

1970

**CENSUS OF POPULATION
AND HOUSING**

**SERIES PHC(3)
EMPLOYMENT PROFILES OF
SELECTED LOW-INCOME AREAS**

PART 1

**U. S. DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
SOCIAL AND ECONOMIC
STATISTICS ADMINISTRATION
BUREAU OF THE CENSUS**

1970 CENSUS OF POPULATION AND HOUSING

Series PHC(3)	EMPLOYMENT PROFILES OF SELECTED LOW-INCOME AREAS
Part 1	United States Summary—Urban Areas New York, N.Y.—All Survey Areas New York, N.Y.—Puerto Rican Population of Survey Areas Manhattan Borough, New York City—Summary Manhattan Borough, New York City—Area I

(This is a special binding for record purposes only.)

The PHC(3) series consists of 76 individual reports for low-income neighborhoods in 51 cities and 7 rural poverty areas. These reports, which appear here as originally issued, have been bound specially into a set of 13 hard-cover books for a durable reference volume. (An errata sheet issued subsequent to the release of the individual reports begins on the following page.) Each book is identified by a part number and the list below indicates the reports which comprise each of the 13 parts.

PART 1

- 1 United States Summary—Urban Areas
- 2 New York, N.Y.—All Survey Areas
- 3 New York, N.Y.—Puerto Rican Population of Survey Areas
- 4 Manhattan Borough, New York City—Summary
- 5 Manhattan Borough, New York City—Area I

PART 2

- 6 Manhattan Borough, New York City—Area II
- 7 Brooklyn Borough, New York City—Summary
- 8 Brooklyn Borough, New York City—Area I
- 9 Brooklyn Borough, New York City—Area II
- 10 Brooklyn Borough, New York City—Area III

PART 3

- 11 Bronx Borough, New York City
- 12 Queens Borough, New York City
- 13 Los Angeles, Calif.—Summary
- 14 Los Angeles, Calif.—Area I
- 15 Los Angeles, Calif.—Area II

PART 4

- 16 Chicago, Ill.—Summary
- 17 Chicago, Ill.—Area I
- 18 Chicago, Ill.—Area II
- 19 Philadelphia, Pa.—Summary
- 20 Philadelphia, Pa.—Area I
- 21 Philadelphia, Pa.—Area II

PART 5

- 22 Detroit, Mich.
- 23 San Francisco, Calif.
- 24 Washington, D.C.
- 25 Boston, Mass.
- 26 Pittsburgh, Pa.
- 27 St. Louis, Mo.
- 28 Baltimore, Md.

PART 6

- 29 Cleveland, Ohio
- 30 Houston, Tex.
- 31 Newark, N.J.
- 32 Dallas, Tex.
- 33 Minneapolis, Minn.
- 34 St. Paul, Minn.

PART 7

- 35 Milwaukee, Wis.
- 36 Atlanta, Ga.
- 37 Cincinnati, Ohio
- 38 Buffalo, N.Y.

PART 7—Con.

- 39 San Diego, Calif.
- 40 Miami, Fla.

PART 8

- 41 Kansas City, Mo.
- 42 Denver, Colo.
- 43 Indianapolis, Ind.
- 44 New Orleans, La.
- 45 Oakland, Calif.
- 46 Tampa, Fla.

PART 9

- 47 Portland, Oreg.
- 48 Phoenix, Ariz.
- 49 Columbus, Ohio
- 50 San Antonio, Tex.
- 51 Dayton, Ohio
- 52 Rochester, N.Y.

PART 10

- 53 Louisville, Ky.
- 54 Memphis, Tenn.
- 55 Fort Worth, Tex.
- 56 Birmingham, Ala.
- 57 Toledo, Ohio
- 58 Akron, Ohio

PART 11

- 59 Norfolk, Va.
- 60 Oklahoma City, Okla.
- 61 Jersey City, N.J.
- 62 Providence, R.I.
- 63 Omaha, Nebr.
- 64 Youngstown, Ohio

PART 12

- 65 Tulsa, Okla.
- 66 Charlotte, N.C.
- 67 Wichita, Kans.
- 68 Bridgeport, Conn.
- 69 Selected Rural Counties in Alabama

PART 13

- 70 Selected Rural Counties in Appalachia
- 71 Selected Rural Counties in Arkansas
- 72 Selected Rural Counties in California
- 73 Selected Rural Counties in Missouri
- 74 Selected Rural Counties in North Carolina
- 75 Selected Rural Counties in New Mexico
- 76 Zuni Reservation, N. Mex.

1970 CENSUS OF POPULATION AND HOUSING

U. S. DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE • Social and Economic Statistics Administration • BUREAU OF THE CENSUS

ERRATA SHEET

SERIES PHC(3)

EMPLOYMENT PROFILES OF SELECTED LOW-INCOME AREAS

1. The total family income for a very small number of self-employed individuals in each CES area was computed incorrectly. As a result, the income for these few individuals was over reported. In urban areas the differences amount to less than 1 percent in the median income. The rural tables show a slightly larger difference.
2. New York, N.Y.—The Puerto Rican Population of Survey Areas report does not include those persons classified as "Other Race—Puerto Rican." This exclusion amounts to approximately 11 percent of the Puerto Ricans in the survey areas of New York. Revised tables A to N which include "Other—Puerto Ricans" are available upon request from the Bureau of the Census, Washington, D.C. 20233.
3. Table G (Rural)—Table G is incorrect and should not be used. Table 12 defines the correct universe for Table G.
4. Table F—The line labeled "15 weeks or more" in the category "Other Characteristics of the Unemployed—Duration of Unemployment" is incorrect. The line should read "15 to 26 weeks."
5. Table 13 (Urban)—Table 13 is incorrect and should not be used. Data for weekly and hourly earnings of the employed can be obtained from table 12 but there are no data for the cost of one way transportation for the employed by weekly or hourly earnings.
6. Table 15 (Urban)—The columns labeled "Total not in labor force who indicate desire for work" and "All other reasons" are incorrect. Table 14 defines the correct universe for table 15. Subtract the difference between table 14 and table 15 from both the "Total" and "All other reasons" columns in table 15 to get the correct estimates.

7. Table 41 (Urban) and table 33 (Rural)—Two of the last three columns should not be used. The number of children "Under 6 years" was tallied incorrectly. This error also affects the "Total under 18 years."
8. Table 49 (Urban) and table 41 (Rural)—There is an error in the last three columns only, headed "Worked part year, had some unemployment." The subcategories "Families with male head, total" (and its income groups) and "Families with female head, total" (and its income groups) were inadvertently tallied as "Families without children under 18."

In order to get the "Total families" figure, it is necessary to add the four subcategories:

- a. Families with male head, total;
- b. Families with male head with children under 18, total;
- c. Families with female head, total; and
- d. Families with female head with children under 18, total.

For "Families with male head, total" and "Families with female head, total" by income group, add the two figures for each income group for the two male head categories and for the two female head categories. Again this applies only to the last three columns in the table.

9. Tables 52, 53, and 54 (Urban); tables 44, 45, and 46 (Rural)—All data in the column headed "Lived in this city—more than 5 years" are incorrect. The correct figure for any line can be derived by subtracting the sum of the figures on that line in the last two columns labeled "Family heads born in this city" and "Not available" from the figure shown in the "More than 5 years" column.

7. Table 41 (Urban) and table 33 (Rural)—Two of the last three columns should not be used. The number of children "Under 6 years" was tallied incorrectly. This error also affects the "Total under 18 years."
8. Table 49 (Urban) and table 41 (Rural)—There is an error in the last three columns only, headed "Worked part year, had some unemployment." The subcategories "Families with male head, total" (and its income groups) and "Families with female head, total" (and its income groups) were inadvertently tallied as "Families without children under 18."

In order to get the "Total families" figure, it is necessary to add the four subcategories:

- a. Families with male head, total;
- b. Families with male head with children under 18, total;
- c. Families with female head, total; and
- d. Families with female head with children under 18, total.

For "Families with male head, total" and "Families with female head, total" by income group, add the two figures for each income group for the two male head categories and for the two female head categories. Again this applies only to the last three columns in the table.

9. Tables 52, 53, and 54 (Urban); tables 44, 45, and 46 (Rural)—All data in the column headed "Lived in this city—more than 5 years" are incorrect. The correct figure for any line can be derived by subtracting the sum of the figures on that line in the last two columns labeled "Family heads born in this city" and "Not available" from the figure shown in the "More than 5 years" column.