4 | Eleventh..... | 239,586 | 227,810 | 5.2 |
| Third..... | 211,525 | 227,270 | -6.9 | Twelfth..... | 175,968 | 178,251 | -1.3 |
| Fourth..... | 586,761 | 448,435 | 30.8 | Thirteenth..... | 259,487 | 345,341 | -24.9 |
| Fifth..... | 318,633 | 311,283 | 2.4 | Fourteenth..... | 458,310 | 466,448 | -1.7 |
| Sixth..... | 242,610 | 239,541 | 1.3 | Fifteenth..... | 332,363 | 383,944 | -13.4 |
| KENTUCKY..... | 3,015,967 | 2,944,806 | 2.4 | Sixteenth..... | 803,456 | 525,334 | 52.9 |
| First..... | 314,881 | 308,054 | 2.2 | Seventeenth..... | 515,926 | 333,498 | 54.7 |
| Second..... | 318,914 | 315,588 | 1.1 | Eighteenth..... | 686,893 | 396,001 | 73.5 |
| Third..... | 605,801 | 484,615 | 25.0 | MINNESOTA..... | 3,391,348 | 2,982,483 | 13.7 |
| Fourth..... | 302,261 | 275,145 | 9.9 | First..... | 375,519 | 337,730 | 11.2 |
| Fifth..... | 328,005 | 293,928 | 11.6 | Second..... | 372,128 | 321,397 | 15.8 |
| Sixth..... | 406,818 | 380,806 | 6.8 | Third..... | 694,769 | 433,942 | 60.1 |
| Seventh..... | 417,839 | 487,180 | -14.2 | Fourth..... | 421,998 | 355,332 | 18.8 |
| Eighth..... | 321,448 | 399,490 | -19.5 | Fifth..... | 306,310 | 337,552 | -9.3 |
| LOUISIANA..... | 3,233,859 | 2,683,516 | 20.5 | Sixth..... | 329,325 | 326,328 | 0.9 |
| First..... | 446,197 | 350,311 | 27.4 | Seventh..... | 299,248 | 305,519 | -2.1 |
| Second..... | 495,077 | 392,891 | 26.0 | Eighth..... | 328,760 | 291,558 | 12.8 |
| Third..... | 384,358 | 299,747 | 28.2 | Ninth..... | 263,291 | 273,125 | -3.6 |
| Fourth..... | 389,574 | 333,069 | 17.0 | MISSISSIPPI..... | 2,165,064 | 2,178,914 | -0.6 |
| Fifth..... | 345,251 | 323,891 | 6.6 | First..... | 362,520 | 383,521 | -5.5 |
| Sixth..... | 533,319 | 417,898 | 27.6 | Second..... | 236,976 | 262,838 | -9.8 |
| Seventh..... | 378,475 | 315,933 | 19.8 | Third..... | 369,072 | 412,547 | -10.5 |
| Eighth..... | 261,608 | 249,776 | 4.7 | Fourth..... | 457,286 | 426,396 | 7.2 |
| MAINE..... | 961,967 | 913,774 | 5.3 | Fifth..... | 294,057 | 311,340 | -5.6 |
| First..... | 346,637 | 327,874 | 5.7 | Sixth..... | 445,153 | 382,272 | 16.4 |
| Second..... | 302,662 | 295,754 | 2.3 | MISSOURI..... | 4,292,982 | 3,954,653 | 8.6 |
| Third..... | 312,668 | 290,146 | 7.8 | First..... | 565,006 | 427,856 | 32.1 |
| MARYLAND..... | 3,074,860 | 2,343,001 | 31.2 | Second..... | 540,701 | 409,201 | 32.1 |
| First..... | 242,075 | 210,623 | 14.9 | Third..... | 341,395 | 426,088 | -19.9 |
| Second..... | 619,956 | 366,962 | 68.9 | Fourth..... | 467,902 | 323,648 | 44.6 |
| Third..... | 238,698 | 298,598 | -20.1 | Fifth..... | 300,976 | 355,640 | -15.4 |
| Fourth..... | 289,218 | 312,835 | -7.5 | Sixth..... | 358,323 | 336,214 | 6.6 |
| Fifth..... | 720,109 | 426,371 | 68.9 | Seventh..... | 399,088 | 402,442 | -0.8 |
| Sixth..... | 605,016 | 416,389 | 45.3 | Eighth..... | 331,918 | 276,499 | 20.0 |
| Seventh..... | 359,788 | 311,223 | 15.6 | Ninth..... | 374,765 | 353,791 | 5.9 |
| MASSACHUSETTS..... | 5,115,295 | 4,690,514 | 9.1 | Tenth..... | 299,806 | 332,680 | -9.9 |
| First..... | 360,930 | 299,623 | 20.5 | Eleventh..... | 313,102 | 310,594 | 0.8 |
| Second..... | 409,235 | 343,206 | 19.2 | MONTANA..... | 669,547 | 591,024 | 13.3 |
| Third..... | 302,873 | 318,447 | -4.9 | First..... | 272,304 | 251,777 | 8.2 |
| Fourth..... | 408,158 | 352,509 | 15.8 | Second..... | 397,243 | 339,247 | 17.1 |
| Fifth..... | 475,143 | 365,724 | 29.9 | NEBRASKA..... | 1,404,556 | 1,325,510 | 6.0 |
| Sixth..... | 336,009 | 302,440 | 11.1 | First..... | 378,919 | 369,970 | 2.4 |
| Seventh..... | 279,598 | 281,589 | -0.7 | Second..... | 419,511 | 341,641 | 22.8 |
| Eighth..... | 351,476 | 328,867 | 6.9 | Third..... | 294,462 | 298,104 | -1.2 |
| Ninth..... | 416,259 | 344,710 | 20.8 | Fourth..... | 311,664 | 315,795 | -1.3 |
| Tenth..... | 374,703 | 390,896 | -4.1 | NEVADA (1 Represent- ative at large)..... | 282,137 | 160,083 | 76.2 |
| Eleventh..... | 268,339 | 334,672 | -19.8 | NEW HAMPSHIRE..... | 600,782 | 533,242 | 12.7 |
| Twelfth..... | 274,493 | 332,581 | -17.5 | First..... | 327,446 | 276,945 | 18.2 |
| Thirteenth..... | 449,175 | 354,679 | 26.6 | Second..... | 273,336 | 256,297 | 6.6 |
| Fourteenth..... | 408,904 | 340,571 | 20.1 | | | | |

POPULATION OF CONGRESSIONAL DISTRICTS: 1960 AND 1950--Con.
(Minus sign (-) denotes decrease)

| State and Congressional District | 1960 (preliminary) | 1950 | Percent increase, 1950 to 1960 | State and Congressional District | 1960 (preliminary) | 1950 | Percent increase, 1950 to 1960 |
|----------------------------------------------------|--------------------|------------|--------------------------------|------------------------------------------------------|--------------------|------------|--------------------------------|
| NEW JERSEY | 6,039,594 | 4,835,329 | 24.9 | NORTH CAROLINA | 4,531,834 | 4,061,929 | 11.6 |
| First..... | 582,099 | 441,978 | 31.7 | First..... | 252,251 | 247,894 | 1.8 |
| Second..... | 312,041 | 258,127 | 20.9 | Second..... | 313,098 | 306,904 | 2.0 |
| Third..... | 611,853 | 390,333 | 56.8 | Third..... | 378,599 | 308,470 | 22.7 |
| Fourth..... | 490,014 | 365,691 | 34.0 | Fourth..... | 439,983 | 401,913 | 9.5 |
| Fifth..... | 663,643 | 419,911 | 58.0 | Fifth..... | 406,771 | 355,088 | 14.6 |
| Sixth..... | 503,333 | 398,138 | 26.4 | Sixth..... | 486,887 | 398,351 | 22.2 |
| Seventh..... | 554,000 | 371,224 | 49.2 | Seventh..... | 451,788 | 394,214 | 14.6 |
| Eighth..... | 392,093 | 331,691 | 18.2 | Eighth..... | 394,767 | 369,455 | 6.9 |
| Ninth..... | 450,947 | 351,976 | 28.1 | Ninth..... | 361,935 | 338,907 | 6.8 |
| Tenth..... | 302,356 | 312,306 | -3.2 | Tenth..... | 451,018 | 360,318 | 25.2 |
| Eleventh..... | 307,195 | 310,723 | -1.1 | Eleventh..... | 306,823 | 295,724 | 3.8 |
| Twelfth..... | 361,213 | 338,535 | 6.7 | Twelfth..... | 287,914 | 284,691 | 1.1 |
| Thirteenth..... | 255,454 | 269,643 | -5.3 | NORTH DAKOTA (2 Representatives at large).... | 627,209 | 619,636 | 1.2 |
| Fourteenth..... | 253,353 | 275,053 | -7.9 | OHIO | 9,647,079 | 7,946,627 | 21.4 |
| NEW MEXICO (2 Representatives at large).... | 943,981 | 681,187 | 38.6 | First..... | 368,628 | 356,762 | 3.3 |
| NEW YORK | 16,655,836 | 14,830,192 | 12.3 | Second..... | 484,618 | 367,190 | 32.0 |
| First..... | 900,010 | 314,316 | 186.3 | Third..... | 720,042 | 545,644 | 32.0 |
| Second..... | 501,128 | 317,164 | 58.0 | Fourth..... | 355,683 | 305,808 | 16.3 |
| Third..... | 551,070 | 317,414 | 73.6 | Fifth..... | 296,544 | 256,470 | 15.6 |
| Fourth..... | 468,943 | 354,795 | 32.2 | Sixth..... | 378,276 | 316,937 | 19.4 |
| Fifth..... | 387,785 | 372,762 | 4.0 | Seventh..... | 433,605 | 335,739 | 29.1 |
| Sixth..... | 511,136 | 385,761 | 32.5 | Eighth..... | 289,023 | 251,581 | 14.9 |
| Seventh..... | 363,811 | 383,532 | -5.1 | Ninth..... | 454,472 | 395,551 | 14.9 |
| Eighth..... | 349,945 | 382,911 | -8.6 | Tenth..... | 272,365 | 253,267 | 7.5 |
| Ninth..... | 388,487 | 380,969 | 2.0 | Eleventh..... | 509,458 | 345,177 | 47.6 |
| Tenth..... | 350,880 | 388,295 | -9.6 | Twelfth..... | 680,183 | 503,410 | 35.1 |
| Eleventh..... | 407,373 | 381,455 | 6.8 | Thirteenth..... | 387,538 | 286,194 | 35.4 |
| Twelfth..... | 313,984 | 317,635 | -1.1 | Fourteenth..... | 573,859 | 450,449 | 27.4 |
| Thirteenth..... | 352,343 | 367,471 | -4.1 | Fifteenth..... | 234,351 | 226,341 | 3.5 |
| Fourteenth..... | 337,819 | 383,544 | -11.9 | Sixteenth..... | 489,583 | 412,230 | 18.8 |
| Fifteenth..... | 394,643 | 381,449 | 3.5 | Seventeenth..... | 374,732 | 310,456 | 20.7 |
| Sixteenth..... | 297,518 | 336,441 | -11.6 | Eighteenth..... | 328,802 | 321,248 | 2.4 |
| Seventeenth..... | 256,763 | 316,434 | -18.9 | Nineteenth..... | 376,692 | 316,641 | 19.0 |
| Eighteenth..... | 264,706 | 317,594 | -16.7 | Twentieth..... | 462,352 | 413,492 | 11.8 |
| Nineteenth..... | 298,825 | 336,122 | -11.1 | Twenty-first..... | 419,037 | 430,345 | -2.6 |
| Twentieth..... | 266,441 | 336,203 | -20.8 | Twenty-second..... | 356,428 | 305,472 | 16.7 |
| Twenty-first..... | 283,919 | 317,307 | -10.5 | Twenty-third..... | 400,808 | 240,223 | 66.8 |
| Twenty-second..... | 327,339 | 362,825 | -9.8 | OKLAHOMA | 2,303,408 | 2,233,351 | 3.1 |
| Twenty-third..... | 327,942 | 362,833 | -9.6 | First..... | 513,753 | 439,518 | 16.9 |
| Twenty-fourth..... | 335,266 | 362,924 | -7.6 | Second..... | 363,527 | 394,872 | -7.9 |
| Twenty-fifth..... | 424,478 | 362,695 | 17.0 | Third..... | 226,131 | 266,995 | -15.3 |
| Twenty-sixth..... | 399,794 | 320,281 | 24.8 | Fourth..... | 250,196 | 294,577 | -15.1 |
| Twenty-seventh..... | 435,384 | 325,842 | 33.6 | Fifth..... | 548,454 | 436,620 | 25.6 |
| Twenty-eighth..... | 406,362 | 326,682 | 24.4 | Sixth..... | 401,347 | 400,769 | 0.1 |
| Twenty-ninth..... | 394,049 | 324,032 | 21.6 | OREGON | 1,757,691 | 1,521,341 | 15.5 |
| Thirtieth..... | 337,179 | 302,118 | 11.6 | First..... | 515,350 | 434,414 | 18.6 |
| Thirty-first..... | 361,898 | 319,801 | 13.2 | Second..... | 263,084 | 247,383 | 6.3 |
| Thirty-second..... | 314,902 | 307,980 | 2.2 | Third..... | 521,112 | 471,537 | 10.5 |
| Thirty-third..... | 351,520 | 328,950 | 6.9 | Fourth..... | 458,145 | 368,007 | 24.5 |
| Thirty-fourth..... | 382,801 | 330,476 | 15.8 | PENNSYLVANIA | 11,239,301 | 10,498,012 | 7.1 |
| Thirty-fifth..... | 422,071 | 341,719 | 23.5 | First..... | 256,027 | 310,144 | -17.4 |
| Thirty-sixth..... | 356,775 | 326,776 | 9.2 | Second..... | 336,714 | 376,752 | -10.6 |
| Thirty-seventh..... | 445,416 | 393,130 | 13.3 | Third..... | 269,421 | 342,118 | -21.2 |
| Thirty-eighth..... | 410,911 | 342,789 | 19.9 | Fourth..... | 304,969 | 340,173 | -10.3 |
| Thirty-ninth..... | 361,421 | 312,404 | 15.7 | Fifth..... | 461,173 | 372,257 | 23.9 |
| Fortieth..... | 471,444 | 364,962 | 29.2 | Sixth..... | 342,935 | 330,161 | 3.9 |
| Forty-first..... | 330,764 | 364,836 | -9.3 | | | | |
| Forty-second..... | 499,396 | 359,432 | 38.9 | | | | |
| Forty-third..... | 311,195 | 297,131 | 4.7 | | | | |

POPULATION OF CONGRESSIONAL DISTRICTS: 1960 AND 1950—Con.
(Minus sign (-) denotes decrease)

| State and Congressional District | 1960 (preliminary) | 1950 | Percent increase, 1950 to 1960 | State and Congressional District | 1960 (preliminary) | 1950 | Percent increase, 1950 to 1960 | | | | |
|----------------------------------|--------------------|-----------|--------------------------------|-------------------------------------------------|--------------------|---------|--------------------------------|-----------|-----------|------|--|
| PENNSYLVANIA—Con. | | | | TEXAS—Con. | | | | | | | |
| Seventh..... | 551,122 | 414,234 | 33.0 | Eleventh..... | 319,728 | 282,447 | 13.2 | | | | |
| Eighth..... | 534,375 | 342,827 | 55.9 | Twelfth..... | 523,452 | 361,253 | 44.9 | | | | |
| Ninth..... | 481,608 | 393,858 | 22.3 | Thirteenth..... | 323,233 | 312,581 | 3.4 | | | | |
| Tenth..... | 326,546 | 349,780 | -6.6 | Fourteenth..... | 534,357 | 457,290 | 16.9 | | | | |
| Eleventh..... | 345,695 | 392,241 | -11.9 | Fifteenth..... | 511,982 | 455,421 | 12.4 | | | | |
| Twelfth..... | 276,356 | 317,692 | -13.0 | Sixteenth..... | 567,801 | 351,710 | 61.4 | | | | |
| Thirteenth..... | 515,790 | 353,068 | 46.1 | Seventeenth..... | 286,871 | 271,046 | 5.8 | | | | |
| Fourteenth..... | 274,638 | 255,740 | 7.4 | Eighteenth..... | 360,562 | 289,449 | 24.6 | | | | |
| Fifteenth..... | 292,771 | 276,574 | 5.9 | Nineteenth..... | 418,132 | 326,002 | 28.3 | | | | |
| Sixteenth..... | 351,465 | 319,492 | 10.0 | Twentieth..... | 682,481 | 500,460 | 36.4 | | | | |
| Seventeenth..... | 330,774 | 318,271 | 3.9 | Twenty-first..... | 259,545 | 268,894 | -3.5 | | | | |
| Eighteenth..... | 352,979 | 339,527 | 4.0 | Twenty-second..... | 671,729 | 405,059 | 65.8 | | | | |
| Nineteenth..... | 413,571 | 341,391 | 21.1 | UTAH..... | | | 886,926 | 688,862 | 28.8 | | |
| Twentieth..... | 295,104 | 291,393 | 1.3 | First..... | 315,616 | 286,552 | 10.1 | | | | |
| Twenty-first..... | 351,735 | 313,179 | 12.3 | Second..... | 571,310 | 402,310 | 42.0 | | | | |
| Twenty-second..... | 356,710 | 367,489 | -2.9 | VERMONT (1 Representative at large)..... | | | 387,291 | 377,747 | 2.5 | | |
| Twenty-third..... | 290,916 | 291,571 | -0.2 | VIRGINIA..... | | | 3,903,555 | 3,318,680 | 17.6 | | |
| Twenty-fourth..... | 451,610 | 410,290 | 10.1 | First..... | 420,616 | 307,144 | 36.9 | | | | |
| Twenty-fifth..... | 432,789 | 377,632 | 14.6 | Second..... | 457,264 | 403,923 | 13.2 | | | | |
| Twenty-sixth..... | 422,426 | 444,921 | -5.1 | Third..... | 416,180 | 334,127 | 24.6 | | | | |
| Twenty-seventh..... | 470,775 | 377,219 | 24.8 | Fourth..... | 350,259 | 338,514 | 3.5 | | | | |
| Twenty-eighth..... | 323,801 | 371,044 | -12.7 | Fifth..... | 324,871 | 316,734 | 2.6 | | | | |
| Twenty-ninth..... | 425,105 | 376,079 | 13.0 | Sixth..... | 377,025 | 337,947 | 11.6 | | | | |
| Thirtieth..... | 399,401 | 390,895 | 2.2 | Seventh..... | 311,992 | 289,598 | 7.7 | | | | |
| RHODE ISLAND..... | | | | Eight..... | 354,383 | 296,985 | 19.3 | | | | |
| First..... | 841,852 | 791,896 | 6.3 | Ninth..... | 363,996 | 390,380 | -6.8 | | | | |
| Second..... | 386,708 | 375,291 | 3.0 | Tenth..... | 526,969 | 303,328 | 73.7 | | | | |
| SOUTH CAROLINA..... | | | | WASHINGTON..... | | | | 2,829,871 | 2,378,963 | 19.0 | |
| First..... | 2,359,234 | 2,117,027 | 11.4 | First..... | 419,309 | 342,766 | 22.3 | | | | |
| Second..... | 413,573 | 345,953 | 19.5 | Second..... | 363,327 | 283,392 | 28.2 | | | | |
| Third..... | 524,491 | 415,893 | 26.1 | Third..... | 338,949 | 321,162 | 5.5 | | | | |
| Fourth..... | 315,934 | 307,719 | 2.7 | Fourth..... | 412,432 | 357,416 | 15.4 | | | | |
| Fifth..... | 442,624 | 396,809 | 11.5 | Fifth..... | 397,503 | 341,869 | 16.3 | | | | |
| Sixth..... | 271,031 | 266,559 | 1.7 | Sixth..... | 393,840 | 346,697 | 13.6 | | | | |
| SOUTH DAKOTA..... | | | | Seventh..... | 504,511 | 385,661 | 30.8 | | | | |
| First..... | 676,738 | 652,740 | 3.7 | WEST VIRGINIA..... | | | | 1,847,936 | 2,005,552 | -7.9 | |
| Second..... | 495,548 | 493,641 | 0.4 | First..... | 271,808 | 279,954 | -2.9 | | | | |
| TENNESSEE..... | | | | Second..... | 276,020 | 302,297 | -8.7 | | | | |
| First..... | 3,536,240 | 3,291,718 | 7.4 | Third..... | 266,302 | 315,479 | -15.6 | | | | |
| Second..... | 458,571 | 434,171 | 5.6 | Fourth..... | 343,591 | 330,906 | 3.8 | | | | |
| Third..... | 493,049 | 468,080 | 5.3 | Fifth..... | 273,462 | 330,450 | -17.2 | | | | |
| Fourth..... | 408,653 | 380,649 | 7.4 | Sixth..... | 416,753 | 446,466 | -6.7 | | | | |
| Fifth..... | 386,880 | 390,363 | -0.9 | WISCONSIN..... | | | | 3,930,312 | 3,434,575 | 14.4 | |
| Sixth..... | 394,338 | 321,758 | 22.6 | First..... | 432,879 | 343,357 | 26.1 | | | | |
| Seventh..... | 322,382 | 318,449 | 1.2 | Second..... | 528,960 | 389,961 | 35.6 | | | | |
| Eighth..... | 230,805 | 247,912 | -6.9 | Third..... | 298,764 | 300,025 | -0.4 | | | | |
| Ninth..... | 221,840 | 247,943 | -10.5 | Fourth..... | 551,180 | 438,041 | 25.8 | | | | |
| TEXAS..... | | | | Fifth..... | 476,011 | 433,006 | 9.9 | | | | |
| First..... | 9,488,620 | 7,711,194 | 23.0 | Sixth..... | 375,869 | 315,666 | 19.1 | | | | |
| Second..... | 243,887 | 276,945 | -11.9 | Seventh..... | 317,389 | 303,389 | 4.6 | | | | |
| Third..... | 418,751 | 341,492 | 22.6 | Eighth..... | 409,223 | 360,610 | 13.5 | | | | |
| Fourth..... | 292,663 | 294,499 | -0.6 | Ninth..... | 305,896 | 300,866 | 1.7 | | | | |
| Fifth..... | 213,374 | 227,735 | -6.3 | Tenth..... | 234,141 | 249,654 | -6.2 | | | | |
| Sixth..... | 939,845 | 614,799 | 52.9 | WYOMING (1 Representative at large)..... | | | | 327,531 | 290,529 | 12.7 | |
| Seventh..... | 245,554 | 267,331 | -8.1 | | | | | | | | |
| Eighth..... | 264,136 | 284,361 | -7.1 | | | | | | | | |
| Ninth..... | 564,975 | 401,642 | 40.7 | | | | | | | | |
| Tenth..... | 494,413 | 406,311 | 21.7 | | | | | | | | |
| | 351,149 | 314,467 | 11.7 | | | | | | | | |

1960 Census of Population

PRELIMINARY REPORTS

POPULATION SUMMARIES

September 1960

Series PC(P3)-2

CITIES OF 100,000 INHABITANTS OR MORE APRIL 1, 1960

(This report is based on preliminary counts of the population compiled in the 1960 Census field offices)

Fifty million Americans were living in the 128 cities of 100,000 inhabitants or more in the United States, according to a preliminary count of the returns of the 1960 Census of Population. These 128 cities had a combined population of 50 million, or 3.9 million more than the 1950 population in these cities. This overall gain was recorded despite declines in the population of 43 of the cities, including 8 of the 10 most populous. The rate of gain for the 128 cities was 8.4 percent, in contrast to an increase of 21.5 percent in the number of persons living outside cities of 100,000 or more. Part of the growth in these cities is due to annexations.

The number of cities of 100,000 or more in 1960 represents a gain of 21 over the cities of this size in 1950. Twenty-six cities were added to the list, whereas five cities--Wilmington, Delaware; Fall River and Somerville, Massachusetts; Reading, Pennsylvania; and Utica, New York--dropped below 100,000 in 1960. As in 1950, the South had the largest number of cities of 100,000 or more (42), followed by the North Central States (35), the Northeast (28), and the West (23). The South also had the greatest increase over 1950, with a net gain of ten cities of 100,000 or more. The North Central States and the West each had seven more than in 1950. The Northeast, on the other hand, had a loss of three.

California leads the States in the number of cities of 100,000 or more. The 13 cities of this size in the State include 5--Anaheim, Fresno, Glendale, San Jose, and Torrance--which were added to the list in 1960. Texas ranks second to California with 11 such cities, 4 of which--Amarillo, Beaumont, Lubbock, and Wichita Falls--reached 100,000 in the 1950-1960 decade.

Of the ten most populous cities in 1950, nine were among the first ten in 1960. Boston, which had ranked 10th in 1950, dropped to 13th in 1960. Houston, which replaced Boston in the first 10, advanced from 14th to 6th. Of the first 10 in 1950, only New York, Chicago, and Detroit had the same rank in 1960 as in 1950.

A number of cities had spectacular advances in rank. Newport News, Virginia, which ranked 283d in 1950, advanced to 108th in 1960. Tucson, Arizona, jumped from number 262 to 54. Other cities which had advanced 50 places or more are Phoenix, Arizona; San Jose, California; Albuquerque, New Mexico; Amarillo and Lubbock, Texas; and Greensboro, North Carolina. All these cities annexed territory between 1950 and 1960.

The preliminary figures shown in this report will be superseded by the final counts of the population. Final counts for cities of 100,000 inhabitants or more will be shown first in Advance Reports, Series PC(A1), which are now being issued.



U.S. DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE, Frederick H. Mueller, *Secretary*
BUREAU OF THE CENSUS, Robert W. Burgess, *Director*

For sale by the Bureau of the Census, Washington 25, D.C., and U.S. Department of Commerce Field Offices, 10 cents. Complete set of reports, 50 cents.



CITIES OF 100,000 INHABITANTS OR MORE: APRIL 1, 1960

(Minus sign (-) denotes decrease)

| City | Rank | | Population | | Percent change, 1950 to 1960 | City | Rank | | Population | | Percent change, 1950 to 1960 |
|-----------------------|------|------|------------|-----------|------------------------------|-----------------------|------|------|------------|---------|------------------------------|
| | 1960 | 1950 | 1960 | 1950 | | | 1960 | 1950 | 1960 | 1950 | |
| New York, N.Y. | 1 | 1 | 7,710,346 | 7,891,957 | -2.3 | Salt Lake City, Utah | 65 | 53 | 188,693 | 182,121 | 3.6 |
| Chicago, Ill. | 2 | 2 | 3,511,648 | 3,620,962 | -3.0 | Worcester, Mass. | 66 | 51 | 185,977 | 203,486 | -8.6 |
| Los Angeles, Calif. | 3 | 4 | 2,450,068 | 1,970,358 | 24.3 | Austin, Texas | 67 | 73 | 185,052 | 132,459 | 39.7 |
| Philadelphia, Pa. | 4 | 3 | 1,971,239 | 2,071,605 | -4.8 | Spokane, Wash. | 68 | 63 | 180,882 | 161,721 | 11.8 |
| Detroit, Mich. | 5 | 5 | 1,654,125 | 1,849,568 | -10.6 | St. Petersburg, Fla. | 69 | 114 | 177,927 | 96,738 | 83.9 |
| Houston, Texas | 6 | 14 | 932,630 | 596,163 | 56.4 | Gary, Ind. | 70 | 71 | 177,414 | 133,911 | 32.5 |
| Baltimore, Md. | 7 | 6 | 922,244 | 949,708 | -2.9 | Grand Rapids, Mich. | 71 | 56 | 165,344 | 127,515 | -0.7 |
| Cleveland, Ohio | 8 | 7 | 869,728 | 914,808 | -4.9 | Springfield, Mass. | 72 | 62 | 173,736 | 162,399 | 7.0 |
| St. Louis, Mo. | 9 | 8 | 747,127 | 856,796 | -12.8 | Nashville, Tenn. | 73 | 57 | 167,357 | 174,307 | -4.0 |
| Washington, D.C. | 10 | 9 | 745,603 | 802,178 | -7.1 | Youngstown, Ohio | 74 | 58 | 165,844 | 168,330 | -1.5 |
| Milwaukee, Wis. | 11 | 13 | 732,637 | 637,392 | 14.9 | Corpus Christi, Texas | 75 | 98 | 165,724 | 108,287 | 53.0 |
| San Francisco, Calif. | 12 | 11 | 716,276 | 775,357 | -7.6 | Shreveport, La. | 76 | 81 | 163,663 | 127,206 | 28.7 |
| Boston, Mass. | 13 | 10 | 682,303 | 801,444 | -14.9 | Port Wayne, Ind. | 77 | 72 | 161,144 | 133,607 | 20.6 |
| Dallas, Texas | 14 | 22 | 672,424 | 434,462 | 54.8 | Hartford, Conn. | 78 | 55 | 161,077 | 177,397 | -9.2 |
| New Orleans, La. | 15 | 16 | 621,259 | 570,445 | 8.9 | Bridgeport, Conn. | 79 | 64 | 155,645 | 158,709 | -1.9 |
| Pittsburgh, Pa. | 16 | 12 | 597,745 | 676,806 | -11.7 | Baton Rouge, La. | 80 | 82 | 151,596 | 125,629 | 20.7 |
| San Antonio, Texas | 17 | 25 | 583,690 | 408,442 | 42.9 | New Haven, Conn. | 81 | 60 | 149,501 | 164,443 | -9.1 |
| Seattle, Wash. | 18 | 19 | 551,539 | 467,591 | 18.0 | Savannah, Ga. | 82 | 88 | 147,537 | 119,638 | 23.3 |
| San Diego, Calif. | 19 | 31 | 544,496 | 334,387 | 62.8 | Tacoma, Wash. | 83 | 66 | 147,023 | 143,873 | 2.3 |
| Buffalo, N.Y. | 20 | 15 | 529,646 | 580,132 | -8.7 | Jackson, Miss. | 84 | 111 | 143,962 | 98,271 | 46.5 |
| Cincinnati, Ohio | 21 | 18 | 494,883 | 503,998 | -1.8 | Paterson, N.J. | 85 | 67 | 142,301 | 139,336 | 2.1 |
| Memphis, Tenn. | 22 | 26 | 491,710 | 396,000 | 24.2 | Evansville, Ind. | 86 | 79 | 140,493 | 128,636 | 9.2 |
| Denver, Colo. | 23 | 24 | 490,969 | 415,786 | 18.1 | Amarillo, Texas | 87 | 156 | 136,199 | 74,246 | 83.4 |
| Atlanta, Ga. | 24 | 33 | 484,825 | 331,314 | 46.3 | Erie, Pa. | 88 | 75 | 136,136 | 130,803 | 4.1 |
| Minneapolis, Minn. | 25 | 17 | 477,884 | 521,718 | -8.4 | Montgomery, Ala. | 89 | 101 | 133,546 | 106,525 | 25.4 |
| Kansas City, Mo. | 26 | 20 | 473,435 | 456,622 | 3.7 | South Bend, Ind. | 90 | 90 | 132,100 | 115,911 | 14.0 |
| Indianapolis, Ind. | 27 | 23 | 469,037 | 427,173 | 9.8 | Fresno, Calif. | 91 | 125 | 131,595 | 91,669 | 43.6 |
| Columbus, Ohio | 28 | 28 | 468,660 | 375,901 | 24.7 | Albany, N.Y. | 92 | 69 | 128,011 | 134,995 | -5.2 |
| Phoenix, Ariz. | 29 | 99 | 434,277 | 106,818 | 306.6 | Lincoln, Nebr. | 93 | 110 | 127,799 | 98,884 | 29.2 |
| Newark, N.J. | 30 | 21 | 402,815 | 438,776 | -8.2 | Chattanooga, Tenn. | 94 | 74 | 127,796 | 131,041 | -2.5 |
| Louisville, Ky. | 31 | 30 | 383,329 | 369,129 | 3.8 | Madison, Wis. | 95 | 115 | 126,063 | 96,056 | 31.2 |
| Portland, Oreg. | 32 | 29 | 371,042 | 373,628 | -0.7 | Rockford, Ill. | 96 | 120 | 125,978 | 92,927 | 35.6 |
| Oakland, Calif. | 33 | 27 | 361,057 | 384,575 | -6.1 | Lubbock, Texas | 97 | 164 | 125,931 | 71,747 | 75.5 |
| Fort Worth, Texas | 34 | 38 | 347,368 | 278,778 | 24.6 | Kansas City, Kans. | 98 | 77 | 121,499 | 129,553 | -6.2 |
| Birmingham, Ala. | 35 | 34 | 339,211 | 326,037 | 4.0 | Greensboro, N.C. | 99 | 154 | 119,184 | 74,389 | 60.2 |
| Long Beach, Calif. | 36 | 41 | 329,443 | 250,767 | 31.4 | Beaumont, Texas | 100 | 119 | 118,791 | 94,014 | 26.4 |
| Oklahoma City, Okla. | 37 | 46 | 321,599 | 243,504 | 32.1 | Topeka, Kans. | 101 | 145 | 118,561 | 78,791 | 50.5 |
| Rochester, N.Y. | 38 | 32 | 316,083 | 332,488 | 4.9 | Glendale, Calif. | 102 | 116 | 118,330 | 95,702 | 23.6 |
| Toledo, Ohio | 39 | 36 | 315,987 | 303,616 | 4.1 | Columbus, Ga. | 103 | 143 | 115,741 | 79,611 | 45.4 |
| St. Paul, Minn. | 40 | 35 | 313,209 | 311,349 | 0.6 | Camden, N.J. | 104 | 86 | 115,363 | 124,555 | -7.4 |
| Omaha, Nebr. | 41 | 40 | 300,050 | 251,117 | 19.5 | Pasadena, Calif. | 105 | 102 | 114,942 | 104,577 | 9.9 |
| Honolulu, Hawaii | 42 | 44 | 290,030 | 248,034 | 16.9 | Trenton, N.J. | 106 | 80 | 114,015 | 128,009 | -10.9 |
| Akron, Ohio | 43 | 39 | 287,659 | 274,605 | 4.8 | Canton, Ohio | 107 | 89 | 112,750 | 116,912 | -3.6 |
| Miami, Fla. | 44 | 42 | 284,492 | 249,276 | 14.1 | Newport News, Va. | 108 | 283 | 112,684 | 42,358 | 166.0 |
| Norfolk, Va. | 45 | 49 | 276,897 | 213,313 | 29.7 | Hammond, Ind. | 109 | 129 | 111,316 | 87,594 | 27.1 |
| Jersey City, N.J. | 46 | 37 | 274,039 | 299,017 | -8.4 | Dearborn, Mich. | 110 | 118 | 111,077 | 94,994 | 16.9 |
| El Paso, Texas | 47 | 76 | 273,212 | 130,485 | 109.4 | Winston Salem, N.C. | 111 | 128 | 110,446 | 87,811 | 25.8 |
| Tampa, Fla. | 48 | 85 | 270,685 | 124,681 | 117.1 | Scranton, Pa. | 112 | 83 | 110,273 | 125,536 | -12.2 |
| Dayton, Ohio | 49 | 45 | 258,183 | 243,872 | 5.9 | Knoxville, Tenn. | 113 | 84 | 110,089 | 124,769 | -11.8 |
| Tulsa, Okla. | 50 | 52 | 257,752 | 182,740 | 41.0 | Allentown, Pa. | 114 | 100 | 108,551 | 106,756 | 1.7 |
| Wichita, Kans. | 51 | 59 | 254,059 | 168,279 | 51.0 | Berkeley, Calif. | 115 | 91 | 108,539 | 113,805 | -4.6 |
| Richmond, Va. | 52 | 47 | 218,486 | 230,310 | -5.1 | Lansing, Mich. | 116 | 122 | 108,128 | 92,129 | 17.4 |
| Syracuse, N.Y. | 53 | 48 | 215,417 | 220,583 | -2.3 | Elizabeth, N.J. | 117 | 92 | 107,377 | 112,817 | -4.8 |
| Tucson, Ariz. | 54 | 262 | 209,305 | 45,454 | 360.5 | Portsmouth, Va. | 118 | 142 | 106,884 | 80,039 | 33.5 |
| Des Moines, Iowa | 55 | 54 | 207,823 | 177,965 | 16.8 | Cambridge, Mass. | 119 | 87 | 106,813 | 120,740 | -11.5 |
| Providence, R.I. | 56 | 43 | 206,352 | 248,674 | -17.0 | Waterbury, Conn. | 120 | 104 | 106,167 | 104,477 | 1.6 |
| San Jose, Calif. | 57 | 117 | 201,490 | 95,280 | 111.5 | Little Rock, Ark. | 121 | 106 | 105,737 | 102,213 | 3.4 |
| Charlotte, N.C. | 58 | 70 | 200,882 | 134,042 | 49.9 | Duluth, Minn. | 122 | 103 | 105,312 | 104,511 | 0.8 |
| Albuquerque, N. Mex. | 59 | 113 | 198,711 | 96,815 | 105.2 | Anaheim, Calif. | 123 | (1) | 103,405 | 14,556 | 610.4 |
| Jacksonville, Fla. | 60 | 50 | 197,948 | 204,517 | -3.2 | Peoria, Ill. | 124 | 94 | 102,714 | 111,856 | -8.2 |
| Flint, Mich. | 61 | 61 | 194,958 | 163,143 | 19.5 | Niagara Falls, N.Y. | 125 | 126 | 101,829 | 90,872 | 12.1 |
| Mobile, Ala. | 62 | 78 | 191,393 | 129,009 | 48.4 | New Bedford, Mass. | 126 | 97 | 101,809 | 109,189 | -6.8 |
| Sacramento, Calif. | 63 | 68 | 190,273 | 137,572 | 38.3 | Torrance, Calif. | 127 | (1) | 100,635 | 22,241 | 352.5 |
| Yonkers, N.Y. | 64 | 65 | 189,242 | 152,798 | 23.9 | Wichita Falls, Texas | 128 | 172 | 100,455 | 68,042 | 47.6 |

¹ Cities with fewer than 25,000 inhabitants in 1950 not ranked.

1960
Census
of
Population

PRELIMINARY REPORTS

POPULATION SUMMARIES

October 1960

PC(P3)-3

CITIES OF 25,000 INHABITANTS OR MORE
APRIL 1, 1960

(This report is based on preliminary counts of population compiled in the
1960 Census field offices)

The number of cities of 25,000 inhabitants or more rose from 483 in 1950 to 673 in 1960, according to a preliminary count of returns from the 1960 Census of Population. The net gain of 190 resulted from the addition of 195 new names to the list of cities of this size and the deletion of 5 cities which fell below 25,000. Of the additions, 19 were places incorporated subsequent to April 1, 1950. The 5 which dropped below 25,000 were La Grange, Ga.; Morgantown, W. Va.; New Kensington and Washington, Pa.; and Winona, Minn.

All four regions shared in the increase in the number of cities of 25,000 inhabitants or more. The West led with a gain of 64, followed by the North Central States and the South, both of which had gains of 52, and the Northeast with a gain of 22. Among the States, California was the leader with an increase of 51, or more than one-fourth of the overall increase. Three States--Texas (18), Michigan (17), and Illinois (15)--accounted for another fourth of the gain. No other State gained as many as 10.

The North Central States led all the other regions, with 206 cities of 25,000 inhabitants or more. The South, which had ranked third in 1950, was second with 178. The Northeast had 159 cities of this size, and

the West had 130. California ranked first among the States with 86 cities, or more than the combined total for Illinois and Ohio, which ranked second and third with 41 and 40, respectively. Other States with more than 30 cities of this size were Texas (39), Michigan (37), New Jersey (36), Massachusetts (35), and New York (33).

Six of the cities incorporated subsequent to April 1, 1950, had a population in excess of 50,000. Four of these--Garden Grove, Norwalk, Downey, and Lakewood--are in California. The other two cities in this category are Kettering, Ohio, and Bloomington, Minn.

Despite the overall increase in the population of the Nation, one out of every five of the 673 cities of 25,000 or more had fewer residents in 1960 than in 1950. Of the 139 cities with losses, more than half--75--were in the Northeast. Pennsylvania, New York, New Jersey, and Massachusetts led in the number of cities with declines and accounted for 67 of the cities with population losses in the region. Only one other State--Ohio--had more than 10 cities showing losses.

The largest gain in population was recorded by Los Angeles, which increased by



U.S. DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE, Frederick H. Mueller, *Secretary*
BUREAU OF THE CENSUS, Robert W. Burgess, *Director*

*For sale by the Bureau of the Census, Washington 25, D.C., and U.S. Department of
Commerce Field Offices, 10 cents. Complete set of reports, 50 cents.*



nearly 480,000. Other cities with gains of 100,000 or more were Phoenix and Tucson, Ariz.; San Diego, Calif.; Tampa, Fla.; Atlanta, Ga.; Albuquerque, N. Mex.; and Dallas, Houston, and San Antonio, Texas. On the other hand, six cities--Chicago, Ill.; Boston, Mass.; Detroit, Mich.; St. Louis, Mo.; New York, N.Y.; and Philadelphia, Pa.--had losses in excess of 100,000.

In this report, the term "city" is used to designate places incorporated as cities,

villages, boroughs, and towns (except in the New England States, New York, and Wisconsin). Most incorporated places of 25,000 or more are cities, but Endicott, N.Y., and Bloomington, Minn., for example, are villages.

The preliminary figures shown in this report will be superseded by the final counts of the population. Final counts for cities of 25,000 inhabitants or more will be published first in Advance Reports, Series PC(A1), the first of which are now being issued.

CITIES OF 25,000 INHABITANTS OR MORE: APRIL 1, 1960

(Minus sign (-) denotes decrease)

| County | 1960 (preliminary) | 1950 | Percent in- crease, 1950 to 1960 | County | 1960 (preliminary) | 1950 | Percent in- crease, 1950 to 1960 |
|---------------------------------|-----------------------|---------|----------------------------------------------|--------------------------------|-----------------------|-----------|----------------------------------------------|
| ALABAMA | | | | CALIFORNIA--Con. | | | |
| Anniston..... | 33,320 | 31,066 | 7.3 | Gardena..... | 36,116 | 14,405 | 150.7 |
| Bessemer..... | 32,681 | 28,445 | 14.9 | Garden Grove..... | 84,417 | ... | ... |
| Birmingham..... | 339,211 | 326,037 | 4.0 | Glendale..... | 118,330 | 95,702 | 23.6 |
| Decatur..... | 28,265 | 19,974 | 41.5 | Hayward..... | 32,918 | 16,316 | 101.8 |
| Dothan..... | 31,237 | 21,584 | 44.7 | Hawthorne..... | 72,396 | 14,272 | 407.3 |
| Florence..... | 31,518 | 23,879 | 32.0 | Huntington Park..... | 27,687 | 29,450 | -6.0 |
| Gadsden..... | 54,440 | 55,725 | -2.3 | Inglewood..... | 62,742 | 46,185 | 35.8 |
| Huntsville..... | 71,880 | 16,437 | 337.3 | La Habra..... | 25,034 | 4,961 | 404.6 |
| Mobile..... | 191,393 | 129,009 | 48.4 | Lakewood ¹ | 67,015 | ... | ... |
| Montgomery..... | 133,546 | 106,525 | 25.4 | La Mesa..... | 29,892 | 10,946 | 173.1 |
| Phenix City..... | 27,402 | 23,305 | 17.6 | Long Beach..... | 329,443 | 250,767 | 31.4 |
| Prichard..... | 47,241 | 19,014 | 148.5 | Los Angeles..... | 2,450,068 | 1,970,358 | 24.3 |
| Selma..... | 28,273 | 22,840 | 23.8 | Lynwood..... | 31,172 | 25,823 | 20.7 |
| Tuscaloosa..... | 63,125 | 46,396 | 36.1 | Manhattan Beach..... | 33,507 | 17,330 | 93.3 |
| ALASKA | | | | Menlo Park..... | 27,223 | 13,587 | 100.4 |
| Anchorage..... | 43,753 | 11,254 | 288.8 | Modesto..... | 36,099 | 17,389 | 107.6 |
| ARIZONA | | | | Monrovia..... | 27,555 | 20,186 | 36.5 |
| Mesa..... | 33,547 | 16,790 | 99.8 | Montebello..... | 31,889 | 21,735 | 46.7 |
| Phoenix..... | 434,277 | 106,818 | 306.6 | Monterey Park..... | 37,573 | 20,395 | 84.2 |
| Tucson..... | 209,305 | 45,454 | 360.5 | Mountain View..... | 31,252 | 6,563 | 376.2 |
| ARKANSAS | | | | National City..... | 32,329 | 21,199 | 52.5 |
| El Dorado..... | 25,168 | 23,076 | 9.1 | Newport Beach..... | 25,884 | 12,120 | 113.6 |
| Fort Smith..... | 52,823 | 47,942 | 10.2 | Norwalk ¹ | 88,643 | ... | ... |
| Hot Springs..... | 25,207 | 29,307 | -14.0 | Oakland..... | 361,057 | 384,575 | -6.1 |
| Little Rock..... | 105,737 | 102,213 | 3.4 | Ontario..... | 45,979 | 22,872 | 101.0 |
| North Little Rock..... | 57,211 | 44,097 | 29.7 | Orange..... | 26,239 | 10,027 | 161.7 |
| Pine Bluff..... | 43,586 | 37,162 | 17.3 | Oxnard..... | 40,796 | 21,567 | 89.2 |
| CALIFORNIA | | | | Palo Alto..... | 52,171 | 25,475 | 104.8 |
| Alameda..... | 53,606 | 64,430 | -16.8 | Paramount ¹ | 26,893 | ... | ... |
| Alhambra..... | 54,464 | 51,359 | 6.0 | Pasadena..... | 114,942 | 104,577 | 9.9 |
| Anaheim..... | 103,405 | 14,556 | 610.4 | Pico Rivera ¹ | 49,078 | ... | ... |
| Arcadia..... | 41,044 | 23,066 | 77.9 | Pomona..... | 66,587 | 35,405 | 88.1 |
| Bakersfield..... | 56,145 | 34,784 | 61.4 | Redlands..... | 26,634 | 18,429 | 44.5 |
| Baldwin Park ¹ | 33,608 | ... | ... | Redondo Beach..... | 46,797 | 25,226 | 85.5 |
| Bellflower ¹ | 45,609 | ... | ... | Redwood City..... | 45,861 | 25,544 | 79.5 |
| Berkeley..... | 108,539 | 113,805 | -4.6 | Richmond..... | 71,375 | 99,545 | -28.3 |
| Beverly Hills..... | 30,563 | 29,032 | 5.3 | Riverside..... | 83,714 | 46,764 | 79.0 |
| Buena Park..... | 45,683 | 5,483 | 733.2 | Sacramento..... | 190,273 | 137,572 | 38.3 |
| Burbank..... | 87,895 | 78,577 | 11.9 | Salinas..... | 28,639 | 13,917 | 105.8 |
| Chula Vista..... | 42,350 | 15,927 | 165.9 | San Bernardino..... | 92,381 | 63,058 | 46.5 |
| Compton..... | 70,888 | 47,991 | 47.7 | San Bruno..... | 28,925 | 12,478 | 131.8 |
| Concord..... | 34,940 | 6,953 | 402.5 | San Buenaventura..... | 28,909 | 16,534 | 74.8 |
| Costa Mesa..... | 37,113 | 11,844 | 213.3 | San Diego..... | 544,496 | 334,387 | 62.8 |
| Culver City..... | 31,870 | 19,720 | 61.6 | San Francisco..... | 716,276 | 775,357 | -7.6 |
| Daly City..... | 42,759 | 15,191 | 181.5 | San Jose..... | 201,490 | 95,280 | 111.5 |
| Downey ¹ | 82,482 | ... | ... | San Leandro..... | 66,405 | 27,542 | 141.1 |
| El Cajon..... | 37,289 | 5,600 | 565.9 | San Mateo..... | 69,369 | 41,782 | 66.0 |
| El Cerrito..... | 25,265 | 18,011 | 40.3 | Santa Ana..... | 99,564 | 45,533 | 118.7 |
| Eureka..... | 27,813 | 23,058 | 20.6 | Santa Barbara..... | 58,259 | 44,854 | 29.7 |
| Fremont ¹ | 43,634 | ... | ... | Santa Clara..... | 58,577 | 11,702 | 400.6 |
| Fresno..... | 131,595 | 91,669 | 43.6 | Santa Cruz..... | 25,211 | 21,970 | 14.8 |
| Fullerton..... | 55,973 | 13,958 | 301.0 | Santa Monica..... | 82,845 | 71,595 | 15.7 |
| | | | | Santa Rosa..... | 30,693 | 17,902 | 71.5 |
| | | | | South Gate..... | 53,396 | 51,116 | 4.5 |
| | | | | South San Francisco..... | 38,762 | 19,351 | 100.3 |
| | | | | Stockton..... | 85,452 | 70,853 | 20.6 |
| | | | | Sunnyvale..... | 53,176 | 9,829 | 441.0 |
| | | | | Torrance..... | 100,635 | 22,241 | 352.5 |
| | | | | Vallejo..... | 59,565 | 26,038 | 128.8 |
| | | | | West Covina..... | 51,631 | 4,499 | 1,047.6 |
| | | | | Westminster ¹ | 25,473 | ... | ... |
| | | | | Whittier..... | 34,624 | 23,436 | 47.7 |

¹ Incorporated since April 1, 1950.

CITIES OF 25,000 INHABITANTS OR MORE: APRIL 1, 1960--Con.
(Minus sign (-) denotes decrease)

| County | 1960 (preliminary) | 1950 | Percent in- crease, 1950 to 1960 | County | 1960 (preliminary) | 1950 | Percent in- crease, 1950 to 1960 |
|----------------------------|-----------------------|---------|----------------------------------------------|------------------------|-----------------------|-----------|----------------------------------------------|
| COLORADO | | | | GEORGIA--Con. | | | |
| Aurora..... | 46,934 | 11,421 | 310.9 | Columbus..... | 115,741 | 79,611 | 45.4 |
| Boulder..... | 37,579 | 19,999 | 87.9 | East Point..... | 35,425 | 21,080 | 68.1 |
| Colorado Springs..... | 69,181 | 45,472 | 52.1 | Macon..... | 68,825 | 70,252 | -2.0 |
| Denver..... | 490,969 | 415,786 | 18.1 | Marietta..... | 25,287 | 20,687 | 22.2 |
| Englewood..... | 33,271 | 16,869 | 97.2 | Rome..... | 34,821 | 29,615 | 17.6 |
| Greeley..... | 26,251 | 20,354 | 29.0 | Savannah..... | 147,537 | 119,638 | 23.3 |
| Pueblo..... | 90,440 | 63,685 | 42.0 | Valdosta..... | 30,515 | 20,046 | 52.2 |
| CONNECTICUT | | | | HAWAII | | | |
| Bridgeport..... | 155,645 | 158,709 | -1.9 | Hilo..... | 25,634 | 27,198 | -5.8 |
| Bristol..... | 45,292 | 35,961 | 25.9 | Honolulu..... | 290,030 | 248,034 | 16.9 |
| Hartford..... | 161,077 | 177,397 | -9.2 | IDAHO | | | |
| Meriden..... | 50,966 | 44,088 | 15.6 | Boise City..... | 34,378 | 34,393 | -0.1 |
| Middletown..... | 33,139 | 29,711 | 11.5 | Idaho Falls..... | 33,005 | 19,218 | 71.7 |
| Milford ¹ | 42,596 | ... | ... | Pocatello..... | 28,199 | 26,131 | 7.9 |
| New Britain..... | 81,569 | 73,726 | 10.6 | ILLINOIS | | | |
| New Haven..... | 149,501 | 164,443 | -9.1 | Alton..... | 42,434 | 32,550 | 30.4 |
| New London..... | 31,599 | 30,551 | 3.4 | Arlington Heights..... | 27,826 | 8,768 | 217.4 |
| Norwalk..... | 67,527 | 49,460 | 36.5 | Aurora..... | 63,498 | 50,576 | 25.5 |
| Norwich..... | 38,476 | 23,429 | 64.2 | Belleville..... | 37,064 | 32,721 | 13.3 |
| Stamford..... | 92,347 | 74,293 | 24.3 | Berwyn..... | 54,162 | 51,280 | 5.6 |
| Torrington..... | 29,998 | 27,820 | 7.8 | Bloomington..... | 36,047 | 34,163 | 5.5 |
| Waterbury..... | 106,167 | 104,477 | 1.6 | Champaign..... | 49,428 | 39,563 | 24.9 |
| DELAWARE | | | | Chicago..... | 3,511,648 | 3,620,962 | -3.0 |
| Wilmington..... | 94,234 | 110,356 | -14.6 | Chicago Heights..... | 33,994 | 24,551 | 38.5 |
| DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA | | | | Cicero..... | 69,520 | 67,544 | 2.9 |
| Washington..... | 745,603 | 802,178 | -7.1 | Danville..... | 41,657 | 37,864 | 10.0 |
| FLORIDA | | | | Decatur..... | 77,422 | 66,269 | 16.8 |
| Clearwater..... | 34,065 | 15,581 | 118.6 | Des Plaines..... | 34,846 | 14,994 | 132.4 |
| Coral Gables..... | 33,975 | 19,837 | 71.3 | East St. Louis..... | 81,540 | 82,295 | -0.9 |
| Daytona Beach..... | 37,643 | 30,187 | 24.7 | Elgin..... | 49,378 | 44,223 | 11.7 |
| Fort Lauderdale..... | 81,806 | 36,328 | 125.2 | Elmhurst..... | 36,880 | 21,273 | 73.4 |
| Fort Pierce..... | 25,113 | 13,502 | 86.0 | Evanston..... | 79,179 | 73,641 | 7.5 |
| Gainesville..... | 29,498 | 26,861 | 9.8 | Freeport..... | 26,355 | 22,467 | 17.3 |
| Hialeah..... | 66,517 | 19,676 | 238.1 | Galesburg..... | 37,164 | 31,425 | 18.3 |
| Hollywood..... | 34,570 | 14,351 | 140.9 | Granite City..... | 39,578 | 29,465 | 34.3 |
| Jacksonville..... | 197,948 | 204,517 | -3.2 | Harvey..... | 28,938 | 20,683 | 39.9 |
| Key West..... | 28,634 | 26,433 | 8.3 | Highland Park..... | 25,433 | 16,808 | 51.3 |
| Lakeland..... | 40,719 | 30,851 | 32.0 | Joliet..... | 66,359 | 51,601 | 28.6 |
| Miami..... | 284,492 | 249,276 | 14.1 | Kankakee..... | 27,093 | 25,856 | 4.8 |
| Miami Beach..... | 61,740 | 46,282 | 33.4 | Maywood..... | 27,417 | 27,473 | -0.2 |
| North Miami..... | 28,525 | 10,734 | 165.7 | Moline..... | 42,363 | 37,397 | 13.3 |
| Orlando..... | 86,981 | 52,367 | 66.1 | Oak Lawn..... | 27,434 | 8,751 | 213.5 |
| Panama City..... | 32,885 | 25,814 | 27.4 | Oak Park..... | 60,408 | 63,529 | -4.9 |
| Pensacola..... | 56,671 | 43,479 | 30.3 | Park Forest..... | 29,949 | 8,138 | 268.0 |
| St. Petersburg..... | 177,927 | 96,738 | 83.9 | Park Ridge..... | 32,619 | 16,602 | 96.5 |
| Sarasota..... | 33,220 | 18,896 | 75.8 | Pekin..... | 27,883 | 21,858 | 27.6 |
| Tallahassee..... | 47,995 | 27,237 | 76.2 | Peoria..... | 102,714 | 111,856 | -8.2 |
| Tampa..... | 270,685 | 124,681 | 117.1 | Quincy..... | 43,688 | 41,450 | 5.4 |
| West Palm Beach..... | 55,539 | 43,162 | 28.7 | Rockdale..... | 27,391 | 1,393 | 1,866.3 |
| GEORGIA | | | | Rockford..... | 125,978 | 92,297 | 35.6 |
| Albany..... | 55,136 | 31,155 | 77.0 | Rock Island..... | 51,056 | 48,710 | 4.8 |
| Athens..... | 31,130 | 28,180 | 10.5 | Skokie..... | 59,358 | 14,832 | 300.2 |
| Atlanta..... | 484,825 | 331,314 | 46.3 | Springfield..... | 83,001 | 81,628 | 1.7 |
| Augusta..... | 69,378 | 71,508 | -3.0 | Urbana..... | 25,850 | 22,834 | 13.2 |
| | | | | Waukegan..... | 55,465 | 38,946 | 42.4 |
| | | | | Wilmette..... | 27,222 | 18,162 | 49.9 |

¹ Incorporated since 1950 and made coextensive with Milford town (1950 population of Milford town 26,870).

CITIES OF 25,000 INHABITANTS OR MORE: APRIL 1, 1960--Con.
(Minus sign (-) denotes decrease)

| County | 1960 (preliminary) | 1950 | Percent in- crease, 1950 to 1960 | County | 1960 (preliminary) | 1950 | Percent in- crease, 1950 to 1960 |
|---------------------|-----------------------|---------|----------------------------------------------|-------------------|-----------------------|---------|----------------------------------------------|
| INDIANA | | | | LOUISIANA--Con. | | | |
| Anderson..... | 48,822 | 46,820 | 4.3 | Monroe..... | 51,931 | 38,572 | 34.6 |
| Bloomington..... | 31,131 | 28,163 | 10.5 | New Iberia..... | 28,915 | 16,467 | 75.6 |
| East Chicago..... | 57,086 | 54,263 | 5.2 | New Orleans..... | 621,259 | 570,445 | 8.9 |
| Elkhart..... | 40,088 | 35,646 | 12.5 | Shreveport..... | 163,663 | 127,206 | 28.7 |
| Evansville..... | 140,493 | 128,636 | 9.2 | MAINE | | | |
| Fort Wayne..... | 161,144 | 133,607 | 20.6 | Bangor..... | 38,956 | 31,558 | 23.4 |
| Gary..... | 177,414 | 133,911 | 32.5 | Lewiston..... | 40,623 | 40,974 | -0.9 |
| Hammond..... | 111,316 | 87,594 | 27.1 | Portland..... | 71,787 | 77,634 | -7.5 |
| Indianapolis..... | 469,037 | 427,173 | 9.8 | MARYLAND | | | |
| Kokomo..... | 46,966 | 38,672 | 21.4 | Baltimore..... | 922,244 | 949,708 | -2.9 |
| Lafayette..... | 42,052 | 35,568 | 18.2 | Cumberland..... | 33,257 | 37,679 | -11.7 |
| Marion..... | 37,884 | 30,081 | 25.9 | Hagerstown..... | 35,702 | 36,260 | -1.5 |
| Michigan City..... | 36,461 | 28,395 | 28.4 | Rockville..... | 26,042 | 6,934 | 275.6 |
| Mishawaka..... | 33,225 | 32,913 | 0.9 | MASSACHUSETTS | | | |
| Muncie..... | 67,975 | 58,479 | 16.2 | Attleboro..... | 27,058 | 23,809 | 13.6 |
| New Albany..... | 37,549 | 29,346 | 28.0 | Beverly..... | 36,224 | 28,884 | 25.4 |
| Richmond..... | 43,657 | 39,539 | 10.4 | Boston..... | 682,303 | 801,444 | -14.9 |
| South Bend..... | 132,100 | 115,911 | 14.0 | Brockton..... | 72,539 | 62,860 | 15.4 |
| Terre Haute..... | 71,786 | 64,214 | 11.8 | Cambridge..... | 106,813 | 120,740 | -11.5 |
| IOWA | | | | Chelsea..... | 33,481 | 38,912 | -14.0 |
| Burlington..... | 31,688 | 30,613 | 3.5 | Chicopee..... | 61,110 | 49,211 | 24.2 |
| Cedar Rapids..... | 90,623 | 72,296 | 25.3 | Everett..... | 43,345 | 45,982 | -5.7 |
| Clinton..... | 33,447 | 30,379 | 10.1 | Fall River..... | 99,427 | 111,963 | -11.2 |
| Council Bluffs..... | 54,208 | 45,429 | 19.3 | Fitchburg..... | 42,910 | 42,691 | 0.5 |
| Davenport..... | 88,738 | 74,549 | 19.0 | Gloucester..... | 25,311 | 25,167 | 0.6 |
| Des Moines..... | 207,823 | 177,965 | 16.8 | Haverhill..... | 46,950 | 47,280 | -0.7 |
| Dubuque..... | 56,358 | 49,671 | 13.5 | Holyoke..... | 52,191 | 54,661 | -4.5 |
| Fort Dodge..... | 28,317 | 25,115 | 12.7 | Lawrence..... | 70,545 | 80,536 | -12.4 |
| Iowa City..... | 33,275 | 27,212 | 22.3 | Leominster..... | 27,658 | 24,075 | 14.9 |
| Mason City..... | 30,261 | 27,980 | 8.2 | Lowell..... | 92,108 | 97,249 | -5.3 |
| Ottumwa..... | 33,751 | 33,631 | 0.4 | Lynn..... | 94,191 | 99,738 | -5.6 |
| Sioux City..... | 89,154 | 83,991 | 6.1 | Malden..... | 57,527 | 59,804 | -3.8 |
| Waterloo..... | 71,054 | 65,198 | 9.0 | Medford..... | 64,854 | 66,113 | -1.9 |
| KANSAS | | | | Melrose..... | 29,820 | 26,988 | 10.5 |
| Hutchinson..... | 37,320 | 33,575 | 11.2 | New Bedford..... | 101,809 | 109,189 | -6.8 |
| Kansas City..... | 121,499 | 129,553 | -6.2 | Newton..... | 91,584 | 81,994 | 11.7 |
| Lawrence..... | 33,036 | 23,351 | 41.5 | Northampton..... | 30,007 | 29,063 | 3.2 |
| Salina..... | 42,182 | 26,176 | 61.1 | Peabody..... | 32,001 | 22,645 | 41.3 |
| Topeka..... | 118,561 | 78,791 | 50.5 | Pittsfield..... | 57,223 | 53,348 | 7.3 |
| Wichita..... | 254,059 | 168,279 | 51.0 | Quincy..... | 86,860 | 83,835 | 3.6 |
| KENTUCKY | | | | Revere..... | 39,864 | 36,763 | 8.4 |
| Ashland..... | 31,150 | 31,131 | 0.1 | Salem..... | 38,893 | 41,880 | -7.1 |
| Bowling Green..... | 26,909 | 18,347 | 46.7 | Somerville..... | 94,349 | 102,351 | -7.8 |
| Covington..... | 58,934 | 64,452 | -8.6 | Springfield..... | 173,736 | 162,399 | 7.0 |
| Lexington..... | 62,316 | 55,534 | 12.2 | Taunton..... | 40,906 | 40,109 | 2.0 |
| Louisville..... | 383,329 | 369,129 | 3.8 | Waltham..... | 54,172 | 47,187 | 14.8 |
| Newport..... | 28,915 | 31,044 | -6.9 | Westfield..... | 26,308 | 20,962 | 25.5 |
| Owensboro..... | 42,178 | 33,651 | 25.3 | Woburn..... | 31,651 | 20,492 | 54.5 |
| Paducah..... | 33,021 | 32,828 | 0.6 | Worcester..... | 185,977 | 203,486 | -8.6 |
| LOUISIANA | | | | MICHIGAN | | | |
| Alexandria..... | 39,763 | 34,913 | 13.9 | Allen Park..... | 37,703 | 12,329 | 205.8 |
| Baton Rouge..... | 151,596 | 125,629 | 20.7 | Ann Arbor..... | 67,547 | 48,251 | 40.0 |
| Bossier City..... | 32,691 | 15,470 | 111.3 | Battle Creek..... | 44,003 | 48,666 | -9.6 |
| Lafayette..... | 39,824 | 33,541 | 18.7 | Bay City..... | 53,247 | 52,523 | 1.4 |
| Lake Charles..... | 62,395 | 41,272 | 51.2 | Birmingham..... | 25,530 | 15,467 | 65.1 |

CITIES OF 25,000 INHABITANTS OR MORE: APRIL 1, 1960--Con.
(Minus sign (-) denotes decrease)

| County | 1960 (preliminary) | 1950 | Percent in- crease, 1950 to 1960 | County | 1960 (preliminary) | 1950 | Percent in- crease, 1950 to 1960 |
|------------------------------------|-----------------------|-----------|----------------------------------------------|----------------------|-----------------------|---------|----------------------------------------------|
| MICHIGAN--Con. | | | | MISSOURI--Con. | | | |
| Dearborn..... | 111,077 | 94,994 | 16.9 | Jefferson City..... | 28,064 | 25,099 | 11.8 |
| Detroit..... | 1,654,125 | 1,849,568 | -10.6 | Joplin..... | 38,512 | 38,711 | -0.5 |
| East Detroit..... | 45,925 | 21,461 | 114.0 | Kansas City..... | 473,435 | 456,622 | 3.7 |
| East Lansing..... | 29,745 | 20,325 | 46.3 | Kirkwood..... | 29,461 | 18,640 | 58.1 |
| Ferndale..... | 31,311 | 29,675 | 5.5 | St. Joseph..... | 79,035 | 78,588 | 0.6 |
| Flint..... | 194,958 | 163,143 | 19.5 | St. Louis..... | 747,127 | 856,796 | -12.8 |
| Garden City..... | 38,251 | 9,012 | 324.4 | Springfield..... | 95,764 | 66,731 | 43.5 |
| Grand Rapids..... | 175,344 | 176,515 | -0.7 | University City..... | 51,362 | 39,892 | 28.8 |
| Hamtramck..... | 33,830 | 43,355 | -22.0 | Webster Groves..... | 28,832 | 23,390 | 23.3 |
| Hazel Park..... | 25,493 | 17,770 | 43.5 | MONTANA | | | |
| Highland Park..... | 37,631 | 46,393 | -18.9 | Billings..... | 52,249 | 31,834 | 64.1 |
| Inkster..... | 38,994 | 16,728 | 133.1 | Butte..... | 27,682 | 33,251 | -16.8 |
| Jackson..... | 50,244 | 51,088 | -1.7 | Great Falls..... | 55,246 | 39,214 | 40.9 |
| Kalamazoo..... | 81,823 | 57,704 | 41.8 | Missoula..... | 26,948 | 22,485 | 19.8 |
| Lansing..... | 108,128 | 92,129 | 17.4 | NEBRASKA | | | |
| Lincoln Park..... | 53,225 | 29,310 | 81.6 | Grand Island..... | 25,691 | 22,682 | 13.3 |
| Livonia..... | 66,539 | 17,534 | 279.5 | Lincoln..... | 127,799 | 98,884 | 29.2 |
| Madison Heights ¹ | 33,317 | ... | ... | Omaha..... | 300,050 | 251,117 | 19.5 |
| Midland..... | 25,515 | 14,285 | 78.6 | NEVADA | | | |
| Muskegon..... | 45,925 | 48,429 | -5.2 | Las Vegas..... | 63,453 | 24,624 | 157.7 |
| Oak Park..... | 36,630 | 5,267 | 595.5 | Reno..... | 50,938 | 32,497 | 56.7 |
| Pontiac..... | 81,651 | 73,681 | 10.8 | NEW HAMPSHIRE | | | |
| Port Huron..... | 35,818 | 35,725 | 0.3 | Concord..... | 28,913 | 27,988 | 3.3 |
| Roseville..... | 50,676 | 15,816 | 220.4 | Manchester..... | 86,711 | 82,732 | 4.8 |
| Royal Oak..... | 81,140 | 46,898 | 73.0 | Nashua..... | 38,655 | 34,669 | 11.5 |
| Saginaw..... | 97,031 | 92,918 | 4.4 | Portsmouth..... | 25,146 | 18,830 | 33.5 |
| St. Clair Shores..... | 77,879 | 19,823 | 292.9 | NEW JERSEY | | | |
| Southfield ¹ | 31,082 | ... | ... | Atlantic City..... | 57,959 | 61,657 | -6.0 |
| Southgate ² | 29,380 | ... | ... | Bayonne..... | 73,918 | 77,203 | -4.3 |
| Warren..... | 88,766 | 727 | 12,109.9 | Belleville..... | 35,006 | 32,019 | 9.3 |
| Wyandotte..... | 42,214 | 36,846 | 14.6 | Bergenfield..... | 27,170 | 17,647 | 54.0 |
| Wyoming ¹ | 45,712 | ... | ... | Bloomfield..... | 51,961 | 49,307 | 5.4 |
| MINNESOTA | | | | Camden..... | 115,363 | 124,555 | -7.4 |
| Austin..... | 27,906 | 23,100 | 20.8 | Clifton..... | 81,831 | 64,511 | 26.8 |
| Bloomington ² | 50,417 | ... | ... | East Orange..... | 76,702 | 79,340 | -3.3 |
| Duluth..... | 105,312 | 104,511 | 0.8 | Elizabeth..... | 107,377 | 112,817 | -4.8 |
| Edina..... | 28,364 | 9,744 | 191.1 | Englewood..... | 26,442 | 23,145 | 14.2 |
| Mimeapolis..... | 477,884 | 521,718 | -8.4 | Fair Lawn..... | 36,242 | 23,885 | 51.7 |
| Richfield..... | 42,418 | 17,502 | 142.4 | Garfield..... | 29,222 | 27,550 | 6.1 |
| Rochester..... | 40,380 | 29,885 | 35.1 | Hackensack..... | 30,414 | 29,219 | 4.1 |
| St. Cloud..... | 33,671 | 28,410 | 18.5 | Hoboken..... | 48,103 | 50,676 | -5.1 |
| St. Louis Park..... | 43,219 | 22,644 | 90.9 | Irvington..... | 59,151 | 59,201 | -0.1 |
| St. Paul..... | 313,209 | 311,349 | 0.6 | Jersey City..... | 274,059 | 299,017 | -8.3 |
| MISSISSIPPI | | | | Kearny..... | 37,481 | 39,952 | -6.2 |
| Biloxi..... | 43,586 | 37,425 | 16.5 | Linden..... | 39,894 | 30,644 | 30.2 |
| Greenville..... | 41,248 | 29,936 | 37.8 | Long Branch..... | 26,197 | 23,090 | 13.5 |
| Gulfport..... | 30,043 | 22,659 | 32.6 | Montclair..... | 42,995 | 43,927 | -2.1 |
| Hattiesburg..... | 34,704 | 29,474 | 17.7 | Newark..... | 402,815 | 438,776 | -8.2 |
| Jackson..... | 143,962 | 98,271 | 46.5 | New Brunswick..... | 40,133 | 38,811 | 3.4 |
| Laurel..... | 27,387 | 25,038 | 9.4 | Nutley..... | 29,474 | 26,992 | 9.2 |
| Meridian..... | 49,320 | 41,893 | 17.7 | Orange..... | 35,768 | 38,037 | -6.0 |
| Vicksburg..... | 28,977 | 27,948 | 3.7 | MISSOURI | | | |
| MISSOURI | | | | Columbia..... | 36,381 | 31,974 | 13.8 |
| Columbia..... | 36,381 | 31,974 | 13.8 | Florissant..... | 38,093 | 3,737 | 919.3 |
| Florissant..... | 38,093 | 3,737 | 919.3 | Independence..... | 61,968 | 36,963 | 67.6 |
| Independence..... | 61,968 | 36,963 | 67.6 | | | | |

¹ Incorporated since April 1, 1950.

² Bloomington township (1950 population 9,902) incorporated as Bloomington village since 1950.

CITIES OF 25,000 INHABITANTS OR MORE: APRIL 1, 1960--Con.
(Minus sign (-) denotes decrease)

| County | 1960 (preliminary) | 1950 | Percent in- crease, 1950 to 1960 | County | 1960 (preliminary) | 1950 | Percent in- crease, 1950 to 1960 |
|-----------------------|-----------------------|-----------|----------------------------------------------|------------------------------|-----------------------|---------|----------------------------------------------|
| NEW JERSEY--Con. | | | | NORTH CAROLINA--Con. | | | |
| Passaic..... | 53,770 | 57,702 | -6.8 | Fayetteville..... | 46,473 | 34,715 | 33.9 |
| Paterson..... | 142,301 | 139,336 | 2.1 | Gastonia..... | 37,364 | 23,069 | 62.0 |
| Perth Amboy..... | 37,719 | 41,330 | -8.7 | Goldensboro..... | 31,111 | 21,454 | 45.0 |
| Plainfield..... | 45,175 | 42,366 | 6.6 | Greensboro..... | 119,184 | 74,389 | 60.2 |
| Rahway..... | 27,706 | 21,290 | 30.1 | High Point..... | 61,652 | 39,973 | 54.2 |
| Ridgewood..... | 25,283 | 17,481 | 44.6 | Raleigh..... | 93,117 | 65,679 | 41.8 |
| Trenton..... | 114,015 | 128,009 | -10.9 | Rocky Mount..... | 32,021 | 27,697 | 15.6 |
| Union City..... | 51,935 | 55,537 | -6.5 | Statesville..... | 25,147 | 16,901 | 48.8 |
| Vineland..... | 37,609 | 8,155 | 361.2 | Wilmington..... | 42,675 | 45,043 | -5.3 |
| Westfield..... | 31,396 | 21,243 | 47.8 | Wilsco..... | 28,578 | 23,010 | 24.2 |
| West New York..... | 35,341 | 37,683 | -6.2 | Winston-Salem..... | 110,446 | 87,811 | 25.8 |
| West Orange..... | 39,840 | 28,605 | 39.3 | | | | |
| NEW MEXICO | | | | NORTH DAKOTA | | | |
| Albuquerque..... | 198,711 | 96,815 | 105.2 | Bismarck..... | 27,361 | 18,640 | 46.8 |
| Carlsbad..... | 25,396 | 17,975 | 41.3 | Fargo..... | 46,491 | 38,256 | 21.5 |
| Hobbs..... | 26,173 | 13,875 | 88.6 | Grand Forks..... | 34,256 | 26,836 | 27.6 |
| Las Cruces..... | 28,991 | 12,325 | 135.2 | Minot..... | 30,277 | 22,032 | 37.4 |
| Roswell..... | 39,477 | 25,738 | 53.4 | | | | |
| Santa Fe..... | 34,351 | 27,998 | 22.7 | | | | |
| NEW YORK | | | | OHIO | | | |
| Albany..... | 128,011 | 134,995 | -5.2 | Akron..... | 287,659 | 274,605 | 4.8 |
| Amsterdam..... | 28,533 | 32,240 | -11.5 | Alliance..... | 27,798 | 26,161 | 6.3 |
| Auburn..... | 35,197 | 36,722 | -4.2 | Barberton..... | 33,721 | 27,820 | 21.2 |
| Binghamton..... | 75,207 | 80,674 | -6.8 | Canton..... | 112,750 | 116,912 | -3.6 |
| Buffalo..... | 529,646 | 580,132 | -8.7 | Cincinnati..... | 502,484 | 503,998 | -0.3 |
| Elmira..... | 46,355 | 49,716 | -6.8 | Cleveland..... | 869,728 | 914,808 | -4.9 |
| Endicott..... | 39,347 | 20,050 | 96.2 | Cleveland Heights..... | 61,633 | 59,141 | 4.2 |
| Freeport..... | 34,263 | 24,680 | 38.8 | Columbus..... | 468,660 | 375,901 | 24.7 |
| Hempstead..... | 34,568 | 29,135 | 18.6 | Cuyahoga Falls..... | 47,705 | 29,195 | 63.4 |
| Ithaca..... | 28,744 | 29,257 | -1.8 | Dayton..... | 258,183 | 243,872 | 5.9 |
| Jamestown..... | 41,476 | 43,354 | -4.3 | East Cleveland..... | 37,932 | 40,047 | -5.3 |
| Kingston..... | 29,778 | 28,817 | 3.3 | Elyria..... | 43,445 | 30,307 | 43.3 |
| Lackawanna..... | 29,572 | 27,658 | 6.9 | Euclid..... | 62,706 | 41,396 | 51.5 |
| Lockport..... | 26,370 | 25,133 | 4.9 | Findlay..... | 30,241 | 23,845 | 26.8 |
| Long Beach..... | 26,103 | 15,586 | 67.5 | Garfield Heights..... | 38,325 | 21,662 | 76.9 |
| Mount Vernon..... | 75,491 | 71,899 | 5.0 | Hamilton..... | 71,958 | 57,951 | 24.2 |
| Newburgh..... | 30,964 | 31,956 | -3.1 | Kettering ¹ | 54,289 | ... | ... |
| New Rochelle..... | 76,474 | 59,725 | 28.0 | Lakewood..... | 65,787 | 68,071 | -3.4 |
| New York City..... | 7,710,346 | 7,891,957 | -2.3 | Lancaster..... | 28,964 | 24,180 | 19.8 |
| Niagara Falls..... | 101,829 | 90,872 | 12.1 | Lima..... | 50,343 | 50,246 | 0.2 |
| North Tonawanda..... | 34,679 | 24,731 | 40.2 | Lorain..... | 68,080 | 51,202 | 33.0 |
| Poughkeepsie..... | 37,909 | 41,023 | -7.6 | Mansfield..... | 47,198 | 43,564 | 8.3 |
| Rochester..... | 316,083 | 332,488 | -4.9 | Maple Heights..... | 31,596 | 15,586 | 102.7 |
| Rockville Centre..... | 26,131 | 22,362 | 16.9 | Marion..... | 37,058 | 33,817 | 9.6 |
| Rome..... | 51,131 | 41,682 | 22.7 | Massillon..... | 31,029 | 29,594 | 4.8 |
| Schenectady..... | 81,070 | 91,785 | -11.7 | Middletown..... | 42,019 | 33,695 | 24.7 |
| Syracuse..... | 215,417 | 220,583 | -2.3 | Newark..... | 41,807 | 34,275 | 22.0 |
| Troy..... | 67,129 | 72,311 | -7.2 | Norwood..... | 33,598 | 35,001 | -4.0 |
| Utica..... | 99,262 | 101,531 | -2.2 | Parma..... | 82,666 | 28,897 | 186.1 |
| Valley Stream..... | 38,378 | 26,854 | 42.9 | Portsmouth..... | 33,410 | 36,798 | -9.2 |
| Watertown..... | 33,196 | 34,350 | -3.4 | Sandusky..... | 31,731 | 29,375 | 8.0 |
| White Plains..... | 50,453 | 43,466 | 16.1 | Shaker Heights..... | 36,255 | 28,222 | 28.5 |
| Yonkers..... | 189,242 | 152,798 | 23.9 | South Euclid..... | 27,508 | 15,432 | 78.3 |
| | | | | Springfield..... | 82,075 | 78,508 | 4.5 |
| NORTH CAROLINA | | | | Steubenville..... | 33,019 | 35,872 | -8.0 |
| Asheville..... | 58,747 | 53,000 | 10.8 | Toledo..... | 315,957 | 303,616 | 4.1 |
| Burlington..... | 32,548 | 24,560 | 32.5 | Upper Arlington..... | 28,866 | 9,024 | 219.9 |
| Charlotte..... | 200,882 | 134,042 | 49.9 | Warren..... | 59,546 | 49,856 | 19.4 |
| Durham..... | 79,398 | 71,311 | 11.3 | Youngstown..... | 165,844 | 168,330 | -1.5 |
| | | | | Zanesville..... | 38,510 | 40,517 | -5.0 |

¹ Incorporated since 1950.

CITIES OF 25,000 INHABITANTS OR MORE: APRIL 1, 1960--Con.
(Minus sign (-) denotes decrease)

| County | 1960 (preliminary) | 1950 | Percent in- crease, 1950 to 1960 | County | 1960 (preliminary) | 1950 | Percent in- crease, 1950 to 1960 |
|------------------------------------|-----------------------|-----------|----------------------------------------------|------------------------------|-----------------------|---------|----------------------------------------------|
| OKLAHOMA | | | | SOUTH DAKOTA | | | |
| Bartlesville..... | 27,822 | 19,228 | 44.7 | Rapid City..... | 42,131 | 25,310 | 66.5 |
| Enid..... | 38,063 | 36,017 | 5.7 | Sioux Falls..... | 65,024 | 52,696 | 23.4 |
| Lawton..... | 60,346 | 34,757 | 73.6 | TENNESSEE | | | |
| Midwest City..... | 35,954 | 10,166 | 253.7 | Chattanooga..... | 127,796 | 131,041 | -2.5 |
| Muskogee..... | 37,152 | 37,289 | -0.4 | Jackson..... | 33,642 | 30,207 | 11.4 |
| Norman..... | 33,174 | 27,006 | 22.8 | Johnson City..... | 28,607 | 27,864 | 2.7 |
| Oklahoma City..... | 321,599 | 243,504 | 32.1 | Kingsport..... | 25,913 | 19,371 | 32.4 |
| Tulsa..... | 257,752 | 182,740 | 41.0 | Knoxville..... | 110,089 | 124,769 | -11.8 |
| OREGON | | | | Memphis..... | 491,710 | 396,000 | 24.2 |
| Eugene..... | 50,169 | 35,879 | 39.8 | Nashville..... | 167,357 | 174,307 | -4.0 |
| Portland..... | 371,042 | 373,628 | -0.7 | Oak Ridge ² | 27,009 | ... | ... |
| Salem..... | 46,138 | 43,140 | 6.9 | TEXAS | | | |
| PENNSYLVANIA | | | | Abilene..... | 89,428 | 45,570 | 96.2 |
| Aliquippa..... | 26,234 | 26,132 | 0.4 | Amarillo..... | 136,199 | 74,246 | 83.4 |
| Allentown..... | 108,551 | 106,756 | 1.7 | Arlington..... | 44,326 | 7,692 | 476.3 |
| Altoona..... | 69,084 | 77,177 | -10.5 | Austin..... | 185,052 | 132,459 | 39.7 |
| Bethlehem..... | 75,055 | 66,340 | 13.1 | Baytown..... | 28,009 | 22,983 | 21.9 |
| Chester..... | 63,063 | 66,039 | -4.5 | Beaumont..... | 118,791 | 94,014 | 26.4 |
| Easton..... | 31,872 | 35,632 | -10.6 | Big Spring..... | 30,988 | 17,286 | 79.3 |
| Erie..... | 136,136 | 130,803 | 4.1 | Brownsville..... | 47,831 | 36,066 | 32.6 |
| Harrisburg..... | 78,870 | 89,544 | -11.9 | Bryan..... | 27,358 | 18,102 | 51.1 |
| Hazleton..... | 31,850 | 35,491 | -10.3 | Corpus Christi..... | 165,724 | 108,287 | 53.0 |
| Johnstown..... | 53,636 | 63,232 | -15.2 | Dallas..... | 672,424 | 434,462 | 54.8 |
| Lancaster..... | 59,674 | 63,774 | -6.4 | Denton..... | 26,686 | 21,372 | 24.9 |
| Lebanon..... | 29,996 | 28,156 | 6.5 | El Paso..... | 273,212 | 130,485 | 109.4 |
| McKeesport..... | 45,096 | 51,502 | -12.4 | Fort Worth..... | 347,368 | 278,778 | 24.6 |
| New Castle..... | 44,714 | 48,834 | -8.4 | Galveston..... | 65,662 | 66,568 | -1.4 |
| Norristown..... | 38,832 | 38,126 | 1.9 | Garland..... | 38,512 | 10,571 | 264.3 |
| Philadelphia..... | 1,971,239 | 2,071,605 | -4.8 | Grand Prairie..... | 30,202 | 14,594 | 106.9 |
| Pittsburgh..... | 597,745 | 676,806 | -11.7 | Harlingen..... | 40,794 | 23,229 | 75.6 |
| Pottstown..... | 26,067 | 22,589 | 15.4 | Houston..... | 932,630 | 596,163 | 56.4 |
| Reading..... | 98,061 | 109,320 | -10.3 | Irving..... | 45,414 | 2,621 | 1,632.7 |
| Seranton..... | 110,273 | 125,536 | -12.2 | Laredo..... | 60,816 | 51,910 | 17.2 |
| Sharon..... | 25,211 | 26,454 | -4.7 | Longview..... | 40,036 | 24,502 | 63.4 |
| West Mifflin..... | 27,301 | 17,985 | 51.8 | Lubbock..... | 125,951 | 71,747 | 75.5 |
| Wilkes-Barre..... | 63,068 | 76,826 | -17.9 | McAllen..... | 32,618 | 20,067 | 62.5 |
| Wilkinsburg..... | 29,818 | 31,418 | -5.1 | Mesquite..... | 27,345 | 1,696 | 1,512.3 |
| Williamsport..... | 41,642 | 45,047 | -7.6 | Midland..... | 62,497 | 21,713 | 187.8 |
| York..... | 54,312 | 59,953 | -9.4 | Odessa..... | 79,123 | 29,495 | 168.3 |
| RHODE ISLAND | | | | Orange..... | 25,391 | 21,174 | 19.9 |
| Cranston..... | 65,694 | 55,060 | 19.3 | Pasadena..... | 58,613 | 22,483 | 160.7 |
| East Providence ¹ | 41,766 | ... | ... | Port Arthur..... | 60,994 | 57,530 | 6.0 |
| Newport..... | 36,285 | 37,564 | -3.4 | San Angelo..... | 57,811 | 52,093 | 11.0 |
| Pawtucket..... | 80,492 | 81,436 | -1.2 | San Antonio..... | 583,690 | 408,442 | 42.9 |
| Providence..... | 206,352 | 248,674 | -17.0 | Temple..... | 29,546 | 25,467 | 16.0 |
| Warwick..... | 68,281 | 43,028 | 58.7 | Texarkana..... | 30,458 | 24,753 | 23.0 |
| Woonsocket..... | 46,890 | 50,211 | -6.6 | Texas City..... | 31,772 | 16,620 | 91.2 |
| SOUTH CAROLINA | | | | Tyler..... | 51,158 | 38,968 | 31.3 |
| Anderson..... | 40,402 | 19,770 | 104.4 | Victoria..... | 32,708 | 16,126 | 102.8 |
| Charleston..... | 60,288 | 70,174 | -14.1 | Waco..... | 96,776 | 84,706 | 14.2 |
| Columbia..... | 95,786 | 86,914 | 10.2 | Wichita Falls..... | 100,455 | 68,042 | 47.6 |
| Greenville..... | 65,974 | 58,161 | 13.4 | UTAH | | | |
| Rock Hill..... | 29,387 | 24,502 | 19.9 | Ogden..... | 69,500 | 57,112 | 21.7 |
| Spartanburg..... | 44,056 | 36,795 | 19.7 | Provo..... | 35,956 | 28,937 | 24.3 |
| | | | | Salt Lake City..... | 188,693 | 182,121 | 3.6 |

¹ East Providence town (1950 population 35,871) incorporated as East Providence city since April 1, 1950.
² Incorporated since April 1, 1950.

CITIES OF 25,000 INHABITANTS OR MORE: APRIL 1, 1960--Con.
(Minus sign (-) denotes decrease)

| County | 1960 (preliminary) | 1950 | Percent in- crease, 1950 to 1960 | County | 1960 (preliminary) | 1950 | Percent in- crease, 1950 to 1960 |
|----------------------|-----------------------|---------|----------------------------------------------|---------------------|-----------------------|---------|----------------------------------------------|
| VERMONT | | | | WEST VIRGINIA--Con. | | | |
| Burlington..... | 35,403 | 33,155 | 6.8 | Huntington..... | 82,742 | 86,353 | -4.2 |
| VIRGINIA | | | | Parkersburg..... | 44,611 | 29,684 | 50.3 |
| Alexandria..... | 90,385 | 61,787 | 46.3 | Weirton..... | 28,047 | 24,005 | 16.8 |
| Charlottesville..... | 29,186 | 25,969 | 12.4 | Wheeling..... | 52,978 | 58,891 | -10.0 |
| Danville..... | 46,401 | 35,066 | 32.3 | WISCONSIN | | | |
| Hampton..... | 88,890 | 5,966 | 1,389.9 | Appleton..... | 48,165 | 34,010 | 41.6 |
| Lynchburg..... | 54,415 | 47,727 | 14.0 | Beloit..... | 32,677 | 29,590 | 10.4 |
| Newport News..... | 112,684 | 42,358 | 166.0 | Eau Claire..... | 37,836 | 36,058 | 4.9 |
| Norfolk..... | 276,897 | 213,513 | 29.7 | Fond du Lac..... | 32,626 | 29,936 | 9.0 |
| Petersburg..... | 36,795 | 35,054 | 5.0 | Green Bay..... | 62,653 | 52,735 | 18.8 |
| Portsmouth..... | 106,884 | 80,039 | 33.5 | Janesville..... | 34,895 | 24,899 | 40.1 |
| Richmond..... | 218,486 | 230,310 | -5.1 | Kenosha..... | 67,330 | 54,368 | 23.8 |
| Roanoke..... | 96,515 | 91,921 | 5.0 | La Crosse..... | 47,258 | 47,535 | -0.6 |
| WASHINGTON | | | | Madison..... | 126,063 | 96,056 | 31.2 |
| Bellingham..... | 34,388 | 34,112 | 0.8 | Manitowoc..... | 31,577 | 27,598 | 14.4 |
| Bremerton..... | 26,564 | 27,678 | -4.0 | Milwaukee..... | 732,637 | 637,392 | 14.9 |
| Everett..... | 39,898 | 33,849 | 17.9 | Oshkosh..... | 45,108 | 41,084 | 9.8 |
| Seattle..... | 551,539 | 467,591 | 18.0 | Racine..... | 88,656 | 71,193 | 24.5 |
| Spokane..... | 180,882 | 161,721 | 11.8 | Sheboygan..... | 45,690 | 42,365 | 7.8 |
| Tacoma..... | 147,023 | 143,673 | 2.3 | Superior..... | 33,058 | 35,325 | -6.4 |
| Vancouver..... | 32,080 | 41,664 | -23.0 | Waukesha..... | 29,861 | 21,233 | 40.6 |
| Yakima..... | 43,019 | 38,486 | 11.8 | Wausau..... | 31,746 | 30,414 | 4.4 |
| WEST VIRGINIA | | | | Wauwatosa..... | 56,743 | 33,324 | 70.3 |
| Charleston..... | 84,550 | 73,501 | 15.0 | West Allis..... | 67,634 | 42,959 | 57.4 |
| Clarksburg..... | 28,159 | 32,014 | -12.0 | WYOMING | | | |
| Fairmont..... | 27,352 | 29,346 | -6.8 | Casper..... | 38,665 | 23,673 | 63.3 |
| | | | | Cheyenne..... | 43,380 | 31,935 | 35.8 |

1960
Census
of
Population

PRELIMINARY REPORTS

POPULATION SUMMARIES

October 1960

PC(P3)-4

POPULATION OF STANDARD METROPOLITAN STATISTICAL AREAS
1960 AND 1950

(This report is based on preliminary counts of the population compiled in the 1960 Census field offices. It covers 209 standard metropolitan statistical areas in the 50 States and the District of Columbia. Since the tabulations for the summary table were made, two additional areas--Meriden and Norwalk--have been announced by the Bureau of the Budget. Statistics for these areas are appended but have not been included in the text or detailed tables)

Approximately 85 percent of the increase in the total population of the United States between 1950 and 1960 occurred in standard metropolitan statistical areas (SMSA's), that is, in cities of 50,000 or more and the outlying areas surrounding them. The 209 SMSA's increased by 22.5 million persons, and of this increase 17.6 million occurred in the outlying parts of the SMSA's and 4.9 million in the central cities. Thus the population increase in the outlying parts of the SMSA's accounted for about two-thirds of the total population increase of the United States since 1950, and more than three-fourths of the total increase within SMSA's. The growth of population in the central cities accounted for 19 percent of the total population increase of the United States and 22 percent of the increase within SMSA's (table A).

The population of the 209 SMSA's increased from 89.1 million persons in 1950 to 111.6 million in 1960, an increase of about 25 percent. The 4.9 million increase in the population of central cities to a total of 57 million persons in 1960 represented a 9-percent increase over the 1950 population. The population of the outlying parts of the SMSA's increased by about 48 percent between 1950 and 1960, growing

from 36.8 million persons to 54.4 million. The nonmetropolitan territory increased from 62.2 million persons to 66.3 million, an increase of about 7 percent.

This general pattern of metropolitan-nonmetropolitan growth represents a continuation of a similar pattern which occurred in the decade 1940 to 1950. In that decade, nearly 80 percent of the population growth of the country occurred in standard metropolitan statistical areas. The rate of increase in these areas was 22 percent, as compared with 25 percent in the decade 1950 to 1960. The percentage increase for the remainder of the country outside metropolitan areas between 1940 and 1950 was 6 percent, which was also slightly less than the 1950-60 rate. For the country as a whole, the gain was 17.5 percent between 1950 and 1960, and 14.5 percent between 1940 and 1950.

Within metropolitan areas, however, there were appreciable differences. In the decade 1950 to 1960, the population of central cities increased by only 9 percent, whereas in the previous decade the corresponding increase was nearly 14 percent. In the suburban ring, however, the 1950-60 increase was nearly



U.S. DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE, Frederick H. Mueller, *Secretary*
BUREAU OF THE CENSUS, Robert W. Burgess, *Director*

For sale by the Bureau of the Census, Washington 25, D.C., and U.S. Department of
Commerce Field Offices, 10 cents. Complete set of reports, 50 cents.



Table A.--POPULATION GROWTH IN AND OUTSIDE STANDARD METROPOLITAN STATISTICAL AREAS,
FOR THE UNITED STATES, BY REGIONS: 1960 AND 1950
(Minus sign (-) denotes decrease)

| Region and metropolitan or nonmetropolitan residence | 1960 (preliminary) | 1950 | Increase, 1950 to 1960 | |
|------------------------------------------------------|-----------------------|-------------|------------------------|---------|
| | | | Number | Percent |
| United States..... | 177,874,042 | 151,325,798 | 26,548,244 | 17.5 |
| In SMSA's..... | 111,590,163 | 89,083,989 | 22,506,174 | 25.3 |
| Central cities..... | 57,173,526 | 52,243,901 | 4,929,625 | 9.4 |
| Outside central cities..... | 54,416,637 | 36,840,088 | 17,576,549 | 47.7 |
| Outside SMSA's..... | 66,283,879 | 62,241,809 | 4,042,070 | 6.5 |
| Northeast..... | 44,358,717 | 39,477,986 | 4,880,731 | 12.4 |
| In SMSA's..... | 34,791,810 | 31,034,255 | 3,757,555 | 12.1 |
| Central cities..... | 17,001,902 | 17,754,012 | -752,110 | -4.2 |
| Outside central cities..... | 17,789,908 | 13,280,243 | 4,509,665 | 34.0 |
| Outside SMSA's..... | 9,566,907 | 8,443,731 | 1,123,176 | 13.3 |
| North Central..... | 51,308,369 | 44,460,762 | 6,847,607 | 15.4 |
| In SMSA's..... | 30,768,320 | 25,074,674 | 5,693,646 | 22.7 |
| Central cities..... | 16,378,154 | 15,836,656 | 541,498 | 3.4 |
| Outside central cities..... | 14,390,166 | 9,238,018 | 5,152,148 | 55.8 |
| Outside SMSA's..... | 20,540,049 | 19,386,088 | 1,153,961 | 6.0 |
| South..... | 54,463,053 | 47,197,088 | 7,265,965 | 15.4 |
| In SMSA's..... | 26,140,978 | 19,417,751 | 6,723,227 | 34.6 |
| Central cities..... | 14,828,847 | 11,720,837 | 3,108,010 | 26.5 |
| Outside central cities..... | 11,312,131 | 7,696,914 | 3,615,217 | 47.0 |
| Outside SMSA's..... | 28,322,075 | 27,779,337 | 542,738 | 2.0 |
| West..... | 27,743,903 | 20,189,962 | 7,553,941 | 37.4 |
| In SMSA's..... | 19,889,055 | 13,557,309 | 6,331,746 | 46.7 |
| Central cities..... | 8,964,623 | 6,932,396 | 2,032,227 | 29.3 |
| Outside central cities..... | 10,924,432 | 6,624,913 | 4,299,519 | 64.9 |
| Outside SMSA's..... | 7,854,848 | 6,632,653 | 1,222,195 | 18.4 |

50 percent, as compared with 35 percent in the preceding decade. Considered as a proportion of the countrywide increase, the outlying parts or suburban ring accounted for about two-thirds of the total 1950-60 increase, but for slightly less than one-half of the 1940-50 increase.

The metropolitan-nonmetropolitan pattern of increase varied considerably among the various regions. The population inside and outside metropolitan areas of the Northeast increased at about the same rate (12.1 and 13.3, respectively), central cities decreased by about 4 percent, and the suburban ring increased by slightly more than one-third (table 1). In the North Central States, the rate of increase in metropolitan areas was about 4 times that outside metropolitan areas (23 vs. 6 percent), central cities showed a modest increase of about 3 percent, and the suburban ring increased by something more than one-half. In the South, the population of standard metropolitan statistical areas increased at a rate

17 times as great as in the population living outside such areas (35 vs. 2 percent), that of central cities increased by nearly one-quarter, and that of the suburban ring by about one-half. In the West, the population of metropolitan areas increased at more than twice the rate of the population of nonmetropolitan areas (47 vs. 18 percent). The rate of increase for central cities was about 29 percent and that of the ring, about 65 percent.

The West had an overall rate of increase more than twice as great as that of any other region. As in the North Central Region and the South, but to a lesser degree, there is evidence of movement from nonmetropolitan to metropolitan territory. The growth rate of the ring is somewhat greater in relation to the growth rate of central cities than in the South, but less than that in the North Central Region.

There were also variations in rates of increase among SMSA's of different sizes. The

population of SMSA's of 3 million or more persons increased by 22 percent (table 2). The outlying parts of these SMSA's increased by 70 percent whereas the central cities declined by a very slight amount. Of the 6 central cities in these largest SMSA's, 4 lost population. SMSA's of 1 to 3 million persons also grew, by 24 percent. Although the total population of their central cities increased by about 4 percent, 13 of the individual central cities lost population whereas 10 gained (table B). These rates of increase are based on a comparison of the 1960 and 1950 population of a constant area; that is, the 1950 figures are those for the SMSA as constituted in 1960. The classification by size is based on the 1960 count.

The SMSA's of 500,000 to 1 million persons experienced the highest rate of population increase, 35 percent. The portions of these SMSA's outside the central cities increased in population by about 55 percent, almost three times the rate of increase in their central cities. In SMSA's of 250,000 to 500,000 persons, 24 out of 60 central cities lost population although the total population of central cities increased by about 15 percent. In the aggregate, these SMSA's increased by about one-quarter although 2 of them decreased in population.

The difference between the rates of increase of central cities and the outlying parts of SMSA's was the smallest for SMSA's of 100,000 to 250,000 persons. The population of the central cities increased by 23 percent whereas the population of the outlying parts increased by 27 percent. Of the 103 central cities in these SMSA's, 19 lost population. Four of the SMSA's decreased in population, although the 88 SMSA's in the size range experienced a total increase of 25 percent.

The population of the smallest SMSA's, those under 100,000, increased by 23 percent. In these SMSA's, the rate of increase of the population in central cities exceeded the rate of increase in the outlying parts of the SMSA's, the single instance where this occurred. The central cities increased in population by 28 percent whereas the outlying parts increased by only 10 percent.

These data indicate a clearcut association between size of SMSA and the relation between growth rates of central cities and rings. In the SMSA's of 3,000,000 or more--

New York, Chicago, Los Angeles, Philadelphia, and Detroit--there was a slight loss in central cities and a gain of about 70 percent in the ring. Progressively as size declined, the rate of growth of central cities increased in relation to that of the ring, so that in SMSA's of less than 100,000 the rate for the central cities exceeded that for the ring.

The 1960 Census is the first to show population losses in a considerable number of our larger cities. Of the 254 central cities of SMSA's, 73 lost population between 1950 and 1960 (table B). Among the 5 cities of 1,000,000--New York, Chicago, Los Angeles, Philadelphia, and Detroit--only one, Los Angeles, had an increase; all of the others lost population.

Table B.--NUMBER OF STANDARD METROPOLITAN STATISTICAL AREAS AND CENTRAL CITIES WITH POPULATION GAINS AND LOSSES, BY SIZE OF STANDARD METROPOLITAN STATISTICAL AREA, FOR THE UNITED STATES: 1960 AND 1950

| Type of area and size of SMSA | All areas | With gains | With losses |
|------------------------------------------------|-----------|------------|-------------|
| STANDARD METROPOLITAN STATISTICAL AREAS | | | |
| Total..... | 209 | 200 | 9 |
| 3,000,000 or more..... | 5 | 5 | ... |
| 1,000,000 to 3,000,000..... | 19 | 19 | ... |
| 500,000 to 1,000,000..... | 28 | 27 | 1 |
| 250,000 to 500,000..... | 48 | 46 | 2 |
| 100,000 to 250,000..... | 88 | 84 | 4 |
| Under 100,000..... | 21 | 19 | 2 |
| CENTRAL CITIES | | | |
| Total..... | 254 | 181 | 73 |
| 3,000,000 or more..... | 6 | 2 | 4 |
| 1,000,000 to 3,000,000..... | 23 | 10 | 13 |
| 500,000 to 1,000,000..... | 38 | 27 | 11 |
| 250,000 to 500,000..... | 60 | 36 | 24 |
| 100,000 to 250,000..... | 103 | 84 | 19 |
| Under 100,000..... | 24 | 22 | 2 |

These losses are not necessarily evidence of economic decline but may simply reflect the decentralization of population within the metropolitan area. New York City had about a 2-percent decline in population but the New York SMSA increased by about 11 percent. The corresponding figures for Chicago were -3 and +19 percent, respectively; for Philadelphia, -5 and +17 percent; and for Detroit, -11 and +25 percent. In short, although there was a decline within the corporate limits of these cities, the entire SMSA increased in population. Since the location of city limits has

little relevance to economic and industrial growth in an urban aggregate, population trends in the central city as legally defined do not constitute an index of the economic health of the area. There was, however, a decline in the total population of each of nine SMSA's in the decade 1950 to 1960.

An examination of the relation between the rate of change in the population of the central city and that of the ring in SMSA's which have grown during the decade indicates the limitations of population trends in central cities as an index of economic well-being. This relation depends, obviously, on whether or not the growth in the area occurred inside or outside the corporate limits of the central cities. If there is a considerable area within the city limits which is suitable and profitable for residential development, it is probable that a large part of the population growth will occur within the city limits and that the census will register a population gain for the central city.

If, however, at the beginning of the decade, there is only a limited space within the corporate limits suitable for residential development, and if these limits are not extended, the population of the central city is likely to remain stationary or decline. The growth of urban aggregates tends to be greatest in their periphery, and, as the area of dense settlement spreads outward, it overflows the boundaries of the legal city. At the same time, the growth of the entire aggregate increases the need for nonresidential uses of land in its central part to accommodate increased business activity--commercial establishments, office buildings, parking areas, freeways, and the like. Thus, if the city limits remain fixed, there comes a time when the economic growth of the entire metropolitan area may be accompanied by a decline in the population of the central city or cities.

In theory, of course, the loss of population in the central cities under these circumstances can be prevented by the annexation of the surrounding territory of rapid population growth and there is evidence that annexation has, in many instances, materially increased the rate of growth of many central cities. This process is illustrated in the case of those SMSA's which showed modest to sharp gains for the whole metropolitan area but in which the gain in central cities was reflected in the balance of the SMSA by a loss (table C).

For example, Terre Haute with a gain of only 2.4 percent for the whole area had a gain of about 12 percent in the central city and a loss of about the same magnitude in the ring. Tucson, Arizona, by a series of annexations during the decade, achieved a gain of about 360 percent in the central city, but there was a loss of 46 percent in the ring.

Table C.--RATES OF POPULATION CHANGE, BY COMPONENTS OF AREA, FOR STANDARD METROPOLITAN STATISTICAL AREAS WITH POPULATION INCREASE IN THE TOTAL AREA BUT LOSS OUTSIDE CENTRAL CITIES: 1960 AND 1950

| Standard metropolitan statistical area | Total | Central cities | Outside central cities |
|--------------------------------------------------|-------|----------------|------------------------|
| Asheville, N.C..... | 2.4 | 10.8 | -3.9 |
| Terre Haute, Ind..... | 2.4 | 11.8 | -12.4 |
| Evansville, Ind.-Ky..... | 2.9 | 9.2 | -10.2 |
| Fort Smith, Ark..... | 3.5 | 10.2 | -16.2 |
| Sioux City, Iowa..... | 3.8 | 6.1 | -6.1 |
| Charleston, W. Va..... | 4.4 | 15.0 | -0.2 |
| San Angelo, Texas..... | 7.6 | 11.0 | -18.0 |
| Tuscaloosa, Ala..... | 15.4 | 36.1 | -4.6 |
| Tyler, Texas..... | 15.4 | 31.3 | -2.0 |
| Laredo, Texas..... | 15.5 | 17.2 | -4.5 |
| Fargo-Moorhead, N. Dak.- Minn..... | 17.6 | 30.4 | -1.2 |
| Springfield, Mo..... | 19.6 | 43.5 | -22.3 |
| Brownsville-Harlingen- San Benito, Texas..... | 19.8 | 44.6 | -14.5 |
| Wichita Falls, Texas..... | 21.2 | 47.6 | -27.0 |
| Greensboro-High Point, N.C.. | 28.5 | 58.1 | -15.7 |
| Austin, Texas..... | 30.8 | 39.7 | -10.7 |
| Jackson, Miss..... | 31.2 | 46.5 | -2.9 |
| Corpus Christi, Texas..... | 32.4 | 53.0 | -6.8 |
| Topeka, Kans..... | 33.1 | 50.5 | -18.2 |
| Abilene, Texas..... | 39.4 | 96.2 | -25.5 |
| Newport News-Hampton, Va.... | 43.9 | 317.1 | -79.9 |
| Lubbock, Texas..... | 51.6 | 75.5 | -7.2 |
| El Paso, Texas..... | 59.9 | 109.4 | -40.2 |
| Huntsville, Ala..... | 60.0 | 337.3 | -20.8 |
| Amarillo, Texas..... | 69.4 | 83.4 | -11.4 |
| Tucson, Ariz..... | 85.1 | 360.5 | -45.6 |
| Phoenix, Ariz..... | 98.2 | 306.6 | -0.7 |
| Odessa, Texas..... | 112.7 | 168.3 | -17.4 |

Information on the size of the population involved in annexations is not yet available, and therefore the exact effects of this process cannot be determined. In terms of the sheer presence or absence of annexations, however, central cities with annexations gained by 21 percent during the decade, whereas central cities with no annexations decreased in the aggregate by 4 percent. All the central cities in the SMSA's for which data are presented in table C had annexations, and the fact that there was a loss in the ring suggests that the size of the population in the annexed territory was relatively large.

It is apparent, then, that population trends in cities tell very little about economic growth unless the trends are related to the total growth of the metropolitan area in which the cities are located. Taken by themselves, the city rates of growth merely reflect the availability of effective space for growth within the city limits or the degree to which substantial annexations are possible.

The case of the central cities which lost population during the past decade can in large part be explained in terms of the foregoing generalizations. These cities tended to be located in the larger SMSA's; about 42 percent of the central cities gaining population were found in SMSA's of 250,000 or more, whereas, about 70 percent of those losing population were found in this size class. In addition, the cities that lost population were more frequently cities that had had no annexations; more than four-fifths of the cities with increases had annexations but less than one-half of the cities with decreases had them. Finally, cities with the highest densities in 1950 lost population. Of the 31 cities with a density of 10,000 or more per square mile in 1950, all but 3--Paterson, Newport News, and Milwaukee--lost population. Among the exceptions, Milwaukee has had extensive annexation throughout the decade and Newport News annexed an adjacent county, leaving only Paterson as a high-density city that showed a gain without annexation. Moreover, Paterson's gain was a very modest one.

More explicitly, of the 73 cities that lost population, 8 were the central cities of SMSA's that lost population. Of the remaining 65, 25 were cities with a density of 10,000 per square mile or more in 1950. Of the remaining 40 losing cities, 17, or about 43 percent, had no annexations, whereas of the gaining cities only about 14 percent had no annexations. In summary then, it appears that the decade 1950 to 1960 was one in which many of our larger cities, particularly those of a high population density, outgrew their corporate limits; and unless this area of rapid growth was brought within the limits by extensive annexation, they lost population.

DEFINITIONS AND EXPLANATIONS

Standard metropolitan statistical areas.--
It has been long recognized that for many types of social and economic analysis it is

necessary to consider as a unit the entire population in and around the city whose activities form an integrated social and economic system. Prior to the 1950 Census, areas of this type had been defined in somewhat different ways for different purposes and by various agencies. Leading examples were the metropolitan districts of the Census of Population, the industrial areas of the Census of Manufactures, and the labor market areas of the Bureau of Employment Security. To provide general purpose areas of this type, the Bureau of the Budget has established standard metropolitan statistical areas (SMSA's).¹

Except in New England, an SMSA is a county or group of contiguous counties which contains at least one city of 50,000 inhabitants or more or "twin cities" with a combined population of at least 50,000. In addition to the county or counties, containing such a city or cities, contiguous counties are included in an SMSA if, according to certain criteria, they are essentially metropolitan in character and are socially and economically integrated with the central city. The criteria followed in the delineation of SMSA's relate to a city, or cities, of sufficient population size to constitute the central city and to the economic and social relationships with contiguous counties that are metropolitan in character.

1. Each SMSA must include at least:
 - a. One city with 50,000 inhabitants or more, or
 - b. Two cities having contiguous boundaries and constituting, for general economic and social purposes, a single community with a combined population of at least 50,000, the smaller of which must have a population of at least 15,000.

2. If two or more adjacent counties each have a city of 50,000 inhabitants or more and the cities are within 20 miles of each other (city limits to city limits), they will be included in the same area unless there is definite evidence that the two cities are not economically and socially integrated.

The criteria of metropolitan character relate primarily to the attributes of the outlying county as a place of work or as a home

¹ See also the Bureau of the Budget publication Standard Metropolitan Statistical Areas, U.S. Government Printing Office, Washington 25, D.C., 1959.

for concentration of nonagricultural workers. Specifically, these criteria are:

3. At least 75 percent of the labor force of the county must be in the nonagricultural labor force.

4. In addition to criterion 3, the county must meet at least one of the following conditions:

a. It must have 50 percent or more of its population living in contiguous minor civil divisions with a density of at least 150 persons per square mile, in an unbroken chain of minor civil divisions with such density radiating from a central city in the area.

b. The number of nonagricultural workers employed in the county must equal at least 10 percent of the number of nonagricultural workers employed in the county containing the largest city in the area, or the outlying county must be the place of employment of at least 10,000 nonagricultural workers.

c. The nonagricultural labor force living in the county must equal at least 10 percent of the nonagricultural labor force living in the county containing the largest city in the area, or the outlying county must be the place of residence of a nonagricultural labor force of at least 10,000.

5. In New England, the city and town are administratively more important than the county, and data are compiled locally for such minor civil divisions. Here, towns and cities are the units used in defining SMSA's. In New England, because smaller units are used and more restricted areas result, a population density of at least 100 persons per square mile is used as the measure of metropolitan character.

The criteria of integration relate primarily to the extent of economic and social communication between the outlying counties and the central county.

6. A county is regarded as integrated with the county or counties containing the central cities of the area if either of the following criteria is met:

a. If 15 percent of the workers living in the given outlying county work in the county or counties containing the central city or cities of the area, or

b. If 25 percent of those working in the given outlying county live in the

county or counties containing the central city or cities of the area.

Only where data for criteria 6a and 6b are not conclusive are other related types of information used. This information includes such items as average telephone calls per subscriber per month from the county to the county containing central cities of the area; percent of the population in the county located in the central city telephone exchange area; newspaper circulation reports prepared by the Audit Bureau of Circulation; analysis of charge accounts in retail stores of central cities to determine the extent of their use by residents of the contiguous county; delivery service practices of retail stores in central cities; official traffic counts; the extent of public transportation facilities in operation between central cities and communities in the contiguous county; and the extent to which local planning groups and other civic organizations operate jointly.

7. Although there may be several cities of 50,000 or more in an SMSA, not all are necessarily central cities. The following criteria are used for determining central cities:

a. The largest city in an SMSA is always a central city.

b. In addition, one or two additional cities may be secondary central cities on the basis and in the order of the following criteria;

(1) The additional city or cities have at least 250,000 inhabitants.

(2) The additional city or cities have a population of one-third or more of that of the largest city and a minimum population of 25,000, except that both cities are central cities in those instances where cities qualify under criterion 1b. (A city which qualified as a secondary central city in 1950 but which does not qualify in 1960 has been temporarily retained as a central city.)

8. The titles of the SMSA's consist of the names of the central cities followed by the names of the States in which the areas are located.

In the 1950 Census reports, data were presented for standard metropolitan areas (SMA's) and in several earlier censuses a somewhat similar type of area called the "metropolitan district" was used. In 1959, the criteria for

delineating SMA's were revised by the Bureau of the Budget, and, at the same time, the areas were designated as standard metropolitan statistical areas. The comparative figures shown here for 1950 apply to the SMSA as defined in 1960.

Standard consolidated areas.--In view of the special importance of the metropolitan complexes around New York and Chicago, the Nation's largest cities, several contiguous SMSA's and additional counties that do not appear to meet the formal integration criteria but do have strong interrelationships of other kinds, have been combined into the New York-Northeastern New Jersey and the Chicago-Northwestern Indiana Standard Consolidated Areas, respectively. The former is identical with the New York-Northeastern New Jersey SMA of 1950,

and the latter corresponds roughly to the Chicago SMA (two more counties having been added).

Coverage.--The statistics presented here cover 209 standard metropolitan statistical areas in the 50 States and the District of Columbia. Prior to the 1960 Census, 189 areas had been established in the United States. The preliminary results of the census led to the elimination of one area in which the population of the central city fell below 50,000 and the addition of 21 areas in which central cities attained a population of 50,000 or more for the first time. Since the figures for the summary table were compiled, 2 additional areas have been announced by the Bureau of the Budget. Although statistics are presented for these 2 SMSA's and for the 3 SMSA's in Puerto Rico, they are not included in the summary tables.

Table 3.--POPULATION OF STANDARD METROPOLITAN STATISTICAL AREAS AND COMPONENT AREAS IN THE UNITED STATES AND THE COMMONWEALTH OF PUERTO RICO: 1960 AND 1950--Con.

(Minus sign (-) denotes decrease)

Table with columns for Standard metropolitan statistical area, 1960 (preliminary), 1950, Increase 1950 to 1960 (Number and Percent), and 1960 (preliminary), 1950, Increase 1950 to 1960 (Number and Percent). The table lists various metropolitan areas such as New Britain, Conn., Hartford County, Conn., and many others, providing population figures and percentage changes between 1950 and 1960.

¹ Includes population of Elizabeth City County (55,028) which was annexed to Hampton city, and Warwick County (39,875) which was annexed to Newport News city.

Table 4.--POPULATION INSIDE AND OUTSIDE CENTRAL CITY OR CITIES OF STANDARD METROPOLITAN STATISTICAL AREAS IN THE UNITED STATES AND THE COMMONWEALTH OF PUERTO RICO: 1960 AND 1950--Con. (Minus sign (-) denotes decrease)

Table with columns for Standard metropolitan statistical area, 1960 (preliminary), 1950, Increase 1950 to 1960 (Number and Percent), and 1960 (preliminary), 1950, Increase 1950 to 1960 (Number and Percent). Rows include cities like San Bernardino-Riverside-Ontario, San Diego, San Francisco-Oakland, San Jose, Santa Barbara, Savannah, Scranton, Seattle, Shreveport, Sioux City, Sioux Falls, South Bend, Spokane, Springfield, St. Louis, Stamford, Steubenville-Weirton, Stockton, Syracuse, Tacoma, Tampa-St. Petersburg-Fla., Terre Haute, Texarkana, Toledo, Topeka, Trenton, Tucson, Tulsa, Tuscaloosa, Tyler, Utica-Rome, Waco, Washington, Waterbury, Waterloo, West Palm Beach, Wheeling, Wichita, Wichita Falls, Wilkes-Barre-Hazleton, Wilmington, Winston-Salem, Worcester, and York.

Table 4.--POPULATION INSIDE AND OUTSIDE CENTRAL CITY OR CITIES OF STANDARD METROPOLITAN STATISTICAL AREAS IN THE UNITED STATES AND THE COMMONWEALTH OF PUERTO RICO: 1960 AND 1950--Con.

(Minus sign (-) denotes decrease)

| Standard metropolitan statistical area | 1960 (preliminary) | 1950 | Increase, 1950 to 1960 | | Standard metropolitan statistical area | 1960 (preliminary) | 1950 | Increase, 1950 to 1960 | |
|----------------------------------------|--------------------|---------|------------------------|---------|----------------------------------------|--------------------|---------|------------------------|---------|
| | | | Number | Percent | | | | Number | Percent |
| Youngstown-Warren, Ohio..... | 507,557 | 416,544 | 91,013 | 21.8 | Mayaguez, P.R..... | 84,576 | 87,307 | -2,731 | -3.1 |
| In central cities..... | 225,390 | 218,186 | 7,204 | 3.3 | Mayaguez..... | 50,808 | 58,944 | -8,136 | -13.8 |
| Youngstown..... | 165,844 | 168,390 | -2,486 | -1.5 | Outside central city..... | 33,768 | 28,363 | 5,405 | 19.1 |
| Warren..... | 59,546 | 49,856 | 9,690 | 19.4 | Ponce, P.R..... | 146,480 | 126,810 | 19,670 | 15.5 |
| Outside central cities..... | 282,167 | 198,358 | 83,809 | 42.3 | Ponce..... | 115,192 | 99,482 | 15,700 | 15.8 |
| | | | | | Outside central city..... | 31,288 | 27,318 | 3,970 | 14.5 |
| | | | | | San Juan, P.R..... | 589,163 | 465,741 | 123,422 | 26.5 |
| | | | | | San Juan..... | 432,508 | 357,205 | 75,303 | 21.1 |
| | | | | | Outside central city..... | 156,655 | 108,536 | 48,119 | 44.3 |
| Puerto Rico, 3 areas..... | 820,219 | 679,858 | 140,361 | 20.6 | | | | | |
| In central cities..... | 598,508 | 515,641 | 82,867 | 16.1 | | | | | |
| Outside central cities..... | 221,711 | 164,217 | 57,494 | 35.0 | | | | | |

Table 5.--POPULATION OF STANDARD CONSOLIDATED AREAS: 1960 AND 1950

(Minus sign (-) denotes decrease)

| Standard consolidated area | 1960 (preliminary) | 1950 | Increase, 1950 to 1960 | | Standard consolidated area | 1960 (preliminary) | 1950 | Increase, 1950 to 1960 | |
|---------------------------------------|--------------------|------------|------------------------|---------|--------------------------------------------|--------------------|-----------|------------------------|---------|
| | | | Number | Percent | | | | Number | Percent |
| New York-Northeastern New Jersey..... | 14,650,818 | 12,911,994 | 1,738,824 | 13.5 | Chicago-Northwestern Indiana..... | 6,743,316 | 5,586,096 | 1,157,220 | 20.7 |
| New York, N.Y., SMSA..... | 10,602,382 | 9,555,943 | 1,046,439 | 11.0 | Chicago, Ill., SMSA..... | 6,171,517 | 5,177,868 | 993,649 | 19.2 |
| Newark, N.J., SMSA..... | 1,682,882 | 1,468,458 | 214,424 | 14.6 | Gary-Hammond-East Chicago, Ind., SMSA..... | 571,799 | 408,288 | 163,511 | 40.1 |
| Jersey City, N.J., SMSA..... | 607,250 | 647,437 | -40,187 | -6.2 | | | | | |
| Paterson-Clifton | | | | | | | | | |
| Passaic, N.J., SMSA..... | 1,183,514 | 876,232 | 307,282 | 35.1 | | | | | |
| Middlesex County, N.J..... | 431,638 | 264,872 | 166,766 | 63.0 | | | | | |
| Somerset County, N.J..... | 143,152 | 99,052 | 44,100 | 44.5 | | | | | |

STATISTICS FOR MERIDEN (CONN.) AND NORWALK (CONN.) STANDARD METROPOLITAN STATISTICAL AREAS

(These SMSA's were announced by the Bureau of the Budget after the tabulations for this report were completed. Statistics for these areas are not included in tables 1 and 2, nor in the totals of tables 3 and 4)

| Standard metropolitan statistical area | 1960 (preliminary) | 1950 | Increase, 1950 to 1960 | | Standard metropolitan statistical area | 1960 (preliminary) | 1950 | Increase, 1950 to 1960 | |
|----------------------------------------|--------------------|--------|------------------------|---------|--------------------------------------------|--------------------|--------|------------------------|---------|
| | | | Number | Percent | | | | Number | Percent |
| COMPONENT AREAS | | | | | POPULATION INSIDE AND OUTSIDE CENTRAL CITY | | | | |
| Meriden, Conn..... | 50,966 | 44,088 | 6,878 | 15.6 | Meriden, Conn..... | 50,966 | 44,088 | 6,878 | 15.6 |
| New Haven County (pt.)..... | 50,966 | 44,088 | 6,878 | 15.6 | Meriden..... | 50,966 | 44,088 | 6,878 | 15.6 |
| Meriden city..... | 50,966 | 44,088 | 6,878 | 15.6 | Outside central city..... | ... | ... | ... | ... |
| Norwalk, Conn..... | 96,397 | 65,685 | 30,712 | 46.8 | Norwalk, Conn..... | 96,397 | 65,685 | 30,712 | 46.8 |
| Fairfield County (pt.)..... | 96,397 | 65,685 | 30,712 | 46.8 | Norwalk..... | 67,527 | 49,460 | 18,067 | 36.5 |
| Norwalk city..... | 67,527 | 49,460 | 18,067 | 36.5 | Outside central city..... | 28,870 | 16,225 | 12,645 | 77.9 |
| Westport town..... | 20,896 | 11,667 | 9,229 | 79.1 | | | | | |
| Wilton town..... | 7,974 | 4,558 | 3,416 | 74.9 | | | | | |